\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

being heard before:

SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)

MR DAVID LANE

MS GERALDINE DOHERTY

held at

Banbridge Court House

Banbridge

on Tuesday, 29th April 2014 commencing at 10.30 am

(Day 28)

 $\operatorname{MS}$  CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 1 Tuesday, 29th April 2014 (10.30 am)3 WITNESS SND23 (called) 4 CHAIRMAN: Good morning. 5 MS SMITH: Chairman, Panel Members, the first witness today 6 is "SND23", who is known as SND23. SND23 would like to 7 maintain his anonymity for the purposes of the Inquiry, 8 and, SND23, the Chairman is just going ask you about taking the oath or affirming. So if you would just stand up, please. 10 Can I affirm? 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Very well, SND23. 13 WITNESS SND23 (affirmed) 14 Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: 15 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 16 MS SMITH: SND23, if you can pull the microphone towards you 17 18 Aye. Α. 19 -- and speak into it --20 Right. 21 -- because we have to get -- everybody has to hear what 22 you say and it's quite --23 Can you hear me all right now? Α. 24 Yes. Ο. 25 Α. Right.

- 1 Q. You are quite softly spoken, but the stenographer has to
- get a record of what you say.
- 3 SND23, can I now confirm you are now aged ? Isn't
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. According -- you provided a witness statement to the
- 7 Inquiry, which we can just pull up on the screen, which
- 8 is SND-15883.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Now can I just ask you to confirm -- we discussed this
- earlier and you see there are black redactions on this
- document and you see that it says "Witness statement of
- 13 SND23". Can you see that written there?
- 14 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 15 Q. That is the designation the Inquiry has given to you --
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. -- to protect your anonymity.
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. If we can just go to the last page of that document,
- which is at SND-15891 -- sorry. There is some
- 21 photographs that you attached to it.
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. If you just go back to SND-15890 --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and you see there there's a large block -- black

- rectangle "SND23" and underneath that is your signature
- 2 --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- and it's dated 28th February 2014.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can I just confirm with you, SND23, that is this is the
- 7 statement that you provided to the Inquiry?
- 8 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 9 Q. And it is the evidence you wish the Inquiry to consider?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Apologies. Sorry, Chairman. There is an appearance to
- be announced.
- 13 MR McQUITTY: Chairman, I appear on behalf of SND23
- instructed by McClernon Moynagh Solicitors.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 16 MR McQUITTY: Thank you, sir.
- 17 MS SMITH: Now, SND23, can I just also say to you it is
- 18 clear you were quite emotional when you were taking the
- 19 affirmation. So if at any stage you feel you need
- a break, please just say and we can take a short break.
- 21 There should be water there before you.
- Now I showed you a document this morning which is
- 23 an extract from the Sisters of Nazareth register.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. If we can just have a brief look at that, it can be

- found at SND-17049. If we could enlarge that, please.
- 2 A. I can make it out anyway. It's okay.
- 3 Q. That should make it a bit easier for you to see.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But you will see there it gives your name. It says
- 6 where you were born, your date of birth --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- where you were baptised. It gives your mother's
- 9 name. It says that you were recommended to Termonbacca
- 10 by the Mother Superior in Fahan and you left Termonbacca
- on . It says at the time that you
- were received into it your mother was living.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now you were -- clearly as it's been recommended by the
- Mother Superior in Fahan, you had spent some time there
- before you actually went as a child to Termonbacca.
- Were you aware of that before?
- 18 A. Say again.
- 19 Q. You spent some time -- sorry -- in Fahan before you went
- to Termonbacca.
- 21 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 22 Q. Can I ask you what you -- what's your earliest memory of
- being in Termonbacca?
- 24 A. Being in the nursery, wearing a jumpsuit out in the play
- 25 area.

- 1 Q. Do you remember how old you were?
- 2 A. About
- 3 Q. Okay, and you are -- this document has said that your
- 4 mother was living. Did your mother ever visit you
- 5 during your time there?
- 6 A. I'm not aware that she did, but I did get visits from
- 7 people. They did mention that I had a brother, and they
- 8 were going to bring him up to see me, but I can't recall
- 9 ever that happening, you know.
- 10 Q. You said -- when we were speaking earlier, you said that
- 11 you were about 7 or 8 and you remembered a visit from --
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 Q. -- a man and a woman who brought three boys with them.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You don't know who they were, though.
- 16 A. The eldest boy was called and I don't know. It
- was around Easter time, because they brought Easter
- 18 eggs. That's how I remember it.
- 19 Q. You describe that meeting as having taken place in what
- you describe as the big parlour.
- 21 A. Yes, that's right.
- 22 O. SR137 --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. -- and SR1 were both there at the time.
- 25 A. Yes. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Again I am using the names to make it easier --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 O. -- but those names will be --
- 4 A. Redacted.
- 5 Q. -- redacted when it comes to publishing -- publishing
- 6 them.
- 7 You were -- you subsequently became aware that you
- 8 did -- apart from this meeting of learning about
- a brother you became [aware] about something else about
- 10 your life -- isn't that right -- about the fact that you
- had an older brother who had died. Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes. I had brothers. One of them died before I was
- born .
- 14 Q. Now just going back to your time -- sorry, SND23, but
- just going back to your time in Termonbacca if I can
- just ask some general questions about life, what life
- was like there for you.
- 18 A. Yes. Fair enough.
- 19 Q. Did you form friendships in the home?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. And can you say who the boys you were friendly with
- were?
- 23 A. I was friendly with a number of people, you know. There
- would have been SND76, SND122, HIA22, you know.
- 25 Q. And can I ask you -- you have explained to me this

- 1 morning that you have a form of
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Is there anything you wish to say to the Inquiry about
- 4 the education you received?
- 5 A. As far as I was concerned they generally tried to help
- 6 us, you know.
- 7 Q. You have explained to me that you have no complaints to
- 8 make about the clothing that was provided.
- 9 A. Definitely not.
- 10 Q. In fact, you described you feel you were well dressed.
- 11 A. Definitely.
- 12 Q. And again you also said that you felt that you were well
- 13 fed.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And, in fact, you said to me that you felt you were --
- 16 A. Better fed than some of my contemporaries at school,
- yes, definitely.
- 18 Q. Now there was -- are you okay, SND23?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Are you happy to continue?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Well, can you just -- we also had a discussion this
- 23 morning about bathing in the home.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. There was something you wanted to say about that. Isn't

- 1 that correct?
- 2 A. Well, I know there's been a lot made of the fact we were
- 3 bathed in Jeyes Fluid, but my understanding was it was
- $^4$  the use of a disinfectant. What transpires is that the
- disinfectant that was used in the early stages, you
- know, they subsequently realised, you know, it was far
- 7 too strong to be using for general bathing and, you
- 8 know, I can recall that that practice stopped even
- 9 before we left the old building and any disinfectant
- that was used would have been the likes of Dettol and
- things like that, and it was basically for our hygiene,
- 12 you know. There was no malice.
- 13 Q. SND23, just take your time. If this is -- if this is
- too difficult, please just say, SND23, and we can take
- 15 a break.
- 16 A. No. Go ahead.
- 17 Q. Okay. Perhaps it might be -- if it's easier for you for
- me to explain some of the things that you told me --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- I'll do it that way.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You said that you -- I asked you about chores and work
- 23 --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and you said that you each had certain tasks, that

- 1 you had dishes and things to do, but that you certainly
- didn't feel that you were cheap labour.
- 3 A. Definitely. Definitely.
- 4 Q. You also when I asked about the numbering issue said
- 5 that you were absolutely certain that it was purely for
- 6 clothing purposes.
- 7 A. Yes, yes.
- 8 Q. You did describe that you had the same number as someone
- 9 else who has given evidence to the Inquiry.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Just for the sake of clarity you were number -- you were
- 12 known as number --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- or that was the number that was on your clothing.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You do remember being in both the and the --
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. -- in Termonbacca, and you also remember getting pocket
- money.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You say you regularly got pocket money until the
- 22 troubles start.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Can I just confirm with you what you did with the --
- 25 before the troubles started what happened to the pocket

- 1 money?
- 2 A. On a Saturday afternoon we would have went down the
- 3 town. To the best of my recollection the pocket money
- was about 2 and 6, and we'd have been allowed to go down
- 5 the town for the afternoon.
- 6 Q. To spend it?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Then after the troubles started you say that what
- 9 happened was that money was saved up for you all --
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. -- and was then spent on a day trip to Letterkenny or
- 12 somewhere?
- 13 A. Yes. Aye.
- 14 Q. You do remember birthdays being celebrated.
- 15 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 16 Q. One of the things that you recall is that you were
- encouraged to take a plate down to the main kitchen --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- where you were provided with a special fry, a special
- meal.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You also recall maybe that there was a pastry or
- something like that given to you.
- 24 A. Yes, yes.
- 25 Q. You also recall getting presents of some sort.

- 1 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- $^2$  Q. I did ask you if you knew anything about boys going to
- 3 Australia and what you said to me was that that was
- 4 before your time there --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- but that there was some discussion about who didn't
- 7 get to go to Australia. Is that right?
- 8 A. Aye, qualifying criteria, aye. Anybody that wet the bed
- 9 or wore glasses was disbarred from going.
- 10 Q. So you said that anybody with any sort of medical defect
- 11 --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- couldn't go.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. When I asked you about whether you ever saw anyone from
- 16 Social Services visit the home --
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. -- you said that that's correct.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. There were people visited. Can you maybe give us some
- 21 details about that?
- 22 A. Well, I remember any -- there was children in there that
- had a welfare worker, as they were referred to then, and
- I can recall them coming to visit quite regularly.
- 25 Q. I asked you if you remember any names of anyone coming.

- 1 A. Aye. Well, I can recall SND 483 . The lady that
- died, I just can't recall her name at the minute, you
- know.
- 4 Q. You remember two ladies coming.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. One was SND 483 --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- and the other is someone who you can't remember --
- 9 A. Aye, aye.
- 10 O. -- but who --
- A. She died in about the . So ... She died in her
- 12 sleep.
- $^{13}$  Q. One of the reasons you particularly remember the welfare
- 14 coming was that those children who had --
- 15 A. Well, they would have got, you know, presents, probably
- new clothes on their birthdays and Christmas, you know.
- 17 Q. Now if I can move on, just going back to your witness
- statement, if we could go back to that, please, at
- page SND-15884, if we look at paragraph 10 of your
- 20 paragraph (sic), this is where you make certain specific
- 21 allegations about your time in Termonbacca --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- and I'll just summarise those for you, SND23.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You say at paragraph 10 that you were aware that there

- was sexual contacts between boys in the home while you
- 2 were resident.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. You describe what form that took.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You considered that this behaviour would have been
- 7 consensual at that time.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. You say that you yourself were involved in some of this
- 10 kind of behaviour and that contact would have occurred
- infrequently.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. It would have started when you were aged and finished
- when you were aged
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You say that after you left the home you had no sexual
- 17 contact of any kind with any resident.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. You then go on in paragraph 12 to describe how you
- 20 yourself were a victim of sexual abuse and in that
- 21 paragraph you name a man who you say --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- committed that sexual abuse.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. If I may now turn, SND23, you are aware that certain

- l allegations have been made against you.
- 2 A. I am indeed.
- 3 Q. I am going to deal with those in terms of the people and
- 4 again I am going to use the names of the boys --
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. -- just to make it easier for everyone to understand,
- 7 but those names are not to be repeated outside this
- 8 room.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. The first person who has made allegations against you is
- someone called HIA69, and his statement -- I am not
- going to ask for it to be called up -- it can be found
- 13 at SND-2188 to SND-2197.
- In paragraph 13 of his statement he said that you
- tried to touch him in the showers and on one occasion
- 16 you tried to go further. He said that you tried it on
- 17 during and you punched him on the nose.
- 18 He described you as being one of the older boys who were
- most feared.
- 20 In his oral evidence to this Inquiry he said that --
- when your denials of what he said were put to him, he
- 22 said that you never touched him, but you tried all the
- time to do so, and in your statement you deal with his
- 24 allegations at paragraphs 19 to 25 and at paragraph 27
- also and you deny all of his allegations.

