_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

being heard before:

SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)

MR DAVID LANE

MS GERALDINE DOHERTY

held at
Banbridge Court House
Banbridge

on Thursday, 26th March 2015 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 106)

MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

```
Page 2
1
                                        Thursday, 26th March 2015
 2
     (10.00 am)
 3
                        (Proceedings delayed)
     (10.45 am)
 4
 5
                       WITNESS NHB136 (called)
 6
     CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Can I just
         commence, as usual, by reminding everyone to ensure that
7
         their mobile phone has been either switched off or
 8
         placed on "Silent"/"Vibrate" and also to remind you that
 9
10
         no photography or indeed recording is permitted either
         in the chamber or anywhere on the premises.
11
12
             Yes, Ms Smith.
     MS SMITH: Good morning, Chairman, Panel Members, ladies and
13
         gentlemen. Our first witness this morning is NHB136.
14
15
         She is NB -- sorry -- "NHB136". NHB136 wishes to take
         a religious oath and she also wishes to maintain her
16
17
         anonymity.
18
                        WITNESS NHB136 (sworn)
     CHAIRMAN: Thank you, NHB136. Please sit down.
19
                Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
20
21
     MS SMITH: Now, NHB136, just before I come to talk about
         your evidence I'm just going to let the Panel Members
22
23
        know that your statements can be found at SNB-6261 to
         6279, which includes exhibits, and also at 6882 to 6891,
24
25
         also including exhibits.
```

- 1 NHB136 refers to someone who has given evidence to
- the Inquiry in one of those statements and that's HIA5.
- 3 His statement can be found at NB -- SNB-032 through to
- 4 041.
- Now, NHB136, can I just ask there is -- on the
- 6 screen in front of you there is the electronic version
- 7 of the statement that you -- the first statement you
- 8 prepared for the Inquiry. Can we just go to the last
- page of that, please, at 6279? That's the exhibit page.
- I beg your pardon. It is 6886. Can I ask you, NHB136,
- 11 to confirm that you signed the statement there on 18th
- 12 March of this year?
- 13 A. That is my signature, but I haven't got the bit of paper
- in front of me. That is my signature.
- 15 O. That's fine. Then the second statement -- I think that
- is actually the second statement. The first statement
- is at 6264. If we can just go to that page, please, you
- 18 signed that statement --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- on 9th February 2015, and that was the first
- 21 statement which commences at 6261.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, NHB136, you in your first statement indicate that
- 24 you qualified as student in 1983 and you worked
- in childcare until 1992.

- 1 A. Yes, yes, I did.
- 2 Q. We know that you were the social worker for -- I am just
- going to use the name "HIA5" -- HIA5.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You were his and his brother's social worker from -- in
- fact, the family social worker from December 1983 until
- 7 May 1986. You were his social worker while he and his
- 8 brother were in Nazareth Lodge between 1983 and 1985.
- 9 A. Yes, I was, yes.
- 10 Q. You were also the social worker for a girl called
- 11 NL 145 --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. -- after she was in Nazareth Lodge, and we will come to
- 14 discuss something about her in due course.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. But when you qualified, you were indicating that you had
- 17 concerns about children being placed in Nazareth Lodge
- right from the early days of your qualification. Is
- 19 that correct, NHB136?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
- 21 Q. When we were talking earlier, you were saying that was
- because of something that you had heard from a girl who
- had spoken to you and to your co-students when you were
- a student at Queen's about her experiences in Nazareth
- Lodge.

- 1 A. Yes. One of the lecturers had brought this girl in to
- 2 talk to us and it made a lasting impact on me. My
- memory is not good of a lot of things, but this was very
- 4 good. I --
- I was really very disappointed, because I know how good
- 6 and caring nuns could be. So I decided that this was
- 7 not acceptable. It was -- it was -- I would not be able
- 8 to place children in that home until I was sure that
- 9 that discipline did not exist -- or lack of discipline,
- 10 whatever.
- 11 Q. You were saying that shortly after you commenced work as
- 12 a social worker you were required to place --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- a child or children in Nazareth Lodge and you took
- 15 action.
- 16 A. Yes. I can't remember the case, but I think it was
- another social worker's case. I was only qualified
- a few months or maybe even a few weeks, and I decided
- 19 I could not do this, and one of my managers said, "Look,
- the best way to do is to go up to Nazareth Lodge and
- confront", and being very familiar with nuns, I decided
- to do that, and I did, and I think it was a SR2 I met
- and confronted the issue with her, who assured me in
- 24 anyway -- I think this is correct from then rather than
- what I have learned since -- that SR 62 was the nun who

- 1 had been allegedly responsible for various abuses and
- that she had now been moved to England or to another
- 3 convent somewhere and that currently that regime did not
- 4 exist in Nazareth Lodge. So I then placed children
- 5 there after that.
- 6 Q. Certainly the two boys that you were part of your case
- 7 load --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- your own case load --
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. -- were actually in Nazareth Lodge when you took over --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 O. -- their care.
- 14 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 15 Q. Now you record -- what you have said in your statement
- is that because of this experience with the girl who
- spoke to you while you were a student, you were alert to
- 18 --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- any possibility of abuse in residential care, and as
- 21 a result you were particularly vigilant to visit these
- 22 children regularly.
- 23 A. Yes. Well, I think I would have visited the children
- anyway, because I was -- they were going home a lot and
- I was driving them backwards and forwards, but also the

- 1 little things were upset. They were only -- you know,
- they were upset because they were away from their home.
- 3 So obviously I spent quite a lot of time in Nazareth
- 4 with them.
- 5 Q. You exhibit here -- you recorded your visits in a work
- 6 diary, which you still have, in 1984. You just give
- 7 an example here, if we could look at 6269 to 6272 -- you
- 8 record here -- it has been put in tabular form from your
- 9 diary --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- just about the visits that you had with these
- 12 children.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You are saying that you noticed that one of the boys was
- 15 upset as you left, and they were coming home for
- 16 Christmas. That was in December '83, which was just
- when you became involved with this family. Isn't that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I think that's correct. Yes, that is, yes.
- 20 Q. I am just going to scroll down through this. I don't
- 21 need to read it out, but you talk here in January about
- 22 a Nazareth visit and two boys needing cuddles. Then
- after playing for one hour didn't want you to leave.
- 24 They asked for extra weekend and there was a promise to
- 25 consideration -- discussion about that. There was

- a home visit cancelled, because one boy had the measles.
- 2 You had an appointment with the school about one of the
- 3 boys. You have positive time spent with him. He was
- 4 very friendly. You visited the boys. They were out
- 5 playing, boisterous good fun. Boys were well. They
- 6 went out to the park. The note of the nun there, SR2.
- 7 I presume she was telling you that all was well after
- 8 their weekend home visit.
- 9 A. Yes, yes.
- 10 Q. You took the boys out in March '84. Again you spent
- time with them, but you didn't take them out, and you
- gave them sweets and they were pleased. All seemed
- 13 well. Again contact with their school. If we just
- scroll on down to the next page, please, again telephone
- call asking you to attend one boy's medical at his
- school.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You spoke to SR2 before taking the boys home. Telephone
- 19 calls. There is quite a lot of contact both with SR2
- with regard to the children and your visits to them and
- certainly in some months it was more than the monthly
- required visit that you were having.
- 23 A. Sorry. I will clarify. Monthly required was because of
- the fostering arrangement. In terms of these cases
- I could be out every day. It wasn't -- it was just to

- 1 protect the children. The other one was a standard
- visit of a month. I mean, obviously you went more often
- if it was -- that was part of the fostering process.
- 4 Q. So correct me if I've got this wrong, NHB136. What
- 5 you're saying is then when a child was in residential
- 6 care, you had to drop everything and go if you got
- 7 a phone call from the home. Is that what you are saying
- 8 or --
- 9 A. Yes, but also these children were coming home quite
- a lot and I suppose part of the thinking was, "Could we
- get them home all the time? Could we get them back?"
- 12 They were loved, but the home wasn't a good enough
- environment for them to be in. I would have been up
- quite frequently. You can see the dates. I would have
- visited the home or any place but I would have visited
- 16 quite regularly. It wouldn't have been once a month for
- children in care like that, particularly young children.
- 18 Q. Very well.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I wanted to know when -- you had had this reassurance
- 21 from SR2 --
- 22 A. SR2.
- 23 Q. -- you believe about Nazareth Lodge. I just wondered
- 24 what your impressions were of the home whenever you were
- visiting as frequently as this?

