1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY
7	
8	
9	
10	being heard before:
11	
12	SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)
13	MR DAVID LANE
14	MS GERALDINE DOHERTY
15	
16	held at
17	Banbridge Court House
18	Banbridge
19	
20	on Thursday, 29th January 2015
21	commencing at 10.00 am
22	(Day 91)
23	
24	MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as
25	Counsel to the Inquiry.
	Page 1

1 2 Thursday, 29th January 2015 (10.00 am)3 WITNESS HIA132 (called) 4 5 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Can I just remind everyone that their mobile phones must be placed 6 on "Silent"/"Vibrate" or else turned off and that no photography or indeed recording is permitted either in 8 the chamber or anywhere on the premises. 10 Yes, Mr Aiken. 11 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY Morning, Chairman, Members of the Panel. 12 MR AIKEN: 13 first witness this morning is HIA132 --14 That's right, yes. -- who is "HIA132". HIA 132 gave evidence on Day 62 of the 15 16 Inquiry's public sittings on 23rd October of 2014 --17 Yes. Α. 18 -- and he is back, as I was explaining to him this 19 morning, on what is now I believe Day 91. HIA132took the 20 oath on the last occasion --21 Yes, that's right. 22 -- and he understands he remains sworn and under oath 23 this morning. He confirmed then on Day 62 that he 24 wanted to keep his anonymity and that remains his 25 position.

- 1 Appearing on the screen, HIA132 --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- is hopefully the first page of your witness
- 4 statement, albeit with the redactions --
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. -- at SNB-584. Can you just confirm that is the --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- front page of your witness statement --
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. -- which you adopted on the last occasion?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If we move through to 590, that that's the last page of
- 13 your statement?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You confirmed you had signed it --
- 16 A. Signed it. That's right, yes.
- 17 Q. -- and wanted to adopt it as your evidence?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. HIA132, as I said to you, there is just a number of
- documents I want to refer the Panel to. So just bear
- with me for a short period of time.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. I should say, Members of the Panel, normally Miss Savage
- appears for NL5. I haven't seen her as yet this
- 25 morning. I have asked for a call to be made just to

1 check on why that's the case, but we should be able to

deal with the matter by way of transcript, if necessary.

- 3 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 4 MR AIKEN: In addition to HIA132's statement there is
- 5 a response statement from the Sisters of Nazareth at
- 6 SNB-2015 to 2016, and HIA132 is aware from my discussion
- 7 --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- with him this morning that paragraph 9 of that
- 10 statement contains an unreserved and sincere apology to
- 11 him for any occasion whenever the treatment fell beneath
- that which should have been expected, and HIA132 on the
- last occasion gave evidence that that was one of the
- things that he was keen to receive. So he's found that
- 15 positive this morning. There are some other matters
- that he doesn't agree with and we will deal with those
- 17 as we go.
- 18 The Health & Social Care Board response statement
- 19 can be found at 5651 to 5652, and that statement
- 20 confirms that HIA132 was a privately placed child during
- 21 his period in Nazareth Lodge.
- In addition, HIA132 recounts his experiences at the
- hands of NL5, who is NL5, and she has provided
- 24 a statement to the Inquiry, which is at 80031 through to
- 25 80044. The part that relates to HIA132 runs from

- paragraphs 70 to 81, which is on SNB-80039 and 80040.
- I discussed those matters with HIA132 this morning and
- 3 we will deal with some of what NL5 has had to say as we
- 4 go.
- 5 There is then also a statement from SR52 about SR34,
- 6 who is the other person that HIA132 recounts in his
- 7 Inquiry statement, and that statement from SR52 can be
- found at 1900 to 1901. We will look at that statement
- 9 briefly shortly.
- 10 HIA132, having said all of that --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- if I can just confirm with you you were born on
- 13
- 14 A. That's right, yes.
- 15 Q. You are now aged 57?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You were the youngest of four siblings. I am going to
- 18 use their names --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. -- for your ease, but they won't be used outside the
- chamber and will be redacted from the transcript.
- 22 A. Right. That's okay.
- 23 O. NL133 was the oldest.
- 24 A. The oldest.
- 25 Q. Then you had two sisters, NL134 and NL135 --

- 1 A. And NL135
- 2 Q. -- and then you were the youngest.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Ultimately all four of you, as will see, go to the two
- 5 different Nazareth properties in Belfast.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Males to the Nazareth Lodge --
- 8 A. Lodge.
- 9 O. -- and the females to Nazareth House.
- 10 A. Nazareth House.
- 11 O. You have been married
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- for 37 years.
- 14 A. Yes, that's right.
- 15 Q. She has various difficulties --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- that result in you being her carer.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You have three sons of your own.
- 20 A. Yes, that's right.
- 21 Q. We will touch on that shortly --
- 22 A. Yes, yes.
- 23 Q. -- for a particular reason.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You have four grandkids.

Page 6

- 1 A. Yes, that's true.
- 2 Q. Plenty to keep you occupied.
- 3 A. That's plenty, indeed.
- 4 Q. You were in Nazareth Lodge between November 1960 --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- and August 1968.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So between the ages of 3 and a half for you --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- and then 11.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. As I said, all four of you went to the Nazareth
- properties, you and your brother into the Lodge and your
- 14 sisters into the House.
- 15 A. Sisters Nazareth House. That's right.
- 16 Q. There is a particular issue you have --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- that we are going to address.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That was on 15th November 1960. I will just give the
- 21 reference to the Panel at SNB-4371. You were there
- 22 until 20th August --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- '68, aged 11, when you moved to Rubane as part of the
- 25 annual transfer --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- of boys to Kircubbin.
- 3 A. That's true.
- 4 Q. You say in paragraph 2 of your statement, if we just go
- 5 back to the first page, which is at 584, please --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- that after you were left in the Lodge --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- you never saw your mum again.
- 10 A. No, never, never.
- 11 Q. Nor did you ever see your father.
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Basically the four children were left.
- 14 A. In care.
- 15 Q. You did see your grandparents , which we will
- 16 come to.
- 17 A. Yes, I seen them, yes.
- 18 Q. But you certainly didn't see your --
- 19 A. No. Mother or Father. That's right.
- 20 Q. You explain in your statement that your brother went to
- 21 the St. Marion's group in the Lodge --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 O. -- which was under SR47.
- 24 A. SR47, yes.
- 25 Q. You went to the St. Joseph's group --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- which was under SR34 --
- 3 A. SR34, that's right.
- 4 O. -- and NL5.
- 5 A. NL5, that's right.
- 6 Q. You say in paragraph 3 of your statement --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- that you were physically abused by both of those
- 9 women.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I am going to look at them individually now.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. SR34 -- just bear with me for a moment, HIA132 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- just till I put --
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. -- the context for the Panel Members. If we look
- briefly, at SNB-12151, please, and in the bottom right
- 19 corner of this document this is the register -- I wonder
- 20 can we maximise the size of that; I am just wondering if
- 21 that's possible -- explaining that SR34 was born in
- 1913, that she came to Nazareth Lodge in June of
- when she'd have been and she remained there to
- October , when she was
- 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- in the Lodge from . So just as you were
- 3 arriving, HIA132 --
- 4 A. Yes, that's right. SR34 was --
- 5 Q. -- SR34 was effectively in charge.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. She died then in
- If we go back, please, to 584, you explain in
- 9 paragraph 3 that she was a very angry person.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How did she display that, HIA132? Can you --
- 12 A. Well, I found her very angry with me and going to --
- what would you call it -- she would have used the stick
- on you if you misbehave, or if you were -- when you were
- 15 at school, if you -- she asked you a question and you
- 16 put up your hand to answer, that was okay, but if she
- asked you another question and you answered it, she
- shouted down at you, saying, "You're showing off. Go
- into the corner and wait until the class is over", and
- 20 I found that was anger coming from her. She didn't --
- what do you call it -- she wouldn't accept you -- the
- 22 answers you were giving.
- 23 Q. You mention then at paragraph 5 -- can we get
- paragraph 5 up; it is on 585 --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- that -- you talk about your experiences in school.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You draw the contrast -- you mention when you got things
- 4 wrong --
- 5 A. Wrong.
- 6 Q. -- you got punished.
- 7 A. Punished, yes.
- 8 Q. Then what you are just saying to the Inquiry is --
- 9 A. Yes, yes.
- 10 Q. -- you also then got punished the opposite way.
- 11 A. Yes, the opposite way, yes. You were seen as showing
- off, giving the right answer, and then you were put into
- 13 the corner. That is my experience of SR34 teaching.
- 14 Q. You mention in paragraph 5 getting smacked in the face.
- 15 A. Yes, from -- from SR34.
- 16 Q. Was that just with her hand?
- 17 A. With her hand, yes, yes.
- 18 Q. You mention the stick. Was that the bamboo cane that
- 19 you would have known from Rubane --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- or was it a --
- 22 A. No.
- 23 O. -- firmer --
- 24 A. Firmer. It would have been a firmer stick -- what would
- you call it -- she would have had.

- 2 A. No, no. It's an actual stick.
- 3 Q. A solid piece of wood?
- 4 A. Yes, solid, yes, exactly. I remember that well.
- 5 Q. In paragraph 5 you give an example, HIA132 --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- of being pulled up by the hair --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- and by your cheeks.
- 10 A. Yes. Well, she would have pulled you up by the locks
- I remember and I remember my feet lifting off the floor.
- 12 She done that a lot.
- 13 Q. Well, now I want -- as I said to you before --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- I want to show you --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- if we can bring up, please, SNB-1900, and paragraph 3
- of the statement about SR34, and obviously one of the
- 19 points that I was saying to you --
- 20 A. Yes, exactly.
- 21 Q. -- that the nuns dealing with the Inquiry make --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- is this lady is not around anymore --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- in order to speak for herself.

- 1 A. Exactly.
- 2 Q. But what has been said to the Inquiry is that they
- 3 recognise allegations --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 O. -- have been made --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- that she grabbed children by the side locks, swing
- 8 them round --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- punched children. Now mostly for you it is by the
- 11 side locks.
- 12 A. Side locks and she lifted you off the floor with them,
- yes.
- 14 Q. The Sisters say this then:
- "While the Sister may have disciplined the children,
- it is refuted that it was as reported. We accept she
- may have pulled at side locks, but don't accept she
- lifted or swung the children as described."
- 19 A. Well, that is wrong. I remember SR34 lifting me by the
- locks and my feet coming -- my feet leaving the ground.
- 21 So that -- that's what I remember SR34 doing on me.
- 22 Q. You talk in paragraph 8 of your statement, if we go back
- to 586, please, and this is a theme --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- that you describe as your experience, HIA132, as

- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. -- of a lack of affection or warmth. You say SR34 would
- 4 have threatened to give you six of the best --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- if you cried.
- 7 A. Yes. You weren't allowed to cry or show emotion.
- 8 Q. Was that just to keep everybody in check because of the
- 9 numbers --
- 10 A. Yes. Well --
- 11 Q. -- or do you have a view as to why that was done?
- 12 A. Well, just to keep you quiet and not to have any
- problems from you. I remember that, SR34 saying that to
- 14 me.
- 15 Q. You also mention that -- paragraph 4, if we go back,
- 16 please, to 585 -- that she was involved -- and I know
- that you had you attribute NL5 also to be involved in
- 18 this --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- but at night-time that you say that she was involved
- in using a stick if you made a sound at bedtime.
- 22 A. Yes, exactly.
- 23 O. You also describe NL5 as involved in this.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Am I right in saying they weren't necessarily -- one was

- on one night doing it and --
- 2 A. Well, I remember SR34 -- the odd time the two of them
- 3 were on the floor, but the bulk of it was NL5. If SR34
- was in her cell, then NL5 was standing outside talking,
- and she just looked down the dormitory, and if she seen
- 6 your head peeping up from the blankets, you got the
- 7 stick.
- 8 Q. Were there occasions whenever SR34 --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 O. -- did that as well?
- 11 A. There was times NL5 wasn't up and SR34 was doing that
- 12 too. She was marching round the dormitory.
- 13 Q. Now I am going move on to NL5 then --
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. -- because you describe both of them involved in this
- issue.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But NL5 was born, just for the Panel -- if you bear with
- 19 me for a moment, HIA132 -- 1926. So she
- was between the ages of during HIA132's period
- of time. So she would have been years younger than
- 22 SR34, but to begin with, HIA132, what you say is in
- paragraph 4 --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- she forced you to show your underpants.