- 1 A. I certainly do.
- 2 Q. You say there was never any sexual contact between you.
- 3 You would not have been around the shower area after you
- 4 left.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Ex-residents would have met in the dining room. They
- 7 would not have been overseeing the dorms, and you say
- 8 that he has a particular reason for making the
- 9 allegations against you --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- in that when allegations emerged about another boy,
- 12 SND35 --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- and he broke contact with Termonbacca -- you broke
- 15 contact with Termonbacca, you say that SND35 alleged to
- 16 you that you this boy, HIA69, had abused him.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. That you encouraged SND 35 to report this to SR1 --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- and that you told SND 35's social worker.
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Can I just ask you: do you remember who SND 35's social
- worker was?
- 24 A. I couldn't, but it's in the statement. He was
- 25 -- based in , so he was.

- 1 Q. You say that that's the reason that this boy HIA69 has
- 2 named you as an abuser.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. He has a considerable level of resentment against you
- 5 and motivation --
- 6 A. Yes, without a doubt.
- 7 Q. -- for making the accusations.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Sorry. Now another person who has spoken to the Inquiry
- and has given us a statement is someone called HIA60 or
- 11 HIA60, as you remember him.
- 12 A. HIA60, aye, aye.
- 13 Q. He -- his statement can be found at SND-10073. Again
- 14 I~am not going to ask for it to be called up and I will
- summarise what he says.
- 16 He says at paragraph 12 of your (sic) statement that
- you assaulted him in the shower when he refused to let
- 18 you see whether or not he had an erection, and he also
- 19 witnessed you punch a boy called SND133 in the face
- 20 during . Can I just pause there? You did
- 21 take --
- 22 A. I did. I did, yes, yes.
- 23 Q. -- when you came back as an ex-resident. Is that right,
- 24 SND23?
- 25 A. That's correct. That's correct.

- 1 Q. He also at paragraph 13 of his statement said that
- another boy called  $^{
  m SND}$   $^{
  m 132}$  told him that he was scared of
- 3 you effectively and asked him to go on walks with him --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- to protect this boy SND 132 from you, and HIA60 did
- 6 give evidence to the Inquiry, and he expanded on that by
- 7 saying that you would see -- from the farm you would see
- 8 him and this boy SND 132 and you would then come down to
- 9 join them.
- $10\,$  A. Aye. It's impossible to have a visual from the farm
- down into the home.
- 12 O. I think in fairness to him and to you what he meant was
- while they were out working -- walking you would have
- seen them and come down to them.
- 15 A. Well, they wouldn't have been allowed to walk round.
- 16 You know, they would have been restricted to their care.
- 17 Q. Is there anything else you want to say about his
- 18 allegations?
- 19 A. I just find, you know, the unfortunate thing with HIA60
- is HIA60 clearly resents being in care and he's blaming
- 21 everybody and anybody baring taking responsibility
- 22 himself for his own situation. I definitely -- there
- 23 was never any interaction like that at all with HIA60 or
- 24 HIA60, whichever one he wants to call himself.
- 25 Q. Another person who has given a statement to the Inquiry

- 1 is SND136.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. The Inquiry has received a statement from him, which can
- 4 be found at SND-15580, and at paragraph 14 of that
- statement he says that he was approached by you in the
- $^6$  shower and told to take down his swimming trunks. He
- 7 refused and you backed off.
- 8 He has also made a statement to the police, which
- can be found at SND-16679, which gives some more
- details. I am not going to go into it, but he describes
- that in paragraphs 5 to 8 of that statement, and I know
- 12 you've seen that. I just want to ask you, SND23 --
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. -- what your response is to those allegations?
- 15 A. It certainly didn't happen and I didn't even know that
- they wore toggs in the shower room. I would not have
- been in the shower room when any of the residents were
- 18 showering. So ...
- 19 Q. Another boy, DL48, described you as a bully, and you
- address that in your statement.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You say that this was a perception based solely on
- resentment that he had of those in authority.
- 24 A. That's correct, aye. DL 48 was a very troubled person,
- who is deceased now.

- 1 Q. One more person we have talked about already, SND35.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. He said that you became -- you say in your statement
- 4 that you became aware of allegations that he made about
- 5 you when you were confronted by SR1 --
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 O. -- in 1980.
- 8 A. Right.
- $^{9}$  Q. You talk about this at paragraph 29 of your statement.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. It came to her attention that you were seen by
- 12 a civilian social worker -- a civilian worker -- sorry
- 13 -- in a locked workroom with SND35. SND35 then made
- a statement to the police alleging that you had abused
- 15 him in your flat in --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- and in the workroom in Termonbacca. He later
- 18 withdrew those allegations --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- and then five years after that --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- he alleged again that you had abused him --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. -- in his flat -- in your flat. Sorry
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. You deal with those allegations in paragraphs 26 to 37
- of your witness statement. You deny that you were in
- 3 the workroom --
- 4 A. Definitely.
- 5 Q. -- although you said, if you were, there was nothing
- 6 untoward happened. You remember you were interviewed by
- 7 police about this.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In your statement to the police you did admit that you
- were in the sewing room and without lights on and that
- 11 SND35 came in behind and you closed the door --
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. -- but nothing happened and you denied all of the
- 14 allegations --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- and you continue to do so. Isn't that right, SND23?
- 17 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- 18 Q. There was never any prosecution.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. In fact, there was no prosecution directed in
- 21 November 1980 --
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. -- and again in 1986, when SND35 revisited the
- 24 allegations.
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. Is there anything else you want to say about those
- 2 allegations today?
- 3 A. No, just that they didn't happen, you know. There's no
- 4 truth. There's no substance at all to them, you know.
- 5 Q. The final person to have made allegations about you is
- 6 someone called SND33.
- 7 A. He is deceased.
- 8 Q. He made allegations in a police statement that as
- 9 you sexually abused him in the shower
- 10 changing rooms maybe two or three times. Again you were
- interviewed by the police about those allegations in
- 12 1991 and you said to the police that you would have
- supervised SND33 --
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. -- and got him ready for school --
- 16 A. That's it.
- 17 Q. -- but you denied any of the allegations of abuse.
- 18 Again you deal with that in your statement to the
- 19 Inquiry --
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. -- at paragraphs 38 to 42.
- 22 A. Go ahead. Sorry.
- 23 Q. Is there anything else you want to say about those
- 24 allegations today?
- 25 A. No. Again, as I said to the police at the time, you

- know, if SND33 got into trouble, he just named everybody
- he knew, you know, more or less to justify what he was
- doing. So ... I can say again on record nothing like
- 4 that ever happened. There was no form of abuse between
- 5 myself and SND33.
- 6 Q. You do -- you attach photographs to your witness
- 7 statement --
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. -- isn't that correct --
- 10 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 11 Q. -- showing where you lived --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- at that time.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You also say that one of your former friends would have
- lived there when you were away training.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. It's possible -- you don't know --
- 19 A. No. I can't say with certainty.
- 20 Q. -- that there may have been visits by some of the
- 21 Termonbacca boys to your flat, but not while you were
- there. Is that right?
- 23 A. No. That's correct. Aye.
- Q. Okay. Now that's essentially the allegations that have
- been made against you.

- If I can just ask you a couple of other things about
- your time in Termonbacca.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. One of the things that I have been asked by the
- 5 congregation to ask you is you are aware, and in the
- discussion that we have had I know you are aware, about
- 7 a lot of the allegations --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- that have been made about the sisters in particular.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. They are essentially allegations of cruelty.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I have been asked to ask what your experience was and
- what you want to say about that, SND23. Take your time.
- 15 A. The nuns did their best given the circumstances. As far
- as I am concerned, you know, like the -- you were able
- to bond and there is evidence we did bond with the
- sisters, and it gets reflected certainly in the loyalty,
- 19 you know, that is expressed. To say the nuns was cruel,
- it doesn't reflect my experience in care, you know.
- I know it is individuals' narratives, but it certainly
- 22 doesn't reflect anywhere near my experience of being in
- 23 care. So ...
- 24 Q. Paragraph 22 of your statement you refer to a particular
- 25 nun and you agree that -- with HIA69 effectively that

- she would have had a positive influence --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- although you left shortly after she arrived. Isn't
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. Yes. I had a few weeks with SR14. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q. Can I ask you how you felt she was a positive influence?
- 7 A. She had a different dynamic, you know, in terms of her
- 8 relationship with the children. To me she was very
- 9 progressive. You know, there was a lot of interaction
- on her part, you know, and I suppose in terms -- you
- know, if a child was problematic, her approach would
- 12 have been, you know -- was different. She had obviously
- undergone some childcare training, so she had. So it
- was her got me into doing the choir and different other
- events. So, you know, to me I just felt she was very
- positive, yes.
- 17 Q. SND23, there is nothing else that I want to ask you
- other than to say if there's anything else that you want
- 19 to say to the Inquiry, that this is your opportunity to
- 20 do so.
- 21 A. I suppose in a sense the thing -- the thing I find very
- 22 disheartening is that -- you know, the nature of some of
- the allegations. To me I just feel a lot of the people
- seem to be trying to hold the nuns to account and blame
- them for them being admitted into care whereas I know

and there's academic evidence, you know, certainly from 1 the 1930s that, when a child is separated, that there's 3 a trauma, and the best way I can describe it is like a bereavement, and obviously one of those stages in bereavement is anger, and the child carries that anger with them through their lives. So therefore no matter what you would do for that child it wouldn't be good enough, you know. Anybody outside their biological parent would be seen as an intruder and therefore they would be resentful in some ways towards the carer. 10 You 11 know, that's the best way -- but as far as I'm concerned 12 the nuns did their best. 13 Well, thank you. If the Panel Members have any Q. 14 questions, they will ask them now. So if you just stay 15 there, please. 16 Okay. Thank you. 17 Questions from THE PANEL 18 MS DOHERTY: Thank you, SND23. Thank you for your 19 testimony, and I realise this is very difficult. 20 the things I just wanted to ask about was when you 21 yourself were the victim of sexual abuse with an older

25 A. It's hard to know -- you know, to recall the exact

and how was it able to happen?

22

23

24

ex-resident, how was that able to happen? Was -- you

know, were staff not around? How -- when did it happen

- circumstances, you know, but it did occur, and obviously
- I don't think the nun would have been present. I don't
- 3 think it would have happened in the presence of a nun,
- 4 you know, or a member of staff, you know, but I -- with
- 5 children in care, you know, they try to bond with
- 6 somebody and sometimes what happens when you are bonding
- 7 with a person, then obviously if you are perceived to be
- 8 vulnerable, then certain people will take advantage of
- 9 that situation and that's probably the best way I can
- describe what happened.
- 11 Q. Okay, and did it happen around night-time or during the
- 12 day?
- 13 A. I believe it was during the day, during the day, aye,
- 14 aye, because the older residents wouldn't have been
- around at night, you know. So it was during the day.
- 16 Q. So in your experience older residents weren't around at
- 17 night-time?
- 18 A. No, definitely not, you know. I would say come
- 9 o'clock, you know, they -- because the gate would have
- 20 been locked at that stage, you know, especially during
- 21 the troubles. So ...
- 22 Q. Okay. Can I just ask one of the consistent aspects of
- 23 what people have said in relation to you is about the
- shower rooms. The shower rooms come in a lot within it.
- When you were an older boy, so when you were still

- 1 a resident --
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. -- were you involved in supervising boys in the shower
- 4 rooms?
- 5 A. No, definitely not. Definitely not. The staff -- there
- 6 would have been staff there for that, but what I will
- 7 say about that, you know, consistency, you know,
- a number of people have said that, I am convinced that
- these people are actually colluding with each other
- 10 through the social media network and, you know,
- 11 that's -- they are corroborating their stories through
- 12 that format, and that is why -- it's strange that a guy
- in Australia, a guy who is a practising
- -- and who is the third -- well, SND33 is
- deceased, you know, and again I just would have thought
- 16 SND 33 was clasping at straws there, but there is -- as
- 17 I'm sure you are probably aware, there is a lack of
- empathy with some people who go through the care, and,
- 19 you know, anybody that they see as a threat to their
- 20 status, you know, they can be very vindicative and
- 21 malicious towards them, and that's what I feel. I have
- been the victim of pure malice here.
- 23 Q. Just this is the last one. Why of all the boys that
- 24 were around at that time would they have chosen you to
- 25 be the one?

