	Page 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY
7	
8	
9	
10	being heard before:
11	
12	SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)
13	MR DAVID LANE
14	MS GERALDINE DOHERTY
15	
16	held at
17	Banbridge Court House
18	Banbridge
19	
20	on Friday, 3rd June 2016
21	commencing at 10.00 am
22	(Day 207)
23	
24	MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as
25	Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 1 Friday, 3rd June 2016 2 (10.00 am)3 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Can I again CHAIRMAN: 4 remind everyone, particularly those who may not have 5 been here before, to ensure that if you have a mobile 6 phone, it is at least placed on "Silent", preferably 7 turned off, and that no photography is permitted either here in the chamber or anywhere else. 8 Names used in the chamber must not be used outside 9 10 the chamber in any circumstances where they are names 11 that are covered by a designation given by the Inquiry. 12 Yes, Mr Aiken? 13 Opening statement by COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY (cont.) MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good morning. 14 15 Last evening we finished the fourth period and today we are going to move through the fifth period, which is 16 17 from 22nd June 1971, when William McGrath joins the staff at Kincora as a housefather, so the third in 18 19 command, as it were, in Kincora, until the suspension of those three members of the care staff on 4th March 1980. 20 So it's a nine-year period. There's a significant 21 22 volume of material and I'm going to travel quickly 23 through it, if the stenographer will bear with me today as a one day only as I do that, because I want to try to 24 cover it, if we can. 25

	rage .
1	On the wall again to your right you will see
2	Ms Slevin's wall chart. It will immediately become
3	apparent that during this nine-year period there is no
4	green in terms of allegations against Raymond Semple and
5	no blue in terms of allegations against Joseph Mains.
6	Now that is because we have not dual-coloured R9, who
7	Joe Mains was convicted of sexual offences in relation
8	to, and that's because R9 engaged in mutual masturbation
9	with Joe Mains two years after he had left Kincora and
10	left care. He is still committing a sexual offence with
11	him and was prosecuted and convicted for it, but it was
12	not being committed in Kincora. As you know, the
13	CHAIRMAN: (Inaudible) children who fall within our terms of
14	reference.
15	MR AIKEN: Yes. As you know, the plan that's on the wall
16	deals with the complaints pre-2000. Hopefully it
17	will you will find it helpful in terms of its
18	illustrative ability.
19	William McGrath was 54 years old, married and a
20	father of three children when he took up his housefather
21	role at Kincora. He had been living in Greenwood Avenue
22	at the time and would later move to the family home at
23	188 Newtownards Road. That address will be relevant
24	when we come to look at further material, because
25	188 Newtownards Road is not 236 Newtownards Road, which

is where Kincora was. He did reside on the Kincora premises.

We are going to look at a significant number of individuals from this period. In keeping with the practice I have been engaging in, I am going to put the statement on the screen, but I am going to summarise it and I am going to move rapidly through it. If at any point you want to pause, if you would draw that to my attention, then we can do that.

The first boy I am going to deal with is KIN38, who is "KIN38". He was born on . He had two spells in Kincora, the first between April '69 and February '70 between the ages of 15 and 16, and he explained that nothing happened to him during that phase, which was pre-William McGrath's arrival.

I include him in this section because it is during his second spell between October '71 and January '72, so three months before his 18th birthday to the day after his 18th birthday, he says he came to the attention of Joe Mains. So you will see him at the very edge, left edge of the plan on the wall.

He was interviewed by police on 10th April 1980 while he was resident -- if we just put on the screen, please, 10180 and scroll through to the next page slowly, please -- he was resident in prison in

England serving a sentence for robbery.

He explained that during his second spell he got friendly with Joe Mains because he did various jobs for him and for Joe Mains' brothers. He alleged that on one occasion, having been asked to clean Joe Mains' flat, so the annexe to Kincora, Mains asked him to take his trousers down and then masturbated Mains.

A few weeks later Mains asked him to go drinking with him to the Stormont Hotel and they both got what is described as "fairly drunk". They went back to Mains' flat, where they stripped off their clothes and got into bed and masturbated each other.

He explained those were the only incidents and the other two members of staff never interfered with him.

When Mains was reinterviewed by the police on 29th May 1980, he was asked about what KIN38 had to say, and he admitted that he had a relationship with KIN38, though he couldn't remember how it started. He admitted going drinking with KIN38, though he claimed he was over 18 and not under age. When it was pointed out to him that he was a resident at Kincora and he had taken him and got him drunk, he acknowledged he was wrong in doing that. He couldn't remember KIN38 staying in his bed, but he accepted he probably did masturbate him.

When the Detective Chief Inspector submitted his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

report, he recommended that Mains face a gross indecency charge in respect of KIN38.

Despite the recommendation and the admission from Joe Mains, the DPP didn't direct a charge in respect of KIN38.

The Sussex Superintendent Harrison was surprised about that, not to see a charge, and looked at the reasons why that was so, and he sets that out in his report -- we don't need to bring it up, but it is at 40091 and following -- that KIN38 in 1976, when facing the robbery charge for which he was serving his sentence at the time he was spoken to by police, in fact, confessed to a murder in Northern Ireland in 1972 after he had left Kincora. It appears that the confession lacked any credibility, and it may have been the potential for an adverse effect on the other charges against Joe Mains that caused the DPP to take the course that it did. Whatever about the decision not to prosecute in respect of KIN38, Mains was accepting, as I have said, that he had engaged in sexual activity with him.

The next boy I am going to deal with is KIN43. He was born on . He is the older brother of R9 or R9 that you hear me mention a number of times. We will be coming to him in due course. Their times in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Kincora did not overlap. KIN43 had three admissions to Kincora. I am including him at this point because of the relevance of what occurred during his admission.

The first admission was for eight weeks from December '69 to February '70, shortly before his 13th birthday. So he was young when he went in. The only issue for him was the attentions of again the boy you have heard me mention yesterday, R34, "R34", in the attic room that they had been sharing, and you have heard me refer to R34 previously when we discussed R8 as an example. KIN43 says he reported the matter to Joe Mains, who treated it as a joke, but did move him to another dormitory.

The second spell was three weeks in August '72 to September '72, while he was 15, and he says nothing occurred during that period.

The third admission was, however, for eight months between 21st May 1973 and 16th January 1974, when he was 16. During this period he would encounter William McGrath.

He initially spoke to police on 20th March 1980, by which time his younger brother R9 had already been interviewed. If we just put on the screen, please, 10217 and then scroll through slowly, in the latter half of his statement on 10218 he explained that on one

occasion the two boys whom he shared a room with, which included HIA532/B1/R13, who is another name that we will be looking at, were already out and William McGrath wakened him. He dosed over again and this time he felt McGrath's hand on his chest and then it moving down towards his private parts. He pushed his hand away and said McGrath started to laugh. KIN43 couldn't remember, but he thought he might have told Joe Mains about it, but that was the only thing that happened to him while he was in Kincora.

When McGrath was interviewed about that on 1st April 1980, he said the allegation was unbelievable, though he could provide no reason why KIN43 would make the allegation.

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended in August 1980 that McGrath face a charge of indecent assault in respect of that incident.

The DPP didn't agree and issued its direction without a charge in respect of KIN43.

KIN43 would, however, make another statement to police on 28th May 1980 which would cover his time in Bawnmore prior to his second and third admissions in Kincora and his awareness of Peter Bone, but he would also refer to being abused in 1970 by a man called Alan Campbell, who sat down beside him on the bus one day on

2.4

the way back to Bawnmore. KIN43 explained what happened, being taken back to a flat and abused, and he would have been 13 at the time.

He made it clear at the end of the statement that William McGrath never discussed with him the incident involving Alan Campbell, because in the papers during Phase Two investigation by George Caskey into Alan Campbell there was a suggestion that William McGrath was involved in the attempts to have Alan Campbell avoid being convicted.

He was acquitted, that is Alan Campbell, for interfering with KIN43 in 1971. What would appear to be attempts to pervert the course of justice associated with that would feature in a significant portion of the Phase Two Kincora Inquiry that Superintendent Caskey by that stage undertook, and he recommended a charge arising out of that, but there was no relation to Kincora other than KIN43 was subsequently in Kincora, and that's where the Alan Campbell matter became linked.

The next boy that I am going to deal with is KIN37 or KIN37. He was born on . He appears to have had two spells in Kincora. The first was for a week and a half from 4th to 15th June 1970, when he was 12, so again at a young age. That predates

McGrath's arrival, but the second was from 12th

2.4

June 1973 until 30th October 1973, though he absconded for a month between the middle of September and October.

When he was interviewed by the police on 27th March, he refused to make a statement, but if we can put on the screen, please, 10224 and scroll slowly through, the police officer who conducted the interview said that KIN37 explained that he had been in Kincora for two years, which he then dated as '70 to '73. Now there is obviously a major issue about that, because he was not there for that period of time.

He said he knew a couple of boys who told him that when waking the boys in the morning, William McGrath would put his hands under the covers and make a grab for your pants or touch you on the bottom. He was asked to name the boys concerned and he named R10. Now that's an important name and I will ask to note and we will be coming to R10 shortly.

He was then asked if anything had happened to him and he said that after he was in Kincora about a year -- again there's a major issue over the dates -- the hostel was full and he had to stay in McGrath's room. Now there is also a major issue about that, because William McGrath never stayed in Kincora according to all of the rest of the material, but he says while he was in there, William McGrath put his hand down his underpants and

2.4

Page 11 felt him up. He said he told McGrath to "Fuck off" and 1 2 nothing else had happened to him. 3 When he was asked if he had done anything about the incident, he said he had gone to see Joe Mains to 4 5 complain about McGrath, but Joe Mains disbelieved him 6 and nothing was done about it. 7 There was some corroboration for what he said 8 occurred from If we look at 40680, KIN307 9 please, you will see that KIN307 says, if we 10 scroll down to the bottom: 11 "I do remember now KIN37 saying that he had woken up 12 one morning to find McGrath with his hands under the blankets touching KIN37." 13 14 So what KIN307 is describing is a piece of activity 15 that William McGrath would regularly engage in across his time at Kincora. It is obviously a different 16 17 description than KIN37 was giving as to the events, but 18 it appears that there was conversation that KIN307 19 was aware of of the normal modus operandi, if 20 I can put it that way. When Mains was being reinterviewed in May 1980, he 21 22 was asked about KIN37's allegations of having complained about McGrath. As we will come to see, Mains identified 23 various individuals who did complain, and when asked 2.4 about KIN37, he said that he had never received 25

a complaint from him.

2.4

When McGrath was interviewed, the issue of KIN37 was raised with him in May 1980. The allegation was not quite accurately put in that it referred to the indecent assault being in the office, when the allegation was that it was in McGrath's bedroom, albeit he did not have one, but in keeping with McGrath's approach during the interview, he replied "No comment", but he did say he had some information about Mains approaching him, but wanted to reserve it. He later described the one incident was over R15.

During his Phase One report Detective Chief
Inspector Caskey alluded to various credibility
difficulties certain individuals and their allegations
would have, but recommended charges be proffered in any
event.

However, in respect of KIN37's allegations, if we look, please, at 10040 at paragraph 230, you can see that he draws attention to the fact that there's major issues over the dates and over the identity perhaps of people that are being spoken about, and that he wouldn't be a very competent witness for the prosecution.

Despite those reservations, in any event he recommended a charge of indecent assault against William McGrath.

The DPP did not agree and did not include any

charge, and the Sussex Superintendent Harrison in his report expressed the view that that was an entirely understandable approach from the DPP. I will just give you the reference for that. That is at 40090 and paragraph 155.

The Sussex superintendents interviewed KIN37 on 16th June 1982 -- and if we look, please, at his statement at 40678 -- because he's there during McGrath's time in 1973. He's told of the newspaper allegations that boys have made complaints but no-one was prepared to listen to them. He asked whether KIN37 had any complaints he wished to make. KIN37 replied he had none. If there was anything he could add to what he had already told the police some two years earlier. He said he had told all he knew then and there was nothing else. He was asked whether he had been interfered with by anyone else other than McGrath and he replied he had not. He was asked if he knew if other boys had been interfered with and he said he didn't.

Then you can see he was asked if he knew of any politicians, police, business people, and so on, had been involved and he said he did not. When he asked about his reaction to the allegations of prostitution and vice rings, he agreed he was surprised, because he didn't know of any such things happening at Kincora.

2.4

I am sorry. I said he made a statement. He didn't make a statement. This is a record of the interview from -- recorded by Superintendent Flenley.

The -- you will find in the Sussex Superintendent Harrison's report that he appears -- he expresses the view that this may be the first person that McGrath abused. Now that is because unfortunately it appears to contain an error of analysis in respect of KIN37 in that he considered the account of indecent assault by McGrath, because KIN37 said it was about a year into his three-year stay. That would have placed it in 1972, but, as we have seen, KIN37 was not in Kincora in 1972 and therefore that analysis couldn't be accurate.

However, in fairness, the Sussex superintendent appears to have overlooked the fact that the RUC had already spotted that issue over the dates, which is what we were looking at on the screen, and he was not, in fact, there during McGrath's time until September 1973. Therefore the earliest date, if his allegation was accurate, would have had to relate to a short period towards the end of 1973. That would not make him the first victim, who we will shortly see is more likely to have been HIA532/B1/R13.

KIN42 was born in and had two short spells -- one short spell in Kincora between May '71,

2.4

14th to 28th, so two weeks, but then he was in Kincora from April '72 to June '73, so one year and two months.

He was spoken to by the RUC in February '80 -- if we can look at 11668, please -- when he confirmed that he could honestly say nothing ever happened to him and he was unaware even of rumours of homosexual activity in Kincora.

The next boy I want to deal with is KIN284 .

I don't have his birth of date to hand. I will provide that to you. He was in Kincora between 1st September 1971 and 7th October 1971, so five weeks. He had been spoken to during the Phase One Inquiry.

He made a statement, if we can look, at 11599, please, and scroll slowly through. In that statement he disclosed that he had been sexually abused by Robert Elder, whom he referred to as "Robert Elliott", in Bawnmore, and that he had one instance of peer sexual activity in Kincora.

He was asked by police whether any member of staff ever interfered with him while he was at Kincora and he replied that he could honestly say that none of the staff interfered with him in Kincora.

So I would ask you to note, Members of the Panel, he was disclosing being sexually abused. So it was not a case of wanting to say he had no involvement with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

anybody. He was disclosing sexual abuse taking place, but he was saying that it was not something that happened in Kincora.

He was medically examined by Dr Irwin on 19th March 1980, when he made his police statement, and he told him also that he was not sexually interfered with at Kincora, but he said he would have been if he had stayed much longer, as McGrath had designs on him, but all he had done was put a hand on his leg. We can see that at 10712, scrolling on to the next page.

It would be fair to say, as we see -- no, 10712, please -- that Dr Irwin concluded that -- if we scroll down, please, you can see the start of -- he is referred ", but the name is KIN284 KIN284 He records the history that I have just explained. Ιf we scroll down a little further, please, then we record what was being said. What -- it would be fair to say that Dr Irwin concluded that KIN284 a practising homosexual, while of low IQ. He described him -- you can see this in the choice of words about six lines down -- that he found him cunning, crafty and untrustworthy and that any statement he made should be viewed with suspicion. So that was the view that Dr Irwin formed as a result of his exchanges with KIN284 .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

He was spoken to by police again during Phase Two in 1982, because he was identified as the fourth hand source of a newspaper article written by Peter McKenna in The Irish Independent on 11th February 1982.

I want to show you the article, please. It is 21237. You can immediately see that it contains a very serious allegation of a victim saying that an MP was involved in a sex scandal at Kincora. You can see:

"A victim of the Kincora sex scandal, who claims to have told police about the involvement of a Loyalist MP in a homosexual prostitution ring in 1977, is currently being treated in a mental hospital."

So you can see the nature of the allegation that was being carried, and not only that it was an MP was involved, but that it was, as you can see, reference to the prostitution ring.

Now when the police managed to track down who was

the source behind the article and identified it as

KIN284 -- and in Detective Superintendent

Caskey's report he sets out in considerable detail how

it was possible to identify from whom this had

originated -- KIN284 himself then denied that he had

any knowledge of the type that's set out in the article.

If we look, please, at 20188, you can see that he is saying in 704:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

"In respect of this allegation KIN284 said that he had not spoken to press men and did not know of any Members of Parliament being involved at Kincora."

What ultimately appears to have happened is he has been in a bar in Antrim talking. A freelance journalist has overheard it. It's been passed eventually through to Peter McKenna, who explains in his police statement that he had never met the source, and ultimately the article was published in the form as it was.

If we look, please, at 20755, you can see KIN284 saying that nothing of this sort ever happened to him. You can see:

"Other than seeing it on television, I would not have known that CID men and the likes were involved in this thing."

Now I am not going to go through the analysis that
Detective Chief -- Superintendent Caskey went through.
You will be able to read it, but you may consider it
fair to say that there was, in fact, absolutely no basis
for the allegation to be made as opposed to there being
no evidence to substantiate it, which was the matter of
interest to Detective Superintendent Caskey. You may
also consider it demonstrates some of the difficulties
in these types of circumstances.

When making his police statement in the Phase Two

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 19 investigation, , on 13th February 1982 --1 KIN284 2 and it runs from 20753 through 4 and 5 -- if we just 3 scroll through it, please -- during the investigation into the provenance of the article Coggles did claim 4 that in addition to what he had said in 1980 about Elder 5 6 and Bone in Bawnmore, that he had, in fact, been 7 sexually interfered with by Joe Mains in Kincora during his five-week stay there, and that he had felt him on 8 9 the privates twice. You will find that in 20754. 10 did not refer to William McGrath touching his leg; 11 rather that he recalled him looking at his fully clothed 12 privates. He then said at the bottom of 20754 and on to the 13 14 top of 20755 since Christmas he had seen the television 15 programmes that alleged a lot of people were involved in Kincora. He said at the bottom of 20754: 16 17 "Apparently Joe Mains was sending boys out from Kincora as prostitutes." 18 19 Then he said nothing like that ever happened to him. 20 If he had not seen it on TV, he wouldn't have known it 21 was going on. 22 Mains was reinterviewed about the allegation on 23 31st April 1982. So that was the -- whenever the police officers went back to see Joe Mains in Crumlin Road 2.4 He was asked about the complaints now being 25 Prison.

Page 20 made by KIN284 , and Mains denied them and said

made by KIN284 , and Mains denied them and said that they were entirely made up.

In respect of the complaint by KIN284 the detective superintendent recommended that, as the case against Mains was weak, that KIN284 'credibility and reliability would be attacked, and that he didn't make the allegation until 1982, having said the opposite in 1980, that no further action should be taken.

KIN40 was born on . He was in Kincora for three months from November '71 until February '72.

11658, please. He was spoken to by the RUC in April

1980, when he explained that nothing sexual had happened to him in Kincora and he never heard of it happening to anybody else.

If we look, please, at 40684, he told the Sussex detectives on 16th June 1982 that the wider allegations were a surprise to him, because he was not aware of anything like that happening while he was in Kincora.

resident in Kincora between 2nd February '72 and 26th May '72. He wasn't traced during the first investigation by the RUC, but if we look at 40887, please, he gave a statement to the Sussex Police on 30th June 1982 and states that no-one attempted to interfere with him or make any homosexual advances towards him.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

He did recall, however, a boy called KIN49, not to be confused with R2, telling him that he intended to run away because McGrath was sexually interfering with him at night. We will shortly see when we come to KIN49, that KIN49 was not saying that, but that's what KIN327 remembered about it. He remembers, says KIN327, that the general talk among the boys was that McGrath was queer. He was taken aback when he heard the allegations of male prostitution and a vice ring.

You can see then he recalls in his statement that

Joe Mains would frequently go out drinking at weekends,
but he never knew of him taking any boys with him. He
also outlined that he remembered the boys at the hostel
telling him of a male nurse and that is R2. You will
recall he was a male nurse for a lengthy period during
the 1970s from

, or he describes it as
, who visited Mains, although he
never saw him himself.

KIN41 was born on . He was in Kincora for a week in February 1972. 11674, please. He explained in April 1980, when spoken to by police, that nothing indecent had happened to him and he was unaware of it happening to anybody else.

He told the Sussex detectives in June 1982 -- 40685, please -- that he didn't know anything about prosecution

2.4

or vice rings or the involvement of prominent people.

We just mentioned KIN49. If we can put on the screen, please, 12008. He was born -- I am sorry.

I should have said 11633. That's his register entry.

5 KIN49 was born on . He was in

6 Kincora from 1st March 1972 until 31st March 1973. He

7 was away for three weeks in October '72, and the

8 re-admission date is not clear, but he was readmitted

9 and then discharged again in September 1974. So given

the length of his stay, you may consider his evidence to

11 be of particular relevance.

He was interviewed by the RUC on 20th February 1980. We can see his statement on the screen. So he was among one of the first individuals to be interviewed. explained that he had seen the press allegations and to the best of his knowledge nothing like that went on when he was in the hostel. He could remember one occasion shortly after he arrived in the hostel William McGrath talking to him about sex, but nothing indecent. thought it was odd. He could remember occasions when McGrath would put his arm around your shoulder when speaking to you, sometimes when alone, but sometimes in front of others, and he said he did feel uneasy being alone with McGrath. You will immediately note he didn't attributed to him. say what KIN327

1

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

When he was reinterviewed by Sussex Police, if we look at 40681, please, he explained -- and you are aware of the length of time he spent in Kincora -- that he was surprised by the allegations against Mains and Semple, but not of McGrath. He said McGrath was considered a "fruit" by the boys and that the boys would warn newcomers to watch McGrath, because, given the chance, he would touch them.

On the wider issues he confirmed he didn't know of any boys being involved in prostitution or vice rings or of the involvement of prominent people using boys for sex.

I am then going to turn to HIA532/B1/R13, who was B1 in the Hughes Inquiry. He is also an applicant to this Inquiry. He speaks about William McGrath only. He appears in the Hughes Inquiry to have been given two designations. So he was B1 and R13. So for continuity what we have done is we have given him the designation HIA532/B1/R13. I appreciate that that's a significant mouthful, but hopefully the benefit of being able to see and easily identify his earlier participation will be of assistance to you. His Inquiry statement can be found at 015 to 029.

He was born , was in Bawnmore from May '66 to March '72, so a six-year period, moved to

Kincora, where he had three admissions from -- he was in from 9th April '72 until August '73, so one year and four and a half months, and then from September '73 to October '73, three weeks, and then another two and a half months from 6th December '73 to 25th February '74.