- 1 A. Yes, that's right. Every night you had to strip off and
- go into the bathroom and open your underpants, and if
- 3 they weren't clean, you got the stick.
- 4 Q. I know you said to me earlier --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- that that sticks in your mind --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- because you --
- 9 A. To this day. It's degrading.
- 10 Q. -- have reared three boys of your own.
- 11 A. Exactly, and there was none of that, and it wasn't the
- normal thing to be doing.
- 13 Q. Now at the time --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- you wouldn't have known that.
- 16 A. No, no.
- 17 Q. You just dealt with what was done.
- 18 A. Exactly.
- 19 Q. But as you reflect on having brought your own children
- 20 up.
- 21 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 22 Q. Now she in her statement --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- to the Inquiry didn't address that underwear
- 25 allegation --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- in relation to you --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- but she did in relation to another witness. I am
- just going to show you what she said. 80037, please.
- 6 It is paragraph 52 of her statement, where a similar
- 7 allegation was made --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- by another individual.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. She says:
- "I deny that I checked children's underwear."
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. "They took their school clothes off, put the play
- 15 clothes on. At wash time any soiled underwear would be
- 16 collected and taken to the laundry."
- So she does not accept --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- that she did this checking --
- 20 A. Well --
- 21 Q. -- and punishing for soiled underwear.
- 22 A. Yes. Well, it did happen or I wouldn't have made the
- 23 statement. This is something I remember and it happened
- every night.
- 25 Q. If we go back to paragraph 4, please, of your statement

- at 585, we touched on it briefly in the context of SR34
- 2 --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- but you describe in paragraph 4 --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- being made to keep the blankets --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- over your head --
- 9 A. Yes.
- ${\tt I0}$ Q. -- and if you didn't do that, then would you get hit
- 11 with a stick.
- 12 A. Yes, yes. That happened.
- 13 Q. Now can you remember -- just to put this in context --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- the group you remember was called "The Dainties" --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- and NL5 accepts that in her statement.
- 18 A. St. Joseph's, yes.
- 19 Q. She says that was because she kept her group clean and
- tidy and well-behaved and well-mannered. Do you
- remember being singled out, your group being seen as
- different in the home?
- 23 A. Yes, as different, as clean and dainties, and we weren't
- 24 allowed to mix with other groups, you know. She wanted
- just us into the bedroom, into the dormitories and keep

- us separate. I do remember that.
- 2 Q. The point you make, just to put this all in context, you
- 3 say in paragraph 4 that your group had to go to bed
- 4 earlier --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- than the other groups.
- 7 A. Well, I remember 6 o'clock she used to say after our
- 8 tea, "We are heading up the stairs to the dormitory",
- 9 and especially in the summer the sun would have been
- 10 splitting the trees, and I could hear other children out
- -- from other groups out playing, but we weren't allowed
- 12 to play.
- 13 Q. Now one of the things that she has said to the Inquiry
- 14 is --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- that all the boys went to bed early --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- because everyone had an early start in the morning.
- 19 It was necessary for the orderly running of the home --
- 20 A. Yes. Well --
- 21 Q. -- and that St. Joseph's wasn't treated any differently
- than anyone else.
- 23 A. Well, I find that untrue, because I could hear them out
- 24 playing.
- 25 Q. That's -- just for the Panel --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- that's at paragraph 79 --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. -- of her statement at 80040.
- 5 Now you say that whenever you were put in bed --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- you were made put the blanket over your head.
- 8 A. Yes, yes.
- 9 Q. I was asking you did you -- were you told at the time
- why you had to put the blanket over your head?
- 11 A. No, no, no. All they wanted you was to sleep. That's
- 12 all. I wasn't told, but I got the impression, "No
- problems. Just get to sleep". You couldn't sleep with
- 14 the sweat.
- 15 Q. The point you make then is --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- that if you brought the blanket down --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- then --
- 20 A. If they seen your head above the blanket, they hit you
- with a stick, NL5 and SR34.
- 22 Q. In paragraph 78 of NL5's statement, if we just bring up,
- please, 80040, she says to the Inquiry:
- "I deny that I made any child lie covered with
- a blanket. It didn't happen. I have now been told some

- of the boys allege it was the older boys who bullied
- them and made them do this. If I witnessed or had been
- 3 told of older boys doing it, I would have told SR34."
- 4 Your point is it wasn't older boys were doing this
- 5 to you.
- 6 A. No, no, no.
- 7 Q. This was --
- 8 A. It was NL5 and SR34. It definitely wasn't older boys.
- 9 Q. She said to the Inquiry we can see in paragraph 77 --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- about The Dainties and being well-behaved and so on.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. She said she achieved that -- you know, the good
- manners, being well dressed and so on, clean and tidy --
- she achieved that through encouragement, praising the
- boys and making them feel a sense of self-worth --
- 17 A. Well, I --
- 18 Q. -- and that -- hold on before you --
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. She says:
- 21 "I think scaring a child is terrible and I don't
- 22 believe I instil fear in my voice."
- 23 What I wanted to ask you was did you feel you were
- 24 being encouraged --
- 25 A. No.

- O. -- and made feel a sense of self-worth?
- 2 A. No, definitely I did not.
- 3 Q. Did you feel that it was fear that was --
- 4 A. It was fear, emotional, and there was no encouragement
- on my behalf from NL5 or SR34. That's the way they
- 6 treated me.
- 7 Q. Now NL5 has said to the Inquiry that she remembers you
- 8 as a good boy, easy to -- if we just scroll up, please,
- 9 to the start of -- just up to the next page; just stop
- 10 there -- a good boy, easy to work with --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- the loveliest child, that after your time in Rubane
- 13 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- she says in paragraph 73 you were working as
- 16 a salesman --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- and you'd have called in for an evening meal.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. I just want to pause there, because that's right.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You did do that.
- 23 A. I did do that. After a day's work I went up to see
- them, but I also went up to help them, help the nuns and
- 25 the staff inside the kitchen to clean pots, pans,

- floors, and that is what I done, yes.
- 2 Q. And --
- 3 A. That was after I left Kircubbin.
- 4 Q. Because you had gone to Rubane --
- 5 A. Yes, yes.
- 6 O. -- and then --
- 7 A. Exactly.
- 8 Q. -- you were working --
- 9 A. Yes, yes.
- 10 Q. -- and you were calling into the Lodge.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I was asking why you did that, given you had some bad 13 experiences.
- 14 A. Well, bad experience, I had bad experience with just
- SR34 and also NL5 when I was being reared as a child,
- but all the other nuns that I came in touch with after
- 17 Kircubbin were very good to me. I had no problem with
- 18 them whatsoever.
- 19 Q. You had a particular fondness for SR212
- 20 A. Yes. SR212 was like a motherly figure to me.
- 21 She would guide you and she knew that you were in
- 22 a tricky situation with your trying to make an identity
- in the world. If she heard you were doing anything
- untoward or stories or whatever, she would have called
- in and chastised you, but in a nice way as a motherly

- figure. I have no problem with SR212 and other
- nuns that I come in touch with after Kircubbin.
- 3 Q. You maintained a relationship with SR212 --
- 4 A. Yes, yes.
- 5 Q. -- right up till she passed away.
- 6 A. She passed away. I would have rang SR212 at
- 7 least twelve times a year every year when she was in
- 8 different convents in England.
- 9 Q. I was asking you, HIA132, why -- you had been to
- 10 Kircubbin.
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. You had begun to work. Why did you go back to the
- 13 Lodge?
- 14 A. Well --
- 15 Q. What was it that drew you back?
- 16 A. Well, you had no choice. It was part of your rearing
- and that's all you knew.
- 18 Q. Was that -- that was the --
- 19 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 20 Q. -- the family that you knew, as it were.
- 21 A. At that particular time, and then when I went back I met
- 22 beautiful -- some nice people, nice nuns, priests and --
- and that's the way it worked out.
- Q. As you know, HIA132, I made you aware this morning, if
- we just scroll down, please, in the statement on to the

```
next page, that given -- if we just look at the very
         last paragraph, NL5 says:
3
             "HIA132 would be a boy I would find it hard to
         believe would lie" --
5
        Yes.
    A.
         -- "about his treatment ..."
6
7
             Then she -- so that's the first thing.
        Yes, yes.
8
        The second thing is then she says --
10
    Α.
        Yes.
11
         -- the lies you have told --
12
    A.
        Yes.
         -- she says have hurt her deeply:
13
14
             "Apart from this claim business "--
15
    A.
        Yes.
16
         -- "I don't know why HIA132 would make this up."
17
    A.
        Yes, yes.
18
        So she is saying you are a lovely guy --
19
        Yes.
               One way, yes.
20
        -- but can't understand, therefore, because she would
    Q.
21
         expect you to be the one person who would tell the truth
22
23
    Α.
        Yes.
24
         -- why you would make this up.
    Ο.
25
        Yes.
    A.
```

- 1 Q. She recounts an experience -- if we just scroll up
- please, to paragraph 76, and she says in 75:
- 3 "The accusations are untrue."
- 4 Never physically abused you. She says:
- 5 "I did meet another boy, NL14" --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- "and he told me that he'd met HIA132."
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. "NL14 said that he was disgusted with him", ie
- disgusted with you, HIA132.
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. "He told me HIA132 had said, 'Have you put your claim in
- 13 yet?'" --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- "meaning about his time in caring -- in care."
- 16 A. Yes, yes.
- 17 Q. "NL14 knows there was no ill-treatment."
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. "I hope the Inquiry are going to hear from people like
- 20 him."
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I know that upset you this morning.
- 23 A. Yes, it did upset me, because --
- 24 Q. Can you explain to the Inquiry --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- what actually took place?
- 2 A. Yes. I actually met NL14 in Belfast in a friend's
- 3 house. He was calling in to see this friend who wasn't
- too well, and I said to $\mathtt{NL}14$, "Have you been
- in touch with HIA, the Abuse Inquiry yet, since you come
- from Nazareth Lodge and De La Salle?" and he says, "No,
- I am not pursuing that at all", but in no way did I say
- 8 "claim". That is totally lies. That upset me this
- 9 morning. There's no --
- 10 Q. Can I ask you --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- because, as I was saying to you --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- obviously the Inquiry looks into these things --
- 15 A. Yes, yes, exactly, yes.
- 16 Q. -- and we do have the claims papers for individuals --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- have you ever brought a claim against the Sisters of
- 19 Nazareth?
- 20 A. I have never brought a claim to the Sisters of Nazareth
- or De La Salle.
- 22 Q. You haven't sued either of those?
- 23 A. No. Haven't sued them at all. So that is totally lies
- and you can check paperwork and whatever. I have no
- claims in at all.

- 1 Q. In fact, if we look at paragraph 9 of your statement at
- 2 590 --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- you explain that really until the Inquiry process
- 5 began --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- it's a number of years ago now that it began --
- 8 A. Yes, that's right.
- 9 Q. -- you hadn't spoken to anyone --
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. -- before the Inquiry --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. -- essentially and were thankful for the opportunity --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- that the Inquiry was giving you.
- 16 A. I was thankful for HIA opening this for people like me
- 17 to come along and voice my opinions and what has
- happened to me on a personal basis, been reared in both
- 19 institutions.
- 20 Q. Basically, to sum that up, HIA132, your position is what
- 21 you've said to the Inquiry --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- is what you remember happening.
- 24 A. Yes. That's my memories. Yes, exactly.
- 25 Q. You don't accept you are telling lies to the Inquiry.

- 1 A. No, I don't accept that whatsoever. That is untrue.
- 2 Q. Now you also mention in paragraph 6 of your statement at
- 3 SNB-585 and you name an individual who is down here as
- 4 NL63. Now that was a teacher.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I am just going to write his name down, because you have
- 7 helpfully been able to tell us where you think he might
- 8 be --
- 9 A. Yes, yes.
- 10 Q. -- because the Inquiry hasn't been --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- able to find him. HIA132, you know who I am talking
- about.
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
- 15 Q. I am just giving the Panel the name --
- 16 A. Exactly.
- 17 Q. -- and we will follow up on the information you gave me
- this morning.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. But he was a teacher --
- 21 A. Yes, he was a teacher.
- 22 Q. -- in the school.
- 24 Q. The point you make is that he had a similar approach --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 O. -- to --
- 2 A. Abuse.
- 3 Q. He was keen for the strap.
- 4 A. Strap, yes, exactly.
- 5 Q. But he also did the same exercise --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- with the --
- 8 A. Yes, pulling you up by the locks definitely.
- 9 Q. In paragraph 6 in addition to describing --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- your experience with him --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- you say that:
- "I didn't complain. I didn't know how to complain."
- 15 A. Complain.
- 16 Q. "There would have been no-one to complain to and you
- 17 suffered the consequences if you complained."
- 18 A. Yes, if you complained, yes.
- 19 Q. I am just wondering what caused you to feel like that,
- 20 that you were to keep quiet; you were not able to --
- 21 A. Well --
- 22 Q. -- talk to someone about what had occurred?
- 23 A. No, no.
- Q. What happened that made you feel that way?
- 25 A. It was the fear, the fear of getting beat and the fear