- 1 A. Well, I am readily identifiable, because obviously, you
- know, I was taking the choir and, you know, I would have
- 3 been around, handyman, doing things like that, you know,
- trying to help out as best I can, you know. So ...
- 5 Q. Okay. Thanks, SND23.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Well, SND23, thank you very much for coming to
- 8 speak to us today. That's all we need to ask you.
- 9 A. Thank you very much, sir.
- 10 (Witness withdrew)
- 11 MS SMITH: Chairman, the next witness is to be taken by
- 12 Mr Aiken. I believe he is consulting with her at
- present. So perhaps a short break and I can check how
- long he will need.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Very well.
- 16 (11.25 am)
- 17 (Short break)
- 18 (12.10 pm)
- 19 WITNESS SND500 (called)
- 20 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good morning.
- The second witness today is SND500, who is also
- "SND500". She is aware, Chairman, that you are going to
- ask her about taking the oath or affirming. Do you wish
- to take a religious oath or to affirm, a solemn promise?
- It has the same legal effect. It is a matter entirely

- for your choice.
- 2 A. Religious oath, please.
- 3 Q. Religious oath.
- 4 WITNESS SND500 (sworn)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Please sit down.
- 6 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 7 MR AIKEN: Bring up SND-5635, please. SND500, the -- what's
- 8 going to appear on the screen I hope is your first --
- 9 I see it is not the redacted version. So that will be
- amended when the statement is published on the website
- 11 --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- but you will be able to be the first person to
- confirm for me that that is the first page of your
- statement and there are no redactions on it. Can you
- 16 just confirm that's the statement you provided to the
- 17 Inquiry on 12th November of 2013?
- 18 A. That's correct. I did.
- 19 Q. If we just go to the last page, SND-5637, please, and
- you can confirm that's your signature?
- 21 A. That's correct. That's my signature.
- 22 Q. And you want to adopt the content of the statement as
- your evidence to the Inquiry?
- 24 A. That's correct. I do.
- 25 Q. I would have been asking you about anonymity and whether

- 1 you wanted to preserve your anonymity or not, and do you
- want to confirm that you -- your position as to that?
- 3 A. I confirm I would like to preserve my anonymity, please.
- 4 Q. Now there's a second statement which hopefully will come
- 5 up at SND-17177. This time we have it with the
- 6 redaction. So if you would look at the hard copy
- 7 version that you have of your statement and confirm it
- 8 matches this page that we can see on the screen except
- 9 for the redactions.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. If you look at the last page at SND-17180, and can you
- 12 confirm from your hard copy that again you've signed
- this statement?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And you want to adopt it as your evidence to the
- 16 Inquiry?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Now with this second statement, SND500, is a set of
- 19 appendices, which, because of the time frames that we
- are working to at the moment, you have the appendices
- 21 possibly with you.
- 22 A. Uh-huh. That's correct.
- 23 Q. The Panel and the core participants do not yet have the
- 24 appendices. I am going to -- our photocopier is working
- frantically. So the Panel is about to get the

- appendices and we will resolve providing them to the
- core participants later on today. These are the
- appendices that come with your second statement, and
- 4 I will come back to that second statement shortly.
- 5 But what I want to ask you, first of all, you are
- 6 the now in terms of a job title
- 7 within the
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. That role, as you were explaining to me this morning, is
- akin to a consultant type role within the Health
- 11 Service.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Can you just give the Panel a brief understanding of
- what your role presently involves?
- 15 A. Okay. In a sense my -- it is divided into three
- sections, you know, not unlike the consultant's role.
- 17 It actually was based on a consultant's role. A third
- of my time actually relates to direct work, which would
- 19 actually involve either directly working cases or
- 20 mentoring staff who are involved in cases, and that
- 21 would involve working the most difficult cases or the
- 22 cases that would be the most serious, perhaps where
- there have been serious injuries or where there's been
- sex abuse or protracted or difficult court hearings.
- 25 A third of my post relates to governance and

- governance can actually be about -- I would be involved
- in internal agency reviews. I would be involved in
- 3 cases where it would be thought that there might be
- learning, you know, from practising cases and how we
- 5 might move that on, or where it was felt that things had
- gone wrong, but then it is actually about improving
- 7 standards. So I would write and deliver training
- 8 programmes around child protection.
- 9 The other aspect then is the strategic, and that's
- 10 about the development of social work practice within
- 11 child protection in the Trust.
- 12 Q. So you have had -- that's the role you are presently
- performing, but you have explained in your first
- statement, if we just go back to SND-5635, please, that
- 15 you were originally a social worker within what's now
- described as the from .
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. For instance, the Inquiry was hearing yesterday about
- a social worker by the name of SND475, who was HIA127's
- social worker.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. She actually sat opposite you --
- 23 A. She did. That's correct.
- 24 Q. -- in your office in the early
- 25 A. Yes. until -- certainly for a year, but I think

- maybe a bit more than that. SND475 and I shared
- an office. We sat directly opposite. She sat on the
- desk opposite me.
- 4 Q. I know you've a number of matters you want to address
- 5 about some of the issues that the Inquiry was hearing
- 6 about yesterday. So I will come back to those at the
- 7 end, but in addition -- doing the job that you do now --
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. -- in addition to the three limbs that you talked about
- 10 you were also given responsibility for providing
- material on behalf of the Trust or the Health & Social
- 12 Care Board as far as it related to the part of
- the country to this Inquiry.
- 14 A. That's correct. From April 2013 that's a role I have
- 15 undertaken as well.
- 16 Q. What I am going to do briefly is just summarise what you
- 17 have already explained to me about the checks that were
- engaged in and steps that were taken and the results
- 19 that flowed in terms of providing material to the
- 20 Inquiry.
- 21 So the first area I'm going deal with is about
- 22 individuals.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. So the Inquiry gave you names of individuals that it
- wanted the Health & Social Care Board to provide any

- 1 relevant material on.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. For those named individuals you checked the computers.
- 4 A. That's correct, the computer system, SOSCARE.
- 5 Q. You then went back to any hard copy files that were held
- 6 for those individuals.
- 7 A. Yes, yes. That were located through the computer
- 8 system, yes.
- 9 Q. You explained to me that --
- 10 A. Well, they -- sorry.
- 11 Q. -- the two systems -- the two legacy units, as it were,
- had different methods of file keeping. Do you want to
- just briefly explain what those were?
- 14 A. Yes. had actually hired someone some
- time ago, approximately about ten years ago, whose job
- it was to actually index every old record that was held
- in storage and to get any record that was over five
- 18 years old from all the individual offices brought to
- 19 a central point and that they were all indexed.
- 20 In Sperrin Lakeland Trust what you had is all files
- were actually held -- all old files were or are held in
- 22 alphabetical order. So when I would have had a case
- 23 that would have indicated -- I always checked both
- 24 databases anyway, but if I had a case that indicated it
- was, you know, within the Foyle -- the Legacy Foyle,

- then you would have first went to the index. I would
- have asked our records people to go to the index, but
- when it was actually a case and we didn't turn anything
- up in the Foyle index, we then always went to Sperrin,
- and that would have been sending a number of admin
- 6 people to do manual searches through alphabetically --
- 7 alphabetical order.
- 8 Q. So in addition to those sets of paths that you were able
- 9 to go down you also had checks made of sibling and
- 10 family files of the same name as the individual whose
- identity and papers you were asked to find.
- 12 A. Yes. Pre-dating the Children Order -- the Children
- Order actually places a requirement on trusts to have
- individual files for young people who are looked after
- subject to child protection, but prior to that the
- 16 practice most often was that there would have been
- a family file.
- 18 So quite often, you know, if I didn't turn up a file
- in respect of an individual, I would have checked the
- 20 parents, siblings and pulled all of their files and then
- submitted any information located on those files
- relating to the applicant.
- 23 Q. You also caused a letter to be written by the Assistant
- 24 Director to staff to ask them within the -- what were
- 25 the legacy units, now the Trust, to check their offices

- for any material that might be relevant. Is that right?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- 3 Q. Did you yourself make contact with former staff to try
- 4 to see if there were any other avenues for looking for
- 5 material?
- 6 A. Yes. There were some cases where I felt fairly sure
- 7 that there should have been other files. In one case --
- 8 actually it was SND475's case -- I could remember a big
- 9 orange file that I wasn't able to locate.
- 10 But in another case the particular social worker,
- although he didn't work for the Trust any longer, I was
- aware from having worked with him previously that he was
- a very diligent record keeper. So I had contacted him
- and he confirmed that there were records, but I still
- wasn't able to -- I was able to locate the residential
- 16 file in respect of that case, but not the fieldwork.
- 17 There was another one -- another applicant who had
- a number of different names. So I contacted that
- 19 particular office to see whether they'd any knowledge
- 20 about maybe other names or whether there were any other
- lines of enquiry I could take in relation to locating
- 22 files for her.
- 23 Q. So, to summarise what you are saying, you have engaged
- in a series of checks, aside from one that I'm going
- deal with shortly, and that process has produced a large

- volume of material, but you are aware that there are
- some files that unfortunately are missing.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Are you able to give the Inquiry any assistance with
- 5 what proportion of missing files there are out of the
- 6 numbers that were being looked for?
- 7 A. I could maybe put some more detail around that as well,
- 8 because there's different time frames and different
- 9 types of files. So certainly for Termonbacca, you know,
- for applicants relating to Termonbacca pre-1971 there
- are actually I think approximately fifteen files where
- we don't have a statutory record in relation to those
- 13 applicants. What we did locate was like a manila folder
- 14 that we believe came from -- directly from Termonbacca.
- I can't say how it came into our possession, and they
- would have had like a three-digit number on the
- 17 right-hand side handwritten within the manila folder,
- and within those manila folders the records would have
- 19 been very limited, and quite often they were limited to
- 20 birth certificate, maybe some handwritten details on the
- 21 file, baptismal certificate and medical card in quite
- 22 a lot of them, and in some of those cases as well you
- 23 would have found that there were correspondence between
- 24 the Sisters of Nazareth in Derry and the Sisters of
- Nazareth in Donegal.

- Overall, including all of the applicants, I believe
  there were fourteen -- I just did a quick count before
  I came in, so I can't -- I wouldn't want to -- I'd want
  to go back and say exactly how many, but I believe there
- were fourteen people for whom we weren't able to locate
- any records, the majority of which were -- pre-dated the
- 7 '70s.
- Q. So if I can just unpack that a little with you, what you are describing is in these manila folders are records
- 10 that were not trust records --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- but have come into the possession of the trust.
- 13 There is no corporate memory as to how that came about.
- 14 Termonbacca closed in '82/'83. By some means manila
- folders relating to a number of individuals who lived in
- that home have made their way into a filing cabinet in
- 17 the trust.
- 18 A. Well, not in -- the manila folders are actually not in
- 19 a filing cabinet. They are actually filed away with all
- 20 personal records. So you know when I talked about that
- index, when all the personal records went down to our
- 22 current records, they are filed away as an individual
- 23 record, albeit they are not trust records. They are
- 24 filed as an individual record for that.
- 25 Q. The fourteen that you are talking about would be in that

- class of manila folder, that there aren't fourteen
- manila folders for those fourteen individuals from
- 3 Termonbacca?
- 4 A. I can't confirm that they are. I can make
- an assumption, given the dates, that some of them may
- be, but I couldn't confirm whether they would or
- 7 wouldn't be.
- 8 Q. Maybe there is an exercise we can engage in after today
- $^{9}$  to get it nailed down as precisely as we can --
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. -- but that's a set of records that have come into the
- 12 possession of the trust?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 O. In terms of records that the Trust itself would have
- had, so individual files or family files --
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. -- is there any assistance you can give as to how many
- of those are missing or are most of those -- have they
- 19 produced results?
- 20 A. Most of those have produced results, although on
- occasions and for some applicants there are gaps. So
- 22 there might be a time frame when we have not been able
- 23 to locate records or, you know, it may be that there's
- 24 a family file but not an individual record.
- 25 Q. From your own memory, for instance, you have given the

- $^{
  m l}$  example of the thick orange folder --
- 2 A. Of one, yes.
- 3 Q. -- that you just can't find that.
- 4 A. I can't find it, and I have a memory of -- and again
- 5 SND475, she would have been quite -- you know, SND475
- 6 had these really thick -- she hand writ all her notes
- all of the time, and I remember the file on her desk,
- 8 and SND475 confirmed that that file existed.
- 9 Q. Now in terms of all of the steps that you have taken the
- only other step beyond what you have done, there is
- an issue about resources and proportionality, which is
- to check every other record of an individual child to
- see if something was misfiled. That's the only other
- thing you could do.
- 15 A. You would have to check every record of any individual
- known to the Trust or Legacy Trust, because, you know,
- they aren't -- the records aren't actually even -- you
- know, you wouldn't be able to say those are -- that's
- 19 all the children who were in care. All of the personal
- 20 records including, say, cases that would have been under
- community care, elderly, they are all held. So you
- 22 would have to go through every one of those, and it's
- 23 a task that my records management team were saying it
- 24 would just be -- you know, it would take a long, long,
- long time to do that.