He gave a detailed five-page statement to the RUC on 28th March 1980. If we can go to page 10232, but the statement begins at 10229 and runs to 10233, but the first four and a half pages relate to his time in Bawnmore. He claimed to have been abused there by Peter Bone, s2 and a ginger-haired man, who he later identified as Robert Elder. Peter Bone and Robert Elder were both convicted of sexually abusing HIA532/B1/R13.

s 2 wasn't prosecuted. Ms Smith will take those matters up further.

The last page of his 1980 police statement at 10232 relates to his time in Kincora. He said the only person who interfered with him in Kincora was William McGrath, that regularly on each of the three occasions he went into Kincora he would wake to find McGrath with his hand under the blanket on his penis. He told him to stop it and McGrath would walk away laughing.

He said, as you will see at the bottom of 10232, there was one occasion McGrath entered the bathroom and

Page 25 locked the door, dropped the towel, which was all 1 2 HIA532/B1/R13 was wearing, and took hold of 3 HIA532/B1/R13's penis and began to masturbate it. HIA532/B1/R13 managed to get out the door. He said at 4 5 the top of 10233 that while he didn't tell anyone about 6 what William McGrath did to him, it was generally known 7 among the boys what McGrath was like. When McGrath was reinterviewed on 29th May 1980, he 8 9 was asked about HIA532/B1/R13's allegations and he 10 replied "No comment". 11 When the detective chief inspector was submitting 12 his report on 6th August 1980, he recommended that 13 McGrath should face a charge of gross indecency in 14 respect of HIA532/B1/R13. 15 The DPP didn't agree in its direction of 23rd 16 February 1981 and no charges were proffered in respect 17 of HIA532/B1/R13. 18 Superintendent Harrison in his report, which is at 40093, referred to the fact that HIA532/B1/R13 had 19 20 a long criminal record, including for serious offences, that would potentially explain the approach that the DPP 21 22 took. He also stated in paragraph 164, if we scroll 23 down slightly, that while the DPP did not include his 2.4 allegations in the list of charges, nonetheless HIA532/B1/R13 was to be called as a corroborating 25

witness at McGrath's trial.

It would appear the superintendent may have been wrong about that, if we can look at 101078, please, because we can see on the screen those who were going to give evidence, those who were given their designation, of which HIA532/B1/R13 is not one, and the four further witnesses who were going to be called and HIA532/B1/R13 is not among them. So it is not clear on the material certainly that I have seen to explain why the superintendent had that view. It may be there is another document that suggested otherwise.

The Sussex superintendents did speak to him, however, on the wider issues, given his experiences in Bawnmore and then in Kincora, which he was prepared to talk about in considerable detail. If we look at 40682, you may consider that what he had to say to the Sussex superintendents will be of assistance to you.

He said that everything he said in his previous statement was true. He had read the newspaper -- the recent newspaper reports about Kincora. So that's the wider Irish Times type allegations. He was surprised to read of prostitution and boys being used by men outside Kincora.

"During the time I was there offences were committed on me as I have described. I have no knowledge of

2.4

Page 27 anything happening to any other boys. I have no 1 2 knowledge of any men being involved other than the men 3 who have been put inside over the Kincora business. 4 I was there. I knew what was happening whilst I was 5 there, and if there had been any prostitution and that, 6 I would have known about it." 7 As I mentioned, HIA532/B1/R13 appeared before The Hughes Inquiry. As I said, he was given two 8 9 designations and I have drawn those to your attention. 10 He was legally represented. He did provide a statement 11 to the Hughes Inquiry. I am not going to go through You can find it at 75626 to 75631. 12 13 The major distinction from what he said in his 14 police statement to what he said to the Hughes Inquiry 15 was that he did tell Joseph Mains about William McGrath, 16 but he couldn't remember Joseph Mains' response to the 17 complaint. Obviously you will have the opportunity to hear from HIA532/B1/R13. 18 He gave evidence on Day 51 of the public hearings in 19 20 respect of the Hughes Inquiry, which were on 7th March 1985. I am not going to go through the 21 22 transcript. It is at 74106 to 74157. 23 One of the things that he did explain that I will draw to your attention is he confirmed that he was 2.4 involved with the UDA from 1974, but was not involved in 25

active service until he left Kincora. He said that it was in the hope that McGrath would have left him alone that he got involved in paramilitary activity. The Hughes Report does not necessarily agree with the views that he expressed, given he had already left Kincora, but the point I am drawing to your attention is that there was no suggestion from him that William McGrath was involved in getting him involved in paramilitary activity. In fact, he was saying that he was -- he made that choice after he had left.

The report of The Hughes Inquiry deals with his evidence at paragraphs 3.100 to 3.106 and it also deals with his Bawnmore evidence in the Bawnmore chapter at 6.31 to 6.43. The Hughes Inquiry reached the conclusion in paragraphs 3.105 and 6 at 75235 that there was nothing that could have led to William McGrath's behaviour towards HIA532/B1/R13 being detected by Social Services.

As I said, he is an applicant to the Inquiry, and if HIA532/B1/R13's recollections are correct, and you will recall I said that he explained in his police statement that he had this experience of William McGrath generally, the hand under the bed clothes, on each of his stays in Kincora, depending when he says that first occurred, it may well be he was one of, if not the

first, boy that William McGrath touched.

You will immediately be aware then that there's nobody in 1971 who is suggesting William McGrath interfered with them and that the earliest date when he first could be said to have engaged with touching a boy is from April '72 on, which is when HIA532/B1/R13 came into Kincora. It may be he will be able to clarify further at what point during the first period of stay HIA532/B1/R13 can recollect being touched, because the significance of that will become apparent when we look at what information was already being communicated about William McGrath to those in authority.

I am going to briefly deal with KIN39, who was born on . He was in Kincora for a week from April '72, when he was 15. He spoke to the police at 11632, please, when he expressed the view that he had been well looked after in Kincora, that no-one had interfered with him, nor had he heard of anything like that happening to other boys.

When he was spoken to by the Sussex superintendent on 9th June '82, if we look at 40683, please, he explained that the allegations of prostitution and vice rings were a complete surprise to him.

I want to then deal with R10. You may consider that you will find his evidence of significance. He was H on

2.4

the indictment against William McGrath and R10 in the Hughes Inquiry. He was born on . His significance is that he was in Kincora for four years from 23rd March 1973 until 18 April 1977 between the ages of 15 and 19.

The RUC interviewed him on 14th March 1980. If we can look, please, at 10235 and just slowly scroll through, I am going to summarise what he said. He explained that Joe Mains never made any advances towards him and he got on well with Raymond Semple, as did the other boys, and had a certain amount of respect for him. However, as far as McGrath was concerned, when he first went into Kincora, he was warned by the boys who were already there that McGrath was a bit queer and to watch himself with him.

After about three or four weeks -- so, insofar as his recollection is correct, that would be around late April 1973, so potentially around the same time as HIA532/B1/R13 -- when McGrath was waking the boys up, as he usually did, R10 felt a hand under the bedclothes rubbing his inner thigh, moving up towards his privates. He says he jumped up and saw McGrath, who pulled his hand away from under the bed clothes. R10 told him never to do it again. Later on that evening, when asked by McGrath why he panicked so much, R10 told him that he

2.4

would kill him if he ever did it again. He explained he was scared to tell Joe Mains about McGrath, but he did warn other boys about him. You will see that at the bottom of 10236.

He remembered he and others being told by HIA533 -- and I will ask you to note that name -- another resident, about McGrath putting his hand under the bedsheets and touching his privates. We will come back to HIA533.

He said there was other talk among the boys about McGrath making suggestions to boys that he be allowed to touch or caress them. He recalled McGrath once telling him that he had been a masseur during the war and this caused R10 to think he was queer.

He could also recall talk -- and you will see this on 10236 -- about R17 and R9 -- you have heard the name R9 before but R17 as well -- being found in bed together by KIN300. That's the brother of HIA532/B1/R13. In this context he recalled a tape recorder being found with voices suggesting, to quote him, that those two boys, R17 and R9, "had screwed each other".

However, if Raymond Semple's recollection was correct, R10 did, in fact, tell him about McGrath interfering with him, if we look, please, at 10388. So R10 was saying to the police in March 1980, "I don't

2.4

Page 32 think I told anybody", but if you can look on the 1 2 screen, you will see this is part of the Raymond Semple interview and you will see: 3 4 Yes. A couple of the boys reported things to me." 5 6 This is about McGrath: 7 "O. Who were they?" You will see the second boy he named was "R10", R10. 8 9 Semple claimed generally during his interview that 10 he -- if a boy complained to him about McGrath, then he 11 would tell Joseph Mains about it. 12 When Joseph Mains was asked specifically about R10, 13 if we look, please, at 10425, in the middle of the page, 14 the suggestion that he had perhaps been told about R10. 15 You can see "R10": 16 "O. Did you know? 17 I didn't know about that. Some of the boys had 18 suggested it." 19 So he was suggesting he hadn't got the information 20 about R10 from Raymond Semple. Raymond Semple thought 21 had known and told him. What Mains was saying was he 22 got it from some of the boys. Either way, he had had the 23 information and didn't do anything with it. When McGrath was interviewed on 1st April 1980 and 2.4 25 asked about R10's allegation, he denied it and, like all

of the allegations that were put to him, he could not proffer any explanation as to why R10 would make the allegation in the first place.

When submitting his report on 6th August 1980

DCI Caskey recommended that McGrath should face a charge of indecent assault against R10.

The DPP agreed with that. It would appear as count 18 on the indictment. As I said, he was person H on the indictment. When McGrath was rearraigned on the second day of trial, he entered a guilty plea to the indecent assault on R10. He was sentenced to two years' imprisonment on it. It appears as count 2 on his record rather than -- certificate of conviction rather than count 18, because the bill of indictment dealt with all three and kept the numbering running on each time whereas the certificates of conviction, the three men each started back at number 1.

If we can look, please, at 40686, because you may consider that what R10 had to say, given his own experience, what he was in a position to say was the conversations with the boys and the length of time that he was there, may be of assistance to you. In this statement I draw to your attention that he is there for four years. It spans '73 to '77, and he has already explained that he was interfered with by McGrath, that

2.4

he was aware of talk about McGrath, and because he was aware of sexual activity between other boys.

So you may consider he doesn't fall into the reference in the police report which no doubt was the case that some of the boys will have been impressionable, naive youngsters. He is between the ages of 15 and 19 and he is disclosing not only what happened to him, but what he knows of others.

He said he wasn't surprised at McGrath being involved. He was surprised at Mains and Semple being involved in the first court case, not McGrath, for the reasons that he explained.

"The allegations made on television of prostitution and vice rings at Kincora just do not ring true. During the five years I was there I heard and saw nothing which would suggest those allegations were true. I do not know of any politicians, police officers, Justices of the Peace, businessmen or civil servants being involved in any way at all with the hostel, staff or boys."

While The Hughes Inquiry gave him the designation R10, he doesn't appear to have featured in terms of giving evidence before The Hughes Inquiry. That may be because there was no suggestion from R10 that he ever told anyone in Social Services about what was happening.

In fact, the RUC traced Florence Goddard -- and in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

fairness throughout the police reports where social workers could be identified, they were traced by the RUC and spoken to to see what they were in a position to say. Florence Goddard had been his social worker, that is R10's social worker, for two and a half years, visited him every month in Kincora, and her impressions are recorded in her statement of April 1980 -- if we look, please, at 10234 -- because she is visiting him for a two and a half year period during that window between '73 and '77.

She is explaining she visits approximately once per month in the period March '73 until September '75, when she passed the case on to another.

"During that period at no time did R10 indicate to me that there were any homosexual activity occurring in the hostel or that he had been approached by any member of staff or other inmate. R10 settled well into Kincora and initially attended a particular school and then obtained work. On each visit I would have spoken to one of the staff. I never got any indications or impressions that there was any homosexual activity taking place within the unit. The staff always cooperated with me fully in any social work plans which I would have had for R10."

So that type of expression from social workers you

2.4

will find throughout the police material and it may assist you with maintaining the context.

The next boy I am going to deal with is Clinton

Massey. He is an applicant to the Inquiry and he is I on
the indictment and is R11 in The Hughes Inquiry. He is

HIA145. He was born on

. We have done
the same with his designation. So he is HIA145/R11, as
you will see on the plan, in order to make it easy to
identify that he was also involved with Hughes. His
witness statement to the Inquiry is at 001 to 005.

He was in Kincora for six months from April '73 to October '73, spanning either side of his 16th birthday, although he may have been out for a two-week camping holiday.

So what I will ask you to note, he is there for six months during R10's time that we have just been looking at. He actually shared a room with R10 he explains in his police statement, if we look at 10238 and 9, please. He is speaking to the police when he is 22 years old, and he explains that when he awoke to find McGrath with his hand under the bedclothes on his penis masturbating him, McGrath asked him if he liked what he was doing and he said he didn't. The next morning he found McGrath doing the same thing again. He woke up and pushed his hand away and McGrath said to him that he did this to other boys.

He didn't reply, but just got dressed and went to the 2 bathroom. He said to the police at the time those were 3 the two occasions that he was involved with McGrath.

4 That's what happened to him, that after that McGrath 5 would waken him by tapping him on the shoulder and then

6 leave.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

He explained that during the evening -- you will see this at the bottom of 10239 -- that during the evening after the second incident he told R10 what William McGrath had done and R10 told him that McGrath had also tried to masturbate him. So you will find corroboration, as it were, of the contemporaneous discussions going on between two boys who McGrath ultimately would plead quilty to indecently assaulting.

He told the police that he did not feel able to tell Joe Mains about it or his social worker because he was embarrassed.

McGrath denied the allegations at interview, as he did with all matters of that kind. He was asked if he could give any reason why Clinton Massey would make up the allegation and he replied no, he couldn't.

The RUC did speak to one of his social workers and it would appear from both their own recording and from what the social worker who was traced was able to say that Clinton Massey was not happy in Kincora, but didn't

- 1 make allegations of abuse to the social workers.
- 2 Clinton Massey was spoken to again by the police on
- 3 5th May 1980 -- 10240 -- when he explained he had asked
- 4 to leave Kincora, but had never explained why. He
- 5 explained that the reason -- in this police statement he
- 6 explains the reason why was because of what McGrath had
- 7 done to him and he was always thereafter on his guard and
- 8 afraid McGrath would continue that behaviour.
- 9 DCI Caskey recommended McGrath face an indecent
- 10 assault charge.
- 11 The DPP agreed. It appeared as count 19 on the bill
- of indictment and, as I said, Clinton Massey was person I
- on the indictment, and on the second day of trial, when
- rearraigned, McGrath entered a guilty plea to the
- indecent assault and was sentenced to two years'
- imprisonment as a result.
- 17 If we look at 40687, please, the Sussex
- 18 superintendents reinterviewed Clinton Massey on
- 7th June 1982, when he reiterated what he had said in
- 20 his previous police statements. He then went on to
- 21 address the wider issues about vice rings and the
- involvement of prominent people.
- 23 He also records, if you see -- it is about four
- 24 lines up -- you can see at the moment:
- 25 "I remember when the news first broke my friend R10,

who was at Kincora for five years, was as surprised as I was, because he knew nothing of any homosexuality there either."

The Hughes Inquiry gave Clinton Massey the designation R11, but he doesn't appear to have appeared before the Inquiry, and I don't believe there is specific mention of him in the report.

He settled a civil claim against the Western -- the Eastern Board -- sorry -- in November 1991 for £8,759.

As the Panel will be aware, Clinton Massey is an applicant to the Inquiry. He has given media interviews. I will just give you the reference to them at 125036 and 7. He has explained to the Inquiry that, in fact, it was not just two indecent assaults by William McGrath, but he was instead forced to give William McGrath oral sex and was raped by him. You will have the opportunity to hear and ask Clinton Massey about that.

R12 was J on the indictment and R12 in Hughes. He was born on

. He entered Kincora on 4th
May 1973, when he was 17 and a half years old, and
remained there until 11th January 1974, when he was 18
and a guarter.

He spoke to the police on 2nd March 1980. If we look at 10242, please, and just scroll it -- scroll

Page 40 1 slowly through to 10247, he recorded a detailed six-page 2 witness statement. The statement sets out in what 3 Superintendent Harrison would subsequently refer to as 4 "sickening detail" acts of masturbation, oral sex and 5 buggery that would occur between R12 and William 6 McGrath. Before I say a little bit about that, I want to draw 7 8 your attention to what you may consider to be 9 a contextual point of some importance. In his 1980 10 statement R12 dated his first sexual activity with William McGrath to about two to three months into his 11 12 time at Kincora -- you will see that at the top of 10243 13 -- which, if accurate, would have been around July or 14 August 1973, when he was still 17. It is a fact that Roy Garland's anonymous call to 15 16 the RUC on what was then known as the Rovaphone system 17 was on 23rd May 1973. That call eventually resulted in 18 an RUC police constable, Constable Long, visiting 19 Kincora on 4th June 1973 and reporting on a reassuring discussion that he had with Joe Mains about William 20 21 McGrath, whom he, Joe Mains, described as "a very decent

23 We will come back to look at the anonymous call
24 later in the module, but in fairness to Joe Mains I will
25 observe that it would appear that the discussion with

chap".

Constable Long may well have been taking place prior to any reports that Mains either accepted receiving or anyone claimed to have made to him about William McGrath in relation to abuse. As we look at what R12 has to say, you may consider it apparent that the activity between them was quite deliberately carried on in secret.

In his police statement -- and I am just going to summarise it -- he explained that both Mains and Semple were very kind to him, but he would describe four occasions when he was involved with William McGrath.

The first, which is at the bottom of 10243 and on to the next page, was, as I've said, around a few months into his stay. He was in the TV room. Everyone else was out except for him and William McGrath. McGrath asked him to go to the toilet with him. He said he wasn't sure initially what he wanted. McGrath locked the door, pulled his trousers down to his ankles and did the same with his own. He told him to hold on to the toilet seat and then penetrated him. He said it was painful and he screamed out. While this act was continuing, the door bell rang and they dressed and R12 returned to the TV room.

A few nights later when he and McGrath were again alone in the hostel -- you'll find that at the bottom of

2.4

10244 -- they went to the toilet again at McGrath's invitation and this time he masturbated McGrath.

A number of weeks later the third incident. You will find it on 10245. They were again alone in the hostel and in the TV room. He went to the toilet and McGrath followed him and locked the door. McGrath masturbated him before at this time McGrath took hold of the toilet seat and was penetrated by R12. R12 then gave oral sex to McGrath.

A few days later he and William McGrath were again alone in the hostel when the fourth incident occurred. It is on 10246. This time they went to the kitchen and undressed their bottom halves. He describes oral sex occurring in a particular way in a particular position on the floor and thereafter R12 penetrated McGrath, who leaned over the washing machine.

He explained on 10246 in his police statement that he remained in the hostel for a further six months approximately after the last incident, which would date the last incident to around Christmas 1973.

He explained to the police that he didn't know he was doing wrong, didn't like what he was doing, but said he was frightened of McGrath. You will find that at the bottom of 10246, and then he had already explained to the police in the middle of 10244 that he never told

2.4

anyone what happened to him until he spoke to the police in 1980.

I want us to look at the last page of his statement, please, at 10247. Here you will see he explains how he came to be in contact with the police. He speaks of his friend KIN44. We will be coming back to him shortly. However, you will see that he spoke to a Mrs K. Johnston of Social Services and what he says about that. You will see:

"I went to the office. I had got a card from

Mrs Johnston asking me to go and see her in the welfare

offices. I went up to the office that day and after

2 o'clock spoke to Mrs Johnston. Mrs Johnston told me

that something had happened in Kincora, but it was

nothing for me to worry about. She didn't ask me if

anything had happened to me while I stayed at Kincora

and I didn't tell her about William McGrath."

Then explains KIN44 told him the police were looking for him.

Now I want us just to look at the last five lines of Mrs K. Johnston's police statement -- 10241, please -- of 10th March 1980, because what she explains in the last five lines, if we scroll down, please -- you can see she was talking about generally what she was asked to do in relation to contacting ex-residents and she

1 says:

2.4

"About four weeks ago I was told by my immediate boss, Mr Stinson, to contact R12 and ask him if he during his stay in Kincora had been approached by others in a homosexual way. I eventually saw R12 at my office where he had come at my request."

So you can see she was saying, "I was meeting with him for that specific purpose". She said:

"I asked R12 if he could remember being in Kincora and if he had been approached by members of the staff in a sexual way. R12 denied that anything had taken place. I reported the result of my interview to Mr Stinson."

Now I draw that to your attention because

Superintendent Harrison in paragraphs 198 and 9 -- if we look at 40105, please -- of his report commented upon this issue as illustrative of a wider problem that you will find time and time again in the material. That is when Mrs Johnston had a meeting with R12, according to her and her boss, who directed it, for the specific purpose of ascertaining whether he had been the subject of any sexual abuse, she received a negative response, even though very serious sexual abuse had been taking place. Yet when R12 spoke to the police, he provided a detailed and very graphic account of his involvement with William McGrath.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Well, I think it is important to point out that
- 2 it seems that R12 had been in a special
- 3 school at .
- 4 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: So his intellectual capacity would not have been
- 6 as great as some of the others.
- 7 MR AIKEN: Yes. The point the Sussex officers appear to be
- 8 drawing attention to is that experienced investigators
- 9 are more likely to obtain a successful result. If the
- 10 matter is reported to the police and the police conduct
- 11 the investigation, it is more likely to yield the
- 12 correct outcome.
- 13 William McGrath was interviewed about R12's
- allegations on 1st April 1980, when he denied the
- allegations. He said there wasn't a word of truth in
- them. It would be revolting. He said it was a mystery
- to him why R12 would make the allegations.
- 18 The detective chief inspector drew attention to, as
- 19 the Chairman -- as you have said, Chairman, the
- vulnerabilities of R12 that might make him more
- 21 susceptible to advances from someone like William
- McGrath, and when submitting his report he recommended
- two charges, gross indecency and buggery.
- But on 23rd February the DPP, in fact, directed
- 25 three charges: buggery, indecent assault and gross

indecency. They would be reflected in counts 20, 21 and 22. R12 was person J on the indictment.

William McGrath, having been rearraigned on the second day of trial, entered guilty pleas to the three counts in respect of R12. So he accepted that he had committed the offence of buggery on R12. McGrath was sentenced to four years' imprisonment on that count and two years for the indecent assault and gross indecency counts, which he also pleaded guilty to.

R12 wasn't reinterviewed by the Sussex detectives, but I want to draw attention, please, if we look at 10247, to the last line on page 6 of his police statement. You have the unfortunate detail of the way he says the activity was carried on, but he also said:

"I never heard of anything going on like that in Kincora involving other boys."

R12 had the designation before The Hughes Inquiry of R12. However, he didn't, it appears, give evidence to the Hughes Inquiry.