- 1 A. I think I was very happy with it. You know,
- 2 unfortunately my memory is not good, but I think SR2 was
- 3 very good, very caring. I spent a lot of time with her.
- I mean, there's different ways of interpreting, but
- I had no sense -- I wouldn't have left the children
- 6 there or -- and I placed other children after that and,
- 7 believe me, social workers don't place children very
- 8 easily. It hurts to take a child from its home, you
- 9 know, each if the environment is very poor, but -- so
- 10 I have no feelings -- I wish my memory was better, but
- I have no sense of anxiety about the home, and I'm
- 12 pretty certain, knowing how I practise, that if I had,
- I would have done something or recorded it.
- 14 Q. There was certainly nothing during your visits that led
- 15 you to suspect that HIA5 and his brother were unhappy in
- 16 Nazareth Lodge?
- 17 A. No, no.
- 18 Q. The boys never certainly complained to you. As you've
- 19 said, you would have done something --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- if they had.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You never witnessed any evidence of ill-treatment. You
- were ferrying these children back and forward from their
- 25 home to Nazareth Lodge and taking them to medical

- 1 appointments --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- and things, and there was no suggestion of evidence
- of physical ill-treatment, for example, there?
- 5 A. No, and also I had a particular relationship with the
- 6 school, because in a voluntary capacity I was on the
- Board of Governors of the school. So I had access, easy
- 8 access, and the principal would have told me if he had
- 9 any concern. Yet when I look at my notes, you know,
- these notes, because obviously my memory is not good,
- all of the reports from the school are positive.
- 12 There's no suggestion -- they would have told me if they
- 13 had been concerned.
- 14 Q. One of the things that I was asking you is you have
- mentioned SR2 throughout your notes.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you ever remember meeting any of the other staff? We
- have heard that during HIA5's time there he mentioned
- 19 a SR46 --
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. -- a SR45 or a SR29.
- 22 A. I think he must have done, but I really wish this was
- 23 not thirty years ago. I can't remember. I remember
- talking to lay staff. I was up there quite a bit, but
- I really can't answer that properly.

- 1 Q. You can't remember the names of the people you did speak
- 2 to?
- 3 A. Yes, I can't, yes, yes.
- 4 Q. One of the things I was wondering was when you did visit
- 5 the boys in Nazareth Lodge, not to take them home but to
- 6 just visit them in the lodge, where did those visits
- 7 take place? Can you recall?
- 8 A. I can't remember it very clearly, but it was where they
- 9 all took place, but I can remember it must have been
- 10 like -- I remember it being with lay staff as well.
- 11 I remember being informal, other children about. You
- know, I don't have a sense of it being you only went to
- that room and you were watched, nothing like that. It
- was relaxed, but I honestly can't remember the details.
- 15 Q. I was wondering too what access you had to the home.
- I mean, had you seen where the boys slept, for example,
- 17 or --
- 18 A. No, I have no memory, and really that's maybe a weakness
- in the fact that I don't seem to have -- it might have
- been a weakness that I didn't actually go to see where
- they sleep. Also I have no memory of being upstairs in
- the bedroom or anything unfortunately.
- 23 Q. One of the things that you have recorded here, you said
- 24 that SR2 wished to discuss one boy's behaviour --
- 25 A. Yes, yes.

- 1 Q. -- when he was asked to go for a bath and he started
- 2 screaming "Bitch".
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. She considered he was very disturbed and felt if he
- behaved like this at home, his father might snap. You
- 6 agreed to monitor the situation. Now you have in your
- 7 statement referred to this entry and said that, having
- 8 read what HIA5 said about his time there --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- he relates a particular incident about a bathroom --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- that you wondered if the two were related. I was --
- when we were speaking earlier, I informed that you the
- 14 congregation have said that they absolutely dispute this
- 15 incident happened --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- as related by HIA5, and said that the bathroom was on
- the fourth floor of Nazareth Lodge. Did you know the
- 19 bathroom was on the fourth floor of Nazareth Lodge?
- 20 A. No, I don't think so. I can't answer that properly, but
- I don't think so. I mean, maybe -- maybe that was too
- 22 much of a statement that I made to say -- it was just it
- was very unusual that a child should use the same word
- as other children have used in relation to the bath.
- 25 That's all, but I have no evidence of that.

- 1 Q. Well, I just -- we were talking generally about what it
- was like to be a social worker in Belfast in 1983/1985,
- and you were giving some accounts of some of the
- 4 experiences that you had when you were going into
- 5 certain areas, for example, the first time that you went
- 6 to visit a family.
- 7 A. Yes. Well, you know, you've just graduated and you're
- 8 all proud of your new job and you have got your car.
- 9 I was driving up the and two men came out
- and stood in front of car, hands up. One got in beside
- me and told me that I had been vetted and I was all
- 12 right.
- 13 That was only the beginning of really quite
- frightening experiences, especially when you were called
- out late at night and you had to go into high rise
- 16 flats. It was difficult, and it was very difficult for
- the people living there as well, because quite often I
- have been -- it has been said to me, "Why didn't they
- 19 call the police?" They would have been too scared to
- call the police, not so much of -- well, maybe the
- 21 police too, but also of it being seen that they had the
- 22 police in their house, because other people would have
- appeared, threatening them and asking them questions.
- 24 Q. I think it's important that that context of what was
- 25 happening --

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. -- in the wider society of Northern Ireland --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. -- is remembered.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You certainly had experienced difficulties trying to
- 7 carry out your work.
- 8 A. Yes, so much so that some of my clients actually tried
- 9 to protect me. "You see those boys down there? Go.
- 10 Please go, because we know who they are."
- 11 Q. Well, just coming back to the case of HIA5, his social
- work papers can be found at 40001 to 40105 and 42892 to
- 13 42992.
- 14 There is a report at SNB-5865, which is dated 19th
- June 1984, which is a report -- the first report maybe
- that you prepared on him for a case review. There was
- an addendum prepared by yourself on 4th September '84 at
- 18 40080. Again then in -- at 40083 a report of
- 19 December 1984, which again was for a case review, and
- 20 there's a report -- final report that you prepared on
- 21 the family in December of 1985, because at that stage
- the family were rehoused and they were being transferred
- 23 to a different area, and that was being prepared for
- 24 Social Services --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- that report by you. Now I'm not going to open those
- 2 reports up, NHB136, but when HIA5 gave evidence to the
- Inquiry, he was unhappy with some of the entries in the
- 4 social work papers. He said they simply didn't accord
- 5 with his memories or what his parents had said to him.
- 6 For example, the social work records that his parents
- 7 visited regularly for a while and he said that didn't
- 8 happen. He had memory of an aunt visiting, but not his
- 9 parents.
- I just wanted to ask: the social work records were
- 11 being kept like this diary that you were keeping. Those
- were being fed into the history sheets and into the
- social work record -- isn't that correct -- all those
- 14 events?
- 15 A. Actually the notes I would put in my diary. Then when
- I had time, which was usually at home, I wrote those
- into files, handwritten files. We didn't type. We had
- no computers or anything. So it was the files. I think
- they must be shredded. They would have given much
- greater detail than what I have pulled out of diaries,
- 21 because those were only notes for myself to go --
- 22 Q. To prompt you --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- whenever you came to --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- write up the report of the visit?
- 2 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 3 Q. But those --
- 4 A. Sorry. You asked ...?
- 5 Q. I just wanted to check that essentially those files were
- 6 kept contemporaneously?
- 7 A. I don't -- I actually don't know. I mean, they were
- 8 obviously secure when I was work, but I don't know what
- 9 happened to the files afterwards.
- 10 Q. You were writing up -- just shortly you'd had the visit
- 11 you were writing up that visit.
- 12 A. Well, should have been, yes. Should have been.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. But certainly it wasn't that they were being revised
- 16 years later or anything like that?
- 17 A. Oh, sorry. I see what you mean. Of course they were.
- 18 They were written up, yes, when your memory was fresh.
- 19 They had to be. They had to be written. Sorry.
- 20 Q. That's okay. You in the first statement that you
- 21 provided to the Inquiry at paragraphs 5 to 13 you
- address HIA5's statement and, as we have indicated, in
- paragraph 9 here you talked about this incident on
- the -- with regard to the bathroom incident.
- One person who you were also a social worker for was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 18

a girl called **NL 145** . You became her social worker in October 1983. You were her social worker until April 1987. Now whenever you were looking after her, she was in foster care at that time, and her social work papers have been provided to the Inquiry. They can be found at SNB-42827 through to 42891.

I am going to -- NL 145 is not someone who has spoken to the Inquiry. So I am just going to set a little bit of the background of her time and her life. Essentially her mother -- her parents, who were married -- her mother had mental health problems throughout her life with NL 145 . Her father was much older than her mother. He was elderly, and NL 145 had been in care since she was a baby. She was in St. Joseph's Babies' Home and then was transferred over to Nazareth Lodge. Her father particularly was very attentive to her and visited her throughout her life, but as her parents were unlikely to be able to care for her full-time, she was taken into care in May 1975. We can see that in a report provided by a colleague, a , at SNB-48586.