- 1 Q. Is it your -- based on your understanding of what's
- involved in that process, that's a task that wouldn't be
- 3 complete by the time the Inquiry is supposed to be long
- 4 finished?
- 5 A. I don't believe and from a resource perspective it would
- 6 be -- it really would be a massive task.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Can I just intervene to ask, because I am not
- 8 entirely clear what the limits of the category you are
- 9 describing may be? We can start off with the simple
- 10 case, which is there is a file which contains everything
- 11 you'd expect to find in it for somebody who is
- an applicant to the Inquiry. There are the pre-1971
- files that you have described. Then there are the Trust
- 14 files which you have described, but I take it from what
- 15 you say there are a number of applicants in respect of
- 16 whom the relevant trust file can't be identified, such
- as the orange file that you've referred to for SND475.
- 18 A. The orange file would have been a family file --
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 20 A. -- rather than -- I have found records in relation to
- that applicant, quite a lot of records in relation to
- that applicant, but I haven't found the orange file that
- 23 I remember.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Everything that you think in some instances
- should be there isn't there.

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: But what are the other categories of records in
- which we will call it the missing item might have been
- 4 misfiled?
- 5 A. I am sorry. I apologise. I am not ...
- 6 CHAIRMAN: "Where could it be?" is the first question.
- 7 A. You have -- you know when I talked about the
- 8 alphabetical cabinets, when they are filed in
- alphabetical cabinets? Well, it is rooms and rooms of
- 10 cabinets in alphabetical order. So you literally have
- drawers, just drawers, that are alphabetical. That is
- 12 rooms and that's one.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: The alphabetical collection relates to what types
- of files?
- 15 A. To all social work records in Sperrin Lakeland Trust.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: So they may be cases dealing with elderly people
- or whatever?
- 18 A. Mental health.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: We are not talking about their personal records,
- 20 just the social --
- 21 A. Social work records.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Social work records, and in round terms, whether
- you do it by rooms or number of files, what are you
- 24 talking about? I suspect a great many from what you
- 25 have said already.

- 1 A. I mean, when I suggested -- you know, because I was
- naive, and I was exploring with our Records Department.
- I mean, I got quite a response. It was like, you know,
- 4 "It's impossible. How could you do that?", because
- 5 there literally are rooms and rooms and rooms filled
- 6 with records.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: So the SND475 file, if we can call it that, for
- 8 one applicant could be misfiled with another file and
- 9 you would have to go through many rooms to try and trace
- it, because you have no way of tracing it other than
- literally going to every file and going through every
- 12 file. Is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: May I take it we are talking in terms of
- thousands of files, tens of thousands?
- 16 A. Yes, tens of thousands.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 18 A. In the case -- sorry. In the case with the SND475 file,
- 19 because I went and got the sibling records for each of
- those, and there was a really big family and they were
- involved over time, it ended up that I was satisfied
- there weren't a lot of gaps, because I was able to fill
- in time frames. So by the time I submitted it in that
- case I was able to look at it and say it gave
- 25 a satisfactory account of our involvement.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: I was really trying to get a general idea of the
- $^2$  task that you would have to engage in to satisfy
- 3 yourself that if a relevant record of whatever size
- exists, it had been found, and you'd have to examine
- 5 many thousands or tens of thousands of individual files
- 6 to try and find a particular document that otherwise
- 7 can't be accounted for.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Yes. It would be a rather large haystack with
- 10 a small needle I think. Thank you.
- 11 A. Unfortunately.
- 12 MR LANE: If somebody extracts a file, such as yourself for
- this Inquiry, is there a system for recording that that
- has been removed?
- 15 A. There is, yes.
- 16 MR LANE: So you would know if an Assistant Director had
- 17 taken it or something like that?
- 18 A. Yes. I have -- you have got to be at least social work
- 19 level before you can -- I can send my admin person for
- a file, but she has to immediately return signed by me
- the record that I have got the file. She can't sign on
- 22 my behalf. It's got to be signed by at least social
- work level.
- 24 MR LANE: Thank you.
- 25 MR AIKEN: SND500, do you have experience of -- within your

normal work I am sure on occasion it hasn't been possible to find a file that everybody knows should be Has that led in the past to an investigation to understand how that file might have got lost or been misplaced in such a way that it's never turned up, and if you were to apply that type of thinking to the type of material that you are identifying as not being around, are you able to say in your view from your 8 experience what's likely to have happened to them? I -- my guess is that they've been misfiled. 10 That's the 11 best guess I can come up with, and again, you know, 12 because you bring in two different systems -- the system 13 in the -- in my view the system in the Foyle -- Legacy 14 Foyle Trust was actually a much better system. 15 a much more robust system, and that's because they had 16 brought in someone specifically to do that. 17 Now our Head of Records has now said that they are 18 in the process of trying to duplicate that within -- to 19 actually appoint and do, because this -- the work from 20 this Inquiry has actually been a real eye opener in 21 terms of how, you know, the system that is in the Foyle 22 Legacy Trust is actually a much more effective system 23 and to have the same system through the Western Trust,

25 Q. That's because they use a numbering system with

but that's a journey.

24

```
computer.
```

- 2 A. Yes. It is an index. So every name that's
- 3 cross-referenced, and again when you would go -- because
- 4 when I would go to -- even for sibling files when
- I would go to, you know, our Records Department, it is
- a lady called and you would say -- she actually
- 7 can tell you who the siblings are without going to the
- 8 file as well, and so it's just a more robust system.
- 9 Q. As matters stand are you satisfied that you have --
- apart from that looking for the needle in the haystack
- approach, are you satisfied that what steps could
- reasonably be taken have been taken and all the material
- from those steps has been disclosed to the Inquiry?
- 14 A. Certainly all the material has been disclosed, and I --
- if I could -- if I have been able to think of something
- le else to do, I actually would have -- because I called
- a meeting together of Records, of the admin people, of
- a senior manager, and the purpose of the meeting was
- just to say, "Can anybody come up with anything?" --
- 20 that's where we come up with the idea to ask the
- 21 Assistant Director to write to all the offices -- just
- 22 to come up with something else. So I am not aware of
- anything else we could do to look for those.
- Q. And I take it you will be happy after today if we engage
- in a process to nail down just exactly what's missing so

- that we've a record of --
- 2 A. Absolutely.
- 3 Q. -- the entirety as to what can be found?
- 4 Now relation to the individuals you have had
- a process underway in respect of the residential homes
- 6 --
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. -- that was operated by the Trust.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That included Harberton, Fort James, Coneywarren, and
- neither you or I could remember the Enniskillen one, but
- the Chairman will be immediately able to tell us.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: The name of the ...? Coleshill.
- 14 MR AIKEN: Coleshill in Enniskillen.
- 15 A. Some of that -- the work in relation to Coleshill was
- actually done before I took -- there actually was a lady
- who was doing this work very temporarily before I came
- in, but any meetings I had subsequent to look at what
- 19 records were looked for and how we looked for them,
- I brought her to those meetings so we would have a full
- 21 account of that.
- What actually happened there is that every
- children's home within the Western Trust, all cabinets
- within all children's homes were actually searched.
- I contacted present and previous managers who were

around to see whether they had any information about other records and where we might have them.

In the fieldwork offices all cabinets that didn't relate to personal files were checked and we actually had to have a number of those cabinets -- there were no keys. Nobody knew what was in them, and we actually had to get those -- you know, force entry to those through the proper procedures, and again what we found in those -- and we did submit it -- we submitted all of that material -- we found a lot of material, for example, relating to Fort James that actually should have been -- under the policies and procedures should have been destroyed. It was being kept past the date that was necessary.

In those enquiries as well when I was talking to people, then there were a number of people who said to me that they thought that we may have had some information relating to Nazareth House Children's Home. I never got a definitive answer in relation to how we came about it. People had ideas, but no-one could say to me, "Oh, that came to us on this date by this means", but when I had the people in our Records Management check their office, they found a locked cabinet. The person who runs that office actually knew about the locked cabinet, but she was off -- she had had

- a bereavement and she was off while I was searching. So
- when she came back, she was able to say, "Yes, I knew
- 3 that cabinet was there. I knew what was in it", but she
- 4 couldn't tell me how we got it. In that cabinet is the
- 5 list of documents that I have referred to in my witness
- 6 statement.
- 7 Q. We are going to that. If we look at SND-1...
- 8 A. The second witness statement.
- 9 Q. SND-17177, please. So you have listed in paragraph 1,
- sub-paragraph references 1 through to 17, a list of the
- 11 hard copy books and papers from Bishop Street that were
- found in that filing cabinet.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. The originals of that material has been given to the
- 15 Inquiry.
- 16 A. The only originals that weren't given were the student
- induction, things that really in my opinion were really
- irrelevant, although I have said they are available.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. There were photographs which were no names or undated.
- There -- they are of a Christmas party, but you couldn't
- 22 tell who is who, and -- so things like that, but
- everything else was submitted. Anything of relevance
- 24 was submitted.
- 25 Q. The Inquiry may want to have a look at the photographs,

- but you have given the vast majority of this material in
- 2 its original form.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. What you have done with the appendices that the Panel
- 5 have received this morning is a review of the content of
- 6 some of that material --
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. -- for some purposes that I will come back to --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- ${\tt I0}$  Q. -- later in your evidence, and you given that analysis
- in the appendices.
- 12 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 13 Q. If I can summarise at this stage, it is effectively you
- drawing out just how much social work involvement there
- was in Bishop Street for the period that these records
- 16 cover.
- 17 A. That's correct. I didn't do every record. I took
- a sample, a range of samples over the range of period
- 19 for which the records related, and I went through each
- 20 of the books and -- because sometimes there would be
- 21 names and you wouldn't be able to confirm who the names
- 22 were, but when I could confirm that a name was a name of
- a social worker, or where it said in the records
- 24 a social worker visited, then I put those all into a
- 25 table. What was included in the exercise books -- the

- tables are different in different appendices, because
- the exercise books were different in how they were. So
- I put the tables accordingly. So it was exactly as
- 4 recorded in the exercise books, but all of the names
- 5 that are included in those tables I can confirm are
- social workers and I have been able to check that they
- 7 were social workers.
- 8 Q. Now in addition to that exercise where you are able to
- list out social workers who are visiting children as
- 10 part of their fieldwork responsibility, if we can just
- move to the next page at SND-17178, you mention in
- paragraph 7 an issue that has come up for other
- witnesses who have given evidence, and that's through
- 14 these books you were also able to deal -- detail the
- visits by TL4. Now that's TL4 --
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. -- who won't be identified on the publicly available
- transcript, who was the
- on the residential side --
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. -- at the time of this material, and his visits you
- 22 point out were for purposes other than a fieldwork
- 23 social worker visiting a child. They are chairing
- reviews, monitoring, managing complaints and untoward
- incidents and in helping Nazareth House, Bishop Street,

- develop their processes and practices.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You have typed his visits on your schedule in red ink.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. You have personal experience -- and I just -- if we
- bring up SND-5636, please, you have indicated in your
- 7 statement, your first statement to the Inquiry, that you
- 8 have personal experience as a social worker from
- visiting children who were in Bishop Street, whom
- 10 you visited at least monthly. I am going to ask you
- a little bit more about that shortly, but in the context
- of TL4 as someone who was going in as a fieldwork social
- 13 worker --
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. -- how would you -- do you have a recollection of TL4 on
- the residential side being in Bishop Street?
- 17 A. Yes. I would have seen TL4 in Bishop -- TL4 was
- a presence in Bishop Street. It was difficult to -- I
- 19 was a social worker. He was two levels above me. It
- was kind of, you know, that -- but certainly, you know,
- you would have -- quite often I would have taken my
- young person out and you would have brought her back
- and, you know, TL4 would have been there, teatime,
- 24 different times. So I would have seen TL4.
- In relation to what the role of his visits were,

- when I had all of his visits, I had a notion of what his
- role was, but I actually rang TL4 and it was TL4 who
- 3 said to me that that's what he did during those visits.
- 4 The other thing that TL4 advised me was that he
- would have signed day books, complaints records, menus,
- you know, that he signed a lot of Nazareth records as
- 7 part of those monthly monitoring visits.
- 8 Q. So this material is available, because it happened to
- 9 make its way to you, and TL4 has already told you, and
- 10 he is going to be speaking to the Inquiry --
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. -- of his knowledge of some of this record-keeping and
- the material that it produced.
- 14 A. Yes. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Just to complete this circle, you were someone who was
- visiting in Bishop Street then as a social worker in the
- 17 early
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Have you a recollection of it now and can you place it
- 20 and contrast it with the other homes that were in the
- same area you were visiting? How would you describe it?
- 22 A. I have really thought about this, you know, because
- I expected it as a question, because when you look back,
- 24 with hindsight it's -- I have a much more critical eye
- now than I would have had then. I am going to try to

do -- I think the most honest thing I can do is describe what I felt then.