KIN44 was born on . He appears to have had four admissions to Kincora: 12th October '73 to 10th June '74, so an eight-month period while he is 16; then he is in again August to September '74; he is also in from December '74 to February '75 for two months; and then again from March '75 to June '75, another two and

1 a half months.

2.4

When he spoke to the RUC, if we look at 10250, please -- it's a four-page police statement, and just scroll through it, please, as I summarise it.

It would appear that his recollection was of two spells in Kincora, the first being of a month's duration. That could be the second occasion, which was three weeks' long, but in any event, whatever his recollection about the time period, he said that while he was in the sitting room, McGrath asked him about anyone interfering with him and told him it was not wrong and was natural. William McGrath then leaned forward to put his arms around him and KIN44 got up and left.

On 10252 he explains that he was back in the hostel for what he thought was his second period and this time William McGrath came in while he was watching television, again went to embrace him, and he thought he was going to kiss him, so he pushed him away and left.

On a third occasion in the TV room he got up to change the channel and McGrath again came in and tried to embrace him, and told him he was going to report him to Joseph Mains and if he didn't do something, he would tell his welfare officer. He pushed him away. So he threatened McGrath with being reported.

Another few nights later again in the TV room he says William McGrath came in and again came over to him and kissed him on the cheek and he told him to "Leave off". He says McGrath didn't force himself on him and let him go. He told the police he didn't tell anyone what happened to him with William McGrath.

He explained on 10253 that while he was in Kincora he never heard any other boy complain about McGrath, nor did he see any acts of indecency between the boys, even though he was there at the same time as R10, R17 and R9.

Mains said of KIN44 at interview that -- and this is at 10423 -- that he remembered KIN44 asking to leave, but that the context for him was that he was a poor worker and always wanted to be out.

When McGrath was interviewed on 1st April 1980, he appeared to accept -- if we just look, please, at 10510, because there are a couple of occasions when, despite all of the denials, the mask perhaps slips to some extent. If we scroll down to the bottom, please, he was asked:

- "Q. Did you know KIN44?
- A. Yes. He joined the Army.
- Q. Would you agree that he was there in '74 for about a month?
 - A. I think he was in two or three times, at least

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 49 1 twice. 2 Do you agree ...? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 He says on the first occasion you had a 5 conversation with him about men interfering with him 6 sexually and that you put your arms around his shoulder 7 like a man and a girl do." McGrath replied: 8 9 "A. That's no offence. 10 Q. Did it happen? I don't know. 11 Α. Was it homosexual advice you gave him? 12 0. 13 I would only put my arm on his shoulder. Α. On the second time whilst alone watching 14 Ο. 15 television you tried to kiss him. 16 That's really foreign. Α. No, sir. 17 Two or three nights ... you tried to kiss him Q. 18 again. 19 Α. Nothing. There's no truth in it. I have no 20 idea." So he would appear to be accepting that he would 21 have been putting his arm around him, but couldn't 22 23 remember doing that and was denying the two attempts to cuddle and kiss beyond that. He could proffer no idea 2.4 25 why KIN44 would make up the allegations.

Page 50 DCI Caskey recommended McGrath face a charge of 1 2 indecent assault in respect of KIN44. The DPP didn't agree, and Superintendent Harrison 3 did record in his report correctly, because on the list 4 5 we looked at KIN44 does appear as a corroborating 6 witness that was going to be called at the trial. KIN307 7 was born on He was 8 a resident in Kincora for two weeks in October '73. He 9 gave -- 11707, please -- gave a statement to police in 10 April '80 saying no sexual advances were made to him. 11 Then at 40680, please, he stated he had nothing to add to his earlier statement. He didn't know of any boys 12 13 being interfered with or staff being involved with 14 important people inside or outside the hostel, but he 15 did remember, as you saw, KIN37 being -- telling him 16 about being touched by William McGrath. 17 I wonder, Chairman, if we took a short break for the stenographer. 18 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 19 (11.25 am)20 (Short break) 21 22 (11.30 am)23 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, before the break we just looked at KIN307 2.4 I am going to move on 25 to R15.

I am pleased to report that I have spoken to the stenographer, who tells me that the pace is fine for her; in fact, she is happy with the pace. So that's reassuring for me.

R15 was K on the indictment and is R15 in Hughes. His father was also involved in the Hughes Inquiry and was given the designation R16. He was born on

. He was in Kincora for ten and a half months
between 3rd November 1973 and 20th September 1974,
between the ages of 13 and 14. So he was one of the
younger boys. He was there along with his older brother
KIN224, who was resident for the same period. KIN224
was born on
. So he was between the ages
of 16 and 17 during his stay. You will recall that this
stay was again during the period of R10, who was there
across the four years.

If we just put on the screen, please, 11662, police spoke to KIN224 initially on 28th March 1980. He was able to explain that while nothing had happened to him, and whilst Mains and Semple "were dead on", to quote him, McGrath was, however, funny. While McGrath had never done anything to him, he was aware of him touching his brother R15 on the privates, but wanted R15 to tell the police that himself. He was able to say he knew R15 had reported it to his mother, who went to what he

2.4

called "the welfare head office". They went to the

Shankill Road Social Services office, as we will briefly

touch on.

The police spoke to R15 on the same day. If we look, please, at 10256 and slowly scroll through, he explained that he had only been in the hostel a few So based on his dates that would date the incident to around end of November/start of December 1973, which I am calling the first incident, November to December '73 incident. On one evening there was only him and his brother and McGrath in the hospital -- in the hostel. He was in the kitchen when William McGrath came in and grabbed his privates from behind. McGrath was laughing as he did it. R15 told him to let go. R15 went into the TV room and immediately told his brother. The next morning he told Joe Mains, who told him to forget about it and he would see about it. However, later the same day he also told his mum and dad. He said his mum and dad said he would -- they would take it to court, but was not sure what she'd done about it. In fact, it would turn out that initially his mum and dad weren't -- didn't believe what he had said and it would be some months later before they would approach Social Services.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

He went on to explain in his statement at the bottom

of 10256, and then scrolling on to the next page, that a couple of months later -- so based on his dates, which is obviously approximate, that would be in and around the end of January/start of February 1974 -- the second incident occurred when he was in the TV room, as was McGrath, and when R15 got up to leave, William McGrath grabbed him by the private again. R15 pushed his hand away and walked out of the room. He says he didn't tell Joe Mains this time, but he did tell his mum and dad.

Unfortunately his mother had passed away by the time of the RUC Phase One Inquiry. His dad was spoken to at 10258 and then on to the next page, when he explained he didn't believe his son the first time he had been told about William McGrath. So that's the first incident, the November/December '73, but when told of the second incident, the January/February '74, he and his wife went to the Shankill Social Services office to report the incident.

I want to just pause there to bring to your attention that the Social Services district that was responsible for the boys was a different Social Services district from that where Kincora was located. R16 claimed the lady he spoke to, who was a duty social worker that was later traced by the Sussex superintendents -- the position through the materials

2.4

and the social workers who were involved who made statements around this would explain that it was a very difficult family who were always complaining. The complaint seemed relatively minor and that's why the police weren't immediately involved, but the matter was referred on to a senior social worker. We will come back and look at the social work involvement, but it appears that R16 may have got confused over the chronology and conflated perhaps two different visits that were made in May '74 and September '74 by he and his wife to Social Services.

These events are happening -- just as a matter of context, the first meeting with Social Services was in May '74, and this is happening during the Ulster Workers' Council Strike, which occurred between 15th and 28th May 1974 and was a period of considerable civil unrest in Belfast and elsewhere in addition to the terrorist campaign, the murders that were taking place and violence and bombs on the streets.

Having -- I say that because in the social work records Sharon McLean records being unable to go and visit Kincora to speak to Joe Mains because you couldn't get across the city. She spoke to him on the 20th May 1974. Joe Mains told her that he had already personally received a complaint from Mrs R16, had spoken

2.4

Page 55 to William McGrath, and was satisfied there was no truth 1 2 in the allegation. 3 Now I'll just show you, please, if we look, please, 4 at 12113, at the bottom of the page you will see this 5 sequence of events being recorded on -- so she records 6 on 20th May: 7 "Mentioned R16's complaint to Mr Orr." That's Ronnie Orr. 8 9 "It was decided that we could further discuss the 10 matter following any visit to see R15." Then: 11 12 "Strike situation. No visits possible in the 13 Woodvale area. Phoned Joe Mains. R15 and KIN224 are at 14 home. Discussed Mrs R16's allegation", if we scroll 15 down, please, we can see, "with Mr Mains. Mrs R16 had 16 already complained to him. Mr Mains felt that there was 17 no truth in the allegation. He discussed it with Mr McGrath." 18 19 If we look further down the page, we will see that 20 on 4th June 1974, so some two weeks later, in fairness 21 Sharon McLean is still following the matter up. R15 and 22 KIN224 had at this point just returned to Kincora. 23 you can see they were at home during the Ulster Workers' 2.4 The position of Joe Mains as expressed to her was reassuring. There was no further info re Mrs R16's 25

1 allegations.

2.4

"Mr Mains appears satisfied that there is no basis."

That's how she proceeded.

She did get another complaint from Mrs R16 in September '74 of alleged further interference, although in fairness R15 does not make the case that there was a third incident, that basically by the time his mum and dad spoke to Social Services the two incidents had already taken place, but the point that's made by the social workers is that the [surname redacted] always wanted to extract their children from care and a meeting took place in September that ultimately would see the boys discharged.

Sharon McLean and Ronnie Orr did not report the allegations upwards or to the part of Social Services responsible for Kincora and that's dealt with in The Hughes Inquiry report. It is also the case Joe Mains didn't report the allegations Mrs R16 made to him about McGrath to his seniors.

I want to go back to what the RUC were able to establish in 1980. Raymond Semple, when he was being interviewed, if we look at 10388, please, he volunteered that he had received a complaint from R15, amongst others, about McGrath. You can see "R15" is R15. So he is the first person that is mentioned. R15 did not

suggest that he told Raymond Semple, and later in Semple's interview Semple would explain that on occasions Mains would tell him. If we look at 10393, please, when they were discussing it, Mains and Semple would explain that Mains would tell him about boys who complained to him, Mains that is, about William McGrath. So it may be R15's complaint is one of that type, ie it came to Raymond Semple through Joseph Mains, because it is Joseph Mains that R15 said he spoke to rather than the other way about.

When Mains was interviewed in April 1980, if we look at 10412, please, at the bottom of page, the RUC asked him about complaints he had received from boys and he replied that he had -- that R15 had complained to him. So this would be that first occasion that R15 was talking about at the end of 1973, because R15 said he didn't tell Mains about the second incident.

Mains informed the police that he had spoken to McGrath, if we scroll down on to the top of the next page, please, about the complaint and McGrath replied that he told R15 to pull up his zip and tidy himself up going to school and that he touched him on the front of the trousers when he told him this. You can see that Mains says he informed Raymond Semple and he says Elizabeth McCullough and Elizabeth Smyth -- he told

2.4

- them as well he says -- and Social Services.
- Now he was contacted by Sharon McLean, if Sharon

 McLean's evidence is correct, not the other way round,

 and you are aware that the two domestic staff don't

 accept they were ever made aware of anything untoward

When William McGrath was interviewed on 1st April 1980, he said the incident involving R15 was the one occasion -- if we look at 10512, please -- apart from being spoken to on foot of the anonymous call, this was the one occasion when Joe Mains spoke to him about a complaint. You can see:

- "Q. Did Mains ever speak to you about complaints from boy residents?
- A. Only on one occasion when we had two brothers in who were the [surname redacted].
 - Q. Is that the only one?
- A. Yes, apart from the original accusation."

 That's the anonymous call that he was spoken to

 about.

He later claimed, if we look at 10519, that giving R15 a wash when he arrived at the hostel -- and the records in fairness would indicate there was a major problem over that issue at home -- that was as near as he got he claimed to touching R15. He accounted for the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

2.4

25

occurring.

nature of the complaint at 10525 and he remained adamant that this was the only complaint that had been brought to his attention in respect of a boy complaining.

You can see:

2.4

"I told him everything that had happened. It was all over in thirty seconds. I told Mr Mains that the boy was passing me in the corridor. The front of his trousers was open and I told him to zip up. That was all that happened."

DCI Caskey observed that whilst the allegation was not among the most serious arising from the investigation, credence had to be attached to it, although there were some concerns about R15 and giving evidence. When submitting his report, however, he recommended that McGrath face a charge of indecent assault.

The DPP directed he should face that charge. It would appear at count 23 on the bill of indictment. R15 was person K on the indictment, and William McGrath would plead guilty to a gross indecency count, which would appear at count 23. I need to check up. I have mixed up a gross indecency and indecent assault, but I will check that and verify it with you. McGrath was sentenced to two years' imprisonment in respect of the charge for which he was convicted, whether it be gross indecency or

1 indecent assault.

2.4

Given that R15 was prepared to and did -- if we look at 40744, please -- prepared to and did make a contemporaneous complaint to his brother, to the warden of the hostel and to his parents about what in the context of Kincora was, without minimising it, at the lower end of the scale in terms of sexual abuse, you may consider that what he had to say to the Sussex superintendents on the wider issue may be important. He was spoken to on 5th July 1982. He explained he didn't know of any prostitution of boys or the involvement of prominent people with boys in the hostel.

His brother, if we look at 40745, please, had the same thing to say.

R15 and his father participated in the Hughes
Inquiry -- as I said, the designations are 15 and 16 -and were represented. Their evidence and that of the
social workers involved in them, Sharon McLean (later
Sharon Grey) and Ronnie Orr, was heard by the Hughes
Inquiry on Days 34 and 35 of its public hearings, which
was 14th December 1984 and then 10th January 1985. The
transcript reference is at 72606 to 72679 and from 72680
to 72731. He in his evidence recalled the incidents that
he had described in his police statement. He went into
detail about them. I am not going to cover that

1 with you now.

2.4

Considerable examination was conducted over the sequence of events involving the social workers, because the Inquiry was examining whether how the complaint was dealt with was satisfactory or whether more should have been done than had been done.

The report of the Hughes Inquiry deals with his evidence at paragraphs 4.58 to 4.69, which is at 75262, 3 and 4, and the conclusions reached in respect of the matters involving him are at 4.64 to 4.69. I am not going to look at them now, but they are at 75263 and 4, where The Hughes Inquiry determine a number of failings on the part of Social Services.

was a resident in Kincora for short periods in February '74, April '74 and May, just at the end of May into June '74. 11624, please. He gave a statement to the RUC in March 1980 and states that he was never approached by any member of staff to do anything indecent, never heard of any other boys being approached or carrying out acts of that kind.

If we look at 40751, he spoke to the Sussex superintendents two years later in June 1982 and stated he had nothing to add to his earlier statements and wasn't aware of any prominent people being involved with

Page 62 the hostel, staff or boys. 1 2 was born in He was KTN286 3 a resident in Kincora between 15th August '74 and 4 9th September '74. He gave a statement to the RUC on 27th March -- if we can look at 11587, please -- and 5 6 stated that he had been in the hostel for one week when 7 told him to watch William McGrath, because 8 "he would slip the hand on you". He also said that R10 9 also told him that William McGrath would put his hand on 10 your arse when he was wakening you. He doesn't remember 11 if William McGrath ever woke him in the morning, but said 12 he never put his hands on him. He states that Joe Mains, 13 Raymond Semple and William McGrath never did anything 14 indecent to him or approached him in that way. He didn't 15 hear or see any acts going on between anybody in the 16 hostel, either staff or boys, but you will see then in his 17 last three lines he talks -- he remembers a boy 18 called , which may well be a reference to R4, 19 who used to bring boys to the hostel, but he doesn't know if he did anything with them. You will recall R4 was a 20 returning resident. 21 He gave a statement to the Sussex Police -- if we 22 23 look, please, at 40752 00 that he had nothing further to add and had no knowledge of the wider individuals said to 2.4 25 be involved in a prostitution ring.

I am then going to turn to R17, who along with R9 --1 2 there is a complicated set of facts that I am going to 3 try and distil as best I can in a summarised form. 4 was L on the indictment and R17 in Hughes. He was born on If we can put 5 6 his statement on the screen, please, at 12167 and it runs through to 12173. He was in Kincora from 6th 7 October 1974, when he was 16 and a half, to 8th March 8 1976, when he was 18. So he was there for a year and 9 10 five months. As he explains in his statement, he then moves out to a flat on the Road. 11 For reasons he later explains to the police he appears 12 to then have left that flat and asked to be readmitted 13 to Kincora, which happened on 23rd May 1976, and he 14 15 remained there until 28th August '76, when he was 16 discharged to the Salvation Army hostel. He would 17 speak to the police about that location. He provided a detailed seven-page witness statement 18 19 under caution, which Superintendent Harrison would later 20 refer to as making the most distasteful reading. 21 last page of the statement reveals that it took four and 22 a half hours to complete. 23 He identified six specific incidents of sexual activity with William McGrath, though said at 2.4 KIN10267 -- we don't need to move to it. I am just 25

giving you the reference. I should also say, just to be clear, I am going to give you references that apply to the statement which can also be found at 10264 to 10269. The trouble with that is the last page is missing. So the full statement has been added again at 12167 to 12173 so that you have the last page. So it is in two locations.

He explained in addition to the six specific incidents he said that for about two years he had sex with William McGrath about three times per week. He said this always took place in the hostel in the cloakroom on the ground floor, the sitting room or his bedroom. He said it was nearly always anal sex with each penetrating the other, but also masturbation and oral sex.

He explained the first incident -- and this is at -if we can go to 10264, please, so it matches the
references that I have, and at the bottom of the page he
explained that the first incident was about a fortnight
into his stay, which, if correct, that would be about
mid-October 1974. He was the only boy in the hostel that
evening he said. On his way to the toilet William
McGrath opened his trousers and masturbated him and
kissed him in the cloakroom until the door bell rang. He
then as part of this sequence of the first incident

2.4

said later the same evening in the TV room William McGrath rubbed the inside of his thigh. You will see that in 10265. He said McGrath later came back into the TV room and took him out again to the cloakroom, where he masturbated both of them, and he describes a particular technique in that regard, and then kissed him before getting R17 to penetrate him. R17 explained in the statement how that damaged his foreskin.

R17 then said the second incident was about two nights later, when he was again in the hostel alone with William McGrath. This is at the top of 10266. McGrath came into his bedroom. He says -- R17 says that William McGrath got on top of him in the bed and penetrated him and then they swapped over.

Then he explains at 10266 in the middle of the statement that it was about three or four days after that -- by which time his friend R9, "R9", had arrived. R9 arrived on 21st October 1974. So this would place this event towards the end of October '74. R17 claimed that he was in the TV room and McGrath was sitting between him and R9 when McGrath opened the zips of their trousers and began to masturbate both of them. Then McGrath and R9 went out of the room.

Now I should observe, Members of the Panel, that R9, who we will be coming to shortly, makes no mention of

2.4

1 this incident. Subject to correction, I think this is

2 the only occasion during certainly the 1980 police

3 investigations when someone would allege that sexual

4 activity was carried on involving more than two people.

5 R17 says later on in his statement -- he explains at

6 10268 that this was the only time that it was the three

of them together, although you will see what R9 says

8 about it.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Later the same night R17 says the fourth incident occurred. So this has occurred with the three of them. Then R9 and William McGrath have gone out. Then R17 is saying the fourth incident happens after that involving him, at 10266 and then going on to 10267, and this involved William McGrath masturbating him again in the TV room before taking him out to the cloakroom, where he says he held on to the wash basin while McGrath penetrated him. Later the same night according to R17 the same sequence of events occurred. So it happened twice on one evening.

The fifth incident that he speaks of can be found on 10267, when William McGrath again took R17 to the cloakroom and he says McGrath gave him oral sex and masturbated him. He wanted R17 to do the same to him, but R17 refused. He explains in the statement that was because he didn't like him.

The sixth incident he says was the following night. So you can see that the six incidents that I am describing are happening very quickly after R17 arrives in Kincora, and then we will see what he says happens after that. The sixth incident was the following night, when he says he did engage in oral sex with William McGrath.

Now all of those incidents, when one looks at the timing that R17 ascribes to them, happened very close to the start of his stay, and then, as I said, in the middle of 10267 he goes on to explain that for about two years he continued to have sex with William McGrath about three times per week. So if R17's account is true, then he is having very regular sexual activity with William McGrath in Kincora on a -- over a very long period.

R17 also explained in his statement at 10267 that after McGrath's involvement masturbating both he and R9 that they, as in R17 and R9, then engaged in a sexual relationship that involved anal sex about five nights per week, which was every night except the weekend nights, when R17 was out. He said -- and you will see this at the bottom of 10267 -- that the anal sex was nearly always in the bedroom, though they also did it in the shed at the bottom of the garden and on a few

2.4

occasions at the back of a disused house a few doors up from the hostel. This relationship between R9 and R17 continued after R17 moved into the flat that I mentioned on the Road. R9 would describe matters somewhat differently in his police statement, which was also made on 5th March 1980, because they went to the police together, and I will come back to that later.

R17, as I said, after a number of months in the flat asked to move back to Kincora, and he explains that his sexual relationship with R9 continued and his activities with William McGrath resumed. You will find that at 10268.

When he later left Kincora, he said that he would come back once or twice a week, and it was on one of those occasions, according to R17, that he met R4, "R4", another returning ex-resident. He says that R4 had asked R9 who R17 was, because R9 was still living in Kincora for a year beyond R17 having left. So R4 asked R9 who R17 was and then asked R17 over to the Cavalier Hotel for a couple of drinks. R9 told him that R4 wanted to take R17 out to the back yard. You can probably read into that what's implied, and R17 says he went outside and R4 had his penis out, but R17 just went He says he never had any more dealings with back in. Now you are aware from me opening R4's statement R4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

that R4 would later claim to police he had, in fact, two sexual encounters with R17.

On 10269 R17 goes on to explain that after he moved out of Kincora for the second time and was living in a Salvation Army hostel he formed sexual relationships there, including with the hostel warden. After initially refusing money from him, he then began to accept it.

So you can see that if this account is known, you can perhaps understand how, if that was extrapolated upon, you get the references to prostitution. R17 at no stage suggests prostitution was occurring when he was in Kincora, but he is saying that in the Salvation Army hostel he formed the relationship with the warden there and then was offered money and eventually accepted money for the activity he was engaging in.

R17 also goes on to explain -- and we need to move to 12173 for this so we get the last page of the statement -- that when R9 joined him at the Salvation Army hostel, they resumed their sexual relationship, which also involved another male resident of the hostel, who then R17 continued to have a sexual relationship with right up to the time of his interview with the police.

Dr -- I hope -- I have had to cover a lot and try to

2.4

summarise a lot, but I hope I have given some understanding of the complexity of what was going on.