Her social work papers record regular visits by her father, record her going home at weekends and for holidays. At one point in time her father takes her home to live permanently, but is essentially unable to

- cope and has to put her back into Nazareth Lodge, but
- 2 continues to visit her until sadly he is taken into
- 3 hospital, and we see that at SNB-48606. Her mother
- 4 simply couldn't cope and home visits were suspended.
- 5 Then at SNB-48609 her father dies in July 1987 and her
- 6 mother is admitted as an in-patient to Purdysburn and
- 7 she dies not long afterwards.
- 8 After her parents' death two members of the Legion
- 9 of Mary visit NL 145 in Nazareth Lodge and
- 10 essentially take her under their wing, take her home for
- 11 visits to them.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. They eventually foster her long-term from 1982. Now
- this is clearly despite the fact that Social Services
- would have preferred **NL 145** to go to a family
- 16 environment --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- by way of foster care, but she refused to consider
- 19 anyone other than these sisters as foster parents, and
- eventually that's where she goes to live, and that's
- where she's living when you become involved with her.
- 22 A. Yes, yes.
- 23 Q. Now you then build a relationship with her and
- 24 eventually she makes certain disclosures to you --
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. -- about her time, and you make a report on that. We can see that at SNB-31507. If we could pull that up, please, it's a two-page report, and this report is from
- 19th November 1985. You say -- I am just going read it out, NHB136:
- "I have been visiting NL 145 at least monthly
 since 4th October 1983 and during this time I've
 discussed her years in Nazareth Lodge on several
 occasions and for two 2 main reasons:-
- (a) On my initial visit I was concerned about her 10 11 unresponsive, negative attitude. In an effort to 12 establish a more positive response, I spoke to her of an 18-year-old whom I had met while I was a student at 13 14 Queen's. When I tentatively said that this girl had 15 indicated that discipline had been strict, NL 145 became animated, named the girl (I've forgotten the 16 name) and said they had both been in SR 62's unit and 17 18 that she too had experienced similar forms of 19 discipline, as did some boys she named. In no sense was 20 distressed; rather she seemed happy to be able NI 145 21 to communicate about something.
 - (b) However, I noted her comments and decided to return to the subject when I had developed a better relationship. On doing this and having made contact with NL 145 feelings, she became very distressed

22

23

24

25

Page 21

and cried uncontrollably. This scene is repeated every time I focus on this area of concern. On two occasions I have discussed the topic with NL 145 while alone with either -- while alone with either NL 217

NL 217. Both have become concerned and surprised, as they knew NL 145 during the relevant years and were not aware of anything. NL 145 insists she did try to tell them and her social worker, but no-one seemed to take her seriously. She believed no-one would listen to her, as SR 62 appeared so pleasant and interested in NL 145 welfare when social worker and NL 217 visited. There was a sense too that this punishment was acceptable to all adults concerned.

As NL 145 is now 18 years old and will not return to Nazareth Lodge and SR 62 is elderly and no longer working with children, I focused on the essence rather than the detail of the events NL 145 relayed.

Apparently" -- this is about SR 62 -- "she resides in the main convent, sometimes opening the main door.

NL 145 was happy in St. Joseph's until she moved to Nazareth Lodge when she was 7 years old. She went to live with foster parents when she was 14 years, and her father died in 1979, when she was nearly 12, and it would appear that life in Nazareth Lodge was unpleasant from this time. She has spoken of being made to lie on

SR 62. Another girl received similar punishment. She has also spoken of a member of staff in the same unit who made the young people, boys and girls, roll up their trouser legs while she beat them with a stick across their legs.

She considers the physical punishment she received was minor compared with that experienced by two brothers and a .

I am concerned that this young girl should have had such an adverse experience and that she has been deprived of the excellent standard of care currently practised in SR2's unit."

You signed that and it is dated 19th November 1985.

Now we know from the records that you then passed that on on the same date to your senior social worker, who was $\ NL\ 218$.

We can just have a quick look at his memo to the principal social worker, which can be found at SNB-48703. If we could just highlight that. As you see, it is from your senior social worker, dated the same date. It is:

23 "Re **NL 145** :

Please find attached a report on the above-named.

I apologise for my delay in forwarding this to you."

Page 23

There's a further -- on the preceding page, if we can go to 48702, we can see a copy of the memo which the principal social worker then sent to the Assistant Director of Social Services, Mr Robert Bunting, on 26th November, and said:

"Please find enclosed a report forwarded to me on behalf of the girl who was resident in Nazareth Lodge several years ago. As you will see, NL 145 is making a complaint about the harshness of the regime which prevailed at that time. She too is talking in terms of physical punishment that she received, but notes that the boys' life could be much harsher. You will remember that I carried out a limited investigation at the Department's request, the results of which I forwarded to yourself. At the time I realised -- I remained unconvinced about the denials that I was receiving from ex-staff in Nazareth. This complaint only heightens that lack of confidence."

There seems to have been a post-it note or a note attached to that:

"Social worker's report re allegations for limited investigation referred to the -- referred" -- I can't make that -- "referred to in file by hand. See files of and NL97."

Now the Inquiry knows that the matter was elevated

- even from there, but I wanted to ask you, NHB136, why
- did you feel it appropriate to make the report, first of
- all, to your senior social worker about someone who was
- 4 now 18 years of age?
- 5 A. Because I was totally committed to the care of children
- 6 and I just wanted to highlight the fact that this -- we
- 7 should be vigilant. We should be watching what sort of
- 8 care all children are, regardless of which unit they are
- 9 placed in. So it was my concern that a child,
- regardless of just NL 145 , any child that's taken
- 11 from a home -- and it is usually a home where they have
- 12 not had the best care, loving care -- is placed into
- what you think is a safe environment and it may not be
- 14 that safe.
- 15 Q. You felt it was important to highlight that to your
- 16 superiors?
- 17 A. Yes, and I was -- I think I have just been criticised
- that I -- in some of the reports that I only referred to
- the essence of the abuse, because really at that stage
- I was not thinking of litigation or anything like that.
- I was just talking about, "We must look into this. We
- 22 must see that the care is good".
- 23 Q. Well, did you know -- after you made that initial report
- do you know what happened after that?
- 25 A. No, no. Sorry. I should say I have no memory of what

- 1 happened after that.
- 2 Q. Very well. I'm just going look at another couple of
- documents here. If we look at 48715, please, this is
- 4 dated later. Your report went up in 1985. Could we
- just rotate that, please, so it can be read? This is
- 6 dated February of 1987. It is unclear. It might be
- 7 10th. It might be 18th. It is hard to make out. This
- 8 is from Principal Social Worker NL 219 to yourself.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. My understanding is that NL 219 took over from
- 11 as the principal social worker in the Eastern
- 12 Health & Social Services Board at that time. He says:
- "With regard to allegations of ill-treatment in
- 14 Nazareth Lodge made by former residents, I would be
- 15 grateful if you can now assist the above" -- that's
- 16 NL 145 -- "the above-named in making contact with the
- 17 RUC to make her complaint. Please advise me if and when
- 18 this has been done."
- 19 Then if we look at the next page, please, which is
- 48715, this is a memo from yourself -- sorry. 16.
- I beg your pardon. This is a memo from yourself to NL 219
- 22 **NL 219**.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. It is dated 18th May 1987, so about three months later.
- 25 You then record here:

Page 26

"Since last correspondence little progress has been made. When last in contact, NL 145 wanted to press charges. She did go to a local police station in

, but had no satisfaction, as they wanted some instruction from the RUC to take her statement.

NL 145 came back to Belfast from 17th to 27th

February. During this time she attempted to make
a statement in Antrim Road Police Station. They asked
her to call at the police station covering the Nazareth
Lodge area. I enquired to learn that this was
Willowfield RUC, and was attempting to make a joint
appointment when NL 145 phoned in a very distressed
state from the airport as she was returning to
under duress."

Then **NL 145** leaves. She phones up almost daily at first. You just recount the contact that you had with her while she was in . Then in the next paragraph:

"In the meantime after much discussion I received the permission of Inspector Hamilton, Willowfield RUC, to use his name if NL 145 should make contact with a police station in England. Interestingly, his main concern was that he or the police were not seen to be bringing charges against Nazareth Lodge, as he had great respect for nuns and the work done there."

Page 27 1 If we look then at 48719, this is a memo of 2 a telephone conversation from someone called to you on 7th June 1989: 3 "Telephoned NHB136 with reference to Mr Bunting's 4 memo of 31st May 1989." 5 I am not going to call up that memo, but simply he 6 7 is asking for an update: "Did these children ever report their complaint to the police?" It says: 8 NL 145 file was closed in 9 10 August/September 1987 with a view to opening if she contacted Social Services again. NL 145 made contact 11 with NHB136 around the middle of May 1989. She is now 12 married, living in and has a baby." 13 It gives her name. 14 15 "She intends to press charges and has been in contact with a solicitor in to assist her. 16 NHB136 thinks that NL 145 will go ahead and press 17 18 charges and will be looking for compensation. The case has, however, been passed through 19 NL 218 to put details to date in writing." 20 NL 220 21 Certainly if we look at 28720, this is a letter that is sent by the Assistant Director of Social Services, 22 23 Mr Black, to Mr Bunting, updating him on these 24 enquiries. 25 There is also a post-it or a memo there, which says:

" NL 220 has spoken to NHB136 re reference to

claims in the solicitor's letter. She was very evasive

with regard to claims. No way gave them any grounds

that a claim should or would be paid. NHB136 discussed

claim with $\,$ NL 145 $\,$, but not in a positive way."