What I always found unusual was the corridor going into it. It was quite an un... -- it was an unusual smell and it was an unusual -- and it had all these statues and -- how can you describe -- very old flowers and plants, very old as in very dated plants, you know, like ones you wouldn't tend to see, and I always used to walk along and think, "Isn't this a strange way to be coming home, if this was your home? This would be a strange way to come home".

That said, when you went into the units, it was actually quite different. You still had statues and that, but it was much more warmer and natural, and I never came away from any of my visits at the time with any concerns, and that's -- you know, and I can say no more than that, and I certainly observed times when -- I certainly observed warm interaction and I observed nice interaction.

My first concern about Nazareth House as a social worker would have come about at how quick they would have sought to terminate placements if children misbehaved and I would have struggled with that as a social worker. So I would have had experience of in the office and someone saying, "Oh, you know, Nazareth

- House have said he has to be out by the end of the day",
- you know, and because they were a voluntary home,
- that -- putting that -- and that was probably my first
- 4 time when I would have had -- and again with hindsight
- I now see -- I understand much more. A lot of our kids'
- 6 behaviour when they are actually acting out in care is
- 7 related to trauma and it is related to the reactions to
- 8 trauma as opposed to -- and if we -- if you begin to
- 9 only look at it in terms of the behaviour and look, "Oh,
- that's a criminal behaviour and that's a ...", that we
- don't do our young people justice, but I didn't
- understand that then in the way I understand that now.
- 13 So there would have been quite a lot of emphasis on bad
- behaviour and dealing with bad behaviour.
- 15 Q. You mentioned to me when we were speaking beforehand TL4
- 16 being summoned down to be involved in that type of
- dealing with behaviour. Is that a recollection that you
- 18 have?
- 19 A. It is a recollection I would have had, where TL4 would
- 20 have been called in. If there were particular problems
- with particular children, TL4 -- and TL4 almost being
- 22 like -- you know, if you were going to be able to keep
- 23 a child there, sometimes TL4 would have been the key to
- being able to maintain that placement.
- 25 Q. Was he the mediator?

- 1 A. I -- those -- that's in my experience of him. I don't
- know if TL4 would describe himself -- I experienced him
- in that way sometimes.
- 4 Q. Do you have a memory of any particular nuns that you
- 5 worked with at this remove? Can you remember your
- 6 experience with them and the view you had of them
- 7 carrying out their functions?
- 8 A. It's limited. I can remember being in SR2's office and
- 9 I remember being with SR2 when she was with the young
- 10 person I was working with, and that's when I said I seen
- some warmth. There certainly was -- you know, it was
- 12 quite -- you know, it was quite authoritarian warmth, if
- 13 that makes -- but it was. It was a -- you know -- and
- 14 again the young person that I worked with at the time
- 15 had no complaints in relation to her care, but we now
- know, you know, but she didn't.
- 17 Q. Now I want to ask you about a very specific issue. You
- 18 are aware of --
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Sorry to interrupt. Just before we leave this,
- can you identify for us the young person, if I have
- 21 understood what you say correctly, who didn't have
- complaints then but has complaints now?
- 23 A. No, she hasn't complaints now. She is not one of the
- 24 applicants.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: I misunderstood.

- 1 A. No. Whether she has -- she is certainly not
- an applicant and I have had no -- I just mean I know
- 3 that a lot of our applicants didn't complain at the time
- 4 either, having read the files.
- 5 MR AIKEN: If I have understood the point you were making,
- 6 obviously complaints have now been made against SR2 --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- and you weren't aware of them at the time.
- 9 A. No. No.
- 10 Q. Now you are aware of the evidence that was being given
- 11 yesterday in a particular context of HIA127 and his
- 12 SND38 --
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. -- and the discussion that was had before the Inquiry
- about the role of a befriender.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You made a point of checking HIA127's file for the type
- of record that SND38 was suggesting --
- 19 MR MONTAGUE: Sorry, Chairman.
- 20 MR AIKEN: GPS system, Mr Montague.
- 21 You checked his file to try and find the documents
- 22 to support SND38's point that he was really appointed as
- a befriender many months before the November
- document that we were looking at with him yesterday.
- You identified -- if we can bring up, please, SND-5224.

- 1 A. Just for the sake of accuracy, I had checked his file
- because I was aware that issue had come up rather than
- 3 -- but I had checked the whole file. I didn't
- 4 specifically look for that, but I was conscious that had
- 5 come up and I had comment to make on it.
- 6 Q. SND-5224 is a residential review form that the key
- 7 worker in Bishop Street would compile in advance of the
- 8 six-monthly review, and it would be signed off then by
- 9 the Head of Unit within Bishop Street. Is that a fair
- summary of the document we are now looking at?
- 11 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 12 Q. This particular document, if we look at the last page,
- SND-5228, is dated 5th May , and the house parent
- has signed it off and then the Head of Unit. So SND38
- has signed it off and SR21, which is SR21, has signed
- this document off.
- Now the point that arises from it is on the screen
- at the moment that you are drawing attention to and this
- 19 is SND38:
- 20 "In the short-term HIA127 would benefit from
- a suitable befriender even if for one afternoon per week
- as he enjoys and thrives on the individual attention
- that is not always available in a residential setting."
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Now have you as yet been able -- this is a document that

- would have been read by those participating at the
- review. Have you been able to find the minute that
- would have been created or should have been created
- 4 after the review has taken place?
- 5 A. To date I have not seen a minute of that. I have as
- 6 recently as today contacted my admin person to say,
- 7 "From this date to this date go through everything to do
- 8 ... ", you know, and she will do that, but I have not
- 9 seen a minute.
- 10 I did have -- I don't know if you want me to
- 11 comment, because when I had read the information in
- relation to what had been submitted from SR21 in
- relation to policy around befrienders for children who
- were in care and were not having visits, that did not
- strike -- my experience --
- 16 Q. If I stop you there just for a moment, because I am
- going to come to that with you.
- 18 A. Sorry.
- 19 Q. No, no. What -- I want to just deal with the issue of
- 20 the minute. It is the case that there ought to be --
- 21 and there is another -- examples -- a minute created
- after the review has taken place.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it also the case that in your experience you are
- aware of going to files and simply a minute hasn't been

- 1 created for whatever reason, workload or mistake or
- whatever the explanation?
- 3 A. Yes. That's correct, and I am also aware there was
- 4 a particular issue in this office around the late
- and early , when you had SND466 moving and you had
- different people and you had a post that was absent.
- 7 There would have been a substantial period in
- 8 where you had a post, a senior's post, that wasn't
- filled. So you had one person doing -- so I am aware
- there is issues as well from that period of time
- 11 with minutes.
- 12 Q. So record -- the point I take you to be making is that
- the record-keeping -- in a perfect world we would have
- a minute. There is a possibility there might not be,
- because there was staffing issues and these things
- occasionally slipped through the net.
- 17 A. I don't think you would have a meeting for looking after
- a child now without a minute, but certainly then.
- 19 Q. So -- but you are going to undertake an exercise to see
- 20 can --
- 21 A. Just out of fairness.
- 22 Q. Because you are aware that his evidence was yesterday
- that he was specifically requested to be a befriender.
- 24 The Panel can obviously make of this document what it
- wishes.

- 1 A. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
- $^{2}$  Q. But the minute, if it existed, might shed some light on
- whether that's the case or not.
- 4 A. Yes, That's correct.
- 5 Q. But what I then want to ask you, which is the issue you
- 6 were moving to, is about that befriender role in the
- 7 early , and I want to take it step by step through.
- 8 Was it a new concept late , that of
- 9 the befriender?
- 10 A. From my memory -- and again because it was only
- 11 yesterday I was aware of this, so I haven't went back
- and checked policies and records -- but from my memory
- it actually was a bit later than that the whole issue of
- 14 a befriender and an independent visitor became a much
- more -- "befriender" is not a term I would have been
- familiar with at that time. Not to say it didn't exist
- or it didn't -- but it is not something that I would
- have been familiar with, and certainly, you know, if you
- 19 are a key worker, can you be a befriender as well? How
- is that possible? I am not sure how that would work.
- 21 Q. I know that's one of the issues you are flagging up,
- 22 that the two concepts are mutually exclusive for you --
- is that fair --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- bar in an exceptional scenario?

Well, even in -- it is an exceptional scenario in my 1 experience where a young person from residential care 3 would develop a relationship with a key worker that moves beyond the working hours and out into -- to the extent that this did it is not unheard of. What I had said is the ironic thing is at the same time that this -- you know, HIA127 was having this relationship with SND38 I had a young person who was in a statutory home who was developing a much slower, I have to say -- and 10 it never was named as the befriender. She was always 11 his , but the role certainly moved 12 way beyond her employment responsibilities, and her --13 those are the only two I'm personally aware of that -where that really would have been -- that was the 15 exception rather than the rule, and in the other case 16 that relationship that that young person developed with 17 his key worker is still a really positive relationship, 18 and in my experience of working with that wee boy was 19 one of the key things that actually helped him negotiate residential care. 20 It was a very positive experience. 21 In fact, the person you are talking about is -- and Q. 22 she'll -- her name will be redacted for our purposes --23 but it is SND21. 24 That's correct.

The role that she performed in relation to -- this was

25

- a child who was in Harberton.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. She, in fact, will be giving evidence to the Inquiry.
- So we can ask her about that, but there are two examples
- 5 that you are aware of. One is her and your experience
- of that and her experience of it is a very positive one.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the other example that you have is this example --
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. -- which the Inquiry is having to consider.
- 11 Can I ask you about -- from your perspective you
- have mentioned already that a key worker's role you
- would see not really extending beyond working hours in
- the residential home. Are you aware of examples where
- it did extend to taking the children out of the home on
- 16 a regular basis?
- 17 A. I am certainly aware of that example that I spoke of.
- 18 As I am sitting here I couldn't tell you a child, but
- 19 I certainly know I have been told that there is another
- 20 -- an ex-member of staff I have been told who really
- went the extra mile with a lot of kids, a female member
- of staff, but I don't know any of the kids or -- as you
- are talking, I am thinking I used to remember one of
- 24 SND21's -- one of SND21's workmates around the same time
- 25 apparently would have been -- went the extra mile.

Page 65

I suppose my point in relation to what struck me about it is that it was the same time frame and it was the same -- albeit it was different in that we never considered SND21 -- SND21 was still a key worker but she did much more than what I have ever known key workers to do, and I know that after I stopped working the case it moved beyond, and I know that as a young adult he visited her house and -- but you then had -- I know that HIA127's relationship with SND38 is described throughout the records as positive, as being a good thing for him. That's how it was viewed at the time.