- of nobody listening, and they would beat you for -- they
- would say, "You're telling lies".
- 3 Q. And -- so no-one told you --
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. -- as such, "Don't complain" --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. -- "to X or Y"?
- 8 A. Well, you didn't know how to complain, and if you did --
- 9 I seen other boys at that time complaining about things
- and they got beat for doing it -- "You're telling lies"
- -- and that put me off complaining.
- 12 Q. So you just head down --
- 13 A. Yes, head down.
- 14 Q. -- and you'd get on with it.
- 15 A. Yes, go with the flow as such.
- 16 Q. Now a particular issue for you you touch on in
- paragraph 7 of your statement at 586.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You felt the education --
- 20 A. Wasn't good. It wasn't, yes.
- 21 Q. You felt you weren't tried or tested.
- 22 A. Yes, yes. I still feel that. I think there was no
- 23 proper education. We weren't tried and tested. There
- was no homework, or the impression that I got was, "Yous
- are orphans and you wouldn't get anywhere in life

```
anyway", because we didn't have the basic hardness of
        being reared with parents.
3
        One of the -- we will come to the recommendation part --
    A.
        Yes.
5
         -- at the end --
6
    Α.
        Yes.
7
         -- but one of the things you said on the last occasion
8
9
        Yes.
    Α.
         -- was that some -- I think you described it in
10
11
        financial terms --
12
    Α.
        Yes.
        -- but to allow education --
13
14
    A.
        Yes.
        -- for those who wanted --
15
16
    Α.
        Yes.
17
        -- an opportunity --
    Q.
18
        Yes, exactly.
19
        -- for what they missed out on.
20
        Yes, and I still maintain that, yes, so we can better
21
         ourselves and put closure to this, and also apologies
         from both institutions.
22
23
        I will come back to that idea --
24
    Α.
        Yes.
25
        -- of maybe some form of education --
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- however that would be arrived at, but you describe in
- 3 paragraph 8 then the routine in the home.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You say that that involved, amongst other things, the
- 6 polishing of the floors.
- 7 A. Of floors. That's right.
- 8 Q. I was asking you in your time had the -- was that now
- 9 the electric buffer arrangement?
- 10 A. Well, I don't remember -- it is probably now or after
- I left that probably came in, but I just remember using
- 12 blankets, cut-up blankets, and moving with your feet for
- 13 hours doing it.
- 14 Q. Which was -- which part of the home did you generally do
- 15 that in?
- 16 A. I done the dining room and also the big long corridor on
- the ground floor when they were wooden.
- 18 O. Now this --
- 19 A. Polishing floors in the hall, big long hall and also the
- dining room.
- 21 Q. Now NL5 addressed an allegation of being made clean the
- 22 floors --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- by another boy, not you.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. But I just want to show you -- if we bring up, please,
- 2 80037; it is paragraph 53 of her statement -- she said
- 3 to the Inquiry that:
- 4 "My group had no chores, as I was fussy about the
- 5 beds being made properly" --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- "and preferred to make them myself. I have read that
- NL4's group cleaned floors, but my group did not."
- 9 A. Well, I disagree, because I remember the blankets on my
- 10 feet, cleaning the dining room and also the long
- 11 hallway. So that's totally wrong.
- 12 Q. A particular issue for you, HIA132, if we go back to
- paragraph 9 of your statement at 586, was to do with
- 14 your siblings.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You say in paragraph 9 that you were in Nazareth Lodge,
- the girls were in the House and you were not allowed to
- 18 go and see them.
- 19 A. Visit them, yes. That still -- that -- I remember
- asking SR34 about that and she says, "No. You're not
- going up to see them, NL134 and NL135".
- 22 Q. Now we were -- as I said to you this morning --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- I was showing the Panel a document earlier this week
- 25 --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- that in 1968 --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- so that is the year you were leaving --
- 5 A. Yes, leaving.
- 6 Q. -- a social worker records a change of approach --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- by the nuns to potentially having boys and girls --
- 9 A. Girls. Right.
- 10 Q. -- in the Lodge and boys and girls in the House.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I just give the Panel the reference. That's the
- annotated note we saw at SNB-44403.
- But do you remember that, any change --
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. -- during your time?
- 17 A. Not in my time, but after Kircubbin, coming up, the
- 18 Lodge was -- they had brothers and sisters living then.
- 19 Q. That is when you came back --
- 20 A. I came back, yes, yes --
- 21 Q. -- to help out?
- 22 A. -- to help out, yes.
- 23 Q. You remember boys and girls being around?
- 24 A. Yes, I do, yes.
- 25 Q. Now the Sisters have said, and again this is, you know,

- l the Sisters today --
- 2 A. Yes, Sisters.
- 3 Q. -- assisting the Inquiry, reflecting back as best they
- 4 can --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- if we bring up, please, SNB-2016, at paragraph 8 of
- 7 their replying statement they say with regard to
- paragraph 9 -- that's your discussion of your siblings
- 9 __
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- they can -- they say they can only apologise for the
- separation in your family.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. "The facilities in Nazareth Lodge catered only for
- boys."
- 16 Then they say this:
- "The congregation endeavoured to maintain sibling
- relationships and it was a common occurrence for the
- children to visit their siblings whenever possible."
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Now I want to ask you: was that your experience?
- 22 A. No, that wasn't my experience. I was cut out of it
- completely. I asked SR34, "Can I go up and see my
- sisters?" and they said, "No". I never went up, left
- Nazareth Lodge to go and see my sisters when I was in

- the Lodge. I seen NL135 the time I would go to
- 2
- 3 O. That was in the summer.
- 4 A. Yes, that was in the summer, yes.
- 5 Q. We will come to that, but that wasn't every summer
- 6 either.
- 7 A. No, no, no. That wasn't every summer. For some reason
- NL135 didn't come every summer.
- 9 Q. That was you getting to visit your grandparents?
- 10 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 11 Q. One of the -- this is what I wanted to ask you about
- 12 this. The consequence of this for you you were
- explaining to me earlier was that you have never had
- 14 a relationship essentially with -- between you and your
- sisters.
- 16 A. Yes, because of that. You weren't allowed to build
- a relationship with NL135 or NL134 . They were complete
- 18 strangers. At one time when I called up to see NL135
- and NL134 , they done a check to see if I was their real
- 20 brother, because we didn't know each other. There was
- no bond, nothing. We were complete strangers, and I put
- it down to the way I was treated with this in Nazareth
- Lodge.
- 24 Q. So because you that relationship --
- 25 A. Yes, yes.

- 1 Q. -- was not fostered --
- 2 A. Yes. No, definitely not.
- 3 Q. -- facilitated --
- 4 A. We should never have been separated, but that was the
- 5 thing then. They put the girls on one side or Nazareth
- 6 House and the boys in Nazareth Lodge.
- 7 Q. But your recollection certainly --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- wasn't of being taken --
- 10 A. No, that's wrong, no.
- 11 Q. -- to see your sisters.
- 12 A. No, I never seen my sister when I was in Nazareth Lodge.
- 13 It was after I left Kircubbin.
- 14 Q. You also mention then in paragraph 9 of your statement
- 15 --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- if we go back to 586 --
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. -- and I think I was saying to you this morning this may
- 20 have been unavoidable if he was adopted out --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- but another issue that you have is that your older
- 23 brother, NL133 --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. He was six years older than you.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. He was your protector.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You didn't see him very often --
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. -- in your recollection, because he was in a different
- 7 group --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- but you recall that he was either fostered or adopted
- 10 --
- 11 A. yes.
- 12 Q. -- and you didn't get to say goodbye to him.
- 13 A. No, never said goodbye till him. He was away and that
- was it, and to this day I have never set eyes on him.
- 15 Q. You have never seen your brother again?
- 16 A. No, no, never seen my brother again and I have tried
- 17 to -- my other sister tried to trace him, but they can't
- 18 find him. So that wasn't very good either.
- 19 Q. In paragraph 10, HIA132 --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- you talk about the trips to visit your
- 22 granny --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- and your aunt.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You say in paragraph 11 then, if we just scroll down --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- those were your happiest experiences.
- 4 A. Yes, they were very happy. I would love to have stayed
- 5 there. I think I would have had a better chance in
- 6 life, because I was very happy , and my
- aunt and my mother's brother seen a big change in me
- 8 whenever they said, "You're going back next week".
- 9 Q. Now if we just scroll up a little so we can see the rest
- of paragraph 10 on the page as well.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If we can keep the two. Just stop there, please. One
- of the things you recount, HIA132, is that these trips
- 14 stopped at some stage --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- and that was because I think you said to me earlier
- 17 it was NL5 --
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. -- asked you --
- 20 A. "Just describe the priests."
- 21 Q. The point you were making to me was your -- one side of
- 22 your family --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- were of a different religion --
- 25 A. Yes, that's right.

- 1 O. -- and therefore it was a minister and --
- 2 A. Yes. That is where we were going to every Sunday
- instead of the Catholic Church and then they stopped it.
- 4 They said, "No, son. You're not going back
- 5 ".
- 6 Q. Now your recollection is that one of your relations
- 7 eventually intervened.
- 8 A. Yes, intervened. It was my uncle had
- 9 difficulty with SR34, because he told me years after.
- 10 He said, "We had difficulty getting you back out again,
- 11 but we had to give them guarantees that you would go to
- 12 a Catholic Church".
- 13 Q. And then the trips --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- you were able to go on them?
- 16 A. Go on them again, yes, but I thought that was out of
- order questioning me, "Describe the priests", and all
- that sort of thing, you know.
- 19 Q. That's something that, given this morning you have said
- to us it was NL5 --
- 21 A. Yes, yes.
- 22 Q. -- we can ask her about --
- 23 A. Yes, exactly, yes.
- Q. -- about that. Obviously SR34 is no longer with us.
- 25 A. Yes, exactly.

- 1 Q. So we can't ask her about that, but apart from that
- 2 blip, as it were --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- those trips are something that you have a fond memory
- of that you --
- 6 A. Yes, I have a great memory. I have -- the aunt I used
- 7 to go over to, I ring her every week.
- 8 I have great memories. My aunt was like
- 9 a mother and her husband was like a father. Great
- memories. Six weeks in the summer.
- 11 Q. There were occasions whenever your sisters were -- they
- weren't there every year.
- 13 A. No, they weren't. NL134 hardly ever came, but NL135
- would have come every two years, and she wouldn't --
- mightn't have stayed as long as me, but NL135 would have
- been there.
- 17 Q. As you know, HIA132, the last two questions we ask each
- 18 witness --
- 19 A. Yes, exactly.
- 20 Q. -- the first one is about recommendations --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and the Inquiry Panel --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- at the end of its work --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 O. -- has to consider what --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- it might say in three --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- general areas --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- about an apology, a memorial --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- or some other means of redress.
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. For you you wanted an apology --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- and obviously the Sisters have given you personally
- 14 that apology.
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 Q. But your suggestion about finance --
- 17 A. Finance.
- 18 Q. -- was linked to education.
- 19 A. Education, so that we can get better educated. I know
- we are up in years and that, but to give us some chance
- of trying to prove ourselves and possibly getting better
- jobs and things like that, education that we never got
- 23 from the Sisters.
- Q. The other thing you said to me this morning, because we
- 25 were talking about the --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- what was alleged by NL14 --
- 3 A. Yes, yes.
- 4 Q. -- and you sharing your experience with the Inquiry --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- you're --
- 7 A. That's totally --
- 8 Q. -- thankful that you had the opportunity --
- 9 A. Yes. I am very thankful of HIA to express my situation
- with regard to the upbringing in both institutions or
- else I would never have shared it with anybody else, and
- I appreciate that, and thank you, Panel, for listening.
- 13 Q. The last question, HIA132 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. -- that we ask each witness --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- is whether there's anything else about their time.
- In this module we're looking at Nazareth Lodge.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. It might be something I've touched on --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- but not dealt with --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- in the way you'd like --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- or it may be something I haven't touched on at all,
- or something else that you want to say --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- to the Inquiry.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now's the time to do that if there's anything else.
- 7 A. Yes. Well, all I want to say that was my time as a boy
- 8 in Nazareth Lodge, and after I left Kircubbin to go back
- 9 to help out I met some wonderful nuns, who were very
- good to me, and that's all I have to say, and the
- apology, etc, and that's it, and thank the Panel for
- 12 listening to me. I appreciate that --
- 13 Q. HIA132 --
- 14 A. -- and thank you too.
- 15 Q. I am grateful for that. HIA132, I am not going to ask
- 16 you anything else.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. The Panel Members may want to ask you something.
- 19 A. Right. Right.
- 20 Q. So just bear with us for a short time.
- 21 A. Right. I will, yes. Thank you.
- 22 Questions from THE PANEL
- 23 CHAIRMAN: HIA132, can I just ask you something more about
- these trips to see your aunt and your grandmother in
- 25 ?

- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- 2 Q. I know the place you mean.
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. Now you have explained why the nuns were concerned about
- 6 you not going to chapel --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- but do you know of any reason whyNL135 only came
- 9 every two years? I know she was -- I think she was two
- 10 years older than you. Is that right?
- 11 A. No, I could never understand that. I got every year,
- but for some reason NL135 only came every two years.
- 13 Q. And you say NL134 , who was I think about five years
- older than you --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- she didn't come up?
- 17 A. She didn't come. NL134 was never there when I was
- 18 there.
- 19 Q. Did you ever ask them in later years --
- 20 A. No, never, never asked NL135 or NL134 . I'm never in
- touch with NL134 now, and I'm only in touch with NL135.
- 22 Q. I see, but do you know whether they went somewhere else,
- 23 because we know some children would go to families out
- in the country in Northern Ireland or something like
- 25 that.

- 1 A. No, I can't answer that.
- 2 Q. You just don't know.
- 3 A. No, I don't know that --
- 4 Q. I see.
- 5 A. -- definitely.
- 6 Q. Thank you very much.
- 7 A. No problem.
- 8 MS DOHERTY: Thanks very much. That's really helpful,
- 9 HIA132.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Can I just ask: do you think that the other staff,
- including other nuns, were aware of how SR34 and NL5
- were treating The Dainties?
- 14 A. I would say someone was bound to have known. Some staff
- must know the way they were behaving. They had to, you
- know, but you didn't have it in you to complain, because
- you were afraid of the --
- 18 Q. Of what would happen.
- 19 A. -- consequences, what was coming.
- 20 Q. But there would be dormitories near you with other staff
- 21 around?
- 22 A. No. The dormitory -- the dormitory we were in, we were
- in the attics. So down below there would have been
- dormitories and that, you know.
- 25 Q. Okay, but your sense is they must have seen what was

- 1 going on?
- 2 A. Oh, they knew. I would say they did know, yes.
- 3 Q. Can I ask before your brother got adopted --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- were you able to socialise with him? Was there any
- 6 effort made to help the two of you have time together in
- 7 Nazareth Lodge?
- 8 A. I would see him the odd time, but it would have been the
- 9 odd time.
- 10 Q. Just in the passing?
- 11 A. Just in passing, yes.
- 12 Q. Nothing arranged or nothing that would --
- 13 A. No, nothing, nothing at all.
- 14 Q. Okay. Can I ask did you have any issues with older boys
- in Nazareth Lodge? Was there any issues of --
- 16 A. No, no, definitely not.
- 17 Q. No, no, not. There was no --
- 18 A. I got on well with the boys.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's great. Thanks very much, HIA132.
- 20 A. No problem.
- 21 MR LANE: You mentioned how your group were known as The
- Dainties.
- 23 A. The Dainties.
- 24 Q. Did each of the groups have its own nickname?
- 25 A. I -- Marion -- there was a group called The Marions and

- I can recollect The Mad Marions. Just, you know, they
- were more boisterous and things like that. That's all
- 3 I could remember.
- 4 Q. Was the boys who picked out these names or did the
- 5 staff?
- 6 A. I think it was the boys, the boys, you know. They would
- 7 have been out more often outside and whatever.
- 8 Q. Did you mind being called The Dainties?
- 9 A. Not -- not really. It is because we were spick and
- span, you know. NL5 cleaned us up. You had no choice.
- 11 Q. You mentioned how the others would be playing outside --
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 Q. -- when you had been sent to bed.
- 14 A. Sent to bed.
- 15 Q. Would they be mixing between the different groups when
- they played like that?
- 17 A. Oh, yes, they would have, yes. There would have been
- 18 all groups.
- 19 Q. So you were being kept apart?
- 20 A. Yes, we were kept apart, you know, which wasn't good.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Well, HIA132, I am sure you will be relieved to
- 23 hear that we don't have any more questions for you.
- 24 A. Yes. Thank you.
- 25 Q. I am sorry that we have had to bring you back a second

time, but we have explained why that is --2 Yes, yes. -- and we are very grateful to you for taking the 3 trouble to come to speak to us again. I am very grateful. 5 I know it is not easy for people to do that, but thank you very much for doing so. Thank you all for listening to me. Thank you. 8 9 Thank you very much. Ο. 10 (Witness withdrew) Chairman, Members of the Panel, Ms Smith I think 11 12 is going to deal with a couple of matters that won't involve oral evidence being given, but perhaps if we 13 14 took a short break for that to be --CHAIRMAN: Yes. We will just rise for a few minutes anyway. 15 16 (11.10 am)17 (Short break) 18 (11.20 am)19 READING OF STATEMENT AND DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HIA210 20 Good morning, Chairman, Panel Members, ladies and MS SMITH: 21 gentlemen. The next evidence that I am going to call is in relation to HIA210, who I will refer to as HIA210. 22 23 HIA210 is currently unfit to give evidence. 24 in an in and will be

is in

Page 50

there for several weeks. His former

25

attendance today and will relate to HIA210 what is said about him. 3 So if I can refer you to a number of --4 CHAIRMAN: Is he present? 5 Yes, he is in. MS SMITH: I don't think we need to go through perhaps every 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 detail, but I am sure it will comfort Mr Montague to know we have read the social work records. T think I speak for both of my colleagues when I say we are very impressed by the efforts you and your wife went to over 10 11 the years with HIA210. That is in a sense reflected by 12 your presence here today on his behalf. Excuse me. 13 MS SMITH: Thank you, Chairman. HIA210's statement can be 14 found at SNB-598 to 604. 15 The congregation's response is at SNB-1998 to 1999. 16 The Health & Social Care Board have put in 17 a response at 608 -- sorry -- 38 -- I have got the same page reference down -- to 6068. That includes exhibits, 18 19 which show that HIA210 was received into care in January 1970. There was a Fit Person Order made on the 21 application of the NSPCC on 24th February 1970, and the 22 exhibits are difficult to make out, but there is 23 a document at SNB-44131 to 44132, which shows the 24 details of all the family members and HIA210's entry can 25 be found in the second page of that.