When we were looking at the solicitor's letter that NL 145 had sent, that letter is simply saying, "Did you advise her that she would have a good claim?" This is your response to -- you may have had some discussion with her, but you didn't say anything --

11 A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q. -- about having a good claim.
- 13 A. I don't think so. I think my focus was much more on the
- care rather than -- I don't remember, but I know how
- I feel. It would have been much more about care rather
- than financial rewards, you know. I don't particularly
- 17 like that statement there I was evasive about whatever.
- I don't think I would have worded it like that. I would
- have probably stressed I was much more interested --
- 20 more concerned about the care in the home than her
- 21 getting financial rewards.
- 22 Q. Well, can I just ask you, NHB136, you have told us
- a little bit about what you remember about Nazareth
- Lodge and the care that was afforded to the children
- 25 that you had there. Did you have any contact with any

- other residential children's homes that you remember
- 2 during your time as a social worker?
- 3 A. I can't remember. There was St. Joseph's, but I don't
- 4 remember being -- I could have been in it. I really
- 5 can't remember. This is thirty years ago. It's hard
- 6 for this old brain.
- 7 Q. I appreciate that, NHB136. If you can't remember, don't
- 8 worry about it.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I just wondered if you did remember.
- 11 A. Yes, yes. I can't. I can't think of them anyway.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much, NHB136. I have no further
- questions that I want to ask you, but the Panel Members
- may have something that they want some clarification on.
- 15 Questions from THE PANEL
- 16 MR LANE: Thank you for your evidence. You spoke about the
- 17 need to be always vigilant and for social workers to be
- watching to see what they could tell. Do you think from
- 19 your relationship with HIA5 and HIA 458 that they would
- 20 have trusted you enough to speak about things?
- 21 A. That's what I feel. I just hope I'm right in that, you
- know. I have -- my own sons weren't a lot older than
- them, you know. So I had that added advantage of being
- a mother and caring. Sometimes they'd say they didn't
- want me to leave the home in notes and things like that.

1 Unfortunately it is so long ago I can't even remember 2 what the wee boys looked like now, but, you know -- and 3 also because I took them for medicals, and the doctors didn't report anything either. You know, a doctor would 4 5 have pointed out to me, "Look, did you see this bruise?" 6 or whatever and didn't. I just wish these things took 7 place a lot earlier. You know, the years are the gap, 8 but I would have felt they would have told me. I was 9 taking them home. I was seeing them a lot in the home 10 and seeing them a lot in their own home and driving them 11 backwards and forwards. So I think if they had been 12 very unhappy that particular day -- I might be wrong in 13 this -- but I've a sense, because other children told me

things that were happening in their homes, etc, that

16 Q. So it is reasonable to expect --

they would have said.

- 17 A. I think that, yes.
- 18 Q. -- that children should confide in social workers?
- 19 A. I think -- well, not necessarily, but I think my
- relationship with them, because I was older, I was more
- 21 maternal maybe, that they would have done. I can
- remember them cuddling into me, things like that. So
- I think they would have trusted me to say.
- 24 Q. Okay.

14

15

25 A. But the bit that -- because of my role in the school,

- 1 you know, being on and I eventually was of that
- 2 Board of Governors, I had easy access with the
- principal. I think they would have told me if a child
- 4 had come to school with a bruise or something. That's
- 5 not recorded. I have no knowledge of that. Okay?
- 6 Q. Thank you very much.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Well, NHB136, thank you for coming to tell us
- 8 about these matters today. Perhaps I should reassure
- 9 you that we have all -- we have read and can look at
- again these voluminous documents on which you have been
- 11 asked to touch occasionally. So we know there is
- a great deal of detail there, but thank you for coming
- 13 to speak to us this morning.
- 14 A. Thank you.
- 15 (Witness withdrew)
- 16 MS SMITH: Chairman, the next witness is to be taken by
- 17 Mr Aiken, but if we take a very short break, hopefully
- we will be ready.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We'll rise just for a few minutes.
- 20 (11.20 am)
- 21 (Short break)
- 22 (11.40 am)
- 23 WITNESS HIA316 (called)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Mr Aiken.
- 25 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good morning.

- 1 The next witness this morning is HIA316, who was
- formerly HIA316, who is "HIA316". She is aware,
- 3 Chairman, you are going to ask her to take the oath.
- 4 WITNESS HIA316 (sworn)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, HIA316. Please sit down.
- 6 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 7 MR AIKEN: HIA316, coming up on the screen should be the
- 8 first page of your witness statement. I just want you
- 9 to look at it and look at the hard copy you have with
- 10 you, see what's under the black marks and confirm that
- 11 that is the same first page.
- 12 A. Yes. That's the same first page.
- 13 Q. If we move through to 834, please, and will you just
- check that's the same last page, and if you look at the
- hard copy you have and just confirm that you have, in
- 16 fact, signed your witness statement?
- 17 A. Yes, I have.
- 18 Q. You want to adopt the content of your witness statement
- 19 as your evidence to the Inquiry?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. As you know, we were talking about the black marks being
- part of the Inquiry's anonymity policy, and you want to
- 23 keep your anonymity?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. If you just bear with me for a moment, HIA316, while

I give the Panel some references in the bundle to material that relates to you.

In addition to HIA316's witness statement there is the replying statement from the Sisters of Nazareth, which can be found at 2142 to 2144. HIA316 is aware that paragraph 19 of that statement contains a personal apology to her for any occasion whenever the treatment she received from nuns fell beneath the reasonable standard that might have been expected. HIA316 found that helpful this morning.

The Health & Social Care Board statement can be found at 6259 through to 6260. That statement confirms that as far as the Health & Social Care Board can tell there was no Welfare Authority involvement in HIA316's care. From her own statement it appears that this was a private arrangement that her mother made with the nuns.

There then is two further matters that I'm going to draw to the Panel's attention. In paragraph 8 of HIA316's statement she mentions a particular priest that she recalls from her childhood, and the Inquiry has received a replying statement from him. He is NHB84. His witness statement can be found at 80075 to 80078. We will look at what he's had to say about the specific matter that HIA316 raises in due course.

In addition, HIA316 through her evidence talks about

the treatment she received at the hands of SR116, who

was SR116. SR116, the entry in the register that

4 records her history can be found at 10274.

While HIA316 never spoke of her abuse to the police, there are a number of interviews that have taken place

7 in respect of SR116 and allegations, some similar in the

context of bedwetting to that which HIA316 will describe

9 to you.

8

15

There is between 2010 and 2012 police investigation
that related to twelve individuals and that prompted a
100-page interview with SR116 in 2012. That runs from
61295 through to 61400. The police conclusion about the
similar evidence can -- at the end of that investigation

There is other relevant material of a similar type.

I may have to open that to the Panel in due course to

provide the composite picture.

That having been said, HIA316, you have travelled from England today --

- 21 A. I have.
- 22 Q. -- where you've spent most of your adult life.

can be found at 61904 and 5.

- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You were born on
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now aged 63.
- 2 A. Yes.

3

- 5 Q. You have -- and you describe this towards the end of
- 6 your witness statement -- two children of your own, four
- 7 step-children and then you said --
- 8 A. Twelve.
- 9 Q. -- in the statement lots of grandchildren. You
- 10 confirmed for me today that, including
- 11 step-grandchildren, is twelve grandchildren.
- 12 A. Twelve, yes.
- 13 Q. You were in Nazareth Lodge from --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- aged 2. You remained there until
- 16
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. I think you said at the start of your statement you
- 19 didn't recollect necessarily going in as early as the
- 20 records suggest --
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. -- but it appears, if we look at the register entry at
- 23 4544, please, this confirms that HIA316 -- and you can
- see -- I was discussing this with you earlier -- that
- 25 the record suggests that you move into Nazareth House in

- 1 March 1953 and the recommendation comes from Nazareth
- 2 Lodge. I was saying to you that at that point in time
- 3 the babies' home was connected to Nazareth Lodge, and it
- was at this point in time, 1953, that a new babies' home
- 5 part was opening. So it may be that at some point you
- 6 moved across from that babies' home into Nazareth House.
- 7 You describe in paragraph 6 of your statement, if we
- 8 look, please, at 829, your recollection is that SR116
- 9 was the nun in charge of your group.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You explain how, in paragraph 6, she used to shout at
- 12 you that your mother didn't want you. It stuck with
- 13 you.
- 14 A. No. They -- I was left with the nuns. They were stuck
- 15 with me. Sorry. Yes.
- 16 Q. Yes. They used to shout at you that your mother didn't
- want you and that stuck with you and they didn't want
- 18 you either.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You remember the swish of the bamboo cane as it went
- 21 through the air. You say that SR116 would lose control
- and hit you on the head and the hands. When I was
- speaking to you earlier, you said it wasn't just the
- cane that she used. You had a memory of another
- implement. What was that?