Dr Irwin's medical examination of R17 confirmed he had had frequent homosexual relationships.

The detective chief inspector explained in his report that he considered it necessary to obtain an immunity. If we can look, please, at 10008. I have mentioned this a couple of times, but just to put the context to it, in paragraph 40 he explained -- this is the initial sections of his report -- early consultation with the DPP was found to be necessary. This resulted in him granting immunity from prosecution to three complainants, one of whom was R17, "R17", in respect of their homosexual relationships with Mains and McGrath. In his case it is McGrath.

If we can look at 11574, please, the then Director of Public Prosecutions, Sir Barry Shaw, provided this immunity on 21st March 1980, so right at the start of the police investigation, and Detective Chief Inspector Caskey explains in his report why he did it or why he felt that was necessary, and that was to ensure that all of the residents would speak with freedom about what they say had happened. You can see that at this point in time the recommendation -- the immunity was limited to offences committed with the staff in Kincora, but

2.4

when submitting his Phase One report -- if we look, please, at 10108 at paragraph 585 -- DCI Caskey then recommended that all the residents and former residents be granted immunity in respect of offences committed by them with the defendants Main, Semple and McGrath and that this should be extended to include offences committed with each other and other adult males not connected with Kincora, and that is what occurred.

When Raymond Semple was interviewed in April 1980 he volunteered immediately that a number of boys had reported to him that McGrath had interfered with them. Two of the boys he named were a fellow called R17 and He said that what he had been told was that McGrath had rubbed his hand down their pants. Now if that's correct, the extent of what Raymond Semple was being told is very different from what R17 says occurred. also explained a later -- a little later in the interview -- this is Raymond Semple speaking -- that KIN300 , HIA532/B1/R13's brother, who another bov, was in Kincora between July '75 and June '76, told him, that is told Raymond Semple, about finding R17 and R9 being at each other in bed. He said he went and told Joseph Mains about that, who said he would have a word with them.

25 If we look at 10392, please, in the middle of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 1 page recording this incident you can see him saying:
- "A. He said he would have a talk with him and that

 was how it was left. I felt I had no more

 responsibility to the boys.
 - Q. Did you ever think about going to the authorities about these incidents?
 - A. I just told Mains about it."

It was put to him that he refused to take action about such incidents. He said:

"A. It was embarrassing, because Mains told me off for unlawful sexual intercourse with B3/R1 in 1965. How could I do a lot about it when boys told me about these sexual acts now?"

When Joe Mains was interviewed on 1st April 1980 and asked about this, he said that some of the boys, rather than Raymond Semple, had told him about R17 and R9.

about finding R17 and R9 in the garden of a vacant house near Kincora, not the incident about being in bed, but when asked about whether he knew about R17 and McGrath, he replied -- I will just show you this, please, at 10424, the bottom of the page -- he said:

"I think the wee fellow R17 was inclined that way, you know."

He said he didn't know about R17 and R4 or about R9

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1 and R4.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

When McGrath was interviewed about R17's allegations on 1st April 1980, he said that the homosexual -- the homosexual acts complained of were not with him and it was unthinkable.

When R4 made his police statement under caution on 3rd April 1980, he claimed to have engaged in sexual activity with R17 on two occasions, one masturbation and oral sex in the garden of Kincora and another occasion of just masturbation in the toilets of the hostel.

When DCI Caskey submitted his report, he recommended McGrath should face two charges in respect of R17. The first was gross indecency and the second was of buggery.

The DPP directed that he should face those charges, though they reordered them. The buggery charge was at 24 and became count 24 and that of gross indecency as charge 24 and became count 24 -- sorry -- count 25. R17 was person L on the indictment, and McGrath was rearraigned on the second day of trial on 11th December '81 and entered a guilty plea to the gross indecency count and the Crown entered a nolle prosequi in respect of the buggery count at 24. McGrath got two years for the gross indecency.

R17 had the R17 designation from Hughes. However, he didn't give evidence to the Hughes Inquiry.

	Page 74
1	I am then going to deal with R9 and hopefully that
2	will make it most digestible for you, Members of the
3	Panel. I have just been explaining about R17 forming
4	a relationship with him. R9 was born on .
5	He was in Kincora from 21st October 1974 to 29th April
6	1977, between the ages of 16 and 18 and three-quarters.
7	He was spoken to by police on the same day as R17,
8	on 5th March 1980, and provided again over a number of
9	hours a detailed police statement that runs from 10211
10	to 10216. I am going to summarise it, and again if it
11	just can be scrolled through.
12	He was in Kincora about two weeks when McGrath began
13	to interfere with him. Now you will recall and if we
14	look, please, at the bottom of 10214 and then on to the
15	top of the next page that he couldn't remember just
16	scroll back up, please. Just scroll up a little more so
17	we can see the bottom off the page before. Thank you.
18	Yes. So he is explaining:
19	"I went to Kincora in September '74. That's when it
20	started. I was in about two weeks" scroll down
21	please "when it started. I can't remember how it
22	started."
23	Now it will be a matter for, Members of the Panel,
24	whether, given what R9 goes on to say he was involved

25

in, whether the type of incident that R17 was describing

where William McGrath sat between the two of them and masturbated each of them at the same time would be something he would be likely to forget.

But what he goes on to explain, that he says to the police from the middle of 10214 that there would be sexual activity between him and William McGrath -- and he appeared to be referring to masturbation at this point -- he says about three or four times per week the whole time he was in Kincora.

However, he also explained later in his statement, to quote him, if we just look at 10215, he very frankly said you can see at the top of the statement:

"McGrath and me fucked each other that many times
I can't remember all the times."

He would later the same day tell Dr Irwin that he had sexual intercourse with McGrath on six occasions. In his police statement, however, he described five specific incidents of sexual activity by way of example of what occurred in the context of what he then said was, as you can see, the same as R17, very regular sexual activity he was claiming also.

The first incident he describes is at the top of 10214. It happened in two parts in the first or second week he was in Kincora, which would be late October/early November '74. He said no-one else was in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

the hostel at the time -- this is the middle of 10214 -- that McGrath called him when he was about to go into the bathroom and R9 saw him taking his trousers down and he was asked to and did masturbate McGrath. It is unclear where that's said to have occurred. It gives the impression of being in the hall or the cloakroom possibly. However, after his bath McGrath came into his bedroom and it was there that McGrath penetrated him.

Now you can see, if this is the first incident, that it is rather different from R17's description of the first incident and how he says it began from the TV room with R9 and William McGrath then going out.

The second incident you can see on 10214 again occurred in R9's bedroom, when McGrath came in and asked him to masturbate him, which he did.

The third incident -- in the middle of 10214 -- he says was in the upstairs bathroom, McGrath having followed him into the toilet, where McGrath asked R9 to take his trousers down. McGrath then penetrated him and R9 then masturbated McGrath.

The fourth incident -- at the bottom of 10214 -- was in R9's bedroom, when McGrath came in and asked him to take his pyjamas off and lie on his belly on the bed and McGrath penetrated him. He informed police that it was hurting him on that occasion, so R9 told McGrath to get

2.4

off, which he did.

2.4

The fifth incident -- at the bottom of 10214 and on to 10215 -- occurred in the bedroom, but this time it involved McGrath coming in and asking R9 to penetrate him. McGrath leaned over the bed and R9 penetrated him until he ejaculated.

He explained to police in 10215 that he didn't tell anyone about his activity with McGrath except his mate R17.

He confirmed to police that he engaged in sexual activity with R17 three or four times, according to him. You will see on 10215 he says that activity began -- how it began is important, given that R17 said it began with R9 and him being masturbated together by McGrath. R9 says here it was about three or four months into his stay and he was wakened by R17 trying to penetrate him. So that's a rather different sequence of events than R17's recollection.

He then describes resisting similar attempts by R17, including one occasion when he hit R17 a punch on the head, which woke up, he says, Richard Kerr, who was in another bed in the room. Richard Kerr called them both fruits and R9 said Richard Kerr reported it to Joe Mains, though nothing was ever said to him by Mains. It may be he has mistaken Richard Kerr KIN300 for reasons that will shortly

become apparent. You will recall it was KIN300

I have mentioned who found the two of them in bed.

What Richard Kerr had already said to the police on 26th February 1980, when he was interviewed, although he has the date wrong as to when the incident occurred, because KIN300 was not in Kincora in 1977, Richard Kerr was saying that KIN300, who was in between July '74 and June '76, which was also when Richard Kerr was there, found a tape under R9's bed, which KIN300 played and which Richard Kerr also heard, and that indicated that R17 and R9 were engaging in sexual activity together.

If we can look, please, at 11589, I want to look at at this point KIN300 's statement to police on 27th February 1980. You have heard me already mention three things involving him: that Raymond Semple claimed to have heard from him that R17 and R9 were engaging in sexual activity; also that Joseph Mains said he had heard from him about the two boys; and the third matter comes via Richard Kerr in respect of the tape.

KIN300 was in Kincora from, as I said, 28th

July '75 to 12th June '76, so during both R17 and R9's

time, and you will see in his statement he said they were

regularly -- and this is during the period that R17 and R9

say they were regularly engaging in sexual activity with

William McGrath. So that's a long-winded

2.4

Page 79 KIN300 1 way of saying I want you to look at what is 2 saying in the context that this is at exactly the same 3 time that R17 and R9 are engaging they say in sexual 4 activity on a very regular basis with William McGrath 5 and also with each other. So you can see: 6 7 "I don't remember ..." He describes: 8 9 "I can't remember for sure ... 10 When I went into the hostel, the other boys there were ..." 11 and he names names we have looked at: R10; we will 12 KIN328 13 look at KIN46; was R10's brother; R17 and Now that's a reference to R9. 14 R9. "I can't remember for sure when it was, but after 15 16 about a month after I went into the hostel it was 17 general talk amongst the rest of us that R17 and R9" --18 R9 -- "were 'fruity boys'. I don't remember how or why 19 this came up, but it was general discussion amongst us 20 when we were sitting around chatting in the evenings. I can't be sure when exactly it was, but I think I 21 22 was in the hostel for about six months. At this time 23 I was sharing a front room on the first floor with KIN46 2.4 and The room across the hall was occupied by R17, R9 and KIN313 25

I remember one evening I was going out and I was 1 2 borrowing KIN313's overcoat. I was up in my room getting ready to go out and I shouted downstairs to KIN313 to 3 throw up the key of his bedroom. KIN313 threw the key up 4 to me and I went into his room. I went over to the 5 6 wardrobe after switching on the light. There were three 7 single beds in the room. I opened the wardrobe door and got the coat out. I turned round towards the beds and 8 9 saw R9 lying in his bed under the clothes. He had his 10 hands out from under the blankets and up towards the 11 back of his head. I spoke to him and said, "What's 12 wrong with you? You're in bed early". He said he was tired after coming from work. I noticed that R9 was 13 14 lying on his side. I then noticed the clothes moving at 15 the back. I went over to the bed and asked him where 16 his mate R17 was. R9 said he was away out. I had an 17 idea he wasn't away out, so I reached down and pulled 18 the blankets off. I saw R17 was behind R9. R17 had his 19 penis up R9's anus. I am sure of this, because when 20 I pulled the clothes back, I saw R17 withdrawing. Both R9 and R17 were stark naked. 21 I went immediately downstairs and reported what 22 23 I had seen to Raymond Semple. He was in charge that I went straight up to the room again with 2.4

25

Semple and both R17 and R9 were back in their own

separate beds. Mr Semple did not say anything to the boys in my presence, and, in fact, I got the impression that he did not believe what I had told him at that time.

About a month or so later , a friend of mine, not from the hostel, and KTN313 were walking down the road from Ballyhackamore. There is a big vacant house between the garage and the hostel and we used to go in the back of it to play cards. three of us walked round the back of the house and R9 jumped out of a hedge. We asked him what he was doing and he said he was hiding money. We asked him how much money and he said '13p'. We asked him to show it to us and he admitted there was no money. We started to question him. "Don't tell Mains, but I was sucking R17 off.' We saw R17 standing on the other side of the We left R17 and R9 there and I went down to the hostel and told Mr Mains what I had been told by Mr --I told Mains that R17 and R9 were homosexuals and he said, 'No, that's not happening in this place'. He said that he would look into it and keep an eye on the two of them. These were the only two occasions that I saw anything happening."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Then he talks about R17 having got a flat on the

Road. You can see then what he says

slightly further down:

2.4

"As far as the staff at the hostel were concerned", that's Mains, McGrath and Semple, "at no time during my stay at the hostel did any of them make any indecent approaches or suggestions to me. I have no knowledge of them being involved in any way with indecent acts and I can say that none of the other boys ever suggested to me that the staff had made indecent approaches to them.

I forgot to say that I remember being in KIN313 's room one evening. It is the same room that I have already mentioned in respect of R17 and R9. I picked up R9's tape recorder and switched it on. There was a tape in it and I heard R17 and R9's voices. They were having a discussion about indecent acts which they were involved in with each other. I can't remember it all, but R17 was saying, 'R9, don't tell anybody about what we're at'. I got the impression that the recorder was left on accidentally. I asked R9 about the tape, but he denied it was him and R17."

Now there are some points that come out of that statement, because here you have a boy who twice catches two boys who admit they are engaging in homosexual activity. They both say they are engaging in more sustained sexual activity with William McGrath, but that is something that he knows nothing about, has never seen

and had no suspicion of it. You obviously have him recounting what he remembers as far as it being on the tape is concerned and he is talking about -- the two of them are talking about their involvement with each other.

The Sussex superintendents -- if we look, please, at 40750 -- spoke to KIN300 on 10th June 1982. You may consider this to be relevant, given he was identifying and reporting peer sexual activity occurring during his time in Kincora. You can see he says:

"The only homosexuality I know of taking place at Kincora was between R9 and R17. I personally caught them at it twice. I and my friends dislike that kind of thing and we gave them both a hiding for it. I don't know anything of the staff being involved in homosexuality. I was never interfered with, and apart from my brother HIA532/B1/R13 saying McGrath had touched him" -- so that's HIA532/B1/R13 you will note, please --"apart from my brother HIA532/B1/R13 saying McGrath had touched him under the blankets one morning when he was waking him up, I do not know of any other boys being touched. I certainly don't know of prostitution or a vice ring involving the boys there or the staff. I have never seen or heard of important people such as civil servants, Justices", and so on, "policemen

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

visiting the hostel, nor being sexually involved with such people."

That's a boy who is there for a year in '75 through to '76.

I want to go back to R9's statement briefly, please. 10215. He explains how he met R4. He had known R9's brother, KIN43, whom we have already spoken about. He explained that R4 came into the toilet after him, took his trousers down and asked him to masturbate him, which he did. R4 then asked him to give him oral sex, which he did, but he didn't like him putting his hand on his neck to hold his head down, and because R4 didn't stop doing that, he hit him in the face with his elbow he says, and then R4 hit him back, and that was the end of their liaison.

R4, when he was spoken to by the police under caution, he claimed as a returning adult to Kincora he engaged in sexual activity with R9 on two occasions, once in the toilets and the other in the garden. He said that included masturbation and buggery. So he was saying it was a further sexual activity, masturbation and oral sex in the garden and another occasion of just masturbation in the toilets. He said he and R9 also engaged in the same activity in a flat that he was staying in on the Holywood Road.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Now here you have then the issue involving R9 and 1 2 Joe Mains, and you will recall I said to you we haven't 3 marked R9 in two-tone on the plan, because R9 explains 4 that he made contact with Joe Mains again in July 1979, 5 having left Kincora in 1977, by which time he was 21. You 6 will see that in 10211. He said he was short of money. 7 Asked could he come back to Kincora for his Mains then hired him to do DIY at BAR1's house in 8 9 , which was going to 10 be the home where Mains and BAR1 would live after they 11 got married. After a number of weeks of doing that he 12 and Mains began to engage in sexual activity together. 13 He confirmed to the police that when he was living in 14 Kincora as a resident Mains had not done anything to him, 15 unlike McGrath. You will see that at the bottom of 10213. Can you 16 17 scroll down to the next page, please? Sorry. 18 scroll back up, please. Keep going up for me. 19 Just take us down to the bottom of the page, please, at 20 10... -- no, no. Back down. Thank you. Down to the 21 bottom of the page. Yes. I am grateful. You can see 22 in the penultimate line on the page: 23 "When I was living in Kincora, Mr Mains never done 2.4 anything to me, but Mr McGrath did." 25 Dr Irwin in examining R9 confirmed he had engaged in

homosexual activity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I explained when dealing with R17 when Raymond Semple was interviewed, he immediately volunteered that he had reported -- that it had been reported to him and he had passed it on to Joseph Mains. He explained a little later in the same interview about this incident with KIN300 catching the two, R17 and R9, in bed and his embarrassment when he told Mains about that.

When Mains was interviewed in April 1980, he explained some of the boys had told him about R17 and R9.

If we look, please, at 10207, it would appear from the statement of social worker Hilary Neill of 24th April 1980 that, in fact, on this occasion Mains may have been told by Semple and Mains did, in fact, on this occasion tell R9's social worker about what appears to be the incident where KIN300 pulled the clothes back and found R17 in bed naked with R9. So he is telling about the peer sexual activity this time. It would appear that report was made on 24th February 1976.

If we just look at 11282, please, and the second entry on the page, you will see -- it's a bit hard to read:

"Staff made brief [something] to a sort of homosexual incident in the hostel in which R9 was

Page 87 involved, but this was felt to be no serious 1 2 consequence." Can we look, please, at 40137, which is 3 Superintendent Harrison's report at paragraph 306 to 4 5 I am doing this just for clarity. Amongst other 6 things it will hopefully reinforce the complex picture 7 that I have been trying to explain. He says: 8 "The evidence shows that the RUC Inquiry team 9 painstakingly pursued the matters revealed in R17's 10 statement. They interviewed R9 on the same day as R17 11 and, as with R17, a cautioned statement was obtained." 12 He describes then the account that R17 gives. "R9 also admitted acts of gross indecency with R17, 13 14 corroborating what R17 had told the police. 15 R9 says that he was found in bed with R17", and describes that incident. He draws a 16 17 distinction, trying to understand the inconsistencies between the various statements that I have tried to work 18 19 through. If we scroll down, please, to the next paragraph, he 20 observes that one -- if we just scroll up a little bit, 21 22 please: 23 "In the statement we have just looked at one gets the impression from her statement that she finds the 2.4 subject distasteful and perhaps embarrassing." 25

This is referring to the social worker we have just looked at.

"'From what I can recall there was some question of the two being interrupted while having some degree of physical contact with each other. I can't remember the exact details, but I do know that I did not feel that the incident was of a very serious nature, since there could have been other explanations for the boys' behaviour.'

It is unfortunate", says the superintendent, "that Ms Neill was not pressed to explain in plain words what she meant by the term 'some degree of physical contact' to show exactly what she was told by Joseph Mains. It seems fairly clear that he had been told by Richard Kerr" -- I am not sure that's correct; I think it is

KIN300 -- "that Richard Kerr had found R17 and R9 in bed together during the night. Their purpose in being together in these circumstances requires little exercise of imagination to decide what was taking place between them. Ms Neill admits that she did not speak to her charge, R9, about the incident, because she felt it would embarrass him. She does not recall reporting the incident to her senior social worker."

You can see he then says, does Superintendent Harrison:

2.4

"Once again the subject of homosexuality at Kincora had come to the notice of a member of the Social Services and once again this snippet of information was not added to other intelligence. Collectively, this information, as will become more evident through this report, ought to have sounded an alarm and initiated the enquiry earlier than the one brought about by the newspaper article which was published in 1980."

Going back to R9's allegations against McGrath,
William McGrath was interviewed on 1st April 1980 about
the regular sexual activity, which he denied. He said he
knew that R9 was a masturbator, as he had caught him at it
in his room and told him to cut it out. He said it would
have come up in case conferences with his social worker.
He did know him as a returning former resident, which is
consistent with what R9 and Joe Mains say of R9 coming
back.

When he was asked the following day on 2nd April 1980 in the continuing interviews why R9 would make these allegations against him up, he said that a mentally defective person is more sexually susceptive than the normal person. Obviously it would later become apparent that McGrath was the one telling lies, at least as far as some degree of sexual activity is concerned.

However, if we look at what he says at the end, if

2.4

we look at 10154, please, if we look at what he says at the end of 10154, this issue of being alone in the hostel without other members of staff present, you can see that it does appear to be borne out not just by what Mains and Semple say, but by the boys themselves who describe the abuse involving McGrath, that it is when others are not around that these events are taking place.

When Joseph Mains was asked about his own activity with R9, which, of course, postdated R9's time in Kincora, Mains eventually admitted engaging in masturbation with him at his girlfriend's house. He was adamant that intercourse had not occurred and that he had no memory of it unless he was drunk. He maintained that position when the police returned to the subject later in the interview. In the admission statement that he gave on 1st April he recorded what he had said occurred between them.

As I mentioned earlier, Detective Chief Inspector Caskey considered it necessary to obtain immunity. R9 was one of those who was quickly identified and immunity obtained for in the initial stages of the investigation, and we have looked at how that was dealt with both then and subsequently when a wider immunity was adopted.

In respect of McGrath whenever DCI Caskey submitted

2.4

his report, he recommended McGrath face two charges in respect of R9, gross indecency and buggery.

The DPP agreed with that and reordered the charges, charge 16 and 17, buggery and gross indecency, which then would become counts 16 and 17 on the indictment.

R9 was person G. Having been rearraigned, William

McGrath pleaded guilty to the gross indecency count and the Crown entered a nolle prosequi in respect of the buggery count.

So you can see in respect of R12 and we will come to Ronald Graham, William McGrath pleads guilty to buggery in respect of those two boys, but in respect of R17 and R9 he pleads guilty to the gross indecency charges in respect of each, but not to the buggery charges, which re withdrawn or left on the file.

McGrath got two years for the gross indecency.

In respect of Mains, and this is perhaps a slight anomaly to the general approach, and it may be because he had met R9 while he was a resident, but although his activity with R9 postdated his time as a resident and in spite of the general approach to adult homosexual activity uncovered during the Phase One investigation, when submitting his report, DCI Caskey recommended that Mains face two charges in respect of R9. The first was of gross indecency and the second of buggery.

2.4

Those were inverted by the DPP at counts 14 and 15 on Joe Mains' indictment. Mains, having been rearraigned, entered a guilty plea to the gross indecency count and a nolle prosequi was entered in respect of the buggery account -- buggery count. Mains was given two years' imprisonment on the gross indecency.