```
There is, as you have referred to already, Chairman,
         a large bundle of Social Services' papers in respect of
2
                  They start at SNB-31441 to 31550, SNB-42191 to
3
        HIA210.
         42331, SNB-18340 to 18357, SNB-19013 to 19068, SNB-31551
         to 31568, 44107 to 44298 and 46846 to 46864.
             Now coming back to HIA210's statement, which is on
7
         the screen, his person details are set out there.
8
    CHAIRMAN:
               Yes.
                      I think we perhaps should formally put on
         the record that, although he is not here, we will
        proceed on the basis that he wishes to maintain the
10
11
         anonymity that the Inquiry has conferred upon him.
12
    MS SMITH: Yes, indeed, and I have advised
                                                             of
                                                   NL 188
13
         the fact that we will be referring to him on our website
14
         only by his designation --
15
    CHAIRMAN:
               Yes.
16
    MS SMITH:
               -- which is HIA210.
17
    CHAIRMAN:
               Thank you.
               He went, first of all, to Nazareth House,
18
    MS SMITH:
19
                   as a baby and then was transferred to Nazareth
        Lodge when he was 4 years old along with his brother.
21
        He said that -- he describes the medical difficulties
22
         that he had in early life.
23
             At paragraph 3 he said that he went to school in
24
        Nazareth Lodge and that was a terrible time for him.
                                                                Не
25
        names SR62, and I am going to give the name, who is
```

SR62, whom he believes is now deceased, and he said she would beat him hard if he was thrown out of the class.

"She used to beat me with brush shafts, metal parts of the hoover and large wooden tweezers used for washing. I used to cower behind the washing machine and tumble dryer to try and hide from her. She was such a fierce woman. She used whatever she could to get her hands on me. She hit me across the head with the shaft of the brush. This happened once or twice a week and I was often bruised.

One of the worst things was when SR62 hit you around the head with her keys. She used to stick the long key into your jaw bone really hard and that was so sore. It seemed just as bad as getting beaten. She was very vindicative. There was another boy", whose name he gives there. "I remember he had not been in the home for long and I saw Sister hit him with a dustpan brush. No-one ever saw what happened to me, because Sister always did it in private."

He goes on in paragraph 5 to describe that there were five groups of fifteen children in the home and he names them there. He said that he was SR62's pet and he said -- but it was terrible. He was known as her pet, but it was terrible.

I should pause to say that at this time between '73

and '81 he describes five groups of children in the home, and that would accord with the evidence that was given by the congregation to the Hughes Inquiry, that 3 there had been five groups, but at the time of the Hughes Inquiry in the mid-'80s they were reduced to three. 7 He said that: "It was very lonely and I didn't have any close In our group we stuck up for each other. you did something wrong, Sister would make you stand in 10 11 front of a picture of Jesus and swear you didn't break 12 an ornament, for example. No-one would admit it, 13 because we all knew we would get beaten for it. We all I remember Sister was in 14 got punished in the end. charge" -- sorry -- a different nun, whom he names there 15 16 -- "SR29 was in charge of one of the other groups." 17 Paragraph 6 he says: "It was thought that your mother coming to visit 18 19 messed with your head. My mother came to visit once but I found that very hard to understand. Part of me 21 realised I had a mother and the other part didn't really 22 want a mother." 23 He says: 24 "It was not encouraged for family to visit the 25 home."

Now in their response statement the congregation
have said at paragraph 3 that the nuns would not
discourage any visitors coming and, in fact, said that
all parents, siblings and families would visit the home
at their own discretion and that the Sisters would have
no control over proper visitors to the home.

He describes here at paragraph 7 that a lay staff
member, whom he names:

"NL66 put me in the cupboard with no light in it.
The only light was on the outside and she locked me in.
It was pure black and the only light you could see was

The only light was on the outside and she locked me in.

It was pure black and the only light you could see was the strip coming in under the door. That strip of light was the only thing that kept me going. I was locked in there for a number of hours, and when I came out, I had sore eyes."

Again the congregation would say that they had employed staff in the utmost good faith and they do say that they are pleased that he refers to another member of staff as being a good person.

"In the mornings ..."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He describes here the routine at paragraph 8:

"In the mornings we got up, got dressed and then went downstairs to get breakfast. Breakfast was cornflakes or toast. I remember on a Saturday we had chips."

I presume he doesn't mean, though, for breakfast: 1 "Even if I was not hungry, I was forced to eat and 2 the plate would sit there until I ate it. I always wore 3 hand-me-down clothes. I was given a bath every two or three days. I remember birthdays in the home were good and I had a party every year. I went to St. Michael's 7 school first, but I was lagging behind, so I started to go to Harberton Special School for children. If I was thrown out of the class, one of the older girls 10 would tell SR62, and she would tell me to go and wait on 11 her upstairs. I remember waiting for about an hour and 12 then SR62 would come raging at you with an object to 13 beat you with. This happened regularly in periods of 14 two to three weeks. After tea we were allowed to watch television and then it was bedtime. During the week 15 16 each day was the same. At the weekends we were allowed 17 to go somewhere like the swimming pool or pictures." He describes the dormitories in which the children 18 19 slept and he said he slept in a dormitory with three 20 boys to a room. He names the boys he shared his room 21 with, including his brother. 22 "The next room also had three boys and then there 23 was SR62's room. Her room had a bathroom attached.

Then there were the girls' dormitories where there were also three girls to a dorm."

24

25

This is the -- he remembers: 1 2 "One really nice member of staff that I can remember 3 was a lady called", and he names her, "NL146. She never once beat me. 5 Homework time was terrible. I sat for what seemed like an eternity trying to spell words and SR62 would 7 force to you get it right. If I got it wrong, I had to go and stand upstairs and wait for her. You knew something bad was going to happen to you. She would 10 beat you with anything solid she could get her hands 11 on." 12 He said: 13 "When we did PE at school, we always wore just our 14 uniform and didn't have to get changed. I only realised 15 later that this was how they were able to get away with There were two social workers 16 bruising and marking us. 17 when I was in Nazareth Lodge, NL 189 and NL180 , but you just couldn't say anything to them 19 about the nuns." 20 I pause there to say that while NL 189 certainly 21 was a social worker in his time in the Lodge, 22 involvement came later after he had left 23 Nazareth Lodge and was in the community. 24 "On one occasion I remember lying in bed sick in the 25 dormitory all on my own and I remember feeling so alone.

1	Everyone else had gone to school. There was no-one with
2	me and I knew then that I was probably going to be
3	a loner. I remember thinking about how my own mother
4	and father didn't want to look after me and that I was
5	all alone."
6	The congregation at paragraph 6 of their response
7	statement apologise for the fact that he did feel this
8	way and say that:
9	"Many of the Sisters were trained nurses and
10	therefore it was appropriate for these Sisters to assist
11	a sick child, if necessary, but the children would have
12	been seen by a local doctor for any serious illnesses."
13	They think that HIA210 may have been left alone in
14	order to get some rest and they apologise if he felt
15	alone.
16	He goes on then at paragraph 14 to describe being
17	sexually abused by the teacher, whom he
18	names, NL67.
19	"He did it a few times. the ,
20	,
21	. We also
22	. One of the times it happened was in
23	a hall where we practised on the
24	. I remember
25	going to the toilet, because I had soiled myself. He
	Page 58

1	took me into the kitchen, closed the door and set me up
2	on top of a cupboard with my legs dangling down. He
3	started to clean me up, but he started touching me up as
4	well. One other time he was allowed to take me to his
5	home which backed on to", a particular road, "and I woke
6	in his bed with him fondling my private parts. I was 7
7	or 8 years old. I told SR62 that I wanted to give up
8	and she punched me right on the nose.
9	I fell to the ground and the blood was pumping from my
10	nose. She went to get me a tissue and told me to put it
11	over my nose.
12	Another incident happened with a man called NL14.
13	He asked who wanted to play Three Man Lift and
14	I volunteered. I didn't know what it was. He got two
15	other boys", whom he names, "NL56 and NL68, to hold my
16	hands down and NL14 pulled his trousers down and sat on
17	my face. He was a there."
18	Then he goes on to say what he had heard about that
19	particular man in the rest of that paragraph. He said:
20	"This happened in the living room near the snooker
21	table. He and the other boys just laughed."
22	He goes on then to say I should say in their
23	response statement the Health & Social Care Board say
24	that the records show he did, in fact, go to
25	and, in fact, won awards for that, but they

can't comment other than that on the allegations that
are in his statement about the teacher.

He goes on to describe there at -- I should say also
that the congregation at paragraph 7 of their response
statement have said that they:

"... were unaware of any sexual abuse amongst the
children, staff or visitors and condemn such behaviour.

The Sisters are horrified and shocked that this abuse
took place and offer sincere and profound apology to any
child that suffered this horrendous act."

He goes on at paragraph 16 to say:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

"I have had bad dreams ever since there was an incident in Belfast when a boy got murdered in Ormeau Park in 1981. We were told not to go outside because of it and it scared me. In my dreams I used to run and run and try to climb over the fence of Nazareth Lodge. The dream changed when I left Nazareth Lodge and I became big enough to climb over it."

Now it is true to say that his -- after he left care his sleep was disturbed by bad dreams, which led to his foster parents asking him about his time in Nazareth Lodge, and then ultimately led to an investigation about complaints that he made to his foster parents, and I will come back to that shortly.

"My brother was sent to live with a family and I was