- 1 A. It was a leather strap cut into strips.
- 2 Q. Was that something that was used less often than the
- 3 cane?
- 4 A. I think the cane probably more.
- 5 Q. The cane was used more?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You remember how you were hit on the knuckles --
- 8 A. With the cane.
- 9 O. -- with the cane. Your knuckles used to be black and
- 10 blue. I was asking you was your experience with SR116
- 11 that it would be just a loss of temper at times that
- resulted in you getting more severely hit or was that
- just the way it was?
- 14 A. Probably now and again she'd lose her temper, not all
- 15 the time.
- 16 Q. You describe in paragraphs 5 and 7 the particular
- 17 problems associated with bedwetting. You explain how
- 18 you were one of the girls who wet the bed, and you
- 19 describe how you had to take your sheets and wash them
- in the bath. I was saying to you that the nuns have
- 21 said to the Inquiry that that was a new suggestion.
- They didn't think that children were made to wash the
- sheets in the bath and, as you have reflected on it, you
- don't think you were made to wash the sheets in the
- 25 bath.

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. You remember having to take them to the laundry. Is
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But what you do remember is being hit for wetting the
- 6 bed.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You mention how if you wet the bed the first night, you
- 9 got four whacks with a cane.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 O. Was this on the hands?
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 Q. But if you wet the bed two nights in a row, you got
- 14 eight whacks.
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. You explain then in paragraph 7, if we scroll down,
- please, that every morning you woke up terrified that
- 18 your bed would be wet. You used to lie and say that you
- 19 hadn't wet the bed.
- Now the nuns have said to the Inquiry they don't
- 21 think you would have got to sleep in a wet bed, because
- the nuns would have checked whether the bed was wet or
- 23 not.
- 24 A. I remember -- I remember lots of times rolling my wet
- nightdress up under my pillow and getting back in that

- bed at night. I do remember that.
- 2 Q. That was in order to avoid it becoming known you had wet
- 3 the bed?
- 4 A. To avoid a beating.
- 5 Q. You then do explain in paragraph 7 that as well as
- 6 getting hit, which presumably was to -- incorrectly
- 7 believing that that would --
- 8 A. Cure us.
- 9 Q. -- help you to stop.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I mean, you wouldn't wet the bed anymore. You also
- describe having this buzzer system at a period.
- 13 A. Yes. That was when I got to about 13, and it's --
- seemed to work. I don't know how long it took, but
- eventually I was dry at night through this machine.
- 16 Q. Can you remember were there other girls who had -- were
- there a number of machines at the same time?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 O. You don't remember?
- 20 A. I only remember mine.
- 21 Q. But you remember it did ultimately help?
- 22 A. Yes, yes.
- 23 Q. Then that meant that you stopped getting hit for wetting
- the bed.
- 25 A. Hit, yes.

- 1 Q. In paragraph 13 and 14 of your statement you describe
- a series of punishments that you remember for what you
- described as stepping out of line or minor things, as
- 4 would you describe them, that you had done wrong. You
- 5 recall how scrubbing was used as a punishment in
- 6 addition to the fact that there was a regime that you
- 7 had to clean the home with others.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. You recollect that you were made scrub corridors on your
- 10 knees when you came in from school.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now others have said to the Inquiry that this floor
- 13 scrubbing was more done on a Saturday and perhaps on a
- 14 Friday afternoon in the school --
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. -- but you recollect it happening for you more often
- 17 than that.
- 18 A. Yes. I remember having to come in from school, take my
- uniform off, change into my old clothes and then get
- a bucket, the scrubbing brush, the mat you knelt on, and
- off I'd go and scrub these corridors, and I remember
- taking my uniform off to do it.
- 23 Q. Another punishment that you describe in this
- 24 paragraph is writing hundreds of lines.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I was asking you was that a punishment you were given in
- 2 school because of something you'd done in school, and
- 3 you thought no, it was something that happened in the
- 4 home --
- 5 A. It was the nuns.
- 6 Q. -- Itself.
- 7 A. It was in the home, yes.
- 8 Q. I was asking you could you remember what the lines were
- 9 that you were having to do and it was, "I must not do
- 10 ..."
- 11 A. "I must not do ...", whatever I'd done and I'd have to
- write it 20,000, 25,000 times and I wasn't allowed to go
- to bed until I'd written it.
- 14 Q. You describe in this paragraph:
- 15 "We also got sent to bed without any supper at about
- 16 5.00 pm every day for a month as punishment."
- Now I was asking you about that, because later in
- 18 your statement you talk about having had the lead role
- in a play that the home was putting on, and that it was
- as a result of getting stage fright, as you described
- it, that, if we look at paragraph 15 of the statement,
- 22 please, you describe:
- "There was a big hall with a stage in the home and
- occasionally we would stage a play or a musical. I got
- 25 the lead role one year because I was a good singer.

- 1 When it came to the dress rehearsal, I absolutely froze
- on stage. I was dragged off the stage by my hair and
- 3 beaten black and blue, because they would have to find
- 4 someone else to do it instead of me. I don't remember
- 5 who it was who beat me. For a month after that I had to
- 6 go straight to bed after school with no supper as
- 7 punishment."
- 8 Now the nuns have said to the Inquiry that they
- 9 don't believe children would have been put to bed at
- 10 5 o'clock without supper for punishment, but when we go
- 11 back to paragraph 13, where you mention this, really
- this is a one-off occasion that you recollect being
- punished by being sent to bed early without supper
- because of what happened over the stage fright.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. If we just scroll back up to 13. So the other point
- I was asking you, you don't know that it was a month.
- 18 It just seemed like a long period of time to you.
- 19 A. Yes. I said a month, but it definitely happened,
- 20 because I remember when the concerts were going on,
- I could hear the cheering and the clapping and I was in
- bed, but it probably wasn't a month.
- 23 Q. Another punishment you talk about -- if we just scroll
- down a little, please, you got caught on one occasion
- listening to the Top 20 on the radio.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You were saying to me it was Radio Luxembourg.
- 3 A. On a Sunday night when the Top 20 was on.
- 4 Q. I think whenever I asked you about that, you said, well,
- 5 you probably deserved to be punished on that occasion.
- 6 A. I think I did.
- 7 Q. You were also supposed to be in church at the time.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. In paragraph 18 another punishment that you describe
- related to getting parcels from your mum. Your mum
- 11 would have occasionally written and occasionally sent
- things, you think probably at Christmas, perhaps one or
- two times more often than that, but you remember
- a parcel coming for you and then you were told because
- of something you'd done that you weren't going to be
- 16 given it.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Then do you want to just recount to the Panel the
- discussion we were having? You accepted that you could
- 20 be crafty and that might have been why you fell foul of
- 21 the nuns on occasions. Do you want to explain what you
- 22 did with the parcel?
- 23 A. Yes. I think when I was -- twice I was refused the
- parcel, we always knew when a parcel had arrived,
- because somebody would say to me, "There's a parcel

- 1 upstairs for you". So we'd sneak in. We'd discreetly
- open the parcel, take out the sweets and if there was
- any money, and then we'd wrap it up again, the parcel,
- 4 and just act as if we'd never seen it. So that was one
- 5 of the things.
- 6 Q. So it might have been on one occasion you interfering
- 7 with a parcel.
- 8 A. And they noticed.
- 9 Q. That might have got you into trouble.
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. You don't remember. You just know you did that with
- a parcel, and you know that sometimes parcels didn't
- make their way to you.
- 14 A. Sometimes you didn't get the sweets, and I think that
- was the main reason, that we went in the back -- we went
- in it for the sweets.
- 17 Q. In paragraph 19 then you describe getting into trouble
- because of a letter that you wrote to your mum asking
- 19 for bell bottom jeans. You said to me you didn't know
- what you were going to do with bell bottom jeans, as you
- 21 reflect on it, because everybody had a uniform, but you
- sneaked the letter into the postbox and somehow the nuns
- came to know about what you had written. That got you
- into trouble for having done it behind the nuns' back.
- 25 A. Yes. I posted the letter. The letters were censored

- 1 I suppose. The letters were checked before they were
- allowed to be posted. The idea of the bell bottom jeans
- was my friends at secondary school were wearing them to
- 4 school and then changing into their uniform when they
- 5 got there, and I wanted to be like them.
- 6 Q. In paragraph 13 of your statement at 830 you talk about
- 7 how the nuns had their pets, but you weren't one of
- 8 them. Can you just explain a little about what you mean
- 9 by that?
- 10 A. Well, I just -- I just permanently seemed to be in
- 11 trouble. I don't remember ever being treated nice. It
- was always, you know, "There's the bucket. Go and scrub
- the floors" or "Go down and help in the laundry" or
- "You've got to clean the church". It just seems to be
- constantly I was at the front of the queue for the
- punishments.
- 17 Q. In paragraph 25 you mention something. It is in the
- 18 context of later life. You mentioned it in passing. It
- is at 833. The nuns told you -- this is in the context
- of your choice you were explaining of partner:
- 21 "All we were told by the nuns was not to marry
- 22 a Protestant."
- Now can I ask you -- you were explaining to me then
- in school that the nuns did explain to you about how
- long it took to have a baby and that sort of

- information. Can you just explain what you were being
- 2 told?
- 3 A. Yes. The only idea I had was, "Don't marry
- 4 a Protestant. Your marriage is doomed from the start.
- 5 It is against God's wishes", and then talking about
- babies, "When was the feast of the Immaculate
- 7 Conception?" "25th March." "When was Jesus born?"
- 8 "25th December", and we had to count up how many months
- 9 that was. I remember being totally shocked, because
- 10 I thought you had a baby in a week, but that was the
- only sex education that I ever remember. It wasn't even
- sex education. That's the only thing I remember about
- how not to get pregnant or whatever.
- 14 Q. In paragraph 8 of your statement, HIA316, you mention
- 15 an occasion when
- 16 NHB84 -- if we can go back to 829, please, paragraph 8,
- 17 you mention how there were two priests that you
- remember. NHB84 you remember was standing at the edge
- 19 of your bed. Now this
- 20 was in the dormitory --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- with -- and there would have been twenty, twenty-five
- other girls at the time in your dormitory --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 O. -- and then the nun had her cell in the corner?