It struck me that it's one of the dilemmas that we have in relation to making things right for our young people in care, because your ultimate aim -- you want safety -- is really, really important, but actually creating opportunities for those normal, lifelong, healthy relationships is also important, and that sometimes balancing those two things and knowing what is what is very difficult, particularly if you have -- if it is the case that SND38 did abuse, then -- but what -- I have extensive experience of working with sex abuse, and the levels of manipulation, control, deceit and secrecy that actually enable a person to abuse a child are at such levels that sometimes that can make holding that balance right, and my personal opinion is that we

- always have to make sure -- I am -- child protection is That's what I live and breathe, child my business. protection, but I also always say that you have to get the balance between safety and between allowing normal and healthy opportunities for the young people that we have got responsibility to. Sometimes what we have to do to create safety on the basis of the behaviour of the minority of people actually precludes a large number of people who might be able to offer something good, because I have lots of good experience of volunteers or 10 11 people who have provided children with those 12 relationships outside of the profession. 13 You used a particular phrase, SND500: "the need for healthy scepticism and respectful uncertainty". 15 Uh-huh. That's right. That's right. 16 Can you explain what you mean by that?
- Lord Laming had used the phrase. Post -- post-Victoria 18 Climbie -- I suppose where it started I'd say one of the 19 hardest things I found in my first in social work was 20 that people didn't always tell you the truth and you had to deal with deceit quite a bit. That was a real --21 I wasn't trained for that. That's not what I was 22 23 prepared for. For me some of the language that was used 24 then by Lord Laming helped, you now. So I would use

17

25

that quite a lot with staff, when I train staff and when

- I mentor staff and I work with them, and it is that bit
  about getting the balance. You must always have that
  curiosity. My curiosity is much greater now than it was
  then. I would ask questions now that I wouldn't have
  asked then. You have to have a healthy scepticism. You
  work to try and have -- allow young people to have those
  relationships, but you need to have a healthy scepticism
  and that respectful uncertainty rather than a blanket
  "no" is my -- and I think that's one of the dilemmas,
  you know, for the young people we work with now.
- Inquiry is dealing with, the point you are making is
  there is a lot of positive, in fact, potentially saving
  interaction that can take place, but it does provide at
  the same time the potential opportunity for someone who
  wants to, who is particularly deceitful, to take
  advantage of that.
- A. That's correct, and in my experience sex abusers'

  capacity for manipulation, control, deceit and secrecy

  is something that I have not come across in any other

  aspect of my work.
- Q. You will carry out that investigation for us in relation to these particular documents and we will come back to that in due course.
- 25 A. There is one other point that I had made -- sorry -- in

relation to that is that he had said that it was 1 the policy for children who didn't have contact with 3 home that they would be encouraged to visit the homes of their key workers to create some normality. SR142 -that was in SR142's --5 6 Just let me bring that up so you can ground what you are Q. 7 saying. If we bring up SND-15231. The Inquiry Panel 8 saw this document yesterday. It is part of SR142's undated police statement in part -- as part of the 1996 investigation that the police conducted into HIA127's 10 11 investigation into his allegations. I think the portion 12 that you are talking about is halfway down: 13 "The practice approved by social workers at regular reviews was that where a child, such as in this case 15 HIA127, could not go home on visits or receive visits 16 from his family, then the key worker was encouraged to 17 take that child to his or her home so that the child 18 could experience a stable home environment. All such 19 visits out were logged in the appropriate record book 20 and that record book along with other relevant documents could form the documentary basis of the periodic case 21 22 conference conducted by social workers on each child." 23 In my experience that was not the case. You did not --24 it was not policy or practice that you would actually

seek to have a child visit a key worker's home if they

25

- didn't have contact with their family, and I -- the 1 young person that I worked with in Nazareth in SND38 was her key worker. She did not have contact with her family and there never was any suggestion that she would go to -- but what I did was I contacted our Assistant Director yesterday, who was a Senior Social Worker during the late '80s and early '90s, and I contacted TL4 to make sure, because I wanted to make sure that -- maybe I wasn't aware of it or if it was 10 something -- and, you know, they both confirmed to me 11 that that would not have been practice. That wouldn't 12 have been considered to be. Just that's not what you 13 would have done.
- Q. What I want to do -- just in order to be fair to

  everyone there is a document that we looked at yesterday

  from November , which is a review meeting which does

  record that HIA127, for instance, was visiting SND38's

  home and that was a review that obviously the Senior

  Social Worker was chairing.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. The minute certainly does not suggest that there was any unhappiness about the fact that was taking place.
- 23 A. No. That minute would suggest -- I mean, not only that
  24 I know that we knew that she was visiting. My issue is
  25 that was not normal practice. That was an exception,

- not a normal practice.
- 2 Q. So is there this -- you would not expect to find this
- 3 type of interaction being replicated across other homes
- 4 in other children's files in the way that it was in this
- 5 scenario?
- 6 A. I wouldn't expect that, no.
- 7 Q. Just bear with me for a moment, SND500, so that I ...
- 8 Yes. Just for the record at SND-5481 you will see in
- 9 the first paragraph, SND500 -- and I appreciate you have
- accepted it, but just so it is on the record -- this is
- a record of a case review in November . So it is the
- 12 review after the one that we are looking for the minute
- for. It has this record of --
- 14 A. Yes. We are looking for the record for the one previous
- 15 **to this --**
- 16 Q. Yes, the one previous to this.
- 17 A. -- the May one, yes.
- 18 Q. This one shows just about six lines down:
- 19 "Moving into foster care has encouraged HIA127.
- 20 Moreover his visits to SND38's home has given him a
- taste of home life, although on occasions ..."
- 22 So it is there in a form that appears entirely
- 23 normal. The minute certainly doesn't reflect that
- 24 anyone was saying, "Why is this happening?" or "Really
- 25 that's not -- we don't encourage that type of practice"

- $^{
  m l}$  or "You shouldn't be doing that" or "Don't do it".
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 O. There is none of that to be found in the minute.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Does that surprise you?
- 6 A. No. I mean, it was an exception, but my view is that it
- 7 wasn't the practice. That doesn't mean that you would
- 8 be unhappy if it did, because I talked about, you know,
- my young person, who -- you know, whose relationship
- 10 extended beyond with SND21. You certainly didn't as
- an agenda item or as a -- you would not always consider
- a child who didn't or you just wouldn't consider it --
- if relationships develop, but if you have a situation
- where it is viewed that a relationship and a connection
- has developed and that that is moving, then that's
- a different -- and to me that's what I see reflected in
- this in the limited bit that it is. So, yes, there
- doesn't seem to be any unhappiness that that's
- occurring, and I know that SND475 at the time was happy
- 20 that SND38 -- that HIA127 had that relationship with
- 21 SND38. It was viewed as positive.
- 22 Q. You are aware of that from sitting opposite SND475 at
- 23 the time?
- 24 A. And from conversations and from the records, you know,
- where it's actually recorded.

- 1 Q. Yes. There is certainly nothing in any of the records
- $^2$  raising any concern about the level of interaction that
- was going on?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Now there are a number of matters to -- that we are
- 6 going to follow up on, as inevitably happens in the role
- 7 that you are performing, to assist the Inquiry. There
- is nothing else that I want to ask you, but you are here
- now. You have obviously been engaged in work to assist
- 10 the Inquiry. You have reflected on some issues that you
- 11 thought might assist the Inquiry arising from evidence
- 12 that has been given and you have explained that in terms
- of the befriender.
- 14 Is there anything else that you consider that might
- assist the work of the Inquiry that you could now
- indicate as you sit there?
- 17 A. I don't know how helpful it is and how much of it is
- a personal reflection, but when I reviewed the records
- 19 last night -- because what I didn't say is that when
- 20 I was submitting records as well, the section 9 notice
- also said that you should submit if you are aware of any
- 22 allegations against staff in residential care, and I was
- aware of the allegations against SND38. So I sought out
- 24 all of the records relating to that investigation and
- submitted those, you know, on -- and I have read those

records and I revisited them again last night.

One of the things that struck me in terms of my experience of sex abuse is the bit where there's another 3 young person who is in another unit and SND38 sends a present to him via his brother. What happens is that that young man reacts really badly and actually in my view he goes into flight mode. You know, sometimes when kids get really overwhelmed, they go into fight, flight or freeze. He goes into that flight mode. He runs and he -- when I read that, I was going -- you know, in my 10 11 experience kids who are subject to sex abuse, if there 12 is a threat to their sibling, it is the greatest --13 that's the greatest fear that they have is that 14 something could -- and when I read that, I had quite 15 a reaction to it, because I was thinking, "Well, you 16 know, what if ... " -- if the evidence suggests that 17 there was abuse occurring, then that was a very powerful 18 way to actually influence and control that young man, 19 and that -- when I read that -- sorry -- how much of 20 that is my own personal -- you know, whether that's of 21 any help, but in my experience of sex abuse, 22 particularly adolescents, the greatest fear that they 23 have is that the abuse will then be targeted on their 24 siblings and they go to quite extreme measures to 25 prevent that from occurring.

- 1 Q. I don't at this point, SND500, intend to -- it may be
- because of issues that arise, and I haven't gone into
- 3 with you, but we will be corresponding with the Board
- 4 about the relationship between the Diocese of Derry and
- 5 the Board, that you will be tasked to look for some more
- 6 records and may be asked to come back in due course.
- 7 That's a matter that we will take further.
- I don't intend to ask you anything else, but the
- 9 Members of the Panel may have something that they want
- 10 to ask you. So if you just remain where you are for the
- moment.
- 12 Questions from THE PANEL
- 13 MS DOHERTY: Thanks, SND500. That has been really very
- 14 helpful. Can I just clarify just with your last point
- are you referring to the suicide note in the sense that
- the boy writes to his brother? Have you seen ...?
- 17 A. The brother goes to visit --
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. -- and SND38 -- he brings a present with him from SND38.
- Then he runs away. His brother goes back to the unit
- 21 and says it was, you know -- so the brother was sent
- 22 with a present from SND38 to -- and then disclosures are
- then made that that person was the victim of sex abuse.
- Q. Okay. Can I just go back to the issue about acting as
- 25 the key worker and the befriender, what you see is the

- conflict within that?
- 2 A. Okay. For me particularly and again it's as you define,
- because the term "befriender", "independent visitor" has
- 4 become a much more common theme, and certainly there is
- no way a member of a unit that a child is living in
- 6 could be an independent visitor, because the independent
- 7 visitor is actually to create safety around giving them
- 8 another person to mind. Befriender is a bit different.
- 9 Sometimes that can be -- but even at that for me there's
- a professional -- again, you know, even if a young
- person would be going out and doing other things, for
- you to be their key worker and to be their befriender is
- actually two distinct roles and I would find it hard to
- marry those. That's my ...
- 15 Q. That's very helpful. The last thing, I mean, obviously
- there is the filing cabinet of the information about
- Nazareth House. Is there anything about Termonbacca?
- Were you able to put your hand on anything about
- 19 Termonbacca going back?
- 20 A. No. I knew about the manila folders that were in our
- 21 personal care records from Termonbacca long before this
- 22 Inquiry came up, because I managed our adoption service
- for a period of time, and part of our role as adoption
- workers was to actually do, you know -- search out birth
- 25 records for people who were adopted, to provide

counselling and then -- and you would -- and I had come across a number of cases where those were the only records that were available for people who had been in Termonbacca, and I knew about the crossover because of that work as well. So I knew that for some people we had personal Termonbacca files, but I know -- in my discussions with SND332 that SND332 had described that there was a big ledger where all the children and their details were written down.

When I got him to describe that, I then contacted a number of people who would have been around, records people, to say, "Have you ever seen this?" I contacted our adoption team to say, you know, "Have you ever seen this? Have you ever seen a ledger?" They didn't.

The only thing I surmised is that the three digits that are on the manila folder for those applicants from Termonbacca perhaps correlate with -- if the ledger came up, whether they would correlate as being, you know, the numbers that were assigned, and for some applicants -- there was one applicant where we never found any -- we couldn't find a file, but when we searched for siblings, there was actually one manila folder but the three numbers were on the outside. So my surmising is that one manila folder actually was for the three.