The -- you will see from the plan, Members of the Panel, that's on the wall that if one does separate R9 out, because of when his activity with Joe Mains occurs, it appears to be the case, and you will see as we continue through the material, that although he was perceived, that is Joe Mains was perceived, as being queer by a number of the boys, it does not appear that he was engaging in the type of activity with boys in his care that he had been engaging in up to the start of the fifth period in 1971.

Of interest, Members of the Panel, you may consider is that R9 was someone who both Mains and William McGrath were convicted in respect of. What I hope has become very apparent through setting out the facts is that that involvement between Mains and R9 was not during his spell in Kincora.

You may also consider it of significance, given R9 says that they engaged in regular sexual activity with

2.4

William McGrath between 1974 and 1977, that R9 doesn't suggest that anyone else was involved in that or that William McGrath attempted to introduce him to anyone else for any purpose.

Now we have now seen three boys who say they engaged in a full range of sexual activity with William McGrath. Two of them he would not plead guilty to the buggery charges. One of them he did, and none of those boys who are alleging the full raft of sexual activity made any suggestion that William McGrath was introducing them to anybody else or that anyone else was involved in the activity other than R17 describing something that R9 did not remember, which was the two of them being masturbated together, but certainly not other men coming in to participate in any way in what was occurring.

If we look, please, at 40749, you may, therefore, consider what he had to say to the Sussex superintendents in light of his own experience as he describes it to be of significance. They interviewed him on 11th May 1982. You can see that he says:

"I told the police everything that happened in my first statement. There is nothing more to tell."

He is very frank about it:

"The only people who have ridden me were Joe Mains, William McGrath and R17. I did muck about with R4, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

he didn't ride me. I have never paid any money for being ridden. I have never been taken to any hotels or anywhere else to be ridden or played about with by any other men. When I lived at Kincora, I never heard any talk among the other boys about Mains, McGrath and Semple being queer."

R9 was given the designation R9 in the Hughes Inquiry.

Now I would like to complete this set, if I may, because this is -- now I am going to mention the fourth person who made allegations of -- at least during the '80 and '82 investigations -- of the full am built of sexual activity as opposed to just the indecent assaults under the bedclothes.

I am going to deal with Ronald Graham, who is M on the indictment and was R14 in Hughes. He is an applicant to the Inquiry and he is HIA409. He -- we have augmented the designation to HIA409/R14 to ensure that you can see that he participated in both Inquiries.

He was born on . He was in Kincora for approximately seven months from 2nd December 1974 until 10th June 1975. So you can see he is there during R10's time, as was R17 and R9, and there is also going to be an overlap between Ronald Graham and R17 and R9.

He made a detailed statement to the RUC on 5th March

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1980. It is at 10271 and it runs to 10276. In that statement he described eight episodes of sexual interaction with William McGrath that appear to have begun after his return to Kincora from Rathgael.

He explained the first incident, which appear -would appear to date around January or February 1975,
involved William McGrath following him into the
bathroom -- you will find this on 10272 -- where,
having got Ronald Graham to hold the toilet seat,
McGrath penetrated him.

The second incident, which Ronald Graham recalled as being about a week after the first, so that would again be January/February '75 -- this is on 10272 and on to the next page -- he had gone up to his bedroom. McGrath followed him up and got him to take his trousers down on the bed and McGrath got on to the bed and buggered him before McGrath got Ronald Graham to masturbate him.

The third incident is at the top of page 10274. He says it was some three or four days after the second. So again we are talking about January/February '75. Ronald Graham was again in his room. McGrath came in, according to Ronald Graham, with his penis in his hand. He told Ronald Graham to get his trousers down and McGrath then buggered him on the bed in a similar fashion to the second incident before again having Ronald Graham

2.4

1 masturbate him.

2.4

The fourth incident, at 10274 in the middle of the page, happened, according to Ronald Graham, some few days later when William McGrath came into him when he was in the toilet or on the toilet. He then proceeded to have Ronald Graham again hold on to the toilet and penetrated him before giving him a kiss and telling him he wasn't bad.

At the bottom of 10274 is the fifth incident, which occurred the following morning, when Ronald Graham was in the TV room. William McGrath came in, closed the door. Ronald Graham says he took out his penis and got Ronald to masturbate him in the television room until he ejaculated. Ronald Graham said he vomited after this occasion.

On 10275 Ronald Graham says the sixth incident occurred when William McGrath came into Ronald's bedroom and got his penis out and required Ronald to masturbate him. He said this time he vomited on the bedroom floor.

The seventh incident is also on 10275. The following morning Ronald was in the bathroom. McGrath secured admission to the room. He said that when he opened the door of the bathroom, McGrath already had his penis in his hand. So that would imply he had taken his penis out in the hall it would seem.

Ronald Graham masturbated McGrath until he ejaculated.

The eighth and final incident is at the bottom of 10275 and running on to 10276. It occurred about two weeks later just before he was about to leave Kincora for foster care. So that would have been in and around May/June 1975. Ronald Graham was in his bedroom. McGrath came in and said to him about, "One more time before you go". Ronald Graham explained he refused to give McGrath oral sex, telling him it would make him sick and McGrath said that was okay. He got up on to the bed with his trousers down and he said McGrath pulled them right off before getting him to open his legs and getting on top of him. He said he thinks cream was again used and says McGrath penetrated him.

As you will -- as you read Ronald Graham's statement, you will see that on each occasion McGrath, who Ronald Graham says he was scared stiff of, said something to him to ensure that he didn't tell anyone about what was occurring.

I also want to draw your attention, given the extent of the sexual activity Ronald Graham said he was forced to engage in, to the last sentence of his police statement, please, at 10276. There he says he was unaware of these types of things he was involved in going on with any other boys at Kincora.

2.4

Dr Irwin medically examined him on 5th March 1980, indicating that one would be inclined to believe the story that, to use the words of Dr Irwin, sodomy had occurred at some point in the past.

When William McGrath was interviewed about
Ronald Graham on 1st April 1980, he said -- and you will
find this at the bottom of 19499 -- that -- sorry -10499 -- that Ronald Graham's allegations were a lie, were
unbelievable, and when asked why a series of boys should
complain about him, he said it was a hazard of his job.

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey during the times that he was interviewing McGrath returned to the subject and said to McGrath that what Ronald Graham was describing, if we look, please, at 10511 -- because, as you can see from Ronald Graham's statement, he is describing coercion in a way that perhaps is not found in the three previous statements or certainly not of the same type of coercion -- at the bottom of 10511 Detective Chief Inspector Caskey said to McGrath that what Ronald Graham was describing was rape, forcibly making him submit to him.

If we can look, please, at 40144 and paragraph 327, Superintendent Harrison, when dealing with this evidence in the Sussex report, noted this:

"When looking at Ronald Graham's statement objectively, it has to be noted that Ronald Graham

2.4

appears to be the only boy who was subjected to serious sexual offences after he had shown he objected to such behaviour. At times his account of the hardship he suffered raises questions as to why he never complained or ran away. One also has to look for a reason why, without any communication from McGrath other than a smile, he would isolate himself in his bedroom and thereby make himself available for McGrath's attentions.

A possible explanation for Ronald Graham's 'complicity' in homosexual acts with McGrath is touched on when he makes reference to McGrath talking of 'getting some boys'. It appears that Ronald Graham associated this comment with the UDA."

You can see that the Sussex superintendents were going to speak to Ronald Graham, but he wasn't in a position healthwise in order for that to happen.

When Detective Chief Inspector Caskey submitted his report, he recommended that McGrath face two charges in respect of Ronald Graham, the first of gross indecency and the second of buggery.

The DPP, on the other hand, directed three charges, ordered them as buggery, indecent assault and gross indecency. They would be charges 26, 27 and 28 and would appears at numbers -- counts 26, 27 and 28 on the indictment. Ronald Graham was person M, and McGrath,

2.4

having been rearraigned on the second day of trial on 11th December '81, entered guilty pleas to all three counts in respect of Ronald Graham. He was given four years' imprisonment on the buggery and two years' imprisonment on each of the indecent assaults and gross indecency.

So you can see that, if I pause there just to observe, that of the four boys who say they were subjected to anal penetration by William McGrath, the full ambit of homosexual activity, albeit two of them McGrath did not accept, but of those four boys, all of them say they weren't involved with anybody else, that it was being carried out in secret, they didn't know what was happening to the other, other than in relation to R17 and R9.

Ronald Graham also participated in the Hughes Inquiry. He was given the designation R14. He was represented. He provided a statement to The Hughes Inquiry. He did say to The Hughes Inquiry something he had not said in his police statement, which was that on one occasion he told his social worker -- sorry -- he told the social worker that he didn't want to stay in Kincora, but he didn't make it clear why he didn't want to stay, but felt that if she had pressed him, that he might have provided reasons. That was not something The Hughes Inquiry ultimately

2.4

were prepared to accept.

2.4

He gave evidence under oath to The Hughes Inquiry on Day 36 of its hearings, but in private session, and that was on 11th January 1985. That runs from 72735 to 72766. The end of Day 35 also relates to him, as he was travelling from outside the jurisdiction to contribute to The Hughes Inquiry, and there had been travel difficulty. That's at 72732 to 72734.

He maintained the contents of his police statement before the Inquiry, and aside from his additional statement that he made to Hughes, he had nothing further to add. He said he was scared and disgusted by the assaults that McGrath subjected him to and so he never informed anyone inside or outside Kincora of his complaints. His fears of -- were compounded by his awareness he believed that McGrath was in the DUP and had associations with paramilitary organisations. He also expressed the view that he was too embarrassed to tell outsiders about the assaults.

He recalled that each time he had a visit from a social worker he was questioned by a member of staff about it. A couple of occasions he could remember other residents asking what was wrong with him. It would seem there was a dispute in terms of his degree of continued contact with Social Services. He was suggesting it was

1 perhaps less than there was some evidence to support.

2 He also expressed the view that if he had made

disclosures to police, social workers and doctors, they

4 would perhaps not believe him.

The report of the Hughes Inquiry deals with his evidence at paragraphs 4.29 to 4.40, which is at 75257 to 75259. The conclusion reached — the conclusions reached in respect of what he had to say noted that the chronology of the offences were not entirely consistent as to the intervals he had indicated, but the Inquiry referred to the fact of the social worker being involved and didn't agree with what was being said of the social worker, and didn't accept the proposition that if the social worker had pressed further, she would have been told, and the social worker was not criticised for failing to elicit a complaint about McGrath.

The Inquiry expressed the view in its report that Ronald Graham being ashamed and disgusted about what had occurred was the prime reasons for him not making a complaint, and that the lack of a discernible change in his attitude meant that it couldn't have been expected for Social Services to detect the offences that had occurred against Ronald Graham.

It appears from the papers that Ronald Graham did take a civil claim against the Eastern Board, according to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 103 Ms McAndrew's statement, but the basis of that assertion 1 2 or the outcome is not clear. There are no papers to 3 exhibit. So perhaps if a note could be taken of that 4 and some further clarification as to why it is capable 5 -- why it is possible to say that there was a civil 6 claim, and we will again try and work out whether we 7 can get any further with it. 8 Perhaps this would be an appropriate moment to 9 pause. CHAIRMAN: 2 o'clock. 10 (1.00 pm)11 12 (Lunch break) 13 (2.00 pm)14 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 15 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, before lunch we had just arrived at KIN313, who is "KIN313". You will 16 see him in the middle of the second sheet in the top 17 He is white just before you get to HIA533. 18 that gives a good impression as to where we've got to. 19 20 KIN313 was born on He was a resident in Kincora from 28th March 1975 to 20th 21 22 February 1976. He gave a statement -- if we could look 23 at 11583, please, and then scroll through to the next 2.4 page -- he gave a statement to the RUC on 29th February 25 1980. He explained that none of the staff made any

advances to him personally and that he himself could not imagine Joe Mains being that type of person. He continued to explain that he had his suspicions about William McGrath, but nothing happened to confirm those suspicions. He said that he thought William McGrath had made advances to, and he named the boys, R9, R17, R10 and KIN37. So those are four names we have already spoken about this morning. However, he had no proof of this apart from R10, who, as you know, was there from '73 to '77, telling KIN313 that William McGrath caught him by the privates under the bed clothes. So he is one of a number of people who have already said R10 explained William McGrath did that to him.

He also stated in his police statement that he could recall hearing at the time that some of the boys had hit William McGrath for making indecent suggestions. So that was talk that he's reporting having been aware of.

In his statement he refers to an incident where he says he also witnessed R17 engaged in oral sex with R9. He refers to the fact that they all knew, that is all the boys in the hostel knew, that R17 and R9 were homosexuals. He doesn't recall personally making complaints about their behaviour to the staff, but he explains that he was in the company of KIN300 -- you will recall me referring to him earlier -- when he

2.4

made complaints to either Mains or Semple about R17 and R9. So that may be a reference to, when we looked at κ IN300 's statement, him saying that he went and talked about what he had seen.

He, KIN313, states that he heard about the allegations of male prostitution taking place in Kincora -- if we just scroll down, please -- but believes they are completely unfounded as far as he was concerned during his stay there. You can see that at the bottom of the page.

He gave a statement to the Sussex Police on 29th

June 1982 -- if we can look, please, at 40756 -- when he
explained that he had nothing further to add to what he
had said to the police already and that he wasn't aware
of any of these type of people being involved at

Kincora. He didn't know of any boys at the hostel being
involved in prostitution or a vice ring:

"I was very surprised when I heard the allegations on television. I even find it difficult to believe that Joe Mains was involved in anything like that."

The next in sequence is Richard Kerr, who is R20 in

Hughes and a core participant before the Inquiry. I am

just going to cover him briefly at this stage. He was born

on

. He had been in care from a young age

and spent many years in Williamson House. He was in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Kincora for two years and just over three months from 27th June 1975, when he was 14, until 4th October 1977, when he was 16. So again he comes in during R10's four years and is leaving around about the same time as R10.

On 4th October his leaving of Kincora involved him being remanded to Rathgael on burglary and theft charges, and it would be his interactions with Constable Scully during that criminal process that would see Constable Scully raise concerns about Richard Kerr's relationship with Joseph Mains, which would then involve discussions that included Gordon Higham and Clive Scoular within Social Services. We will look at those matters in greater detail.

From 22nd December 1977 until 9th February 1979 Richard Kerr would be in Millisle Borstal, where he would come to meet William Edmunds. That's again a subject that we will return to.

When he was released from Millisle, there were issues over getting him a place to stay, and it was that engagement over him having a place to stay -- his social workers were Helen Gogarty and Judith Kennedy. So at this point in time it was Judith Kennedy. The information that they were receiving as they were trying to get a place for Richard Kerr is what caused ultimately Helen to pass information to the -- Peter McKenna of the

2.4

Irish Independent. So while it wasn't about something per se happening to Richard Kerr, it was the involvement of them trying to get him a bed in Kincora that saw David Morrow making assertions about Kincora and why Richard Kerr couldn't have a bed there that would see ultimately the matter being passed to the journalists. He stayed instead in various hotels rather than Kincora before going to Preston to live with his aunt in May 1979.

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey interviewed Richard Kerr in Preston in February 1980 on 25th initially. If we look at 10194, please, and that runs through three pages to 10197, he explained about Joe Mains being like a father to him and he says that on 10195. He also explains that William McGrath -- you can see it just down here on the page we are looking at at the moment:

"I looked up to and inspected Joe Mains, and while
I was in his flat, he didn't touch me or say anything to
me that would have suggested anything of a homosexual
nature. At the hostel Mr McGrath, the housemaster, was
known as a homosexual. Boys in the hostel told me that
he tried it on them. Some of these boys are KIN46" -we are coming to him -- "R10, R9 and a boy named
HIA533."

We are going to come and we will deal with all of those boys in the course of today. You can say -- you

can see that Richard Kerr says:

"William McGrath used to play around with me by pulling my jumper and wrestling with me. He'd pull me close to him, his front to my back, and press his belly up against me. It wasn't normal wrestling. I knew that all this was going on -- I knew he was enjoying it in a homosexual way. The boys used to tell me that McGrath put his hands round their private parts. Mr Mains knew all this was going on, because the boys told him. I was not there when Joe Mains was told, but the boys told me that he had been told, and this all happened while I was in Kincora between July '75 to November '77."

You can see he points out that after the complaints were made about the interference in the mornings under the bedclothes that -- after that was reported to Joseph Mains:

"... the woman cleaner wakened us in the morning." We will see that in the context of HIA533 shortly. The matters that brought Richard Kerr -- Detective Constable Scully then to want to investigate the relationship between Richard Kerr and Joseph Mains was as a result of comments that were made by him at court, that he would tell all if Joseph Mains didn't come and visit him. Then various discussions would flow between the police and Social Services about that.

2.4

Page 109 If we just scroll down on to the next page, please, 1 2 he then goes on to talk about other matters and we will come back and look at that with him in due course in 3 4 more detail. 5 He made a further statement the next day, 26th 6 February 1980 -- that's at 10185 and scrolling on to the 7 next page -- when he explained about hearing the tape of R17 and R9 having sex and of conversation -- if we just 8 scroll up -- scroll back up a little bit, please, you 9 10 can see he says: 11 "On the tape R17 was asking R9 to masturbate him. 12 I heard noises to that effect on the tape." 13 Then he says: "After R9 and KIN46 left" -- that's R9 and KIN46 --14 15 "left Kincora in 1977 they went off to live with ..." 16 another man, but you can see then: 17 "I remember Joe Mains asking me one night to massage him." 18 19 He explains that: 20 "I was making his tea in the kitchen. Stephen 21 Waring was with me. Stephen said, 'Don't go near him. 22 He's an old poof'. I told Joe that I wouldn't massage 23 One other night I was asking -- I was making Joe's tea in the kitchen when he came in and asked me if KIN46 2.4 25 masturbated. I didn't know what to say and I changed

- 1 the subject. I wonder why he asked me that question.
- I should tell you", and then he goes on, "that KIN46 was
- 3 found to be in bed with another boy in Williamson
- 4 House."

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's at a later -- perhaps an earlier point in time not connected to Kincora.

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended that William McGrath should face an indecent assault charge in respect of Richard Kerr's description of the wrestling and pulling him into him, but the DPP didn't agree with that and didn't instigate a charge of indecent assault.

Richard Kerr spoke again to the Sussex superintendents on 26th October 1982 -- if we can look at 40796 -- and in this statement he refers back to the two statements we have looked at and said, "It is all true up to a certain point" and then he explains that, in fact, he had had a sexual relationship in Millisle with William Edmunds, who was the nursing orderly, and we will come back to look at that with Richard Kerr, and the other references to activity happening after he's left and gone to Preston.

If we scroll down, please, you can see he also says after he left Millisle he stayed at Williamson House and at that time he encountered Eric Witchell. If you scroll down further, please, you can see what he says happened at Williamson House. Then he describes his

present circumstances as he describes them in the last sentence.

In recent years the Panel will be aware Richard Kerr has given a number of interviews about his time in care and we will look at those in detail with him in due course and I will touch on them again when I come to the post-2000 complaints.

The next individual I want to deal with is KIN46. You have seen Richard Kerr speaking about him in his initial police statement. He was born on

. He had two admissions to Kincora. The first was for one year and ten months between 25th July 1975 and 29th April 1977, between the ages of 16 and 18. The second was about eight months between 12th January 1978 and 3rd August 1978 from just before his 19th birthday until he was 19 and a half. So you can see that KIN46 is someone who is there for a long period of time, crossing over with R10, who was there for a long period of time, and beyond the time that Richard Kerr left Kincora after two years and three months.

He was interviewed by the police -- if we can bring up 10278, please -- by the police on 23rd February 1980, so again early on in the Phase One investigation, and he explained in the statement there was only one thing of a homosexual nature that happened to him while he was in

Kincora. He had been told off by Joe Mains for some mischief, as he described it, and he sat down on the couch in Mains' office and was crying. He explains that Mains left and went down to his flat. So this implies this was the office on the first floor. William McGrath then entered with a cup of tea for -- or it might be the office on the ground floor -- William McGrath then entered with a cup of tea for Joe Mains before leaving. He then returned and lent over KIN46 and told him not to worry about it and put his hand on his knee, but whilst he was speaking to him, William McGrath then moved his hand up just before his groin and moved his hand in a rubbing motion. When KIN46 looked at him, William McGrath took his hand away and left the room.

He goes on to explain on the next page of his statement that a few days later he spoke to Raymond Semple in his room and told him what happened, and Raymond Semple told him that if anything like that happened again, he was to tell him straightaway.

When Raymond Semple was interviewed -- if we look at 10393, please -- he was asked about KIN46's report to him and Raymond Semple agreed that KIN46 had reported McGrath to him. He said that he then told Joseph Mains and hoped that he would do something about it. He explained that he was embarrassed about making the

2.4

complaints for the reasons that we have discussed, that he had been told off previously in his initial stage of employment.

Joseph Mains at interview on 1st April 1980, at the top of 10422 and then moving on to the bottom of 10423, while acknowledging other complaints he had received, he didn't appear to accept that he knew of KIN46's complaint, although the interview record is not entirely clear on that point.

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey asked William

McGrath about KIN46's allegation on 1st April 1980. He

replied with a complete denial, as he had done

throughout, and gave the one instance that physical

contact had happened between him and KIN46 and said that

KIN46 must be telling lies.

You may consider the police statement from

KIN274 -- if we look at 11650, please -- of
interest in that the one thing he could remember -- no,
11650, please -- the one thing he could remember -- if
we scroll down and then just move -- the one thing he
could remember in relation to homosexuality at Kincora
was one night at the beginning of his stay William
McGrath asked him to help make the supper in the
kitchen, and he could remember -- if we scroll down on
to the top of the next page, please -- he could remember

2.4

1 KIN46, having heard this, warning him not to go into the 2 kitchen, because William McGrath was "a bit of a boy", 3 which he took to mean McGrath was a queer.

When submitting his report on 6th August 1980

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended that

McGrath face a change of indecent assault in respect of KTN46.

The DPP didn't agree when it issued its direction and it didn't direct a charge.

If we look at 40889, please, given the length of time that KIN46 was in Kincora and the time period he covered, almost three years across two stays between 1975 and 1978, you may regard his statement to the Sussex superintendents of 12th July 1982 instructive as to the wider issues that you are going to have to consider.

He said the only thing he could add to what he had already said is that he was told by KIN300 -- again you have this reference back to the -- he returned to Kincora one evening and found R9 and R17 in bed. He said he told Mr Mains about this and Mr Mains didn't do anything about it.

He said he was in Kincora for two and a half years.