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. You say you woke up. Your nightdress was around your
- 3 waist, and you don't remember anything sexual happening.
- 4 So you don't remember him touching you in any way?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. He was at the end of your bed.
- 7 A. I just remember him being at the bottom of my bed.
- I woke up, because I was startled, and he moved away.
- 9 Q. But you yourself don't say that he did anything to you?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. He has provided a statement to the Inquiry that I was
- discussing with you. If we just look at his reply at
- paragraph 11, please, at 80077, he explains his role to
- the Inquiry, and then in respect of this particular
- allegation if we just move on to the ...
- 16 "I have been asked about ..."
- this allegation relating to you. He doesn't
- remember you, but he explains that he was never in the
- 19 children's sleeping area in daytime or at any time. If
- 20 he visited the house at night, it would have been as
- 21 a result of a phone call from the staff to visit
- an elderly patient who was sick or dying and was met at
- 23 the door by one of the Sisters. He didn't have a key
- 24 which allowed him to enter the house. He was let in by
- one of the Sisters.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Page 48

"I would have been accompanied by the Sister when I visited elderly residents, and I would have been accompanied by a Sister who would have seen me to the door again. At no time did I ever visit any child during the night and deny that this event happened as described. If I had been telephoned to visit a child, the procedure would have been the same as that in operation for a visit to an elderly or sick person and a staff member would have been present."

I was asking you: "Is there any possibility what you remember was you ill and the priest and a nun might have been beside your bed and you've woke up and that's the memory that you have?"

- A. My only memory of ever being ill was taken into
 hospital, having my tonsils out, but the recollection of
 him at the bottom of my bed, I don't remember seeing
 a nun with him.
- Q. What the congregation has said to the Inquiry, if we look, please, at 2143 and paragraph 7 of the replying statement, if we just scroll up, please:

"There would be no reason for the priest to be in the children's dormitory without being accompanied by a Sister at night-time."

24 They weren't made aware of the incident. I was 25 asking you: "Did you ever mention the incident that you

now recall at the time?" and you said to me that you

- 2 didn't mention it.
- 3 A. We never mentioned anything. We just didn't question
- 4 anything.
- 5 Q. I'm just reminded we can see a name appearing on the
- 6 screen. If we just take that down, please. No name
- 7 should be used beyond the chamber.
- 8 You mention in paragraph 11 of your statement, if we
- 9 look at 830, one of the memories that you have, HIA316,
- of -- associated with the home is the stigma that you
- 11 felt through the comments of others when you went out to
- school and them commenting about, "Oh, she's from the
- home". Is that something that happened a lot?
- 14 A. Occasionally -- occasionally the nuns would take us
- walking to Ormeau Park. So we were all dressed the same
- and I vividly recall people pointing and saying, "Look
- 17 at them. They're from the home". I had the same at
- school, girls giggling and saying, "She's at the home.
- 19 She hasn't got any parents", that type of thing. I did
- 20 have friends when I was at school. I was happy at
- school, but you still felt a bit of an outcast.
- 22 Q. In paragraph 16 of your statement on 831 you talk about
- 23 Irish dancing. You say that there was serious trouble
- if you didn't win medals and cups. I think the nuns
- 25 have said you wouldn't have got into serious trouble for

- 1 not winning. The fact is you did win a lot of medals
- 2 and cups --
- 3 A. Yes, yes.
- 4 Q. -- for the Irish dancing.
- 5 A. Yes. I remember coming home with cups and medals. We
- 6 were usually pretty good. I'm not saying we won every
- 7 time, but it was there. The threat was, "Make sure you
- 8 come back with something".
- 9 Q. In paragraph 21 of your statement, HIA316, you -- 832 --
- 10 you talk about being kept on to work for a year with the
- 11 ladies -- the older ladies --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- who were living in the home. I was asking you: "Did
- that not mean -- obviously there was a lot of girls in
- the home and then leaving. If you were asked to stay
- on, was that not a badge of honour, as it were, that
- they wanted to keep you on to work with them?" Did you
- 18 see it that way?
- 19 A. No. I left school one day and I was told I was going to
- work with the old people, you know. I think I used to
- 21 have half a day off a week, but no, I was told this is
- where I'd be working and that was it.
- 23 Q. You explain in the second half of this paragraph that
- you were petrified of the old ladies dying. You didn't
- 25 want to be touching them.

- 1 A. Touching them. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. The Order has said to the Inquiry, if we look at
- 3 paragraph 18, please, of their statement at 2144:
- 4 "At the age of 15 HIA316 wouldn't have been expected
- to lay out dead bodies of the elderly residents."
- If we go back to your statement at paragraph 21,
- 7 832, please, it wasn't so much laying the bodies out
- 8 that you were recounting to me earlier. You were
- 9 explaining that there was a period in the evening
- 10 between 7.00 and 9.00 when -- do you want to just
- 11 explain to the Panel what it was like?
- 12 A. I remember three nights a week I was on my own from 7.00
- till 9.00. If anybody was dying, the curtains were
- round the bed, so you knew when you went on to the ward.
- There'd be a candle lit and a crucifix and the rosaries
- and it used to be, "If you think Mrs So-and-So is dying,
- wrap the rosaries round her hand and recite the Hail
- Mary into her ear", but I was just -- I was scared to go
- behind the curtains. I used to just peep through and
- hoped and prayed that they hadn't died.
- 21 Q. Then you say in the last sentence you used to -- you
- used to hide in the bathroom. They took you out of the
- 23 bathroom --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- and made you lay out the dead bodies.

- 1 A. I was made to lay out the dead bodies and wash them
- 2 a few times.
- 3 Q. Was there a nun doing that as well?
- 4 A. Yes, yes. I wasn't doing it on my own.
- 5 Q. In paragraph 29 of your statement at 834 you explain
- 6 that you never reported the abuse you suffered to the
- 7 police:
- 8 "We never considered it abuse. We didn't know any
- 9 different."
- 10 Can you just explain to the Panel what you meant by
- 11 that?
- 12 A. We just took it to be normal behaviour. That was how
- everybody got treated. It wouldn't even have occurred
- 14 to us. Who would we have reported it to anyway? We
- didn't know any policemen. We didn't know anybody. So
- we just thought it was normal. It is not till you
- figure it out yourself twenty, thirty years later that
- you realise it was a very abusive childhood.
- 19 Q. HIA316, there are two questions towards the end of each
- 20 individual's evidence that we ask. The first relates to
- 21 recommendations. At the end of the Panel's work the
- 22 Panel will consider what recommendations they might make
- 23 to the Northern Ireland Government about three areas:
- some form of apology -- I know you said the apology you
- received personal to you was helpful; some form of

- 1 memorial perhaps of some kind; or some other means of
- 2 redress. We ask each witness whether there's anything
- 3 they want to say to the Panel to assist with the Panel's
- 4 thinking about recommendations that they might make. Is
- 5 there anything you want to say about that?
- 6 A. In my opinion I don't see the point of a memorial. To
- me it would be just bringing back awful memories.
- 8 An apology would be nice and some redress would be nice.
- 9 O. The last question that we ask each witness is whether
- there's anything else about their time in the home that
- 11 we're looking at, which is Nazareth House -- perhaps
- something I haven't covered, something I've got slightly
- wrong, or we haven't covered in enough detail, or maybe
- something else that you want to say about your time in
- Nazareth House. Now is your opportunity to do that. Is
- there anything else you want to say about your time
- 17 there?
- 18 A. No, I don't think there is. I think I've given as much
- 19 as I can remember.
- 20 Q. HIA316, I'm not going to ask you any more questions. If
- 21 you just remain where you are for a short time, the
- 22 Panel may want to ask you something.
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Questions from THE PANEL
- 25 MS DOHERTY: HIA316, thanks very much. That was really