Q. Just a final question. TL4's kind of monitoring visits

- to Nazareth House, were they written up? Is there any
- $^2$  file that has the outcome of those on a regular basis or
- 3 ...?
- 4 A. I have not been able to locate those and I continue to
- 5 search for those going through people who moved into
- offices, who took over the job. So -- and because
- 7 I have not been able to locate those -- and that is how
- 8 come I had the conversation with TL4 in relation to --
- 9 what TL4 was saying was well, on each of those visits he
- signed all those other books as well. So he was saying,
- "Are those other books available, you know, the
- complaints book, the untoward incident book, menus?"
- I said, "That would be Nazareth. I don't have that
- 14 material". So I have not been able to ...
- 15 Q. But does he think there was a file but it is lost? So
- 16 there was --
- 17 A. It may have actually been destroyed rather than ...
- 18 Q. Destroyed, yes, under the policy, but there was
- 19 an expectation that he would do something formally as
- 20 a result?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 MR LANE: The appendices you have provided, obviously you
- have a pretty full picture of the visiting from about
- 25 '86 onwards. Do you think there is any chance there

- will be similar records relating to the '70s and so on?
- 2 A. I know that we don't have any. Whether Nazareth was --
- 3 because those are Nazareth records. However, I think it
- 4 is probably unlikely from my knowledge in that I think
- that TL4 was the driving force for a lot of those
- ferrords being kept, and also that there were changes in
- 7 relation to policy, because you had a residential child
- 8 care policy, which I think was -- '86 -- '88, that would
- have changed recording. So I'm not sure they would be
- 10 available. I wouldn't be hopeful.
- 11 Q. Thank you for that.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: I am sure you will be relieved to hear that's the
- last question we have for you, SND500. It is very
- helpful indeed, what you have told us today. We are
- most grateful to you not merely for coming but obviously
- going to a great deal of difficulty to inform yourself
- about various matters before you did come. Thank you
- very much.
- 19 (Witness withdrew)
- 20 MR AIKEN: There is another witness, but -- perhaps I should
- indicate that Ms Smith will be taking the next witness,
- 22 and perhaps 2 o'clock, if that's ...
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Say 2.15.
- 24 MR AIKEN: 2.15.
- 25 (1.17 pm)

```
1
                            (Lunch break)
     (2.15pm)
3
               DISCUSSION RE EVIDENCE OF FATHER BRADLEY
                Chairman, Members of the Panel, before Ms Smith
    MR AIKEN:
        takes the next witness this afternoon, you were to hear
        from Father Bradley, who -- now the Very Reverend
        Francis Bradley -- who was the diocesan administrator
        for the Diocese of Derry until the recent appointment of
        the new Bishop of Derry. Father Bradley has attended to
        give evidence today, but in discussion with him and
10
11
        following my consideration of material that the diocese
12
        provided in the last number of weeks, the view that
13
        I have formed is that it would be counter-productive for
        the effective and efficient work of the Inquiry for
        Father Bradley to give his evidence today.
15
16
            The reason for that, Chairman and Members of the
17
        Panel, is that the material that has been produced --
        and no criticism can be made of the diocese for the
18
19
        timing of it, because it is material that suggests that
20
        a body known as the Diocesan Child Welfare came into
21
        existence in the 1970s and then had a degree of
22
        engagement and responsibilities connected to the homes
23
        that we are looking at in this module. At the time we
24
        were asking for material the existence of that
25
        particular body was not clear to us.
                                               So this material
```

Page 80

has been produced and, on considering it, it raises a significant number of further issues that, if Father Bradley was giving evidence today and was asked about those issues, he would need to answer that, "I will have to come back to you about that", and rather than have that play out this afternoon my view is that it would be better for you in hearing him to have him taking time to further speak with me and go away and identify the answers to the issues and/or who within the diocese might be able to give answers, because he does not have his own experience of the time frame in the '70s that these documents relate to.

So my suggestion is that you don't hear from him today for those reasons and we reschedule him to give evidence at a later date in order to maximise the efficiency.

I should put it on record that he wanted me to make it very clear, Chairman and Members of the Panel, that he also did not want it to appear that he had not come properly prepared and was not trying to assist the Inquiry in the fullest way possible and didn't want any suggestion that he was obfuscating by having to say to me in response to issues I was raising that he really couldn't answer that today.

So for those reasons my suggestion is that we don't

have his evidence today, but we have it at a later date. CHAIRMAN: Well, that seems entirely sensible. We have had 3 the opportunity of considering at least some of these There are a great many. I think there is some 200 pages or thereabouts, and, as you say, there are a number of issues which would clearly have to be explored. It is much more sensible to explore them all in one session, if that's possible, when everybody has 8 had time on both sides to reflect upon them and consider what points may already have been identified as arising 10 11 out of them. We will try and identify a date and notify 12 everyone concerned as to the date on which Father 13 Bradley will be invited to return. 14 MR AIKEN: I am obliged, Mr Chairman. If I may take my 15 leave and allow Ms Smith to begin. 16 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 17 WITNESS SND67 (called) 18 MS SMITH: Thank you. Chairman, Panel Members, this 19 afternoon the witness in the witness box is SND67. I-have checked with SND 67 and he does wish to maintain 20 21 his anonymity as far as the Inquiry affords it and he is 22 aware, Chairman, you will now be asking him about taking the oath. 23 24 Would you take the religious oath, please, CHAIRMAN: 25 SND 67?

- 1 A. Yes, indeed.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Please sit down.
- WITNESS SND67 (sworn)
- 4 MS SMITH: Sorry. There is also an appearance to be made.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr McAlinden.
- 6 MR McALINDEN: Mr Chairman, I wish to announce my appearance
- 7 in relation to this matter. I am Gerald McAlinden,
- 8 senior counsel, and I represent SND67, along with my
- 9 learned friend Mr Ciaran Harvey, and we are instructed
- 10 by PJ McGrory & Company Solicitors.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. I am obliged.
- 12 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 13 MS SMITH: Now, SND 67, if we could just have SND-14213 put
- up on the screen, please. SND 67, while this is -- what
- I am asking to be pulled up is a statement you have
- given to the Inquiry and I just want to formally prove
- 17 that, if I may.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Yes. SND 67, we looked at this document earlier and
- 20 this is the statement that you provided to the Inquiry.
- You will see in place of your name it says "SND67".
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. If we can just scroll down through the statement,
- 24 please, you will see that you have actually signed each
- individual page of the statement at the bottom of it,

- and where your signature appears, it has been redacted
- 2 with the designation "SND67".
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can I confirm with you that this is the statement of
- 5 evidence that you have provided to the Inquiry and you
- 6 wish the Inquiry to take it into consideration?
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- 8 Q. Thank you, SND 67. Now, SND 67, the reason the Inquiry
- has asked to hear from you is because of allegations
- that have been made in respect of yourself by one
- 11 person. I am going to call her HIA108, but that name is
- not to be used outside the chamber, and she has the
- designation "HIA108".
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. Her statement can be found at SND-3884 through to
- 16 SND-3895. The Inquiry Panel has heard her give evidence
- and I am not going to go through her statement in great
- detail, but I know that you have read it yourself.
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 Q. You have also taken the opportunity to read the
- 21 transcript of the evidence that she gave -- the
- transcript of the evidence that she gave to the Inquiry
- when she spoke to us.
- 24 A. That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q. So if I may just summarise what she said, she said that

- in paragraph 3 of her statement that before she was
- taken into care she had been beaten by her father. She
- was caught hiding in the chapel by the chapel caretaker,
- 5 taken -- herself and her sister were taken into the
- parochial house, and in that statement she describes
- 7 meeting a along with the parish priest,
- 8 that the took her into a room where he
- $^9$  sexually abused her. She then says -- she says that
- 10 that was you.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 O. She said that you then visited her in Termonbacca while
- she was there in for a period of four days and she
- describes what happened there in paragraphs 7 and 8 of
- 15 her statement, and she gives details of what she said
- happens in paragraphs 9, 10 and 11.
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. She says how you visited her and how she was brought
- into a room with you by one of the nuns in the home.
- 20 She claims that you sexually abused her in that room.
- On one occasion she said that she actually vomited and
- 22 was made to clean up that vomit by a nun. She said that
- 23 you returned two days later, and in paragraphs 11 --
- sorry -- 12 and 13 of her statement she said that she
- was hit by the nun and told to go and see you and she

- was then subjected to further abuse.
- Now if I may pause there, she then moved from
- 3 Termonbacca --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- and she went to Fort James. She said that you
- 6 visited her there, and -- paragraph 23 -- she said that
- 7 how that came about was because she came across you in
- 8 the street. She with another group of children and her
- key worker had left the home to go down the street and
- 10 by chance you appeared.
- 11 She gave more details of this when she gave evidence
- to the Inquiry, and that detail can be found at
- 13 SND-17101 through to SND-17103. This was in response to
- questions from the Chairman. If we may just pull those
- pages up, please. That's SND-17101. You will see here
- 16 that she said that -- the Chairman was asking her:
- "-- was that you say that the key worker told you
- 18 that had been asking about you?",
- 19 and she said:
- 20 "I see. Sorry. We all go out in a -- you know,
- like a batch of eight, six or eight of us.
- 22 Question: A crocodile.
- 23 Answer: You know like, but there's one at the front
- and one at the back.
- 25 Question: Yes.

```
1
                     And, see, you know, I was eating sweets.
        Being honest, I was too busy stuffing my face. Sorry.
3
        My words don't be so great some days ..."
            She goes on:
             "I wasn't looking up the front of the line like
        you're meant to be, but what they meant by asking for me
7
        was he was already there. The line had stopped and they
        were already chatting, and that's why when he came down
8
        the line, he shook my head, and it was on the way, like
        he had already passed past, and they had just passed
10
11
        a comment and saying he had been asking about me, but
12
        I~was, you know -- it wasn't that they were -- they
13
        weren't trying to be bad. They were just saying --"
14
            She said -- the Chairman says:
15
             "No, I understand that.
                                      In this part of the world
16
        people say someone is asking about you if they just ask
17
        how you are, for example. Did you get the idea that he
        had been asking before he met you ...?",
18
19
              and she said:
20
             "Oh, sorry. I didn't see him, you see."
21
            Essentially what she is saying, and we can scroll
22
        down and read what she is saying there, is that she is
23
        out walking with the key worker. You have a
24
        conversation with the key worker. The key worker then
25
         -- she -- you -- they walk past. You pat her on the
```

- head as she's going past, and she said that was how you
- came to know she was living in Fort James.
- 3 She then says, going back to her statement, that
- 4 about four or five days later you came to Fort James and
- you continued to abuse her while she was living there
- 6 about two or three times per week. As I have already
- 7 stated, you were aware of all of those allegations and
- 8 the material was provided to you.
- 9 A. That's correct, yes.
- 10 Q. You then drafted the statement which we have just looked
- 11 at.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. If I may paraphrase your statement and summarise it, at
- paragraph 9 of your statement you say that you have no
- recollection of her or of her family. The only time
- that you were ever in Termonbacca was an occasion before
- you were when you were
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. You talk about that at paragraph 3 of your statement.
- 20 You say that you only came to in October of
- , and at that time she was already -- as we know
- from the details that we have received, she was already
- in Termonbacca.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So you are saying that you couldn't have met her before

- she went to Termonbacca.
- 2 A. That's correct, yes.
- 3 Q. You also say that you had no reason to have been in the
- $^4$  parochial house in the summer of  $\,$  . Can I just pause
- 5 there and ask you if it is possible that before you were
- 6 actually could you have been
- 7 visiting the parochial house for any reason?
- 8 A. No. First of all, I didn't know the priests who were
- 9 living there at the time. I had no reason to visit them
- and the way diocesan appointments were done in our
- diocese was this. If you were being made a parish
- 12 priest or were already a parish priest, you were
- consulted about your change, but normally the first
- thing that a curate -- the first time a curate knew
- where he was going was when he got his letter of
- appointment. So I had no idea before 20th September
- 17 that I was going to be in
- I have also been looking at my -- whatever material
- 19 I have. I do notice that while -- during that summer
- 20 I went to the on 29th July. I have it
- 21 marked in my passport, and I was out there for about
- 22 a month. So I wasn't around just before I moved to
- , but certainly there would have been no reason
- whatever for me to visit.
- 25 The people who lived in the house at that time are

- alive and well and they will be quite willing to state
- 2 that I never visited that house.
- 3 Q. In fact, you say that she names the parish priest in her
- 4 statement as a .
- 5 A. There was never any in the parish. The
- 6 parish priest was and the two curates
- 7 were and SND334. , now
- 8 . is dead, but
- 9 lived in a separate house. It was like a semi-detached.
- 10 So she may have meant -- when she said , she
- may have meant , because they were both
- of the diocese afterwards. So I think she may have got
- mixed up in the names, but they were the two priests
- there at the time and I am sure they will provide
- information that I was never there.
- 16 O. Well, just in fairness to her --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- what she did say is that she thought his name was
- 19 .
- 20 A. Yes. Okay.
- 21 Q. So it is entirely possible that she may have got the
- 22 parish priest's name wrong.
- 23 A. Yes, of course, yes.
- Q. You also in your statement say you had no reason to be
- 25 in the of Derry, which is where Fort James

- is situated.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You certainly have no recollection of meeting any social
- 4 worker and children in the street.
- 5 A. Never. There would be absolutely no reason. In fact,
- 6 there would be every reason not to be in the
- 7 area -- not exactly , but I think the home may
- 8 be behind and maybe a bit into the country, and
- 9 that would be regarded as a place where
- 10 really would not be very welcome.
- 11 Q. Can I ask you then -- you say in your statement you have
- no recollection of ever visiting Fort James.
- 13 A. No, I never was in it, let alone -- I mean, I saw in
- 14 HIA108's statement they were talking about having cups
- of tea and saying prayers with the staff. I don't know
- any of the staff and I have never been there.
- 17 Q. These allegations were reported to the police --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- and you were interviewed by the police, and your
- interview can be found from pages SND-15032 on. You
- were interviewed in July of last year. Isn't that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. When interviewed by the police, you denied the
- 25 allegations.