"During that time, apart from the two things that
I have told in my statement", so that's William McGrath

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

and what he tried to do, "I have no knowledge of any sexual practices going on. I don't know anything about any businessmen", and so on. "Except for what I have read in the newspapers or seen on the television I was not aware of it. I was asked by Detective Superintendent Harrison as to what my reaction was to the newspaper and television articles. I can only say" -- if we scroll down, please -- "that I was surprised, because I was there and did not know about it. been out for a drink with Raymond Semple on about four or five occasions to the Glentoran Club and about seven or eight times to the Crusaders Club, but to tell the God's honest truth there was nothing went on between us. He was like a father to me. I was really surprised when Raymond Semple was charged. I never suspected that he was like that, homosexual type."

Then we are going to look at HIA533, who just again was mentioned in Richard Kerr's statement. HIA533 was born on

. He was in Kincora for one year and three months between 21st June 1976 and 23rd

September 1977, so from he was 15 until he turned 17.

If we look, please, at 10222 and then scrolling on to the next page, he was interviewed by the police on 26th March 1980. He explained that within a few weeks -- within a few weeks in the hostel he heard talk

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

from other boys that McGrath was a bit of a queer, and then says about two months into his time -- this is as we scroll on to the next page, please -- two months into his time in Kincora -- so if that's correct, he is talking about approximately September 1976, though he refers to the time as being "during school holidays", so it may have been August 1976 -- he says he woke up to feel a hand underneath the back of his underpants. He turned around to find McGrath sitting on the bed with his hand under the bed clothes. HIA533 says he was startled and pulled his body away and then McGrath made a comment to him.

Now what HIA533 explains in his statement is that he went straight downstairs to see Joseph Mains, but it was a couple of hours before he did see him, and when he saw Joe Mains, he told him exactly what William McGrath had done and explained that he felt like hitting him. Mains encouraged him not to do that on the basis that McGrath was said not to be too well, but Mains said he would see McGrath about it and ensure that he wouldn't be woken up by him in the mornings in the future and instead he would get one of the female housekeepers, Elizabeth Smyth, to do it. HIA533 told him to make sure he did, otherwise he would not be able to sleep if he knew McGrath was going to wake him. HIA533 went on to explain on 10223 that

2.2

2.4

nothing else ever happened to him in Kincora and Elizabeth Smyth did wake him every morning thereafter.

HIA533 explains on the passage you are just looking at now that he also told R10 -- you heard me mention him a number of times -- and when R10, as you know, spoke to the police, he remembered he and others being told by HIA533 about McGrath putting his hand under the bedsheets and touching his privates. The reference for that takes you back to R10's statement at 10236.

HIA533 also expressed the view in 10223 that he found both Joseph Mains and Raymond Semple to be very decent to him and he was still friendly with them. If we can just scroll down, please, he explains that he is friendly with them and still visits -- up to this point in any event he still visited them with his wife.

Now when Mains was interviewed on 1st April -- if we look, please, at 10413, please -- he was asked about HIA533, and we have him in as the designation "HIA533". You can see what Joseph Mains says is -- Mains said that HIA533 reported to him that when McGrath was waking him up one morning, he slipped his hand under the bed clothes. HIA533 told Mains that he thought McGrath was "a bit of a fruit". Mains said he discussed this with HIA533 and HIA533 said he would handle it himself.

2.4

watch McGrath and try and catch him himself. Mains said that his suspicions were getting aroused and had mentioned this to other boys. However, there was no evidence that McGrath was involved in this way. There are similar sentiments to be found in Raymond Semple's interview. He expresses the view at the end of it that McGrath was crafty and too good for them, ie Raymond Semple and Joseph Mains were never able to catch him doing anything.

But he is admitting here that HIA533 -- this is

Joseph Mains -- had reported to him when McGrath was
waking him one morning, that he had done this, and he
was telling the police what HIA533 had -- or the
discussion that they had had. Once again he does not
report this complaint to his superiors.

When William McGrath was interviewed on 1st April 980 he said the allegations of him touching HIA533 were ridiculous and he denied any knowledge of Elizabeth Smyth being asked to waken HIA533 from a certain point on.

When submitting his report on 6th August 1980

Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended that

William McGrath should face a charge of indecent assault
in respect of HIA533.

The DPP didn't agree with that, and when it issued its direction, there was no charge included in respect

2.4

of HIA533, but it would appear -- if we look at 101078, please -- it would appear that the Crown were, however, going to call HIA533 -- you will see number 28 -- to support the prosecution of William McGrath in respect of the other charges that were being brought.

Just to finish these specific events, the Sussex superintendents spoke again to Elizabth Smyth. She had been interviewed by the RUC, but if we look at 40612, the Sussex superintendents specifically ask her about this issue over HIA533. If we scroll down, please. Just pause. Just go up a little. Thank you. You can see -- just scroll up a little bit further. Thank you.

"About two years after McGrath had worked at Kincora Mr Mains told me to return to working my previous hours, because the younger of two particular brothers resident had complained to his mother."

So that's R15. I am not sure why it is blacked rather than a designation. Ms Mellon will note that for me and we'll have it corrected.

"I couldn't understand why McGrath's comment should cause so much bother, but I agreed to return to my previous hours. Once back to making an early start at the hostel I resumed waking up the boys in the morning, as I had done before. I do recall Joe Mains asked me particularly to wake up a boy called HIA533, although he

2.4

never gave me any reason why."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Now the Sussex superintendents then interview HIA533 on the wider issues on 11th May 1982. If we look at 40774, you may consider his statement to be instructive.

You can see he is asked about:

"... if I heard rumours about the homosexual behaviour of Mains, Semple and McGrath. My answer is no, apart from those already mentioned in my previous statement about McGrath. I didn't hear any talk among the boys in the hostel about homosexuality or being taken to hotels by anybody. I do know that McGrath used to give Richard Kerr a few pounds now and again when he asked for it, but apart from that I know of no other boys being given money. My reaction to the reports in the press of vice rings and prostitution is one of shock and horror. never seen or heard anything whilst at Kincora or since of such matters. There is no doubt in my mind that such allegations are completely untrue. If such things had been going on at the hostel involving the other boys, I would have known of it, because we all used to talk among ourselves."

Now KIN54 was born on . He was in Kincora from 12th October 1976 to 22nd October 1979, when he was between the ages of 16 and 18 -- I think that's wrong -- 16 and 19. He is there for three years.

I just need to check whether that's two years or three years. You may consider what he has to say, given the length of his stay, whether it be two years or three years, of particular relevance.

If we look at 11660, please, he was spoken to on 4th March 1980 and he -- if we just scroll down, please -- he confirmed that no-one had made any homosexual advances towards him. He was able to say that KIN46, whom we have spoken about already, and was in Kincora between July '75 and April '77 and then again in January '78 to August '78, told him -- so KIN46 tells KIN54 -- that R17 and R9, who I take to be R9, given the context, were "two fruits". He was also told, he explains, by either R10 or his brother KIN328 -- as we are aware, R10 was in Kincora for four years between '73 and '77 -- one or other of them told him that McGrath was queer.

I am going to then turn to R18. He was born on

. He was O on the indictment and R18 in

Hughes. He initially resided in Kincora at weekends

while he attended Ardmore School. That was between 12th

May '77 and July '77, when he was 15. Thereafter from

2nd July 1977 until March 1980, when he was 18, he

resided in Kincora on a full-time basis.

He was still a resident of Kincora when he was

2.4

spoken to by the RUC on 10th March 1980. If we look at 10285, please, he provided a detailed six-page statement that was prepared over the course of two and a half hours. I am just going to summarise that for you.

He had first -- he describes later in his statement -- so I'm putting -- I have put the material into chronological order so that it makes most sense. He had his first homosexual encounter aged 11 in a cinema toilet in Dublin, when he engaged in oral sex with a stranger. That's at 10288. From the age of 13, when home at the weekends, he would attend the toilets in Ward Park in Bangor, where he would pick up different men and they would engage in masturbation together and attempts at anal sex. That's at 10289. When he went to

School he stopped going to the toilets and his next homosexual experience was with William McGrath when staying at Kincora at the weekends.

He explained on 10285 that after he had been staying at Kincora for a few weekends -- so that implies that it is before his full-time residence commences and therefore is possibly around June 1977 -- he asked William McGrath, he explains, to rub cream into his back as he suffered from psoriasis. He told police that the way McGrath applied the cream gave him the impression he was making advances toward him. He said he told his

2.4

social worker, Anna Hyland, who advised him to keep away from conversations about sex or homosexuality and not to be alone with William McGrath.

If I can just pause there, R18 and what he told his social worker would also come up to Messrs Higham and Scoular and their engagement with the police, Scully and Sillery, at the same time or around about the same time as the issues over Richard Kerr and his relationship with Joseph Mains were coming to the attention of Social Services. So it's happening at approximately the same time.

The second incident that he describes -- it is at the top of 10286 -- was a few weeks later, again during his period as a boarder at weekends. McGrath came into his bedroom after he had a bath and commented on his body and started to massage him, starting at his legs and working up to his penis. According to R18, as he didn't mind, he started to stroke McGrath at his invitation and then masturbated him until he ejaculated over the carpet. McGrath then went and got some tissues and cleaned himself up.

At 10286, about two-thirds of the way down, R18 would go on to explain to the police that this masturbation activity happened generally every weekend when he was at the hostel on a Friday night. He said he knew it was on a Friday night amongst other reasons

2.4

because the hostel was generally empty and the incidents fell into the form of a pattern that would happen depending on whether the hostel was empty or not.

He went on to describe the type of conversations about homosexuality that he would have with William McGrath. They are at the bottom of 10286 and moving on to 10287. R18 said William McGrath was upset that he couldn't cause R18 to ejaculate and would ask him what could he do to assist that happening. He did describe occasions on 10287 when he would bend over and spread his legs and William McGrath would put his penis between the cheeks of his bottom, but would never actually penetrate R18. He later said he would do the same to William McGrath.

He explained that when he finished at and moved permanent to Kincora, what he described as his affair -- he uses that word in the middle of 10287 -- with McGrath continued. He claimed on 10287 about two-thirds of the way down that he did tell Ms Hyland, his social worker, that McGrath was still making advances toward him, and she suggested he tell Mr Mains. He says he spoke to Joe Mains, who, according to him, already knew that he, as in R18, was gay, was said to have been shocked, and what R18 said to him made Joe Mains, according to R18, pretty determined to get

2.4

William McGrath out of the hostel. R18 told him that he would handle it. He didn't want William McGrath losing his job. R18 claimed that Mains asked several times after that whether he was still having problems with William McGrath, but R18 lied to him and told him that everything was okay.

On 10288 R18 explained to police that the last time anything happened between him and William McGrath was about a week before he was suspended, as in William McGrath was suspended. So that would have been the end of February 1980, because he was suspended on 4th March. It would, of course, be, if that is correct, after the story in The Irish Independent had already been published, which was in January.

He described -- if we look at 10288 in the middle -coming home to Kincora to find Clive Scoular having
a meeting in the hospital -- hostel and was explaining
about the allegations of homosexual prostitution, but
also referring to homosexual activity in the hostel.
R18 says he looked at William McGrath, who was red and
looked everywhere but at him.

Dr Irwin examined R18 on 10th March 1980 when he made his statement and concluded there were physical signs of homosexual activity. According to Dr Irwin R18 told him that he had been asked to play with William

2.2

2.4

Page 126
McGrath's private parts, but no anal sex occurred

When Joseph Mains was interviewed on 1st April 1980, Joe Mains informed police of a complaint he had received from R18, if we look at 10413, please. He referred to the incident involving the application of cream and William McGrath asking for a towel to be removed as he would look better. "R18" is R18. Mains claims he reported the incident to district headquarters and to Ms Hyland, R18's social worker, and that Gordon Higham, the Assistant Principal Social Worker in charge of Daycare, so including Kincora, he says at a case conference discussion they all thought R18 was telling lies. He says he also subsequently spoke to Peter Martin, who replaced Ms Hyland as R18's social worker.

He returned to the subject of R18 at the -- in his interview. If we look at 10424, please, at the bottom of page and moving on to 10425 -- if we scroll down, please -- you can see he is asked in respect of R18:

"Q. Did you know about this?

A. Yes. Let's get R18 into perspective. He only thought he was above everybody at the hostel and would only talk to the staff."

Scroll down, please:

"He was very depressed and I used to have long

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

between them.

Page 127 1 conversations with him, and one time he told me that 2 McGrath had told him he was a qualified masseur and had 3 massaged cream into R18's back. I felt that R18 was making 4 this story up, as he had an intense dislike of William 5 McGrath, and one time R18 told me that he was gay from when 6 he was ten and this was the reason he couldn't mix with the 7 rest of the boys. I told his social worker what he said and she continued to counsel him. 8 9 relationship became more pleasant His with William 10 McGrath and we had a case conference. At the conference 11 it was discussed and it was decided to make him mix with the other boys and not make him feel that he was of any 12 13 importance. I discussed with McGrath Mrs Hyland's --I discussed McGrath with Mrs Hyland, R18's social worker, 14 15 and we couldn't make our minds up whether it was true or not, although I think she believed R18 ." 16 When police interviewed James Miller -- he is another 17 18 boy that we are going to come to and I want to show you 19 10298, please, at the top of page. You can see that he 20 is saying here in his statement to the police that there 21 were rumours in the hostel that R18 was gay and that he 22 and William McGrath were having a homosexual 23 relationship. When McGrath was asked about R18 and his allegations 2.4 in his interview on 1st April 1980, if we look, please, 25

- at 10515, he said that he had discussed R18's lifestyle with him. If we just scroll down here, you can see:
 - "O. You know R18?
- 4 A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. He's made allegations.
 - A. No. I discussed his lifestyle with him. He was a practising homosexual."

He insisted, if we look at 10517, that they talked up to the time that William McGrath was suspended, but they never had a relationship. He said he was never spoken to about R18 in terms of a complaint -- he says that at 10525 -- but he did accept the following during his interviews, if we look at 10578, please, in the middle of the page, about his engagement with R18. If we can just pause there, please:

- "Q. Why should boys describe your antics?
- A. I don't know. When you talk about antics, R18 had psoriasis and he used to run about naked all evening. He was tortured around the pubic regions and wore ordinary briefs. He used to get cream rubbed around his privates. I told him he should not wear that type of briefs, that he should wear the jockey trunks or woollen type underwear."

So he was claiming that, while he knew about the psoriasis, that it was of an innocent nature, but you

Page 129 1 may consider the passage at 10580, please, when the 2 subject was returned to, to be of some interest. 3 can see he is -- it is being drawn to his attention: 4 That bit there -- pointing to the first "A. 5 paragraph -- that bit about the towel was purely a wise 6 crack. 7 What do you mean? Ο. Just a crack, nothing else. 8 Α. 9 How could a boy having psoriasis on his body 10 look attractive? 11 It was only a crack, nothing else. We can argue 12 about it all day, but I'll not put it any further than a 13 The part about the masseur business is wise crack. 14 correct. I did say that to him, because it was part of 15 my job as a hairdresser. I took a course in massage in 16 Surrey before the war. I used to in my business as 17 a hairdresser massage people's faces, necks and 18 shoulders. Is that correct? 19 Quite correct." Α. 20 Then the police officer who is interviewing him 21 22 makes the point: 23 "I never had a hairdresser massage me."

2.4

25

Did you massage R18's shoulders?

Well, I have done it.

A. It may have happened."

Now I mentioned to you Hugh Quinn, if we think back to the early '60s, but a returning resident. When he was giving evidence to Hughes, he talked about his interaction with R18 during his Christmas 1979 visit. The point Hugh Quinn was making to The Hughes Inquiry was that R18, at least as far as Hugh Quinn was concerned, was clearly a homosexual young man, and he explained that he had discussed him with Joe Mains, though Mains had said to him in conversation that he had never had any sexual involvement with R18, and in fairness R18 never suggested that he had any involvement with Joseph Mains, but it was something that R4 -- that Hugh Quinn brought up to the Hughes Inquiry.

You will recall that R4, who we spoke about previously, he claimed to the police on 3rd April 1980 -- if we look at 10163, please, in the middle of the page -- how on one occasion R18 asked to masturbate R4. R4 claimed to initially have refused, because he said there were too many people about, including William McGrath, who was in the kitchen, but he claimed R18 persisted. R18 didn't mention R4 at all in his statement.

As I previously mentioned, DCI Caskey explained in his report that he considered it necessary to obtain

2.4

1 immunity from prosecution for a number of Kincora

2 residents, given their own admission about their

activity. R18, "R18", was one of them, along with R17,

4 R9. When -- and we work through the DPP granting that

5 immunity so that the residents could feel free to say

6 all that they wanted to say.

When submitting his report on 6th August 1980,
Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended that
McGrath should face a charge of gross indecency in
respect of R18, but also a charge of buggery, because
you will recall R18 saying about he would try and be
around his bottom.

The DPP directed that McGrath should face the two charges, albeit they swapped them round in terms of order. One charge was of buggery and the other of gross indecency. Those charges would then be mirrored at counts 29 for buggery and 30 for gross indecency on the bill of indictment.

The buggery count was amended on the first day of trial, if we just look at 101013, please. You have heard me constantly refer to the bill of indictment.

I am just going to show you the annotation. If we scroll down to the bottom, you can see that this is the 29th count, that of the buggery charge. R18 is 0 on the indictment, and you can see that it was amended to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

an attempted buggery charge, which was consistent with what R18 was saying.

William McGrath, having been rearraigned on the second day of trial, entered a guilt plea to committing an act of gross indecency with R18. The Crown entered a nolle prosequi in respect of the buggery count. McGrath was sentenced to two years' imprisonment for the act of gross indecency.

Now I should perhaps also say R18 also informed police that while at Kincora -- and I am drawing this to your attention because of the wider issues that you have to consider -- he informed police that while at Kincora he had at least two other homosexual relationships. If we look at 10289, please, at the bottom, one was with a hairdresser, who admitted to police when he was -- because, as was the pattern, if a person was named by someone as part of the investigation, then the police went and spoke to that other person -- and the individual admitted to police twice engaging in masturbation with R18 in his car while parked outside Kincora, and while -- Detective Chief Inspector Caskey recommended a gross indecency charge, because R18 would have fallen into the bracket of having been a resident in Kincora. Then the DPP elected not to proceed with that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

The other person that R18 speaks of was an older man, who gave a "No comment" interview to police. No charge was suggested, because presumably he did not accept the engagement that R18 was saying had occurred between them, and no action was taken against him as a result.

It seems that where individuals outwith who were said by individuals to have engaged with them, if they accepted it, then a view was to be taken as to whether they were charged or not. If they denied it and basically there was no way of proving it, then a recommendation for charge did not follow.

So there is a complexity to R18's story because of the sequence of events involving the social workers and the meshing with the social worker communication that is coming up also in respect of Richard Kerr.

KIN50 was born on . He was in Kincora for approximately six months between 16th May 1977 and -- I am going to have to check that date, because 16th May 1977 and 8th May 1977 definitely does not work.

He was spoken to by the RUC on 18th March 1980. He explained that he shared a room with Richard Kerr and later also R18. He denied any homosexual approaches were made to him. If we look at 11666, please, you can see what he is saying.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 134 KIN51 was born on He was in Kincora 1 2 for a six-week period between 19th May 1977 until 26th 3 June 1977. He was spoken to by the police on 18th March 4 1980, if we look at 11704, please. He denied any 5 homosexual approaches were made to him while he was in 6 Kincora. The Sussex superintendents would reinterview him on 7 15th June -- if we look at 40799 -- when he reiterated 8 9 what he'd previously said and augmented that to say he 10 was not aware of any other boys being interfered with 11 and expressed his surprise at the suggestions of 12 prostitution and vice rings. 13 KIN48 was born on He was in Kincora from 26th July 1977 until 22nd June 1979, almost 14 15 two years, between the ages of 15 and 17, save for 16 a one-month spell until Rathgael between February and 17 March 1978. 18 If we look, please, at 10292, he explained to police 19 when he was spoken to on 22nd February 1980 that after about three weeks he heard that William McGrath was 20 "a man with roaming hands". You will see that on 10292. 21 22 About five months into his stay -- and you will see 23 this at the top of 10293 -- which, if that's correct, if 24 the five months approximation is right, that would have been around Christmas 1977, or perhaps a little later 25

for reasons I will mention shortly, he could recall 2 lying in bed not wanting to get up with the covers up 3 around him when he felt a hand under his bedclothes 4 touching his hip about his underpants. He turned round

5 quickly and saw William McGrath pulling his hand away. 6 He told him to "Beat it" and McGrath left. The two others 7 in his bedroom -- he explained there were three three-bed

rooms, which is correct -- didn't wake up.

He explained that prior to this incident he was already aware of McGrath doing something similar to KIN46 had been in Kincora for one year and ten months between July '75 and April '77. So he left shortly before KIN48 arrived. However, KIN46 returned for a second almost eight-month spell between 12th January '78 and 3rd August '78, from just before his 19th birthday, until he was 19 and a half. That'swhy I said perhaps the date was not quite right, because if KIN46 had already described to KIN48 an incident of this kind, then in order for that to have happened it would have to be post-12th January 1978, when KIN46 was in a position to be there to speak to him. So it would date the incident a little later than Christmas '77 and more likely into later January 1978.

He also explained that prior to the incident he was already aware of McGrath also doing something similar to

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 136 James Miller. We will come back to that. 1 2 He also said that after the incident involving him 3 McGrath also did something to R21. We will come back to that. 4 In fairness he also pointed out that William McGrath 5 6 was always very helpful in sorting out problems the boys 7 had, but the boys in the hostel found him to be, to quote 8 him, "a wee bit queer" -- "a wee bit of a queer". At interview on 1st April 1980, when KIN48's 9 10 statement was put to McGrath, he said he had shook him 11 by the shoulder, and that if KIN48 attributed that to a 12 homosexual approach, he was telling lies. When submitting his report, DCI Caskey recommended 13 that McGrath face a charge of indecent assault. 14 The DPP didn't agree when it issued its direction 15 16 and didn't direct a charge of that kind in respect of 17 McGrath. KIN52 was born on Не 18 19 was in Kincora for five months between September '77 and 20 February '78. The RUC spoke to him on 19th March 1980. 21 If we look at 11642, please, he explained in that 22 statement that no-one had interfered with him and he was 23 not aware of anyone else being interfered with. However, if we look at 40800, he was reinterviewed 24 25 by the Sussex superintendents on 9th June 1982. You may

consider this statement helpful on the wider issues. He explains that while he was not interfered with and did not know of anyone else being interviewed with, it is evidence he clearly thought William McGrath was that way inclined. He further explained that he did not know of any boys or staff being involved in homosexuality, and then you can see that he -- he expressed the view that he had no love for Joe Mains, who he regarded as responsible forgetting him sent to training school for two years, and owed him no favours. Accordingly if he knew anything about such things, he would say so. He said he had never even heard talk of such things.