- 1 helpful. Can I just ask were there other staff around
- when SR116 was hitting you for the bedwetting?
- 3 A. No, it was always her and I in her cell where she did
- 4 it.
- 5 Q. So she would take you into the cell?
- 6 A. Yes, yes.
- 7 Q. Were there other lay staff that worked with her in your
- 8 unit?
- 9 A. I remember a young -- she wasn't fully a nun. She was
- 10 **like ...**
- 11 O. A postulant?
- 12 A. Yes, yes, I do -- I remember that. She was sort of
- shadowing her.
- 14 Q. How did she treat you?
- 15 A. Oh, she was all right, yes, but I can't remember her
- 16 name.
- 17 Q. Okay. In relation to other staff were there lay staff
- 18 around generally? Can you remember any?
- 19 A. No, no, I can't. I just remember always being nuns.
- 20 Q. Okay. In relation -- you talked about favourites. Can
- 21 you say a wee bit more about that? Did the favourites
- 22 get preferential treatment or --
- 23 A. No, I don't remember them getting preferential
- treatment. I just remember they were the ones that were
- 25 never in trouble.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. Sorry.
- 3 Q. That's fine. Thanks very much.
- 4 MR LANE: You have mentioned about being left on your own
- between 7.00 and 9.00 when you were working with the
- 6 older people.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Were you the only one who got picked to do that that
- 9 year or were there a number of girls who stayed on?
- 10 A. No, there was only me.
- 11 O. Right.
- 12 A. I don't remember any other girls working up there with
- 13 me.
- 14 Q. So when you were on your own, if something was
- happening, did you have any access to a nun to call for
- 16 help?
- 17 A. No. I just had to wait until whoever came on at
- 18 9 o'clock.
- 19 Q. They presumably were at prayers and things like that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Well, HIA316, I'm sure you'll be relieved to know
- we don't have any more questions for you. Thank you
- very much indeed for coming to speak to us, particularly

- 1 since you have had to come some distance to do that
- 2 today. Thank you for coming and sharing your
- 3 recollections with us. Thank you.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Now we have a couple of matters that are going to
- 6 be dealt with now. So if you would like to go with
- 7 , that's your part finished.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 (Witness withdrew)
- 10 Summary of evidence of WITNESS HIA234
- 11 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, the next witness'
- 12 evidence I'm going to deal with is HIA234, who is
- 13 HIA234. She is "HIA234". She is been unable to attend
- 14 to give oral evidence due to medical reasons, an
- explanation that the Inquiry has accepted, and the
- 16 Inquiry assumes that she wishes to preserve the
- anonymity afforded to her by the Inquiry in respect of
- her evidence, and therefore the Inquiry will proceed on
- 19 that basis.
- The witness statement that she has provided, if we
- can bring up, please, 329, you see the first page. The
- last page, please, at 335. The Panel will have the
- signed copy of HIA234's statement and I have a signed
- copy in my papers.
- In addition, the Panel has had access to and the

opportunity to consider -- HIA234 made a statement to police on 20th May of 2010 and that can be found at 61248 through to 61250.

In addition, HIA234 has had a civil claim ongoing and the Statement of Claim relating to that can be found at 71241 through to 71246.

The Sisters of Nazareth have provided a replying statement, which can be found at 1992 through to 1993, and paragraph 6 of that statement contains an apology to HIA234 for any occasions whenever her treatment fell beneath that that was to be expected.

There then is a statement from SR191, that is SR191, not SR31, who you'd have heard much more about during the module. SR191 was in the home between 1964 and 1971 and worked with the old people. She has provided a statement as to her recollection of the assistance given to her by HIA234. That's at SNB-1577. We will come back to the reasons for that in due course.

HIA234 complains about her treatment at the hands of SR116. SR116, who is SR116, was in the home between 1961 and 1967. She was interviewed by police as part of an investigation into her on 22nd May of 2012. The interview runs from 61295 through to 61400 and there's over 100 pages of interview. It related to twelve individuals, and it did include the police statement of

Page 58

HIA234 being put to SR116, and that particular aspect runs from 61356 through to 61360.

The Health & Social Care Board have provided a statement to the Inquiry and it runs from 6778 through to 6780 and it has exhibits that run from 6781 through to 6794. The Health & Social Care Board statement explains that to the best of its knowledge HIA234 was a private placement during her time living in Nazareth, but because of particular medical difficulties that she has, there was much later involvement with Social Services after her time living in Nazareth House.

The statement confirms the serious medical difficulties experienced by HIA234. She refers them to them herself in her statement and the Inquiry has received other material that sets out those difficulties in some detail and I don't intend to go into them any further.

HIA234 was in the home between ——
sorry. HIA234 was born —— and is now aged 67. She entered the home on —— , aged 1 —— , into the babies' home. She remained there until 12th August 1964, aged 16. Her register entry is at 4234, although she herself says, and as others acknowledge, she returned to the home after her initial period leaving back to see her mother, and she carried

Page 59

on living and working in the home until at least the age of 23 and perhaps -- the Health & Social Care Board have drawn the Inquiry's attention to the fact it may have been, in fact, much longer. That connection carried on right up until some period in the 1980s.

HIA234 explains in her statement the experiences that she, when speaking to the Inquiry, recollects about those who were to look after her. She explains the physical experiences that she recalls having.

The congregation have said to the Inquiry they don't believe that -- some of what is described as how a child would have been treated, but HIA234 has set out what her recollections are, and further detail about those matters can be found in the police statement. In particular, I'll draw your attention to paragraph 20 of the statement, where she describes the surroundings in Nazareth House. You did hear something like that from another witness.

The Inquiry also has access to a detailed medical report from Dr of 9th February 2013.

That runs from 71256 through to 71261. I am not going to go into the detail of that. It merely records that HIA234 has had a very difficult life, has significant difficulties, including with her memory, and that made it difficult for an assessment to be made of what she

- 1 was describing.
- 2 That's all I propose to say to the Panel. Obviously
- 3 the Panel has the material there to refer to and has had
- 4 the opportunity to consider that material. Unless there
- is anything further that I can assist with, that's what
- I propose to say about HIA234's evidence.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: I don't think so. Thank you.
- 8 MR AIKEN: I know that Ms Smith is going to deal with the
- 9 next matter. If the Panel are happy to remain for a few
- 10 moments, it may be she is in a position to do that very
- 11 shortly.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Yes. (Pause.)
- 13 Summary of evidence of WITNESS HIA85
- 14 MS SMITH: Good afternoon, Chairman and Panel Members. The
- last piece of evidence to be dealt with today is that of
- 16 "HIA85", HIA85. There is no reason -- HIA85 is unable
- 17 to attend to give evidence and we have received a note
- from her general practitioner, which can be found at
- 19 SNB-33076. We have no reason to suspect she doesn't
- 20 wish -- rather that she does wish her anonymity to be
- 21 removed. So it will be maintained for the purpose of
- this summary.
- 23 Her witness statement can be found at SNB-263 to
- 24 273.
- The congregation's response is at SNB-1974 to 1976.

Page 61

The Health & Social Care Board response is at 5747 to 5748. They indicate that they have no records in respect of HIA85 and they believe that she was a private placement.

In the bundle also we have civil claim papers, which are at SNB-70575 to 576.

There is police material at 62409 to 62418.

HIA85's statement is on the screen and her personal details are set out there in paragraphs 1 to 3. She is now aged 70 years of age and she was in Nazareth House between 1945 and 1960.

At paragraph 4 she indicates that she doesn't have very much of a memory of her early years in Nazareth House, but from turning into her teens the care she received and the incidents that happened to her began, which would have been approximately 1959 onwards. She said the nun in charge of her group was SR101 and she was very cruel to her.

"My mother visited me in the home every Thursday."

She would visit her brother in the Lodge as well:

"... and I think I went to visit my brother once in the fifteen years I was in Nazareth House. There was no interaction encouraged between us."

In paragraph 5 of her statement she describes the schooling that she received. She says that:

"Religion was a major feature of the teaching.

There were some clever girls. The nuns picked them out

and sent them to night school, but didn't bother with

4 the rest of us."

She said that her passion for music wasn't encouraged. She went to St. Monica's when it had just opened. She said she felt so lost there. It was so big and different to what she was used to in a small class in the home. She thinks she only spent a year there.

In paragraph 6 she goes on to recall bath time and describe it. She said that she once got warm water when she asked for it, and it depended on the kind of mood that they were in whether the nuns would have given what was asked for. She describes Jeyes Fluid being put in the bath, which was very unpleasant and stung the skin and would make the skin smart. She said:

"Whichever nun was on duty would bathe us."

Then when they got out, their skin would be red and inflamed due to the harshness of the fluid.

After she reached puberty from then onwards they were allowed to bathe themselves. She says they used normal soap.

Paragraph 7, she describes the older girls washing the hair and Jeyes Fluid being used to wash the hair, which is what the congregation would accept, that Jeyes

Fluid would have been used for hair washing for head lice.

She said on one occasion an older girl in the home put Jeyes Fluid directly into her ear, which resulted in her eardrum bursting and severe pain. After the incident one of the nuns she said took her to the Mater Hospital in Dublin:

"... and we were told that they couldn't do anything for her -- for me as they were afraid of me going deaf."

Now it seems strange that she was actually taken to a hospital in Dublin, but there may have been some particular reason for that. She said as a result her ear has been problematic for most of her life and continued to discharge.

15 She

describes the effect this had on her in paragraph 8.