```
quite jealous of that. In August 1981 I was sent to
        live with a lady who was in her 50s. She said soon
        after I arrived that she couldn't cope with me. My
3
        social worker,
                           NL 180 , was coming to visit me.
        At that time I had to travel across Belfast from
                  to go to school, and this placement broke down
7
        because the lady said she was getting ill with angina.
        I used to go to a school in
                                                  to board and
        I used to cry every Monday morning going. She must have
10
        known that I was crying my eyes out. I was forced to go
11
        and see [someone] on a Saturday. I never wanted to, but
12
        I was forced to, although we never got on."
13
            He said he spent a year until that placement broke
14
              He was then sent to Rubane House in Kircubbin.
        down.
    CHAIRMAN: I think there is a misprint. It should be
15
16
                         , judging by one of the references in
17
        the documents we have.
    MS SMITH: I think that's probably correct, Chairman, not
18
19
                             It may just have been from -- it is
        obviously an error on our part.
21
            At paragraphs 18 to 21 he describes his time at
22
        Rubane, where he says that he was bullied and beaten by
        older boys -- other boys, but he really doesn't remember
23
24
        very much about it. He was there for three months and
25
        he never remembered hearing anything about sexual abuse.
```

He was then fostered out in March 1984 at the age of 13. It is at that stage that the -- his foster carers became aware that he had complaints about his time in Nazareth Lodge. The Health & Social Care Board response at paragraphs 8 to 11 outlines the investigation by the Health & Social Services Board with regard to those allegations, and they say there that:

"Following his time, he was placed under the responsibility of North & West Belfast District of the Eastern Health & Social Services Board. The records show that in November '84 and on 21st February '85 the applicant was interviewed by Social Services following concerns raised by the foster carers he had been placed with at that time about nightmares he was having.

In the course of these two interviews the applicant made a number of allegations with regard to the treatment he received in Nazareth Lodge",

and they exhibit that at exhibit 5.

"The records confirm that the allegations made by the applicant were considered to be of a serious nature. In a letter dated 29th May '85 specific allegations in relation to the lay staff member and SR62 were reported to the Sisters of Nazareth. These included SR62 punching the applicant on the nose, drawing blood, the applicant being hit by a bamboo cane and mop handle,

being locked in the store cupboard under the stairs and 1 being made to eat food from a waste bin. 2 The Board notes in paragraph 3 of his statement that 3 the applicant makes reference to being hit across the head with the shaft of a brush, and at paragraph 7 being placed in a cupboard with no light. Paragraph 8 of his 7 statement he refers to being forced to eat even when he was not hungry, and he refers to SR62 punching him on the nose. The records further indicate that, following these 10 11 allegations, the responsible board set about 12 investigating the matter and, given the seriousness of 13 the allegations, also brought it to the attention of the 14 Department of Health and Social Services." 15 The Inquiry has received statements from the social 16 workers, NL 190 at SNB-6069, at NL 180 17 6083 and at 6087, referring to their NT₁ 191 investigation. 18 role in 19 If I could just begin with NL 190 20 statement, if we could just look at that, please, at 21 6069. 22 These witnesses will be called later during this CHAIRMAN: 23 module. 24 That's correct, Chairman. I don't intend MS SMITH: Yes. 25 to go into -- to read the statement in full, but you

will see here that she indicates that she was employed by the Southern Health & Social Services Board from -- she sets out the details of her career there. I don't think I need to go into them.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

At paragraph 2 she says that she has read the available documents and notes that HIA210 said that on one occasion he told her that SR62 hit him, but unfortunately she has no recollection of him telling her -- telling her that. That's not to say it didn't happen, but she doesn't remember it, although when asked in 1985, she told her that she had bу NL 191 never told him -- that he had never told about being hit, and that she had not seen any signs of either HIA210 or his younger brother being punished inappropriately when she was visiting him in Nazareth Lodge, although today she can't even recall that conversation with NT₁ 191

She does remember visiting HIA210 and his brother at Nazareth Lodge on a number of occasions and gives details about the family there. She recalls SR62 was the person that she usually liaised with when visiting Nazareth Lodge, but doesn't have very -- a great recollection of what she was like other than she was middle-aged. Her general impression is that HIA210 and his brother were not very happy in Nazareth, but cannot

recall how exactly she thought that or why she thought At that time she said there was no special fostering unit in the Southern Board, and she signed her 3 statement on 23rd January 2015. , her statement at 6086 says that in relation to the -- sorry. I should start with 7 ' statement, first of all, at 6083, who sets out his qualifications and confirms he was provided with files in connection with HIA210's case. 10 He said that the initial placement broke down and he 11 was placed in Kircubbin. When he was fostered, that is when he became involved with HIA210, and he said that 12 13 HIA210 had been suffering nightmares, which led to him waking the family on occasion, and he interviewed HIA210 14 in the presence of his foster parents. HIA210 made 15 16 a number of allegations, and he noted at the time that 17 this may have provided some explanation as to the nightmares he was experiencing. 18 19 He says at paragraph 9 of his statement that: 20 "Having listened to HIA210, I believe there was some 21 substance to the allegations he was making", 22 and he then raised those with his Senior Social She and he then subsequently met with HIA210 to 23 24 discuss the allegations in February 1985, and then 25 elevated that to the Principal Social Worker, NL 223

1	NL 223 They also interviewed HIA210's brother, who
2	confirmed some of the practices described by HIA210, but
3	not to the same extent, and then NL 223 and
4	NL 191 took over responsibility for the continuation
5	of the allegations.
6	He didn't have any time he would say that he
7	didn't have much to do with Nazareth Lodge, as they had
8	left Nazareth Lodge by the time he became involved with
9	the family.
10	NL 191 statement at 6086 indicates that she
11	was the Senior Principal Social Worker and she confirmed
12	that she interviewed HIA210 and forwarded a record of
13	her interview notes and the issues outlined to the
14	Principal Social Worker for further action to be taken
15	and those reports are in the documents that I have
16	referred to.
17	She didn't have any responsibility for any other
18	children that were in Nazareth Lodge and she did she
19	is aware from notes in his file that the matter was
20	reported to the Eastern Health & Social Services Board,
21	who in turn reported it to the Department of Health &
22	Social Services. She said:
23	"I clearly believe that there was credibility to
24	HIA210's complaint about Nazareth Lodge and I believe

that may have been a contributory factor to the

25

```
nightmares he related at that time."
1
             Now, as I already -- in my opening to this module
2
        I referred the Inquiry to SNB-19013 and the following
3
        pages, which outline the investigations which were
        carried out by Mr Hugh Connor with the Eastern Health &
        Social Services Board, including him writing to SR 213
7
              about the allegations at SNB-19023.
             There was a memo written to DL518 by Mr Connor on
        8th July, and he appeared to consider that the
        allegations should not be lightly dismissed. That's at
10
11
        SNB-19026.
12
    CHAIRMAN: There are also some references, which I think
13
        must be the same documents, at 6065, for example.
14
                These are annexed to the Health & Social Care
    MS SMITH:
15
        Board response, Chairman?
16
    CHAIRMAN:
               Yes.
17
    MS SMITH:
              Yes, they would be the same documents.
               But what is not apparent from what we have seen
18
    CHAIRMAN:
19
        is what was the outcome of this?
20
    MS SMITH:
              Ultimately, as I recall from the opening, not
21
        only HIA210's complaint but at the time there were two
22
        other complaints by other children that came into the
23
        investigation. There was disagreement between the Board
24
        and the Department as to what ought to be done.
25
        Ultimately it was left for the individuals to take the
```

matters up with the police if they wanted to pursue the 2 complaints. We will pursue that further with these witnesses. 3 CHAIRMAN: I am sure that there will be someone 4 MS SMITH: Yes. 5 perhaps higher up than the social workers from whom we have already read statements that may be able to assist us further with that. I am sure Ms Smyth will look into 8 that. 9 From what you say it might rather sound as if the CHAIRMAN: 10 Eastern Health & Social Services Board and the 11 Department washed their hands of it. 12 Indeed it would seem that they were batting it 13 back and forward as to what should be done. The Board 14 seemed to feel that the Department ought to have carried out a full investigation under their powers into 15 16 Nazareth Lodge. The Board didn't -- the Department 17 didn't seem to want to do that and was batting it back to the Eastern Health & Social Services Board. 18 19 Did they leave the children to complain to the CHAIRMAN: 20 police? 21 Well, ultimately that is what --22 Well, no doubt somebody will explain what their CHAIRMAN: 23 attitude was and why they adopted it. It doesn't seem 24 very impressive. 25 MS SMITH: No, indeed, Chairman.

Page 68

```
HIA210 sets out in paragraphs 21 to 24 the effects
1
         that his time in care had on his life afterwards and
2
         relates that he has had difficulties with his mental
3
        health in later life and apparently he is receiving
         treatment, as I mentioned, in England as an in-patient.
             That concludes my summary of HIA210's account and
7
         the complaints made by him. I should point out that the
        documents that I opened then and the transcript of what
         I said in my opening can be found at page 78 on the
        website of Day 81's evidence --
10
11
    CHAIRMAN:
               Yes.
12
               -- if anyone wishes to look at that.
    MS SMITH:
13
               Ms Smyth, we want this further investigated.
    CHAIRMAN:
14
              I understand that, and witness statements --
    MS SMYTH:
15
    CHAIRMAN:
                We have witness statements from three relatively
16
         low ranking people.
17
    MS SMYTH:
                I agree.
                We expect to get something from somebody higher
18
    CHAIRMAN:
19
        up to explain why this was not passed to the police.
20
    MS SMYTH:
                Yes. That is being attended to, Chairman, and
21
         will be filed as soon as possible.
22
    CHAIRMAN:
                I expect a witness statement from somebody, if
23
         they are still alive, who is concerned with these
24
        matters.
25
    MS SMITH: I should say, Chairman, it would not just be
```

- 1 Ms Smyth's client who would have to --
- 2 CHAIRMAN: The Department is in the same boat.
- 3 MS SMITH: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: No doubt we will certainly tell them. They are
- 5 not here today.
- 6 MS SMYTH: We are alive to that and have been, Chairman.
- 7 READING OF STATEMENT AND DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HIA16
- 8 MS SMITH: Chairman, there is another witness who is unfit
- 9 to give evidence. I can go ahead, if you wish, to
- summarise the evidence in respect of him.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 12 MS SMITH: This is HIA16. I will refer to him as HIA16. He
- was unfit to given evidence in the last module and we
- 14 received a medical statement in respect of that, which
- can be found at SNB-30406 to 30411. It is clear that he
- would not be in a position to give evidence before the
- 17 Inquiry today in light of that medical.
- 18 His statement can be found at SNB-396 to 404.
- 19 The congregation have put in a response at 1936 to
- 20 1937.
- There is also a statement from a SR149, SR149, at
- 22 SNB-1866 to 1868.
- 23 The Health & Social Care Board have put in
- 24 a statement at SNB-5515 to 5516, indicating that this
- child's admission was a private admission.

His name I should say is HIA16 and, like the last 1 witness, there is nothing to suggest that he wishes his 2 3 anonymity to be removed. So in those circumstances it will be maintained. 5 I should say that the private admission is confirmed by SR149 in her statement at paragraph 7. 7 There are police papers in respect of HIA16 and I will return to those later in the summary. If we could just pull up HIA16's statement, please, at 396, SNB. HIA16's personal details are set out in 10 11 paragraphs 1 and 2. 12 In paragraph 3 he talks about going into Nazareth Lodge when he was about -- and staying there for eight 13 14 or nine years. His sisters were in Nazareth House and one half-brother remained at home. 15 16 He describes in paragraph 4 being wakened very early 17 for mass every morning. He went to school in the home. 18 He says: 19 "The standard of education was poor. The school was in the home in the school grounds. I recall I was never 21 given homework and there was very little structure to 22 the classes." 23 He says: 24 "I felt that we were taught very little." 25 Paragraph 5 he speaks about lay staff in

paragraphs 5 through to 8 here. He said: 1 "There were two lay staff -- there were two staff 3 members, NL4, NL5, who were very tough. They were civilians employed to look after the boys. NL4 used to call me names, 'Buck teeth' and 'Rabbit teeth'. She would get the other boys to call me names too. She used 7 to beat me all the time. It was an almost daily occurrence. She would beat me with rubber bats all over my body. She was wicked. She used to make you sleep with your head under the 10 11 blankets to make sure you'd fall asleep quickly. 12 never stayed in the dormitory. She had her own room. She used to go round all the groups bullying the boys. 13 14 She would hit them and call them names and she used to argue with the nuns and the other staff. She lived in 15 16 the orphanage full time. 17 If you wet the bed, she used to threaten that she would put you in the washing machine." 18 19 He goes on to describe: 20 "The nuns in the home were all kind apart from SR34. 21 She used to pull you up off the ground by the cheeks 22 and it was extremely painful." 23 He describes three other nuns, whom he names there, as all being very good. That's SR149, 150 and 47. 24 25 said:

```
"They never saw the staff hitting us. NL4 used to
1
2
        wait until the nuns went to say their prayers and then
         she would come into the groups. I had plenty of bruises
3
                 I would never have received any medical
         on me.
         treatment as a result of these beatings as it involved
        bruising rather than open wounds."
7
             He goes on to describe the dormitories and there
        were about fifteen or twenty in each dormitory.
             "Each dormitory had a group name: St. Marion's, Our
        Lady's, Sacred Hearts, St. Joseph's.
10
                                               I was in
11
         St. Marion's. SR149 was in charge of St. Marion's and
12
         she was very good."
13
             That is SR149, who will be -- whose statement I will
14
        refer to shortly.
             He said:
15
16
             "We had hard porridge in the morning and the food
17
        was bad in the home and we were always hungry."
             He describes then the routine at paragraph 10, if we
18
19
         can just go back. Sorry. Paragraph 11:
20
             "After dinner we would play outside for a while.
21
         There were no organised sports or anything like that.
             We were sent to bed very early, around 6.00 pm at
22
23
        night.
                 The beds were warm enough."
24
             He recalls that:
25
             "We used to go to Christmas parties outside the
```

Mackie's factory always had a Christmas party for the boys, and girls from Nazareth House used to go too, but we stayed apart and never mixed. My sisters would 3 have been there, but you weren't allowed to go near them, as both groups kept at a distance from each other. We got presents at the party like a toy gun, but you 7 weren't allowed to keep them, and I don't know what happened to them after we got home." He goes on to say that: "NL5", who was another lay staff member, "wasn't as 10 11 bad as NL4, but she was just as rough. I was physically 12 abused on numerous occasions by both NL4 and NL5. 13 People would denote toys to the home at Christmas time 14 and I would steal a toy out of the baskets, because 15 I knew we wouldn't get them anyway, and hide it. I got 16 beaten by NL4 for doing this." 17 He names at paragraph 15 there a family who used to take him out of the home every other week-end. 18 19 "They had children, but they were grown up. 20 out with them from I was 5 until I was 15. I stayed 21 with them from Friday evening until Sunday. 22 In the summertime we would go -- I would go on 23 holidays with the nuns to Ballyhornan, County Down for 24 six or seven weeks. We stayed in army type huts near 25 the beach, but I never liked those holidays as you were

sent to bed very early at night."

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

He then goes on to describe leaving and going to Rubane. I did summarise his account of what occurred at Kircubbin and his complaints about that in the last module.

If I can just come back to the fact that HIA16 has indeed -- I should say that HIA16 has made two police statements. The first is at SNB-60480. This was made on 5th March 1996. If we could just look at that, please. That's 60480. I am going to scroll down through this, if we may, but essentially just summarising what he says in this, it's -- this statement, I should say, was part of Operation Overview, and as well as relating allegations of physical abuse by two lay staff members named there, NL4 and NL5, he also alleges that one of them sexually abused him, and he gives details of an incident involving her when they were on holiday at Ballyhornan and the carrying of hot Scroll on down to the next page just, please. water. He also talks again about the nickname that she gave him and the comments that were made.

He talks here about an incident involving hot water where -- one occasion where she pushed his head against a pebbledashed wall which was behind him and up and down the wall three or four times, cutting his head open and

the blood running down his back.

If you scroll on down, then he talks about having to bring a tub, a bath-tub of boiling hot water to one of the other huts, and meeting her, thinking she was going to hit him, and throwing the tub down, which then scalded her.

He also in his statement makes allegations of peer sexual behaviour with another boy in his group in Nazareth Lodge.

Now NL4 -- NL4 I think it is -- was interviewed about this -- these allegations by police, and she was interviewed on 8th May 1996. That interview can be found at 60517 to 60532.

In that interview she said that she had recently met HIA16 and had had a friendly conversation with him. His statement was put to her and she completely denied the allegations, said they were lies, said she was never scalded and could not understand why he was making such allegations up.

At interview -- the other lay person was interviewed by the police on 3rd May 1996 and we have an incomplete police note of that interview, but in that she said that she never saw any child punished or beaten.

Those police investigations in the mid-'90s resulted in no prosecution against either woman.

On 4th May 2010 in another police statement HIA16 again gave details of life in the home. He described 2 3 SR149 as a very good nun. He said Sister -- sorry. That's at SNB-60815. 5 If we just go back --CHAIRMAN: 6 MS SMITH: Sorry. This statement here? 7 -- the other interview you just told us about, CHAIRMAN: 8 what is the reference to that? 9 MS SMITH: The interview --10 CHAIRMAN: 3rd May 1996. 11 MS SMITH: 3rd May '96 is 60812. 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 13 MS SMITH: Then at 60815 we have another police statement 14 given by HIA16 on 4th May of 2010. Again he gives details there about life in the home and then, as I say, 15 16 he describes SR149 as a very good nun. She was very 17 kind. He said there was a nun in charge before her. 18 19 doesn't remember her name, but she was a tough woman. He remembers that if you didn't say the rosary right, 21 that nun would have hit him with a stick. Just scroll 22 on down, please. He goes on to name the two lay people 23 again, NL5 and NL4, and gives the details of the home 24 and remembers how he was made to lie in the bed with 25 sheets over their heads, being struck round his head,

legs, chest, practically everywhere, with a rubber bat by both women and would have been left covered with bruises and very sore. Again he calls -- says that he was called names. He says that NL4 was the worst. He was threatened -- in this statement he says that he was threatened by her that he would be put in a washing machine.

Scroll on down, please, to the next page. There he said:

"They used an industrial washing machine for doing all the bed clothes and on a few occasions I was brought down to the washing machine and told by NL4 that if I wet the bed again, I was going to be put in the machine and it would be turned on. At that time when the beatings were almost daily, I felt this was normal. That was just what happened in the home."

He also described the physical abuse by SR34, grabbing him hard by the cheeks and trying to lift him off the ground, which was done both during school and outside of school. He said she wouldn't have been as violent and wicked as NL4 and NL5.

He then goes on to discuss the fact that he didn't see his sisters during that time and then being taken off to Rubane. He describes in this statement as well being beaten by fists and cane by NL5.

She was interviewed by police in March 2012 and said -- I should say that's at 60822 -- and said that she never assaulted any children in her time at Nazareth Lodge. I can't recall if there is an interview in relation to NL4 at that time. There may well be, but it would be still in or around that area of documents in the bundle, but again ultimately this complaint resulted in no prosecution also.

Now both women have given their response to these allegations in their Inquiry statements. NL4, which is NL4, deals with the allegations in paragraphs 14 to 28 of her Inquiry statement. If we could pull that up, please. That's at SNB-80008. Then if you could highlight from paragraph 14 at the bottom of that page, she says there that:

"HIA16 made a statement to the Inquiry about his time in care and the police interviewed me on two separate occasions about these allegations.

He came to Nazareth Lodge around '59 and stayed until '67. I was working for part of the time he was in the home. For substantial periods I was living and working in England and Wales, although there were periods when I was working as a house mother and I recall him.

In his statement to the Inquiry he alleges I used to

beat him all the time. He said it was an almost daily occurrence. He alleges I used a rubber bat and struck him all over his body. He claimed I would go around all the groups bullying the boys and would hit them and call them names. He alleged I used to argue with the nuns and other staff as well.

He claims he had plenty of bruises on him but that he never received any medical treatment as a result of these beatings. He claimed that I would make him sleep with his head under the blankets to make sure he would fall asleep.

I reject all of his allegations completely. I never had a rubber bat. I never used excessive force or was cruel to him, as he alleged. It is quite possible I had to chastise him from time to time and, although I do not recall it, it is quite possible I would have slapped him if he was unruly or misbehaving."

She goes on to say that:

"His account to the Inquiry is inconsistent with his allegations made against me in his two statements to the police. In the first statement of 5th March '96 he has described me as a . I do not know where he got this description from as I never while carrying out my duties in Nazareth Lodge and I only wore civilian type clothes.

I was employed by the Sisters of Nazareth as an assistant house mother and then was described as simply a house mother. In his statement to the police he states I came into his dormitory when he was asleep and took him out to the shower room. He said I then told him to take off his pyjamas and that I would then touch his private parts and after this I would beat him with a rubber bat all over his back, which left him bruised and very sore.

He said this type of abuse, which was both sexual and physical, was carried out on a regular basis from when he was about 6 years up until he left when he was 11. He alleged he was abused sexually in this way about twice a week. However, none of this is even mentioned in his statement to the Inquiry. This appears to be the most serious allegation he has made against me, but yet it does not feature at all in his Inquiry statement.

However, what I recall is that HIA16 defecated in his bed regularly at night. As I have already stated, it was normal practice for either myself or the nun to waken those children known to have a problem at night-time.

Whilst the usual difficulty was that some children wet their beds at night, I specifically recall HIA16 would have defecated in his bed. He might have been

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

9 or 10 years old when this happened and I recall he was difficult to deal with after this occurred. I would have to take him into the toilet and he would have refused to clean himself. I therefore would have had to wash around his bottom. For some reason he has chosen not to mention this in his statement to the police, but instead has suggested I was sexually assaulting him for no particular reason. I am sure I was not the only staff member who would have had to wash HIA16 in this way.

In his police statement he describes what he alleges is the last beating he got from me. He stated this was on a holiday in Ballyhornan during the last week he was in Nazareth Lodge. He was 11 at the time. He claims that one day for absolutely no reason when he was talking to another boy, I came over and grabbed him by the throat and pushed his head against a pebbledashed wall, which resulted in the back of his head being cut He claimed blood ran down his black. He was then ordered by me to go and get a bath-tub of boiling hot water, but when he returned, assisted by claims he threw some of the boiling water over my leg and that I started screaming and ran off. individuals whom he claims were present, namely , have not come forward to corroborate his and

account.

1

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Initially when the police described this incident to me, I said it did not happen and could not recall anything about it. This was because the police were putting to me allegations that I had carried out an assault on HIA16 for no reason, which resulted in him sustaining an injury to the back of his head. He then retaliated by deliberately throwing hot water over me.

Having thought about the matter subsequently, I have now been able to recall some details which could be related to this incident, but I deny completely any allegation that he was assaulted, or indeed that he deliberately poured hot water over me with the intention of causing me injury. I recall there was no running -no hot running water in the chalets at Ballyhornan. when it came to bathtime, which was on a Saturday evening, staff members had to carry or supervise boys bringing a large pot of hot water from the kitchen over to the bath-tub. Some of the older boys would have helped me carry this large pot. I recall on one occasion a small amount of hot water spilled over my However, this was an accident. I believe HIA16 was carrying this pot and that two other boys were involved. I believe one of them was , but I am not sure if was also there.

HIA16 made a second statement to the police on 4th May 2010 and said he was part of St. Martin's group and that the nun in charge of this group was SR149.

I believe he meant to refer to the Marion group. I do not recall any SR149 working in Nazareth when I was there. There may have been an elderly nun called SR149 at one stage, but she did not actually work with any of the groups.

In his statement he describes the regular assaults and that I used a rubber bat to beat him all over his body. He alleges he was covered in bruises and was very sore. He claims I used to call him nicknames like 'Rabbit' and 'Buck teeth'. These are matters which he also has described in his HIA statement, but I don't recall using those expressions or nicknames about him. It is always possible some of the other children called him these names, but I have no recollection of this. He alleges he saw me and another houseparent assaulting other boys using a rubber bat like a tennis racquet, but I deny this.

In paragraph 7 of his HIA statement he claims that after he wet the bed I used to threaten to put him in the washing machine. This is not true. I am aware others have made a similar type of allegation and I wonder if HIA16 has spoken to other former residents

about this. He describes this differently in his second police statement. He claims he was brought down to the washing machine and told if he wet the bed again, he was going to be put in the washing machine and that it would be turned on.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

He concludes the statement by claiming he saw me about two years earlier on the . He said he couldn't approach me, as he was still in fear of me to this day.

While I am not in a position to say whether he did, in fact, observe me on the , I do recall very clearly meeting HIA16 around the mid-'90s. I told the police about this meeting during my interview on 8th May 1996. He was a taxi driver and he picked two of my sisters and myself up from premises in Belfast after we had a meal. I didn't recognise him, but he dropped my sister off at her home and said to me that he knew me. I had a friendly conversation with him, and when I got out of the taxi at my house, he said it would be nice to meet up some time and have a drink. He shook hands with me as I was getting out of the taxi. I remember he said of his time in Nazareth that it had been hard with the nuns being strict and I recall saying to him it was hard there for all of us.

In his account HIA16 seems -- to the Inquiry HIA16

seems to imply that I beat him at times when the nuns He stated that the nuns never saw the were not around. staff hitting him and that I used to wait until the nuns went to their prayers before I came over to his group and started to beat him and the other boys. I note he has said in his statement that the nuns at Nazareth Lodge were all kind. However, if the type of physical abuse he has described had, in fact, occurred, I do not believe it would have been possible for the nuns not to notice or be aware of it. In all of his accounts he alleges I frequently left him bruised all over his body, but yet no-one seems to have been aware of this and I was never reprimanded by any of the nuns for using excessive force or cruelty on children."

In her second statement to the Inquiry -- and I have forgotten to get the SNB reference for that, but I can give that to you later. It is dated -- actually I haven't got it. It came in last week. NL5 addresses the -- HIA16's statement at paragraphs 19 to 25. I am just checking the reference number, if you bear with me, and we can pull that up. It is not entirely clear what the reference is to it, but if you bear with me, I will read out -- it's from paragraphs 19 to 25 of that statement.

NL5 says that:

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

1 "HIA16 was not in my group as a boy and I would have 2 seen very little of him at Nazareth except perhaps at 3 play time or meal times. I deny each and every allegation of abuse by HIA16. 5 I know more about HIA16 now than I did when he was a child. 7 He then moved down south, 8 and when he got married, went down from the Lodge to marry him. When his marriage broke up, he returned north to live with his sister, 10 11 We were all very 12 sorry to hear about his troubles, and SR149 and SR212 SR212 I know visited him in prison. 13 14 I met HIA16 once after he got out of jail. I was 15 coming out of , so it is that 16 long ago. I saw and recognised him and he greeted me. 17 and we chatted. He was friendly and He was a gave me no impression that he had had a horrible time at 18 19 my hands. I told him to look after himself. 20 I was surprised then in 2012 when the police put the 21 allegation to me that I had supposedly hit this boy in 22 or around 1963, not the extensive allegations he now 23 makes about myself and NL4. I have always denied ever 24 striking any child and that remains my position. I also 25 can honestly say I never saw NL4 strike this or any boy.