He did say that:

"The only boy we" -- by that I take it meaning him and someone else -- "suspected of being a 'fruit'", to use his language, "was Richard Kerr."

The basis of that suspicion was said according to this statement to be the way he acted and talked, but nothing else.

KIN53 was born on . He was in Kincora for three weeks in 1977 from 14th October to 2nd November. The RUC spoke to him on 24th March 1980, when he explained he was unaware of any indecent acts or talk of them involving boys or staff. I will have to get you the reference for that statement.

2.4

When the Sussex superintendents spoke to him on 8th June 1982 -- if we look at 40801, please -- he confirmed his surprise at the allegations of prostitution. What he did say was, as you can see:

"I do remember one of the boys at the hostel,
Richard Kerr, being on bail from prison and telling me he
had some queer times at a hotel in the town. I was about
15 years old at the time and I think he just said that
to frighten me. He said that he was told ghost stories
there."

James Miller, who was P on the indictment and R19 in Hughes, was born on . He is an applicant to the Inquiry and has a designation HIA185. So we have augmented that for ease when you are dealing with his material to HIA185/R19. His Inquiry witness statement can be found at 006 to 014. He entered Kincora on 19th October 1977, aged 15, and was there for eight months until June '78, when he was 16.

The RUC spoke to him on 19th March 1980. If we look, please, at 10296 and scroll through the three pages, he explains that McGrath would regularly waken him by having his hand under the bedclothes. You saw a reference to a boy being aware having been told that by James Miller.

In addition, he describes effectively being pursued

2.4

- by William McGrath. He recalled McGrath saying to him one
- day in the kitchen, "Did I ever tell you you're a nice
- 3 looking boy?" James Miller asked him what he meant and
- 4 McGrath told him there was nothing wrong with it, that God
- 5 said it Is right to be homosexual.
- 6 That's on 10297.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

He talked of being asked to take his trousers down and telling McGrath to "Clear off", being kissed on the back -- on the neck and telling him to "Clear off" and subsequently an occasion in his bedroom when McGrath took his trousers off and started to rub his privates and masturbate him. That is also on 10297. He said this latter behaviour happened three or four times and on the last occasion McGrath forcibly took his trousers off. Miller said he then reported McGrath to Joseph Mains. That's on 10298. He also said that McGrath asked him to masturbate him and to bugger him.

He said there were also rumours in the hostel that R18 was gay and he and McGrath were having a homosexual relationship, as I mentioned.

James Miller also explained that Joe Mains never made any homosexual suggestions to him. He explained that he would have gone with Mains to his girlfriend's house -- that's BAR1 -- and would have been paid to cut the grass.

Page 140 When McGrath was interviewed by the RUC on 1st April 1 2 1980, if we look, please, at 10517, at the bottom of the page, and then moving on to 10518, you may consider that 3 this was one, if not the one, occasion when potentially 4 5 the mask of denial slipped a little during questioning: 6 Do you know James Miller --Α. Yes. 7 -- from He alleges that every morning 8 0. ? 9 you wakened him, you indecently assaulted him in some form or other. 10 11 Α. Couldn't happen. Did you say to him, 'You're a nice looking boy'? 12 0. 13 Only in a joke. Α. 14 0. What would you have said? 15 Something like, 'You're a nice looking boy'. Α. 16 Did you tell him that God said it was okay? Q. 17 No, definitely not. Α. 18 Ο. Didn't masturbate him? Any reason why he should 19 make the allegation? 20 No idea." So he was acknowledging that he would have made that 21 22 comment, although portrayed it as being innocent. 23 Mains, who did acknowledge during interview getting complaints about McGrath from R15 and HIA533, when asked 24 25 about James Miller's statement that he had told Joseph

Page 141 1 Mains about McGrath taking his trousers down, he said that 2 he had not received any complaint from James Miller. at 10425 in the middle. 3 When submitting his report, Detective Chief 4 5 Inspector Caskey recommended McGrath face a charge of 6 gross indecency in respect of James Miller. 7 The DPP altered that to facing a charge of indecent assault rather than gross indecency and the numbered 8 9 charge would then be mirrored at count 31 on the bill 10 indictment. Miller was person P, and McGrath, of 11 having been rearraigned on the second day on 11th 12 December '81, entered a guilty plea to the indecent 13 assault on James Miller and received two years' 14 imprisonment for that. 15 Now James Miller was given the designation R19, but 16 wasn't called as a witness. 17 In 2015 James Miller would make fresh allegations to 18 the PSNI, and we will come back to those when I deal 19 with the post-2000 complaints. 20 Perhaps, Chairman, if we took a short break for the stenographer. 21 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 2.2 (3.07 pm)23 (Short break) 2.4 25 (3.17 pm)

Page 142 I just want to correct a couple of things for the 1 2 transcript. We have been talking about KIN54 and I'd said that he was in Kincora between 12th October '76 to 3 22nd October '79 and that's correct. He was there not 4 5 for two years but for three years between the ages of 15 6 and 18. 7 Then with KIN50 we had managed to have him leaving Kincora before he arrived. 8 It was November, in fact, wasn't it? 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 MR AIKEN: It was November 1977. So it's quite right that 11 it was for six months, 16th May '77 to 8th November. 12 The RUC officers spoke to KIN57, who was born on 13 As you can see with some of the residents on the wall chart, some of them were in 14 Kincora for a very short space of time. He was one of 15 16 them who was in for a very short time in '77. At 11690 you can see his statement. He confirmed he wasn't aware 17 18 of any homosexual activity occurring, though he was 19 rarely there. That's at 11690. The next individual I am going to deal with is Gary 20 Hoy, who was born on He was in 21 Kincora between 8th January 1978 to 6th November 1978. 2.2 23 If we can look, please, at 11631, he spoke to the police on 2nd March 1980. If we can bring up, please, 2.4 25 11631. Thank you. He explained to the police that no

homosexual approaches were made to him by any staff or boys. He could remember that if the boys were slow to get up, William McGrath would throw the bedclothes off him and look at him. He explained that although William McGrath made no approaches to him, he felt he was, to quote him, "gay". He could remember R18 putting cream on his face and in his hair -- this may be for the psoriasis that we spoke about earlier -- and that he was sometimes helped with that by Joseph Mains.

He also wanted to point out that while Joseph Mains would claim in his work diary to have taken the boys out in the minibus on pleasure trips, he never, in fact, took them out at all. That was the point that Gary Hoy was making. That's what he said to the police in 1980.

In 2013 -- he contacted police in 2011 and then by 2013 he would make different allegations to the police. We will look at those when we deal with the post-2000 complaints.

had two spells in Kincora in '78 and then again in '79. He was spoken to by the RUC on 9th March 1980. If we look at 11594, please, he explained that no approaches of a homosexual nature were made to him by staff or boys, but there was talk among the boys that McGrath was gay, but he never saw him do anything.

Page 144 Given the length of his tenure and the period that 1 2 he covered -- you can see he was in for almost a year in 3 his second spell from 11th June '78 until April '79, having been in for three months from 5th February 1978 4 5 -- given the length of his tenure, you may consider what 6 he had to say to the Sussex superintendents of 7 particular interest. If we look at 40808, please, he explained that he 8 9 had nothing else to add. He said: 10 "I was amazed when I heard of the allegations, 11 because nothing like that was happening when I was I wasn't interfered with while I was there and 12 I don't know of any other boys being interfered with or 13 having improper suggestions made to them." 14 15 Then he responded to the different groups of people said to be involved. 16 17 was born on He was KTN304 in Kincora for six months between 24th February 1978 and 18 June 1978. That would be four months. He shared a room 19 20 with KIN46 and R18, both of whom we have spoken about 21 already. 22 If we can look, please, at 11649, he explained to 23 police that he was not -- when he spoke to them on 19th March 1980, he was not involved in any indecent acts and 2.4 didn't see any occurring in Kincora. 25

He could remember that it was said between the boys that R18 was queer and that there was supposed to be something going on between him and Joe Mains. Now that was not claimed by R18 and it is inconsistent with what Hugh Quinn said of his conversations with Joe Mains.

KIN304 also said he thought McGrath was "a fruit", but he made no approaches to him.

If we look at 40810, please, he spoke to the Sussex superintendents on 10th June 1982. He said he had heard McGrath was a fruit from other boys, but that was all. He said when he had heard about allegations of prostitution, he was amazed, because nothing like that was going on at Kincora, at least when he was there. He said he would have known or heard if it was.

KIN176 was born on . He was in Kincora for two spells from 22nd March 1978 to 13th April 1978, so that's quite a short spell of some three weeks, but then from 1st June 1978 to 31st March 1980. Given the length of his residence, you may consider what he has to say to have the potential to be of particular assistance to you.

If we look at 11606, please, he gives a statement to police on 11th March 1980 that's three pages in length. He explains first the staffing arrangements, and you will see he explains that William McGrath was not

2.4

someone who slept in; that no-one had ever interfered 1 2 with him. He had heard rumours within the hostel of McGrath waking a couple of boys up. By implication 3 I mean him to be meaning McGrath interfering the boys 4 with the boys when wakening them up. He said he'd 5 6 heard this happening to KIN46 and KIN177's brother. Now that would be R10 and, of course, that would match 7 8 with what you've heard already in respect of those two individuals, who say that that is what happened to 9 them, and McGrath pleaded guilty to an indecent assault 10 on R10. 11

The only other thing he heard about he said at the top of 11607 was from R22, who we will look at in a moment, who told him on the night of his police interview, so on 11th March 1980, that McGrath had taken down R22's pyjama bottoms and was fiddling with his penis and commenting that, "I see your wee body".

McGrath would plead guilty to that indecent assault on R22 that he told KIN176 about.

On 11607 in the middle he could recall R9 being a regular visitor to Kincora over the previous two years. You will recall R9 reengaged in around 1979.

You will see him also speak here about R4, R4, who would have come sometimes once or twice a week in the evenings to watch TV.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

He also recalled Hugh Quinn, though -- and you will see this on 11608 -- he could not remember his name. He remembered a man coming from London to stay over Christmas '79. If we look at the bottom of 11607 -- if you just scroll up a little bit, please, and then we will be able to scroll down -- you can see that he says Joe Mains didn't want this person to be staying in hostel, but that that was what happened, because he had asked KIN176 to find a flat if he could and he couldn't find one. KIN176 speaks in 11608 then of the incident on Christmas Day after their visit to the Harland Club that Hugh Quinn came into his room late at night, although he does not make an allegation of Hugh Quinn actually touching him.

If we look at 40813, please, the Sussex superintendents spoke to KIN176 on 29th June 1982. Given the length of stay, you may consider what he has to say on the wider issues to be of assistance to you.

He said he knew nothing of vice rings and prostitution happening in Kincora and you will see he expressed the view he considered those allegations to be absolute rubbish.

R21 was born on . He spent one year and four months in Kincora between 6th April 1978 and 1st August 1979, when he was between the ages of 16

2.4

1 and 17.

2.4

If we can look, please, at 10300 and scroll through his three-page statement, he explained at no time did Mains or Semple approach him to do anything indecent, although he had heard rumours about McGrath and Semple interfering with boys, but could not recall the basis for them.

He says that he formed a friendship with William McGrath and they talked about religion and the Orange Order -- we will see that on 10300; that on occasions when McGrath was alone with him, McGrath would offer to massage his shoulders, which he found relaxing. He, however, wouldn't reciprocate. Then on to the next page, please. You will see that a short period before he left, so potentially June or July 1979, McGrath asked him if he wanted a massage, and when that was happening, he could feel his erect penis up against him and McGrath he says kissed his neck on several occasions.

A few weeks later McGrath asked R21 to give him a massage, which he agreed to do, and McGrath said he would be in the pantry. When R21 went into the pantry, he says McGrath was standing naked with his back to him and he started to massage his shoulders, but then he says he left.

On 10301 he also indicates to the police that on two

or three occasions McGrath had tried to slip his hand under the bed clothes and touch him, but he brushed his hand away.

When McGrath was interviewed about what R21 had to say on 1st April 1980, he remembered him -- if we look at 10518 at the bottom of the page, please, and moving on to the top of the next page -- he remembered him as a recent one at the hostel, but said his allegations were very false, and when asked if he had been naked in the kitchen on one occasion, he said that was absolutely wrong.

However, when he was being interviewed on 2nd April 1980 -- if we look at 10581, please -- he did at least accept that he had massaged R21's shoulders on one occasion, and R21 had spoken in his statement about there being a foul smell in the kitchen the night whenever he was naked, and he says he was right about there being a foul smell. There was something wrong with the fridge freezer.

When submitting his report in August 1980, DCI Caskey recommended that McGrath face a charge of indecent assault in respect of R21.

The DPP agreed. That was charge 32, which became count 32. R21 was person R on the indictment, and McGrath was rearraigned on the second day of trial and

2.4

Page 150 entered a quilty plea to the indecent assault, and 1 2 McGrath was given two years in respect of it. 3 There was a Hughes designation for R21 of "R21", although he did not participate in The Hughes Inquiry. 4 5 KIN55 was born on He was in 6 Kincora between 1st August and 29th August 1978, so for 7 a month in the summer. If we look at 11688, please, he was spoken to by the 8 9 police on 19th March 1980, when he explained he was 10 never approached by anybody in a homosexual manner during his time in Kincora and there was no talk of that 11 12 type taking place as far as he was concerned. 13 If we look, please, at 40804, the Sussex superintendents, when they reinterviewed him, confirmed 14 15 what he'd previously said and he said he wasn't aware of 16 any important people visiting Kincora or being involved 17 with staff or boys. 18 KIN210 has born on He was in Kincora between 18th May 1978 and 31st March 1980, so 19 20 a lengthy period. When he was spoken to on 11th March 1980 -- if we 21 22 look at 11675, please, scrolling on to the next page --23 he explained that no-one ever made any homosexual advances to him and the first he was aware of the 2.4 allegations were when Mr Scoular came to the hostel to 25

explain about the allegations. He explained that after Clive Scoular left, the boys all discussed what had been said, but no-one mentioned to him that they had been approached by a member of staff.

He explained that a Gary Hoey -- that's the name he uses; it may or may not be Gary Hoy -- came to the hostel about ten days before and told him that KIN300 had caught R9 and another lad in bed together. Now it is unlikely, therefore, to be Gary Hoy, because those incidents were from an earlier period, unless it was picked up from someone else, but it is likely to be R9 and R17 that's being spoken of. KIN210 remembered that he had seen R9 visiting Kincora about twenty times while he was there. So that's consistent with R9 saying he was back visiting as an ex-resident from '79 onwards.

He, KIN210 , could also remember Hugh Quinn coming back in Christmas '79 and also remembered R4, the other ex-resident we have spoken of, coming to the hostel most Sundays, then stopping for a while and then resuming.

He said he, KIN210 , got on well with Mains and Semple. McGrath he said was quieter and seemed to be friendly with R21. We have already just discussed R21 who said -- and McGrath was convicted of indecently assaulting him.

2.4

If we look at 40809, please, when he was reinterviewed by the Sussex superintendents, he confirmed that he could not add to the statement he had made to the RUC. He did not know of other boys being interfered with and he was not. He did not know of any important people visiting the hostel.

KIN56 was born on . He was in Kincora between 11th October 1978 and 22nd April 1979, so about six months.

If we look at 11588, please, when he was interviewed by the RUC on 30th March 1980, he explained that no-one had interfered with him. While he had since heard the allegations of homosexual activity, he was not aware of that during his time in Kincora.

If we look at 40805, please, the Sussex superintendents reinterviewed him on 9th June 1982. He confirmed he knew nothing of prostitution or vice rings or boys being assaulted.

He did recall a police officer visiting Mains and, as I mentioned to you earlier, this may well be R2, who himself explained that as a regular ex-resident he continued to have a relationship with Joe Mains. He would have come wearing his police uniform during the period he was in the RUC Reserve.

KIN177 was born on . He arrived in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Page 153 Kincora on 15th December 1978 and he was still there 1 2 when the RUC spoke to him on 11th March 1980, some eighteen months later. He was the younger brother of 3 4 R10 and , whom we previously mentioned. KIN328 5 He explained to the RUC -- at 11604, please -- that 6 , whom we have just talked about, was able to 7 tell him that William McGrath had tried to "fruit up" KIN46 and that KIN46 had hit him, that's hit William 8 9 McGrath. Now, as you know, KIN46 did not claim the 10 second part of that. 11 KIN77 went on to explain that no member of staff or 12 other boy had ever approached him in a homosexual way, 13 nor had he heard anything else like that. When he was reinterviewed -- 40811, please -- on 14 15 14th June '82 he explained that apart from what KIN210 had told him, he didn't know of any other boys 16 17 being interfered with and he certainly wasn't. explained he did not know of any prominent people coming 18 in, nor of prostitution or vice rings. He said when he 19 20 saw the allegations on television, he was shocked, 21 because he never knew of anything like that happening in 22 Kincora. 23 was born on Не KIN211 was in Kincora from 14th January '79 and he was still 2.4 there when spoken to by the RUC on 11th March 1980, some 25

Page 154 thirteen months later -- fourteen months later. 1 2 explained to the RUC that at no stage during his stay 3 had any staff interfered with him, if we look at 11709, 4 please. 5 The Sussex superintendents then would speak to him 6 on 5th July 1982 -- if we can look at 40806, please --7 about the wider issues. He confirmed he was unaware of prostitution or vice rings. The one exception to his 8 9 denial of any important people ever being around was 10 that he could recall the visit of an ex-Mayor, who said -- who he said was a friend of Joe Mains. 11 12 confirmed he had not seen the man himself, but there had 13 been no talk among the boys of anything improper about the visit by this man. 14 15 It is a Deputy Mayor I take it he means, a Vice CHAIRMAN: Mayor? 16 17 Yes, Deputy Mayor. MR AIKEN: R22, who was S on the indictment and R22 in the 18 Hughes Inquiry, was born on 19 He was still in Kincora in March 1980, when he was interviewed 20 21 by the RUC. He had come to Kincora as a 16-year-old on 22 20th April 1979, so almost a year before. 23 If we look at 10306, please, he was interviewed on 11th March and he explained -- he described that 2.4 a couple of months into his stay -- so that would be, if 25

correct, possibly June/July 1979 -- coming down the stairs in just his pyjama bottoms and meeting William McGrath in the kitchen, who he says started to rub his body. He took him into the office -- that's William McGrath took him into the office -- and pulled his pyjamas down and started to rub his privates and asked R22 if he was enjoying it. He said that this happened for a short time before he pulled up his bottoms and left.

He says that on other occasions -- you will see this on 10307 -- that William McGrath would rub his hands over him; on one occasion try to kiss him; and another he, McGrath, asked him to hug him; on another he placed R22's hands on his private parts to force him to rub them. He said William McGrath always did this to him when no-one else was about. He said that he never told Joseph Mains or anyone else about what McGrath was doing because he was afraid.

When McGrath was interviewed about R22, he did remember him as being still in the hostel, but he denied his allegations.

DCI Caskey recommended in his report in August 1980 that McGrath should face a gross indecency charge.

The DPP directed in February '81 that he shouldn't face gross indecency but instead an indecent assault

charge, charge 33 on the direction, which would become count 33 on the indictment. R22 was person S. McGrath, having been rearraigned, entered a guilty plea to the indecent assault and was given two years in respect of it.

If we look, please, at 40802, you will see what R22 had to say to the Sussex superintendents about the wider issue. We are going to look at that, because he recalls being informed of the story breaking and what he says was William McGrath's reaction. He says:

"While I was at Kincora I never heard any of the other boys at the home say they had been interfered with by anyone in the home or outside."

Having already repeated what he said about McGrath:

"I didn't tell anyone about McGrath, because I was scared and I didn't know who to tell. I never heard of any boys being paid money for sex or being taken from the home to hotels. When I heard of the sexual things happening at Kincora, I was very surprised. I knew about McGrath, because of what he did to me, but I never thought Mains or Semple, because I didn't think they were like that. I remember that there was something on the news on television about Kincora and McGrath, Mains and Semple. About the same time there was a meeting at Kincora run by a tallish man with glasses and a blue

2.4

Page 157 suit, who I think was Mr Scoular. Mr Scoular took all 1 2 the boys into the office and told us something was wrong 3 at Kincora and the police would be coming to talk to us. McGrath, Mains and Semple were at the meeting. 4 Mr McGrath was sweating a lot and did most of the 5 6 talking. I can only remember that he asked some of the 7 boys if they knew what a homosexual was." 8 He was given the designation R22. 9 KIN58 was born on (sic). He came to 10 Kincora on 27th June 1979 and was still residing there 11 when he spoke to police on 11th March 1980. 12 look at 11592, please, scrolling on to the next page, he 13 explained that he had got on well with Mains and Semple and not so much with McGrath, who was stricter. 14 15 recalled him -- and this is on the second page -- as 16 being gruff when he got the boys up in the morning. 17 can see how he describes that occurring. You can see: 18 "He generally came into the room, shook us roughly 19 by the shoulder." 20 If that is correct as a matter of fact, then McGrath's modus operandi of touching boys when they were 21 22 sleeping and waking up wasn't applied universally. 23 was born on (sic). KIN208 He entered Kincora on 12th November 1979 and was still 2.4 there when the RUC spoke to him on 12th March 1980. 25

He explained -- if we look at 11603, please -- that while no-one had ever made any sexual approaches towards him, the other boys told him within a few weeks of moving in to watch out for William McGrath, because he was "an old queer". At this stage William McGrath would have been into his 60s, which is where the reference to age comes in.

When he was reinterviewed by the Sussex superintendents -- if we look at 40812, please -- on 10th June 1982, he explained that the boys talked about McGrath being "a fruit" and interfering with them in the mornings when he woke them up. He knew of no other queer things going on.

He could remember a man with a moustache visiting two or three times a week, but he believed he was an old boy who used to reside in Kincora. So that's likely to be either R2, R4, Hugh Quinn or R9.

KIN59 was born on . He was in Kincora from 19th December 1979 to 31st March 1980. He was still resident when the police spoke to him on 11th March 1980.

If we look at 11614, please, he confirmed in that statement, as I have said already, William McGrath did not stay overnight in the hostel. He explained nothing indecent had ever happened to him, nor was he aware of

it happening to anyone else. He did give his impressions of the three men who worked there, and you will see that was positive for Mains and Semple, but he didn't like William McGrath. Just scroll down on to the next page, please. You can see he is giving his views of the three men at the end of the statement.

Now what I have done is go through the -- all the individuals who were spoken to by either the RUC or by the Sussex superintendents. I think they traced at least one boy beyond those that the RUC had found, and you can see, just using the chart on the wall in respect of the fifth period, that a very significant number certainly of those who were there for long periods of time were traced and spoken to.