She also describes an incident where another nun whom she names there, SR145, she was in charge of the sick children, and she told her she had a bad ear and the nun asked her which ear it was.

"I pointed to it and, upon telling her, she proceeded to give me a slap on the other ear, saying, 'Now you've two sore ears'",

and sent her on her way. She also believes that SR145 was removed from the home during her time there.

Page 64

She said that she was very frightened of becoming ill, because you weren't allowed to be ill in her view and you would never be given the appropriate care and support.

"We did see a doctor every now and again, but you were afraid to tell him anything and the nuns were always there anyway."

The congregation have expressed their distress that she felt she wasn't given the appropriate care and support for this difficulty that she had with her ear. She does describe getting treatment in later life for it.

In paragraph 10 of her statement she describes the food in the home and the routine in the home. She said the food was great. She remembers being hungry. She describes getting boiled eggs on a Friday, but half of them being black inside. She said they only ever got a fried egg on a feast day, which was a treat. Before breakfast they had to go to mass and then they had chores to do.

"We were never off our knees, either praying or scrubbing floors."

She goes on to speak about the bedwetting and the treatment the girls received. She said they were severely punished for bedwetting.

Page 65

"It got so bad I was too scared to go to sleep and would pray to God and say, 'Please, God, don't let me wet the bed tonight'."

She said in her early teens the nuns made the "Wet-the-Beds" walk around with nappies on. She had to go down to the laundry, where she describes being beaten by a civilian worker in the laundry, whom she names there, and on one occasion she said that she hammered her with a walking stick. She was sobbing her heart out going back to the dining room and SR101 smirked and said, "Did you have a hard time down there?"

She said the way she was treated that day has scarred her for life. It made her a very nervous person:

"... and even now I don't like people coming up behind me."

The congregation do not accept that girls were ever made to wear nappies because they wet the bed.

She talks about -- in paragraph 12 about films being shown and about the humiliation that she experienced when those who had wet the bed were told they were not allowed to watch the film, those who had wet the bed the night before. She has reacted to that. She remembers the film in particular. When it comes on, she makes a point of watching it.

1 Paragraph 14 -- sorry -- paragraph 13 she describes 2 that:

"Quite regularly when out playing in the garden, we would be subjected to having our heads banged repeatedly by the nuns again a red-brick wall. On one particular day SR101 was banging my head against the wall so severely that SR134 announced that she thought I'd had enough, and SR101 stopped."

She said:

"SR134 saved me that day and I am grateful for that. I can't even remember why SR101 was banging my head against the wall. She didn't need a reason. The nuns made us feel worthless. We had no self-esteem and walked around with our heads bowed. Even later when we went out to work, the nuns would always tell us not to try to get ideas above our station."

The congregation do not accept that any child ever had her head banged off the wall.

In paragraph 14 she says that the nuns lashed out for no particular reason. They would be hit to the back of the head, poked in the back, hit across the legs with a cane, and if they talked during meal time, they were hit on the back of the knuckles with a spoon. If they were caught speaking in the dormitory, they would be made to sleep on a stone floor by the back door, which

1 was uncomfortable.

She remembers one time being sent downstairs to the kitchen to scrub the floor on her own. She was scared and for a moment wanted to end it. She said there was a geyser there full of boiling water and she felt an urge to scald her hand. At the time she didn't understand her feelings:

"... but looking back, I just wanted somebody to look after me. A nun came in behind me and said, 'I wouldn't do that if I were you, HIA85'. She must have been able to sense what I was thinking. She taught the younger children and she was very stern, but I didn't have any -- many dealings with her."

That was SR177 that she was describing.

She said:

"On other occasions, if the nuns felt like it, they would send us out into the garden with no shoes on. It didn't matter if it was snowing or raining, and that would also be a punishment for bedwetting."

She talks about being referred to by numbers in the home in paragraph 17. She said:

"To this day I remember I was number 16 when I was young, and as I became older, I was given the number and referred to as 65."

Now the Inquiry is aware that the congregation have

always said that numbers were used on the clothing, and they were used so that whenever the laundry was done, the child could be given the right clothing. This may bear some connection to the fact that she was given two different numbers, that as she became bigger and the clothing became bigger, that that might relate to why she became -- she went from 16 to 65, but that's some degree of speculation on that on my part.

11 . She said

she was told particularly by this particular nun, SR101, that she was possessed by the devil and they would get

a priest to say prayers over her.

"The nuns used to tell me that when I was 2 years old, I had pneumonia, and all the nuns crowded around my bed saying prayers that I would live. Years later one nun said to me, 'We should have let you die'. I can't remember which nun it was, but I remember thinking I agreed with her."

In paragraph 16 -- sorry -- in paragraph 16 -- actually just going back to that, I should say that she also makes the point that there were punishments took place on the stage in front of everyone else. Sorry.

It is paragraph 18:

Page 69

"... that if you had done anything wrong, you would be called into the hall with the rest of the girls. Your number would be called out and you would be asked to come up to the stage. Once there you would be told to lie down and one nun would hold both your legs in the air whilst another nun would hit you repeatedly across the bottom with a stick, a hairbrush or whatever implement they could get their hands on. They would also ask you to bend over a low bench or chair and again hit you repeatedly. This happened to me once and I saw it happen to other girls on numerous occasions. It was so degrading. SR101 usually carried out these beatings with the help of whatever nun she could get."

In paragraph 19 she goes on to describe what was the most traumatic times for her in the home, when she was subject to sexual abuse by older girls.

"It would happen at night-time or in some cases during the day if they got the chance to get us on our own. They would take us to the bathroom, make us kneel down and perform oral sex on them. They would force us to do this and push our heads down towards their genital area. I was in my teens when this happened and I experienced this several times. I cannot say with certainty who these older girls were. There was one particular older girl, whom she names there as NHB41,

1 "who was a bully and physically abused me, but

I couldn't say if it was her who sexually abused me."

In the next paragraph she goes on to describe the physical abuse by this older girl, NHB41, whom she describes having grabbed her from the altar:

"In front of all the nuns she grabbed me from the altar and dragged me away by the hair out of there.

I was screaming for help, but the nuns just sat there and did nothing."

She believes that she was sent to the Good Shepherd:

"... and when you go there, your name is changed."

I should say that the police did -- have investigated the complaints that have been made by HIA85 and they have been unable to locate NHB41.

The congregation have said at no point were they ever aware of any such sexual abuse taking place in the home, and at paragraph 12 of their response statement they offer HIA85 and any other child who suffered in such a way an apology for that.

At paragraph 19 -- sorry -- paragraph 21 of her statement she goes on to say how she left Nazareth House when she was 15 years of age and she went to live with a friend of her mother's and she met her brother there, who was living there at the same time. She found it difficult to settle, as she was basically

Page 71

institutionalised from living in Nazareth House, and she was in no way prepared for life outside. She didn't have any idea of the value of money, how to cook, and didn't know anything about the facts of life. She said:

"We should have got help leaving the home, but you couldn't say anything bad about the nuns in those days. It was sacrilege. I did not know how to converse or relate to other people outside the home. This was a very difficult period for me and adjusting to the outside world was a great challenge. Although I hated my time in Nazareth House, my confidence was so low that all I wanted to do was to return there."

In paragraphs 22 to 37 she describes life after she left Nazareth House, and in paragraph 34 at page 272 she describes the effect that her time had on her. She said:

"The abuse I suffered has greatly affected my personality. The fact that I had been subjected to this physical, sexual and verbal abuse for all of my childhood has had a massive effect on my life. I am only now coming to terms with what happened to me, as I have faced up to my demons, whereas before now I was too embarrassed and ashamed to admit what I had experienced."

Paragraph 35:

Page 72

"As a result of the horrendous times I experienced in Nazareth House, I believe that it has affected me throughout the whole of my life, and has had a marked effect on how I behave and how I feel."

Paragraph 37 there she describes how she has spoken to a policeman from the PSNI over the phone about her time in Nazareth House. There was a witness statement prepared by the police, unsigned -- it was signed, but undated, but from the police material it would appear to have been some time after September 2014. The content of that statement, which can be found at SNB-62412 through to 62415, is consistent with the complaints that she makes in her Inquiry statement.

Finally, at paragraph 13 of their response statement the congregation have offered to HIA85 a sincere -- an unreserved and most sincere apology for any treatment she received by any Sister which fell below the acceptable standard.

Chairman, Panel Members, that summarises the evidence that would have been given by HIA85, had she been able to attend the Inquiry herself. Unless there's anything further that you wish me to respond to, there's nothing I feel needs to be drawn to the Inquiry's attention.

25 CHAIRMAN: I don't think so. Thank you.

```
Page 73
             Well, ladies and gentlemen, that concludes our
 1
         business for this morning, and as we will now be rising
 2
         for the Easter holidays, our intention at present is to
 3
 4
         resume on Monday, 13th April. Should there be any
         change in that, the revised timetable will, of course,
 5
         be posted on the Inquiry website and the parties will be
 6
 7
         notified. Thank you.
 8
     (12.40 pm)
 9
          (Hearing adjourned until Monday, 13th April 2015)
10
                               --00000--
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```