```
I will comment further on the oral evidence as
1
         outlined to me by my solicitor as soon as possible."
2
             She concludes that statement.
3
             I will get the page references for that statement.
         It may be -- I think we were actually waiting -- we
         received an unsigned statement from her and we were
7
        waiting for the signed statement to arrive before adding
         it to the bundle. I will check whether we have yet
9
         received the signed version of that statement.
10
    CHAIRMAN:
               Thank you.
11
    MS SMITH:
                That concludes my summary of HIA16's evidence.
12
        As I said, on the last occasion I indicated what he said
13
        happened to him in Rubane and his life after care is set
14
         out in paragraphs 46 to 53 of his Inquiry statement.
15
               Yes. Very well.
    CHAIRMAN:
16
    MS SMITH:
               Chairman, there is one further witness to give
17
         evidence today. I know that Mr Aiken was consulting
                    So I am not clear yet whether or not we will
18
        with him.
19
        be in a position to start that evidence yet or whether
20
        we will need to wait until after lunchtime.
21
    CHAIRMAN:
               Well, we will rise for a few minutes, and if we
22
         are ready to proceed soon, we will let you know, ladies
23
         and gentlemen. If not, it will be 2 o'clock or -- well,
24
         say some time after 1.30 at the earliest.
25
     (12.10 pm)
```

```
1
                            (Short break)
2
    (12.45 pm)
3
                        WITNESS HIA25 (called)
4
                Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
5
               Chairman, Members of the Panel, the next witness
    MR AIKEN:
        this afternoon is HIA25 or HIA25, "HIA25".
6
        evidence to the Inquiry on Day 66, when we were looking
        at Rubane. That was on 6th November, and on that
8
        occasion he affirmed, and he is aware that he remains
10
        bound by his promise, and he confirmed then that he
11
        wished to keep his anonymity, and that remains the
12
        position today.
            HIA25, before I ask you some matters, I am just
13
14
        going to give the Panel some references to material,
15
20
                         but the witness statement which you
24
        proved on the last occasion, HIA25 -- I am just going to
25
        bring that up on the screen -- is at 611. Can we bring
```

up 611, please? I just want you to check when it comes up, HIA25, that's the first page of your witness statement. You will hopefully recognise it. 3 4 A. Yes. 5 If we go to 624, which is the last page, just confirm that it's the same last page and that you signed that. 6 7 I did. Α. That's your evidence and you adopted it on the last 8 9 occasion. 10 The response statement from the Sisters of Nazareth 11 is at 1949 to 1950. 12 The Health & Social Care Board response is at 5561 to 5562, with exhibits that run from 5563 to 5568. 13 14 Then, as HIA25 is aware, there's voluminous Social 15 Services material that has been disclosed by the Health 16 & Social Care Board, and that runs for the record from 17 40109 to 40386 and then from 42757 to 42826, then from 45655 to 46580 and, finally, from 47367 to 47500. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1		
2		Just by way of background, you were born on
4	A.	I was.
5	Q.	You are now aged 48.
6		
		Page 91

Day 91	HIA Inquiry	29 January 2015
12	You were taken to Nazareth Lodge	
13	February 1973, and the ledger entry from N	azareth Lodge
14	suggests that you remained there until	August 1973.
	Page 92	

Page 94

Day 91	HIA Inquiry	29 January 2015
	Page 95	

Day 91	HIA Inquiry	29 January 2015
	Page 96	

17 Q. Just to make you aware, HIA25, publicly that the Panel

have in addition to all the material that I have the

same core material that you and I were looking at and,

 $20\,$ $\,$ as I said to you beforehand, it is not in your interests

and I am not going to bring all of that material up on

22 the screen today --

23 A. Okay.

19

21

Q. -- but you can be assured that the Panel has had that

25 material and had the opportunity to read it.

Page 97

A. Okay.

1

- 2 Q. What happens then, as you recognise in your statement,
- 3 Nazareth Lodge doesn't work out. There is a lot of
- 4 absconding.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The Social Services
- get you taken to the new Palmerston Assessment Centre.
- 9 The admission to there -- it seems that all seven of
- 10 you went there under the care of the Health and
- 11 Social Services Board
- If we look at 40110, so -- if we just maximise the top half of that page, so this is the reception taking you into Palmerston. So the period in Nazareth Lodge, of which you have no real memory, doesn't continue and
- instead you are taken to this Assessment Centre.
- I think the point you make in your statement in
- 19 paragraph 3 of your statement, if we go back to 611,
- 20 please, and while I haven't found any detailed record of
- 21 this in the social work papers, the point that you make
- in paragraph 3 is that -- can we just move on to the
- 23 next page:
- 24 "We were only there for a short time. There were
- various issues and a window got broken."

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. So you yourself recollect there was trouble --
- 3 A. Oh, aye, yes.
- 4 Q. -- with the family members who were in Palmerston, and
- 5 the idea of that centre was a short centre in any event
- 6 to work out where best for you, the children in care,
- 7 then to go thereafter, and this it seems was a new
- 8 centre that had just opened at the point that you are
- 9 going to it.
- 10 It appears that the decision was then made in
- 11 Palmerston for you to go to Nazareth House

Yes.

- 15 Q. I think you were having trouble you were saying to me
- being sure which one we were talking about. Nazareth
- 17 House being the one more focused on the Ormeau Road.
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. You appear to have gone there December 1973. So
- you will have just turned 7, if I have got that
- 21 correctly --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- when you are going to Nazareth House. You say in
- 24 paragraph 6 of your statement at 612 that there were
- 25 three of you in Nazareth House

NHB 17 . I think -- as I said to you earlier,

- I think there maybe also was HIA 18, and then he was
- 3 then moved to Rubane again. Your older sisters NHB 15
- and NHB 16 it seems went to the Good Shepherd. The
- 5 reference for that for the Panel is at 47433. So four
- 6 of you it seems went into Nazareth House together. You
- 7 were there ultimately for eight months.
- 8 You explain in paragraph 4 a particular incident,
- 9 HIA25, where you were given a watch by an old person.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You say that when you showed that to the nun, you got
- 12 slapped for having it.
- 13 A. That particular nun now, I forget her name.
- 0. You don't remember her name.
- 15 A. No. I just remember I would bring this old man around
- in the wheelchair. I was warned not to do it. No
- reason given for the warning, but I kept on doing it,
- and one time he gave me a watch and I was wearing it,
- and the nun had seen the watch and asked where I got it,
- and she became -- because I disobeyed her, she began to
- slap my arm or took the watch off me, told me never to
- go near them again.
- 23 Q. Another particular incident that you remember is -- or
- 24 the incidents -- is the response in -- to you
- absconding.

1 --

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q.
- 4 They are supposed to keep you in
- 5 this place and look after you, and you and HIA31 and
- 6 HIA 18 -- not NHB 17 , who seemed to settle -- but you
- are running off, being brought back, running off, being
- 8 brought back. You are gravitating back to the rest of
- 9 the family

13 Q. Yes

- -- and then the authorities would take you back to
- Nazareth House.
- 21 A. We'd run away again
- 22 Q. You would run away again. What you are describing here
- in paragraphs 6 and 7, you -- you try -- you say you
- tried to escape to get back to the rest of the family.
- 25 You remember going down the long drive. One of the nuns

- stopped and picked you up in a car. You say:
- "We were taken into a room with very little
- furniture by the nun who caught us and she turned the
- 4 lights off. When the light was turned on again, there
- 5 was another nun with a broom and she swiped at us and
- 6 hit us with the broom."
- 7 This is you and HIA31 ?
- 8 A. Yes, me and HIA31
- 9 Q. "The light was then turned off again. From time to time
- it was turned on so she could see where to hit you. We
- 11 called this the dark room."
- Now the Sisters accept in their response that their
- policy of no physical punishment wasn't always kept to.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. The children would have been physically punished, but
- they don't accept this incident occurred --
- 17 A. I didn't think they would.
- 18 Q. -- of swiping with the broom.
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. This is how you remember being dealt with for --
- 21 A. For running away.
- 22 Q. -- having run off.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. The other point that you make, in an effort to stop you
- running off, the last sentence of paragraph 7 on

- page 613, your shoes were taken off you.
- 2 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 3 Q. That was to try and make it painful I suppose if you
- 4 ran.
- 5 A. Well, you can't run far with no shoes.
- 6 Q. Do I take it that that didn't really deter you?
- 7 A. No, nothing would deter me from running away to try to
- 8 get back to my family.
- 9 Q. You describe in paragraph 8 how you were constantly
- absconding and given beatings for it. You don't
- 11 remember who the nuns were that punished you --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. -- for having run away, but that's what you recollect
- 14 happening.
- 15 A. Yes. It happened every time we ran away.
- 16 Q. You were punished every time?
- 17 A. Every time.
- 18 Q. Now I presume the point of it was to persuade you not to
- run away anymore, but that didn't work?
- 20 A. Just didn't work.
- 21 Q. You explain in paragraph 9 that you thought that the
- intention was to split you up into ones and twos.

There were efforts to keep the younger members of the

2 family together

5 Certainly --

a new home called Glenmore opened, by that stage the idea was to bring you and HIA31 and NHB 17 and HIA 18 together again there when you had got separated for various reasons prior to 1980, whenever you go to Glenmore, but it appears that -- and you say yourself in paragraph 10 of your statement -- that you got too much to handle for the nuns and you think that's why you were sent to Rubane.

14 A. Yes.

7

8

10

11

12

13

- 15 Q. Certainly at this stage you were only 7 years of age and
- the normal transfer age was 11. Now DL 358 and HIA 18
- had been placed in Rubane in 1973. DL 358 had
- absconded and had not returned, but HIA 18 was still
- 19 living there. It seems the idea was, "Well, if they
- 20 have to go to Rubane, at least that is where HIA 18 is",
- 21 but it appears if we look at --
- 22 A. HIA 18 was only 9 at the time too.
- 23 Q. HIA 18 was young as well. I think DL 358 was --
- 24 A. 11.
- 25 Q. -- 11. So he was the age for Rubane --

A. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. -- and the idea initially it appears they were both
- 3 taken to Rubane, but DL 358 absconded. HIA 18 stayed
- on, and then you were being taken there to unite with
- 5 HIA 18.
- If we look at 47471, now this is a -- there were
- progress reports by the social workers on each of you
- 8 every few months. This is one that actually relates to
- 9 your sister NHB 17 , but if we move through to the next
- 10 page -- and this is from July 1974, and we know that you
- leave Nazareth House in August of '74, so a few weeks
- 12 after this. We can see just from this section under
- "Foster parents" the last sentence:
- "The relationship ..." --
- and this has been compiled by the social worker:
- 16 "The relationship between social worker and SR31
- improved now that are to be removed!"
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. So it appears -- and I am reading this into this
- document as a result of that sentence -- but it appears
- that the Sisters didn't feel able to keep you and
- 22 HIA31 any longer --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. -- and it appears that certainly for a period the social
- 25 worker tried to dissuade them of that view and have them

1 keep you, and then that view having changed, whatever

- fall-out was taking place between the social worker and
- 3 the Sister got remedied when it was decided that you and
- 4 your brother were moving to Rubane.
- If we just move down to the last part of this
- 6 document under the "Future plans", it recognises:
- 7 "NHB 17 is to remain at Nazareth House ..."
- 8 At this point she would there be on her own, as it
- 9 were.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You are going to be in Rubane with HIA 18 and your
- 12 brother HIA31 .
- "NHB 17 is to remain at Nazareth House now that
- 14 family going home is impossible."

- 21 Q. "Thus she will be the only member of the family left and
- this I am sure will be upsetting for her. I feel that
- she is going to need much social work contact and
- 24 efforts made to keep her in touch with the other family
- 25 members."

```
Then if we just scroll down a little, please, under
1
        the "Remarks of senior staff" -- just scroll down,
3
        please -- you can see:
             "NHB 17 seems less dependent on the family than her
        siblings. It is clearly important that this contact
5
        should be maintained, especially when
        leaving her."
            So in fairness to the then Welfare Authority or now
8
        the Eastern Board, as it is becoming -- shortly to
10
        become the Eastern Board, there is a recognition of
11
        a desire to keep the siblings in contact with each
12
        other
```

16 A. Uh-huh.

- 17 Q. -- and arranging for you to see them and arranging for
 18 weekends out with the older brothers and sisters for you
 19 to spend time with them.
- It does -- it is the case, though, that you were

 moved to Rubane

 August of '74. If we can

 look at 46049, this is the social worker saying to you

 who seems to have put a lot of time and

 effort into trying to keep the family united and

 seeing -- making sure there was contact, given that you

```
were now living in different places, and if we just
        scroll down a little, please, so we can see
                                                          -- there
        is reference to the discussion she had with BR2.
3
        can just scroll -- yes, it is because his name is scored
        out unhelpfully I'm afraid. Just at that point where
        the top of the screen now:
             "BR2 was very reticent about
                                                           coming
        into his care ..."
8
9
            So that's you and HIA31
10
        HIA31
    A.
11
        HIA 18 is already there.
12
             "... because he felt that they --
                                                          were too
        young and would be bullied by the other older boys, who
13
14
        perhaps would resent their more immature means of
        getting attention. However, he agreed to give the new
15
16
        situation a trial."
17
            So there are other examples that the Inquiry has of
18
        children younger than 11 going to Rubane, but it is
19
        clear that BR2, who was in charge then --
20
        Uh-huh.
    Α.
21
        -- was reticent about it in respect of you and HIA31
22
        There are various reports that I am not going to go into
23
        that show that concern continuing and ultimately his
24
        concern settles, but it seems to have been not something
25
        that he was terribly keen on to begin with.
```

Then, HIA25, as you are aware, there's a long, very 1 2 detailed suite of records --3 Okay. A. 4 -- post your time in Nazareth House. It is Nazareth Q. 5 House that we are looking at today. Page 109

- 4 The -- other than -- as I said to you, the Panel
- have had, HIA25, the opportunity to read the core
- 6 material that you and I were talking about this morning,
- 7 and much of it relates to after the period of time when
- 8 you are in Nazareth Lodge for a short period and then
- 9 Nazareth House.
- 10 To summarise, your main memory of Nazareth House was
- 11 that physical punishment for running away --
- 12 A. Yes, purely.
- 13 Q. -- and then that one particular occasion where your
- engagement with an older person led you into conflict
- with one of the nuns.
- 16 A. That is correct, yes.
- 17 Q. But those are really the only memories that you have of
- that particular period.
- 19 A. Yes, yes.
- 20 Q. As you know, HIA25, the purpose of asking you to come
- 21 back today was about Nazareth both Lodge and House.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 O. We focused on that

Okay.

- 3 Q. -- issue to look at the material that has been found
- 4 about your time in institutions, but what I want to ask
- 5 you in the context of Nazareth Lodge and Nazareth House
- 6 is whether -- you are aware the Inquiry has to consider
- 7 what recommendations it might make at the end of its
- 8 work --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. -- and whether that's in the form of an apology of some
- kind, a memorial or some other means of redress, and we
- ask each person, as I did for you in Rubane, whether
- there was anything you wanted to say to the Panel to
- help their thinking about what recommendations they
- might make. Is there anything you want to say about
- 16 that?
- 17 A. An apology? For what me and my family went through
- 18 I think compensation for what we went through. For
- 19 a young child to run away and then be
- 20 brought back every time, was abused by their carers,
- 21 what else could we ask for? Apology and something that
- would help our life in the future.
- 23 Q. The last question that I ask each witness, HIA25, is
- 24 whether there's anything else about the time in the
- 25 particular homes -- for us today it's Nazareth House and

- Nazareth Lodge -- that I maybe haven't covered or
- 2 haven't covered in enough detail or something else about
- 3 that that they want to say. Your memories of that
- 4 period are limited.
- 5 A. Very limited.
- 6 Q. Have I covered everything you want to say about that or
- is there anything else you want to add?
- 8 A. I just want an explanation why I was taken into care,
- 9 and through your talk this morning I'm happy with it.
- 10 I don't want to add anything else.
- 11 Q. Those who need to recognise the issue of helping you to
- 12 understand why decisions were made --
- 13 A. Yes.

- 23 Q. If you just bear with me, the Panel may want to ask you
- some questions. So stay there for a very short time.

- 1 Questions from THE PANEL
- 2 MS DOHERTY: Thanks very much, HIA25. Just one question.
- I realise you were very young at the time, but can you
- 4 ever remember talking to any social worker or any social
- 5 worker visiting you in Nazareth House?
- 6 A. I can't remember.
- 7 Q. You can't remember?
- 8 A. That's not saying they didn't.
- 9 Q. No, no. I understand that. I was just asking. Okay.
- 10 Thanks very much.
- 11 MR LANE: Do you think the nuns knew why you were running
- 12 away?
- 13 A. I take it the Welfare had told them
- 14 Q. But they didn't ask you?
- 15 A. I can't remember. They probably could have asked the
- older ones, but I think they knew why we were running
- away, why we had to run away.

	Okay. Thank you very much.
7	A. You're welcome.
8	CHAIRMAN: Well, HIA25, I am sure you will be very relieved
9	to hear we don't have any more questions for you.
7	to hear we don't have any more questions for you.
19	
	Okay.
	ORAY.
	Page 114
	- mg

I gather at least the process for you has been 4 helpful today. 5 It has. Α. Thank you very much for coming back to us. 7 No bother. Thank you. MR AIKEN: Chairman --9 That's all, HIA25, as far as we are concerned. 10 We have something else to deal with. So if you wish to 11 leave now, you are free to do so. 12 (Witness withdrew) 13 MR AIKEN: I have one further matter which I'm going to 14 summarise for the Panel. 15 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 16 MR AIKEN: But perhaps if we could take a short break to 17 allow that to be organised. 18 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I think we would prefer to press on with 19 a short break, if that's convenient to you, ladies and 20 So we will come back when Mr Aiken tells us gentlemen. 21 we are ready. 22 (1.30 pm)23 (Short break) 24 (1.40 pm)

Page 115

25