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. You said that you were shocked that they had been made
- and that they made no sense whatsoever.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You said that you couldn't say you hadn't met HIA108.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But -- in fact, if we can just look at that page,
- 8 SND-15049, you will see there the large answer that you
- 9 are giving to Detective Constable which begins:
- "Yeah, but the whole incident I mean -- I mean, if
- that happened, I would recall it and, I mean, I just
- don't believe that any of that happened. The trouble is
- if, say, a girl like that came to the parochial house
- with an injury and I treated her innocently and then she
- makes allegations later of a sexual nature which didn't
- happen, I wouldn't remember it anyway, because the
- incident without the sexual side of it is a trivial
- incident, you know. It's some girl comes to the
- 19 parochial house and said, 'My daddy hit me' or the
- caretaker comes to the parochial house and brings a girl
- and says, 'This girl was in the ...', you know, and
- I take her to her father and say, 'They were hiding over
- in the church' or whatever, you know, but if without the
- sexual element, it's a completely unrememberable
- incident. So I can't say that I have never met the

- girl, but I can certainly say that none of that sexual
- 2 stuff ever happened."
- I think what you were trying to convey there, SND 67
- 4 \_\_
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- and correct me if I have got this wrong --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- is simply that you can't say, hand on heart, that you
- 9 never met this girl at any time.
- 10 A. That's right, because, well, there were -- it was
- a parish of about 5,000 people. So when I say that
- 12 I~don't -- I don't really know the family, it means that
- I don't know them intimately, but -- and also the
- 14 difficulty with a parish like is there were two
- of us -- there were actually three of us, but the parish
- 16 priest would have looked after the country areas, and
- 17 the two curates would have divided the town between them
- as far as visiting and looking after the sick, etc, were
- 19 concerned, and HIA108 would have lived in the part of
- the parish that I was not responsible for.
- 21 So I would perhaps have met her in school. You
- 22 know, I would visit the teacher -- teachers and the
- 23 primary schools. I'm sure I ran into her, but I~have no
- 24 memory of her.
- 25 Q. And you certainly -- even though you're telling the

- police in this statement that, you know, if somebody
- $^2$  came along and said, "My daddy hit me" and you saw
- a child in the parochial house in those circumstances,
- 4 it is not something that you would particularly
- 5 remember, because --
- 6 A. Well, depending if it was something very serious, you
- 7 know. If it was a -- you know, normally -- I think if
- 8 a child came with injuries or anything like that, you
- 9 would remember that, and I have absolutely no memory.
- 10 Q. You go on -- there are other allegations put to you and
- 11 you say that you had no idea why she would make the
- 12 allegations against you.
- If we can just scroll down to SND-15064, you say:
- "I just -- I don't understand why this girl has made
- these allegations. They're incredible and none of them
- are true and I don't know what contact I had with her,
- because it's 20 ... 30 years ago. I may have met the
- family. I'm quite sure I knew who [someone] was at the
- 19 time, but, I mean, I don't know who he -- you know,
- I don't remember any individual contact with any of his
- 21 children."
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And -- yes. Then there are -- again in the police
- interview you say that you only remember being in
- 25 Termonbacca the once --

```
1
        That's correct.
    Ο.
        -- as a
3
        Yes.
        And you don't remember ever being in Fort James.
5
        I was never in Fort James. I am quite certain.
6
        Just in her oral evidence, which can be found -- again
    0.
7
        the transcript of this is at SND-17091 -- HIA108 said
8
        that -- she said that you were a
                                                           in
                  and again I just want to ask you is it
10
        possible? You don't believe you were there in
11
        Could you have been visiting at any other time?
12
        No, not at all. I never visited the
13
        house before I was appointed there, and I am not sure
14
        that I would agree. I think I remember reading in
        paragraph 7 of HIA108's written evidence when she said,
15
16
        "The
                     from
                                   came to see me". So HIA108
17
        regarded me as being the
                                         from
18
        Now just if I can go to SND-17093, when she was giving
    Ο.
19
        evidence, HIA108 was asked -- your denials were put to
20
        her and she was asked -- just at the bottom of that
        page I asked her if -- what her comment was about your
21
22
        denials, and just to read out her response was:
23
             "Well, the only comment I can give is that
24
        is a very tiny place. If he was -- well, first of all,
```

So, I mean, for him to

25

```
1
        say that he would have had no call to be in one place or
        the other, it's a tiny -- it's a tiny amount of miles.
3
             I -- I know who it was that did do what they did on
        me. I can't pluck another name out of thin air, because
        I know it was him, and for him to say then afterwards
        that he wouldn't have even known the family, my father
7
                         -- my father is one of
        all live in the same area.
                                              is a tiny, tiny
8
        town. For him to say that he didn't know one of the
        families in the town -- I don't know if any of yous was
10
11
        ever in
                            No.
                                 It's like two miles and from
12
        where he -- from where the parish house is it would
13
        a mile and a half, but in
                                           everyone walks.
14
        No-one ever got taxis or buses or -- and everyone knows
15
        each other, and from that to say that he wouldn't have
16
        even known the family would be complete and utter --
17
        well, I don't know. Maybe he's -- maybe he's aged worse
18
        than I have and maybe his memory is slightly warped in
19
        some way, but it's definitely -- it's def... -- it's
20
        definitely lacking in something. I don't know, and then
21
        I suppose if I had done what he would have done, I am
22
        not sure I would have wanted to turn round and say, 'Oh,
23
              I remember that. That was something that happened
24
        and I am sorry about that'. Do you know what I mean?"
25
            So that was her response --
```

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- $^2$  Q. -- to me telling her that you had denied the allegations
- 3 --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- and essentially what she is saying is, "Well, you
- 6 know, I wouldn't admit it either".
- 7 A. Yes. Sure. Of course.
- 8 Q. Is there anything you want to say to the Inquiry about
- 9 that, **SND 67**
- 10 A. Well, I mean, I did not know HIA108. I had no contact
- with her either in the parochial house or in Termonbacca
- or in Fort James. She makes a point about knowing and
- not knowing. I would have known the family vaguely.
- 14 They are a well-known, large family in , but
- 15 knowing them individually and especially trying to
- 16 remember them now after all those years it's pretty
- difficult, you know, but anyway there was no abuse
- whatever.
- 19 Q. If I can then move on to just some other matters that
- 20 have come to the attention of the Inquiry --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- there's a document which was compiled by the National
- 23 Board for Safeguarding Children in the Catholic Church,
- which can be seen at SND-14990 through to SND-14995. We
- 25 might just look at that briefly, and I don't intend to

Day 28 **HIA Inquiry** Page 97 1 go into this in any detail. The Inquiry has the document. You are aware of this document yourself, 3 Father. 4 Yes, that's correct. 5 You know the allegations that have been made against 6 you. 7 Yes. 8 If I can just confirm that in as a result of allegations that were made you were sent to in 10 where you received therapy and counselling. Is 11 that correct? 12 That's correct. I asked for the therapy myself, yes. 13 You returned about a year later in April 14 Well, I went to -- I think it in 15 was -- and I came back to Ireland on 16 and I was appointed then to a 17 immediately. There were then further allegations made against you. 18 19 Yes. 20 Isn't that correct? 21 That's correct. Α. 22 Q.

24

That's right.

23

Α.

- As a result of that in you voluntarily
- 25 stepped down from Is that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q.

- 6 Can I just say that there is also another document
- 7 here, which is at SND-14965. This is a report of
- 8 an independent social worker, which you again have seen,
- 9 SND 67, that was provided to the diocese. Again I am
- not going to go into this in detail --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- but what she does say there -- and we don't need to
- scroll down it -- is that she relates in you were
- and it would have been a very unstable
- period in your life.
- 16 A. That would be certainly the time when my was
- beginning to establish itself, yes.
- 18 Q. Can I just pause there and ask you that, given that the
- 19 allegations that HIA108 makes about you occurred in
- , is it possible that, because this was a difficult
- 21 period in your life, that you might not remember what
- she alleges?
- 23 A. No. I mean, I was still very functioning at that stage.
- 24 It was much later that really blackouts became a problem
- for me, lapses of memory, but the thing about HIA108 is

- 1 I wasn't -- I simply wasn't there at that time. So in
- even to have a blackout and do anything harmful to
- 3 HIA108 wouldn't have been possible, because I simply
- 4 wasn't there.
- 5 Q. Well, finally, another document that the Inquiry has
- 6 received is a statement from Father Bradley --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- who we have just heard was due to give evidence this
- g afternoon but will give his evidence later.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. That can be seen at SND-15030, and this -- if I might
- just summarise essentially what he says, he has been
- asked specifically about the allegations that have been
- made about you and he sets out details of your ministry.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. He also confirms that there is no record of you being
- 17 a to any children's home. Can I ask you were
- 18 you ever a to a children's home?
- 19 A. No, never. I was always a
- 20 Q. And that's essentially what he says. I mean, the
- statement is there, which the Inquiry Panel can read,
- but he does confirm that the records show that.
- 23 A. That is correct. I was never in any ministry to any
- child -- children's institutions.
- 25 Q. Now, SND 67, that's essentially all that I want to ask

- 1 you about --
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. -- what we have asked you to come here to speak about
- 4 today.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. But may I ask you is there anything you wish to say to
- 7 the Inquiry Panel or anything you wish to add or if
- 8 there's anything we haven't covered?
- 9 A. No. I think you've -- I think you've covered the
- 10 situation pretty well. Just to reaffirm that I have
- absolutely no -- I have had no contact with HIA108 of
- any sexual nature in any of those institutions or in my
- own home. It didn't -- it just didn't happen.
- 14 Q. Thank you very much, SND 67.
- 15 A. Thank you.
- 16 Q. The Panel might have some questions for you.
- 17 A. Sure. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Well, thank you very much, SND 67, for coming to
- 19 speak to us. We don't have any further questions for
- you.
- 21 A. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 23 A. Thank you very much indeed.
- 24 (Witness withdrew)
- 25 MS SMITH: Chairman, in light of the fact that Father

```
Page 101
         Bradley is not giving evidence that concludes today's
1
2
         evidence.
3
     CHAIRMAN: Very well. We will resume tomorrow morning at
4
         11.30 -- 10.30 we hope or as soon thereafter as
5
         possible.
6
     (2.47 pm)
7
           (Hearing adjourned until 10.30 tomorrow morning)
8
                                 --00000--
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 102
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	WITNESS SND23 (called)	
4	WITNESS SND500 (called)29	
5	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY30 Questions from THE PANEL74	
6	DISCUSSION RE EVIDENCE OF FATHER	
7	BRADLEY	
8	WITNESS SND67 (called)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		