There the matter rested based on what I've taken you through until post the Millenium. The PSNI have produced material to the Inquiry in respect of people who have made allegations since the year 2000 when they -- a new set of allegations, as it were, would happen from time to time, and some of those allegations are from people that we have already mentioned, but on occasions they are from someone who was not spoken to during the 1980s.

The first I am going to deal with is from 2003 and he falls into that latter category, somebody who was not

spoken to. He is KIN238. He was born on

2 He is KIN238. He was a resident at Kincora from

3 24th May 1977 until 10th June 1977, so a three-week

4 period. An attempt had been made by the RUC to contact

him at the time of the original Inquiry, but he joined

6 the Army and was posted to

He did, however, give a statement to police on 12th September 2003. It runs across four pages -- if we begin, please, at 60459 -- and in that statement to the police he made the following allegations against Joseph Mains.

When KIN238 arrived at Kincora, he said he was told to go to Joseph Mains's office. Mains accused him of stealing a pen and he denied that. Mains then carried out a search of his person. He started with his pockets and then rubbed his penis up and down over the outside of his jeans, which he says lasted about a minute. Then KIN238 says Mains got the housemaster. The police identified this as a likely reference to William McGrath. Mains told KIN238 to take his pants down and bend over the housemaster's knee. The housemaster slapped him five or six times on the backside and then he says he inserted a finger on his right hand into his anus, pushing it in and out. He says — he describes it as painful and he cried and he was told to fix himself.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When he stood up, he saw Mains in his chair with his penis out masturbating himself.

Now the Panel will note that this is an allegation of Joseph Mains and William McGrath in concert abusing a boy and that's the only allegation of its kind in the material we have looked at.

The second incident -- which begins on 60460 and moves across the next two pages -- KIN238 speaks of an occasion after dinner in Kincora when he says Joe Mains called him into the office and told him he was taking him to the police station.

Both Mains and the housemaster, so William McGrath, brought him in Mains' car to a semi-detached house nearby. KIN238 was in the back, Mains was driving and McGrath was in the passenger seat. A police officer, KIN238 says, in full uniform, who he couldn't name, was standing in the doorway of the house, which was further down the Newtownards Road.

The four of them went into the living room and Mains and the housemaster took off their trousers and underwear and sat on the sofa. They told KIN238 to take off all of his clothes, which he did. Mains told him to walk around the room while Mains and McGrath masturbated. The police officer was said to have watched.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Mains told KIN238 to sit on the sofa between him and the housemaster. The housemaster started masturbating KIN238 and then bent over and gave him oral sex. Joseph Mains and the police officer were masturbating while this was happening. When KIN238 started to cry, the housemaster slapped him across the face. McGrath forced him to perform oral sex on Mains and then the housemaster got on his knees behind him and put his erect penis in his anus."

So you now have an allegation of an even more graphic sort, but also the first allegation of its kind where you have Mains and, if the housemaster is McGrath, McGrath involved in sexual activity, but also with another unidentified man, and more than one of them engaging in sexual interference with this boy at the same time.

After this occurred he was told to get dressed and Mains, the housemaster and KIN238 left the house in Mains' car and returned to Kincora. The Panel will note this is an allegation of Mains and McGrath in concert with a third unidentified man, other than he was wearing a police uniform, abusing a boy, and that's the only allegation of its kind.

The third incident again involved KIN238 being taken by Mains and the housemaster, Ms McGrath, to this house

2.4

where the police officer was to be found. On this occasion KIN238 was made to perform oral sex on Joe Mains, McGrath and the police officer while one of the men held him in position by his hair.

When he was performing oral sex on the police officer, the officer was masturbating and ejaculated into his mouth and KIN238 then vomited on the carpet. He was told to get dressed and then return to Kincora again.

The following day KIN238 ran away and never returned to Kincora.

He states at 60462 and 3 that he didn't tell anyone what happened in Kincora until 1999, when he told his girlfriend at the time. She insisted he tell his parents, which he did. His father said he knew all along that -- because the police had called when he was in looking to speak to him about it.

From KIN238's description of the housemaster, this unidentified second assailant, the police identified him as William McGrath and, as you know, he died on 12th December 1991, Joseph Mains also having been -- having died I think before certainly he was spoken to about this. The police were unable to identify the policeman as described in KIN238's statement and as a result of that the case can't be progressed.

2.4

Then in October 2011 Gary Hoy -- we have mentioned him previously having spoken to the police in 1980 -- on 13th October he contacted the police to report historical abuse at Kincora. We looked at what he said in 1980. At that stage he said that no homosexual approaches were made to him by staff or boys, but he could recall William McGrath throwing the bedclothes off and looking at him and regarding him as gay and also talking about R18 and Joseph Mains.

In 2011 he told the police that he had previously spoken to English police but was unsure if he had made a statement. It is recorded in the 1983 report of Sussex Superintendent Harrison -- if we look at 40199, please, at paragraph 493 -- that, and I quote:

"Other youths traced by the RUC who didn't suffer any assaults themselves but who admit to being aware of gossip and rumour particularly about McGrath were ..."

and one of them that he names is Gary Hoy. Now whether that's correct, because there is no -- the Sussex superintendent reference to a police statement being at SPS188 is not to a statement to Gary Hoy. It is to a boy called Hoey. So it may be he has conflated the two names, and what he may be -- it may be there is no formal statement from Gary Hoy from 1982, but it may be, as Gary Hoy said to the police, that he spoke to the English

2.4

police, but did not make a formal statement.

In any event in the PSNI log for 2011 he is recorded as having said to the police that he did not remember if he was physically or sexually abused, but he does report emotional trauma.

The PSNI then -- the efforts to engage with Gary Hoy between 13th October 2011, when he first reported the abuse, until 8th January 2013 are documented in the occurrence log. On 8th January then 2013 an achieving best evidence interview was conducted with Gary Hoy. It runs in the bundle from 60272 to 60428. He made the following allegations against Joseph Mains and Raymond Semple, but repeated what he previously said about William McGrath.

In respect of Joseph Mains, if you were late at night-time, you were brought in front of him and he would have brought you into his bedroom, which he described as a Portakabin beside his office, and would have thrown you over a bed and slapped up. He hit you with his fists.

He said that Joe Mains drove Gary Hoy to The Four Winds in his car and told him he was going to wash his car. He remembers being taken into the bedroom, put on the bed and raped from behind by Joe Mains. You will find that in 60329 to 60332.

2.4

He remembers a couple of years after that being taken to the Ulster Hospital and receiving treatment for his back passage and Joseph Mains panicking about that. There is obviously an issue over dates, because he wasn't in Kincora for that length of time. He was -- he said he was bleeding from his back passage.

On the second occasion Mains brought him to his house. He brought him into the shower with him and made Gary Hoy give him oral sex. Obviously that's the first allegation of that kind.

After both incidents Mains brought Gary Hoy back to Kincora.

In respect of Raymond Semple Gary Hoy said that when he came out of the shower, Semple would grab hold of him and try to dry him with a towel on numerous occasions. So that is very like R7. He explains that he grabbed him around his chest, back and bottom firmly with his hands from the age of 10 until 15. Now Gary Hoy obviously wasn't in Kincora for five years or -- but that's what he says. When he was older, he said he told Semple to leave him alone and he would dry himself.

On one occasion he said Mr Semple told the staff at Kincora -- and you will find this on 60349 -- that he was taking Gary Hoy to watch a Glentoran football match and they got a bus instead to Semple's house in

2.4

Fortwilliam. He remembers an older man sitting in the living room naked from the waist down and he's a vague memory that he sexually abused Gary Hoy. He remembers Raymond Semple coming in and out of the kitchen while that was occurring, and later on that evening he was brought back to Kincora by Raymond Semple. That runs across 60356 and 7.

In respect of William McGrath, Gary Hoy said that in the mornings McGrath would sometimes be sitting on the side of the bed staring or he'd pull the duvet off you, and you may or may not have had underpants on, and he would stand staring at you. So that's broadly the same as what he'd said in 1980. Gary Hoy explained that the reasons he had not made any previous disclosures of abuse to the police was because -- and you will find this on 60419 -- he was too scared.

On 7th February 2013 there's a letter from his then solicitors, McCann & McCann, at 60071 sent to the police saying that their client did not want to proceed with any charges in relation to something irrelevant, a stabbing incident, but also did not want to proceed with his complaint in relation to sexual abuse.

Dr Linda Knox made a statement to the police on 28th March 2013 -- that's at 60081 -- confirming that on 4th December 2012 Gary Hoy had reported to her colleague,

2.4

,	
	Page 168
1	Dr Wendy Gardner, that he had been the victim of child
2	abuse when in Kincora Boys' Home, and that she had no
3	other details of the child abuse.
4	As Mains, Semple and McGrath were deceased, the PSNI
5	could not take the matter further.
6	In 2012 KIN135 , who was born on
7	I should perhaps have observed for you
8	no doubt you will have identified it in any event
9	no other boy has talked about Raymond Semple taking them
10	to a house and being involved with someone else abusing
11	them.
12	KIN135 was born on .
13	He resided in Kincora for approximately seven months
14	from 3rd January '64 until 15th August 64 so that's
15	taking us right back to the time that Raymond Semple is
16	just coming to begin his employment when he was
17	15 years old.
18	His daughter explained in her police statement in
19	July 2012 how she had made contact with police in
20	on 20th January 2012 as KIN135, her
21	father, had told her he needed to speak to the police.
22	On 24th January $_{ m KIN135}$ reported his allegations of
23	sexual abuse to a detective constable in the
24	Police and three months later on 23rd April
25	2012 Police conducted an achieving

best evidence interview with $\mbox{KIN135}$. It runs from 60145 to 60150.

During the interviews at various points he talked about his serious difficulties with alcohol and overdosing and how that had caused him serious medical problems during his lifetime. He at various points discussed how his sister had brought up Kincora over the year and the fact there was lots of sex claims about it, and he also explained how a mate of his who was into computers had checked up and told him that MI6 were involved in the abuse at Kincora.

He explained that he had previously never spoken with the police or given a statement about the allegations. He did remember about a few years after he left the home the police were asking for anyone who was a resident to contact them, but he never did. He said he was too ashamed and embarrassed.

During the interview he alleged that he was violently raped by Joe Mains, Raymond Semple and William McGrath on numerous occasions. Now I should pause to observe that Raymond Semple did not arrive in Kincora until September 1964, though it is possible he volunteered at Kincora before that date. However, William McGrath did not come to Kincora until June 1971.

KIN135 alleged he was raped by Joseph Mains about

2.4

six times, William McGrath four -- four or five times and Raymond Semple one or two times.

His first realisation that something was wrong in the home was when Mains would ask the boys to sit on his knee and you couldn't refuse. He stated that Mains would always have an election. You will be aware no-one else has described that modus operandi.

KIN135 remembers that he used to wet the bed and Mains used an implement on his penis to stop him passing water. He recalls that Mains would then play with his genitalia and thereafter "go down on him", presumably meaning oral sex. Again you are aware nobody else has made that type of allegation.

He recalled Raymond Semple coming into the room and telling him that Joe Mains wanted to see him. He ten to the office and they, it appears together, would pull his pyjamas down and made him go down on each one of them, again a reference to oral sex. He describes them as having his mouth around their penises. He recalls getting slapped while he was doing it and being given something to wipe his mouth afterwards. He appears to be the only person to allege that Joe Mains and Raymond Semple ever in combination abused anyone.

He recalled that he had been there about six months when Mains, Semple and McGrath were around his bed and

2.4

with the back of him with cold cream and fingers went up his backside and then a penis. He recalls it being unbearable and he was squealing. He was getting slapped and punched by them because he couldn't bear it, and he's the only person, as you know, to allege that Mains, Semple and McGrath in combination abused anyone.

He describes the rapes as him first feeling cream being placed into him and then the most horrendous pain inside his bottom, piercing like a knife. He said the pain was so bad he would scream out and they would pull his head back and put their hands over his mouth and eyes. The following day they couldn't be nicer, allowing him to go to the cinema or giving him money. So he is describing a violent gang rape said to involve all three of these men.

He states that he often heard other boys being taken from their beds and being dragged off. They came back drunk and had to be put to bed. He knew what was going on, but no-one ever spoke about it. He said the boys were too frightened to go to sleep for fear of what would happen to them.

Then he also -- this is at 60148 -- remembers

McGrath -- I made the position about his start date

clear -- being in the kitchen frying eggs, and took his

2.4

penis out and grabbed KIN135 by the hair and pulled his head down. KIN135 hit him and ran off to find his dad. His dad drove him back to Kincora and he was sent to his room for one hour and then sustained what he described as an almighty beating. Mains told him that they had people who were very high up in organisations and he could be taken away and not found again.

He claims he got regular beatings for refusing to do the things they wanted him to do. As the boys got older, they were sent out to work to get them used to living outside the home. He recalled working in a paper place in Corporation Street, when he couldn't go to work for about a week because of a severe beating. He doesn't recall why he got the beating, but assumes it was some sort of sexual attack or him refusing to participate.

He also remembers that there were two other men in their 20s who would come into his room now and again and slip into his bed, grabbing him and fondling him, and they would always get their way. He does not remember their names.

It is logged in November 2012 by the PSNI that Mains, Semple and McGrath were deceased and therefore that would be the end of the matter.

In 2014 $_{
m KIN279}$, who was born on

2.4

he was in Kincora for two weeks between 28th May '75 and 9th June '75, when he was nine years old, along with his brother κ IN280 and his older brother κ IN281.

When he spoke to the police on 1st March 1980, when he was 13, he explained he was never interfered with by any of the staff, nor did he see any indecent acts taking place. That's at 60209.

He was reinterviewed by the Sussex detectives on 15th June '82 at 60208, when he was 16. He said he had nothing further to add to that statement to the RUC and confirmed he had no knowledge about politicians and civil servants and so on being involved in a prostitution ring.

He explained to police that in 2009/2010 he sustained a head injury, having fallen from a roof. He explained that he had no memory of any abuse until after that fall. Since then he had attended with psychiatrists, because he says he now has memory of being abused in Kincora.

KIN279 made his allegations to the police on 6th March 2014 and an achieving best evidence interview was conducted with him on 8th May 2015. You will find that across thirty pages at 60173 to 60207.

He explained that post his fall he now remembers that whilst in Kincora he was lifted out of bed in his

pyjamas, brought into a room and set on a table. He was then forced to have oral sex -- he remembers the taste and smell -- and then he was raped. Someone took down his pyjama bottoms and played with his genitalia and anus. He does not remember who did that. He remembers William McGrath stepping forward and saw the top of his genitalia. He was penetrated, but can't say whether it was a penis, finger, pen or banana. He doesn't know who sexually assaulted him, but he thinks a man called Raymond lifted him out of bed and brought him into the room.

The Inquiry has seen a letter from the Compensation Service dated 15th April 2014 seeking information from the PSNI as KIN279 had made a claim for criminal injury compensation. Police then provided the typical report of an alleged criminal injury.

The police note in their occurrence log relating to this file that, as KIN279 disclosed offences against McGrath only, who is deceased, he was informed there would be no further police action. Obviously Semple and Mains are also dead.

I mentioned Richard Kerr earlier, and he gave an interview to Spotlight in 2014, where he made allegations about being abused in Kincora, and talks about Joseph Mains getting him drunk on whiskey and Mains telling him that he

2.2

2.4

really cared for him and liked him, and that he would be taken to hotels where they would have drinks, and other men would have been there, and ask Richard Kerr and his friend to go up to the room, and they would go up and have to perform oral sex on the men. They'd then return downstairs and order more drinks.

When they first arrived at the hotel, they would be drinking alcohol and Mains would say to them that they would have somebody nice. Why didn't he go and spend some time with them? Mains would say that the man had a gift for him. Richard Kerr would then go up to the hotel room where the man would be waiting, and they would then have a sexual encounter. He described the various gifts he would receive, like chocolate, watch and small radio. He said he talked to his friend when they were in their bedroom at night and told him not to say anything, as nobody would believe them.

He claimed in the interview there was a vast number of people involved in this and it was a huge network, and that the children he had spoken to told him this was done to them and to his friends, including those who committed suicide.

So what Richard Kerr is describing here -- and we will be able to ask him about that -- is a situation where Joseph Mains is taking him to a hotel with alcohol

2.2

2.4

and then passing him to others.

In June 2015 KIN24, who was born on , approached the PSNI. I mentioned him earlier in the main part of the chronology. He resided in Kincora from September '66 to 8th March '67, when he was 15 years old.

When he spoke to the RUC on 12th March 1980, when he was 38, he explained he was never approached by any member of staff or other boys to do anything indecent, and when he was spoken to by the Sussex detectives in June '82, he had nothing further to add, and explained he knew nothing about prostitution rings.

As I said, KIN24 approached the police on 30th June 2015 and he made a fresh allegation through an ABE interview that was conducted on 25th August 2015. That runs from 60438 to 60450.

During that interview he alleged he got the job of waking Joseph Mains in the morning and he thinks he brought him tea. On two of those occasions Mains asked KIN24 to touch him and on one of the occasions Mains touched KIN24. He thinks it may have happened a third time, but he can't be sure. He states that the first time Mains was wearing a dressing gown and nothing underneath when he brought him tea. Mains brushed up against him and touched him and asked him to touch

2.4

Mains. Mains touched him on the genitals and had an erection. He could not remember if Mains ejaculated on the first occasion. He states that Mains rubbed his penis under his clothes and wanted KIN24 to rub him, which he thinks he did.

He states on the second occasion he sat on the bed and Mains ejaculated when KIN24 touched him. Mains touched him on the backside as well. Later in the interview he confirmed that he masturbated Mains, but he is not sure if Mains ejaculated. He remembers telling his father, but didn't seem -- his father didn't seem to take it on board. He thinks, in fact, his father -- he expressed a view about his father and his position.

The PSNI marked the file as requiring no further police action, as the suspect being described was dead.

In August 2015, as a result of a referral from the Inquiry, the PSNI contacted James Miller on 4th February 2015 to ascertain whether he had any additional allegations to make beyond what he had said in his previous police statements.

In the PSNI occurrence log in 2015 it is noted that James Miller had said he previously gave a statement, but when police returned to sign it, it did not contain everything he had told them. He sent the statement he made to the HIA with his handwritten amendments to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

police and in addition to his earlier allegations to the police he annotated the statement to say that McGrath had tied him to the bed a few times and kicked him in the balls and said it was to toughen him up. That's the first allegation of that kind and we can ask James Miller about it.

He also sent an e-mail to the police on 20th August 2015, and in the e-mail he was able to state that he knew Gary Hoy, Richard Kerr and R18 were definitely assaulted by William McGrath and that R18 and Richard Kerr were also assaulted by Joseph Mains.

He said he now knew McGrath was assaulting boys since the '40s and that the raping and buggering of boys was commonplace in the upstairs bathroom in Kincora, because the door had a lock.

He claimed that McGrath was friendly with

Ian Paisley, Gerry Fitt and John McKeague, who he saw at

Kincora on numerous occasions, and that McGrath also

talked about Dr Fraser from the RVH Hospital, though he

wasn't sure if he actually came to Kincora.

He said that Raymond Semple was a good timekeeper and would catch McGrath interfering with the boys, which he would then ignore.

James Miller explained the particular favourite of McGrath's was to, and I quote, "take you up the bum over

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

the banisters".

He explained that McGrath would rape him over the freezer in the kitchen and that McGrath would give him extra pocket money to keep him happy. He states that McGrath would say to him, "You'll always be my wee James. What I'm going to do when you leave -- what am I going to do when you leave? I love you. I love all my special boys. Your parents don't care for you. That's why you're here. Never tell anyone outside our wee games. No-one will ever belief you".

As a result of the referral from the Inquiry the police spoke to Clinton Massey in August 2015, who didn't want to make a new complaint to police. He had spoken to the Inquiry and explained his allegations, and I have gone through those.

In October '15 R4, whom you have heard me speak about on a number of occasions, was a resident and then a regular visitor in the years that followed, the PSNI conducted an achieving best evidence interview with him on 27th October 2015. That runs from 60091 to 60114.

His allegations against Joseph Mains went a little further than before. He said that on two occasions

Mains put his hand down and touched around his privates, his penis and tried to play with him, tried to kiss him on the lips and R4 wouldn't let him. He asked R4 to

touch him and he did. This would happen in the side apartment of Main's office. He states he didn't discuss it with anybody at the time.

During the summer while he was still a resident at Kincora he says he went to Mains' girlfriend, that's BAR1's, house -- he said it was on the Road -- to do some gardening. When he was there, Mains told him that he wanted to have anal sex with him and tried to touch him up. When BAR1 walked in, R4 told her that Mains had tried to touch him up and had done it before in Kincora. That was not something -- an allegation that he had made before. He states the reason he did not tell any adults about what was happening was because he was too scared and they wouldn't believe him.

Members of the Panel, given the number of individuals that I have tried to go through, I can't rule out the possibility that I have overlooked someone. If I have, no doubt someone will bring that to my attention and then I will deal with it before you, but I hope I have drawn to your attention the material the Inquiry has gathered in respect of what the residents of Kincora have to say.

I said at the outset of beginning what is obviously a very lengthy, detailed examination of the allegations that it was important that the voices of the victims

2.4

Page 181 would be heard. Well, you have heard their voices. 1 2 That is what they have to say about what they say happened to them and about what they say didn't happen. 3 You will hear their oral voices, some of them, next 4 5 week. 6 Unless there is anything further that I can assist 7 you with, that's what I propose to say at this stage. Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 9 Now, Ms McKeegan, what is the position about the travel arrangements for Richard Kerr? 10 I spoke to Richard Kerr this afternoon. MS McKEEGAN: I hope 11 12 speak to him again later on this evening to confirm 13 them, and I will get back to the Inquiry as soon as 14 possible. 15 Well, if you are not in contact with the position that Richard Kerr wishes to adopt about flights by first 16 thing on Monday morning, we will contact him direct and 17 make the arrangements with no further reference to you. 18 It is simply ridiculous that simple matters like travel 19 arrangements are taking so long, because you insist on 20 him going through your office. The Inquiry is not going 21 to speak to Richard Kerr about matters that are 2.2 confidential between you and him. We simply want to make 23 arrangements. So if you don't have it with us by 10 2.4 o'clock on Monday morning, we will speak to him 25

```
Page 182
         direct. Is that clear?
 1
     MS McKEEGAN: Yes, Mr Chairman.
 2
 3
                 Very well.
     CHAIRMAN:
     (4.20 pm)
 4
 5
                   (Inquiry adjourned until 10 o'clock
                        on Monday, 6th June 2016)
 6
 7
                                 --00000--
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

,	Page 183
1	I N D E X
2	
3	Opening statement by COUNSEL TO THE2 INQUIRY (cont.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
45	