```
READING OF STATEMENT AND DOCUMENTS RELATING TO HIA397
              Chairman, Members of the Panel, I am going to
2
    MR AIKEN:
        summarise now the evidence of HIA397.
                                                He has explained
3
        to the Inquiry why he feels unable to attend and the
        Inquiry has accepted that explanation. His witness
        statement, if we can bring it up, please, at 225 --
7
              Yes. Well, as I think I said earlier, but
    CHAIRMAN:
8
        perhaps not in your presence, Mr Aiken, where we read
        someone's statement in these circumstances and we have
10
        granted anonymity, we proceed on the basis that that is
11
        still the desire of the person concerned, just for
12
        everyone's benefit.
13
    MR AIKEN:
              The statement runs through to page 232.
        can bring up page 232, please. It is not my intention
14
        to read out the statement, which the Panel has already
15
16
        had the opportunity to consider, but in addition to that
17
        there is a response statement from the Sisters of
        Nazareth at 1888 to 1889, and that contains an apology
18
19
        to HIA397 and also a recognition that bedwetting what
20
        not appropriately dealt with.
21
             The Health & Social Care Board response, which is at
22
        to 5799 to 5801, has exhibits from 5802 to 5830, and in
23
        addition an extensive social work file has been
24
        provided, which runs from SNB-43293 to 43450.
25
            Now HIA397 is now 46. He was
```

1 placed in Nazareth Lodge as a child, but 2 ultimately adopted from Nazareth Lodge. He is someone who has gone on to marry and have children of his own 3 and a career that has led to him being always in work. He describes in the latter part of his statement, which I am not going to open, the trauma for him and his 7 siblings of discovering each other and the difficult formation of a relationship with his mother after he left care, but from birth he was admitted to the care of 10 Nazareth Lodge ultimately through the Belfast County 11 Welfare Committee on the basis that unfortunately his 12 mother had abandoned him, and the Admission to Care form 13 of 25th September '73 -- 25th September '68 -- my 14 apologies -- explains that. That's at 43418 and 9. I am not going to bring that up. The admission was 15 16 arranged through the -- a voluntary organisation and 17 ultimately then he was taken into care. He was in St. Joseph's Babies' Home initially from 18 19 September '68 until May of '73, when at aged 4 he moved into Nazareth 21 Lodge, and he remained there until March 1978, aged 9. 22 He was formally admitted into care of the Western 23 Health & Social Services Board in September of '73. 24 reference for that is at 47356. From that point, as 25 disclosed in the social work file, there are regular

social work contacts with HIA397 that run from late 1973. They're at 43416 and 43447. Then records to mid-'74 at 43417 and three-monthly reviews at 43423.

In September '74 the records show by that stage I think it's the DSSO within the Board reviewing his case and asking about whether permanent arrangements for him could be made if the position was going to remain that his mother was not going to take an interest, and that can be found at 43422.

The social work visits then continue in '75 at 43447 and '76 and '77 at 43441 to 43450. Then a report, which I am not going to bring up now, is prepared to assist the Board in obtaining a Parental Rights Order to facilitate HIA397 being fostered out and ultimately adopted. That report can be found at 47356 through to 47358. That sets out in detail the efforts to engage mum without success and therefore the decision to look to foster with a view to potential adoption.

That Order is obtained by the Eastern Health in September of '77 and that Order is at 43371. The extensive handwritten notes show the engagement of NL5, who -- HIA397 has some very positive things to say about her in his statement and it is clear that she was heavily involved with the Social Services in setting up of the fostering arrangements.

The social work visits continue in '77 and '78 at 43395 to 7. Ultimately HIA397 was fostered out on a full-time basis from March '78 -- that can be found at 43301 -- and ultimately that same couple then adopted HIA397 in September of 1980. The references for that can be found at 5799 and 43301.

What the material that has been provided demonstrates is that those foster parents, who became adoptive parents, had to undergo in late '77 and '78 assessments over a number of visits and interviews and then a bedding-in period, where HIA397 would leave the Lodge and go to spend time with them at the weekends -- that's at 43391 -- and then ultimately reporting from the Wel... -- from the Board that led to his discharge from Nazareth to be adopted.

When he was leaving -- this shows the contrast for the Panel in terms of the record-keeping -- when he was being discharged from Nazareth to foster care, there was a Discharge and Transfer of Children in Care form.

That's at 47366. That included with it relevant documentation being provided alongside it. It also had to have a medical record accompany him -- that's at 43382 to 43385 -- which was completed on him in order for him to go to the foster parents. The foster parents themselves had to execute an agreement at 43376, and he

1 himself had to have a medical examination at 43377.

Thereafter you can see him being regularly visited by the social workers to ensure that the placement was working. That runs from 43355 to 7 and various records thereafter. That led ultimately to adoption in February of 1980 at 47367.

Now in his statement at paragraph 6, if we go to 226, please, he explains that he was under the care of NL5, who is NL5, who was like a mother figure to him and with whom he would still have contact today.

In paragraph 12 of his statement at 227 he explains that NL5 would have woken them from their sleep to go to the toilet, but she did that he says more gently than NL19, who is NL19, who I will be coming back to shortly.

In paragraph 20 at 229, please, he remembers the staff themselves often being the victims of attacks by children, and remembers NL5 being assaulted and thumped on the back of the head. He says in paragraph 21 that NL5 wasn't cruel, unlike some of the other staff, and he says "though she was strict". As I said, the Health & Social Care Board's material confirm NL5 was involved in the efforts made to foster HIA397.

So in addition to her HIA397 then addresses NL19, who is NL19. She was born on and it appears she died aged 52. The

reference for that can be found at 61277. 1 2 If we look at paragraph 7 of her statement, please -- his statement at SNB-226, he says of NL19, 3 NL19, that: 5 "She was not as nice as NL5. She wore shoes with cork on the heels and she would pull the children up 7 from whatever they were doing in a rough and abrupt manner." He recalls as a child doing handstands and she came over and stuck her stiletto heel into his hand. 10 11 remembers crying. 12 In paragraph 8 he remembers getting slapped by her 13 for his nails not being right. 14 In paragraph 9, moving on to 227, he talks about bedwetting and that he was regularly beaten by NL19. 15 16 says in paragraph 11 that she instilled a fear in him 17 that was just unhealthy. He says that she was someone that he really hated. 18 19 He describes in paragraph 12 that: 20 "She would grab you roughly by the hair and stand 21 over you." 22 From material the Inquiry has received from the 23 police there is another individual who makes -- who was 24 there at the same time as HIA397. He made a statement 25 to the police initially in June of 1995 and he said

```
there was no sexual or physical violence in the home.
1
        That is at SNB-61269, but he made a further statement to
        police on 27th April 2010 and that is at SNB-61270.
3
        we can bring that up, please, 61270. He says -- if we
        just scroll down, please, that he was looked after by
        NL5, that she didn't abuse him, but describes being
7
        physically abused by NL19 in relation to bedwetting and
        being trailed out of bed.
9
             In paragraph 16 of the statement of HIA39... --
               Just before we leave that page I take it the
    CHAIRMAN:
11
        Sister referred to four lines up from the bottom, that's
12
        a misunderstanding
13
       AIKEN:
                There is a SR202
                                        , who I think that's meant
15
        to be.
16
    CHAIRMAN:
               SR202
17
    MR AIKEN:
               Yes.
                      That is something that I can have checked.
             If we go back to SNB-228, please, and HIA397 then
18
19
        speaks of SR31 in paragraph 16, who is SR31.
                                                       Now SR31
        is believed -- SR31, who in latter years was known as
21
        SR31, and she was in Nazareth House from '59 to '75 and
22
        then in Nazareth Lodge from '75 to '80, which is the
23
        period that HIA397 is in the Lodge.
                                              There are
24
        previous -- there are other complaints, which I am not
25
        going to open, but by way of example you will recall
```

```
from Rubane hearing me talk about the 41 files
1
                              File 30 of 41 related to SR31 or
2
                That runs from SNB-60289 to 60408.
3
        SR31.
                                                     That file
        contains material from seven different complainants, of
        which HIA397 was not one. There is other police
        material relating to her. I will have to work out how
7
        best to communicate that in due course, but SR31 died in
        2012.
             In paragraph 16 HIA397 says that:
             "She would have wore a bulky ring and had a habit of
10
11
        walking past a group and scraping the ring into the
12
        backs of their heads."
13
             Now as to that, the Sisters of Nazareth say, if we
14
        can bring up 1889, please, paragraph 6 -- the
        congregation has pointed out that:
15
16
             "The Sisters didn't wear rings until the 2000
17
        General Chapter and then it was stipulated that 'Sisters
        may wear a simple silver congregational ring'."
18
19
             So the congregation is drawing attention that
        a Sister wearing a bulky ring in this period we are
21
        dealing with would appear out of the ordinary in the
22
         '70s.
23
             Then in paragraph 17 of the statement of HIA397, if
24
        we could go back, please, to SNB-228, paragraph 17,
25
        HIA397 speaks of SR30, who is SR30, who is SR30.
```

1

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

says of her that -- having being told off for bad manners, he questioned it to another staff member and was then hit across the side of the face, bursting his lip.

Now SR30 is in a position to provide a response to the Inquiry. If we look, please, at SNB-2184, and in respect of this -- if we just take the statement back down, please. Just go back to 228. What she says is that at no time did she have any responsibility for any physical contact with this person and what is alleged of her in paragraph 17 did not happen. That's SNB-2184.

In paragraph 18 of the statement of HIA397 he makes reference to Father Brendan Smyth. He says in paragraph 18 that he was interfered with by him and that that took place in presumably the dormitory. He would sit on the bed and play with his genitalia. He says he remembers him doing the same to the guy in the bed next to him and remembers the guy shaking his head from side That may be a slightly different modus to side. operandi than the Inquiry will see from other material relating to Brendan Smyth, but in addition he says in paragraph -- well, I should say to that the Sisters have pointed out in paragraph 7 of their response at 1889 that nobody other than staff members should ever have been in a child's dormitory, and at the same time NL5

has provided a statement to the Inquiry recently where she accepted Brendan Smyth would have done a retreat in the home and also on occasion visited. It was towards late at night and would have stayed over and she would have assisted with his tea, and she makes the point she was completely unaware of him engaging in any activity that was inappropriate, but it's places in addition to what others have said, him in the home. The suggestion certainly in paragraph 17 is of something taking place in the bedroom or the dormitory rather than elsewhere.

In paragraph 19 of the statement at SNB-229 HIA397 says he recalls another boy being upset in the company of Father Brendan Smyth.

That is something there might be greater clarity on in the next period of time with another witness, but he says he remembers knowing why he upset and doing nothing about it. He says he remembers to this day. It is one of the foremost memories of his mind, because he remembers associating the tears with thinking to himself about what he understood Brendan Smyth was likely to have been doing to this boy.

Members of the Panel, unless there is anything else I can assist with, that's the material I propose to open to you in respect of HIA397.

25 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I see Miss Savage has arrived. She will be

```
comforted by the fact that in this instance there are no
         allegations against her client, but we rather
2
3
         anticipated matters by going on.
               Chairman, that concludes today's evidence.
4
    MR AIKEN:
5
     CHAIRMAN:
               Well, ladies and gentlemen, we will resume not
        Monday coming, but Monday week in the normal way.
6
7
         afraid the timetable is subject to a degree of flux due
         to the matters I dealt with on Monday. We will let
9
         everyone know just as soon as possible what the running
10
         order for the witnesses will be during that week.
11
    MR MONTAGUE:
                   I am obliged.
12
     CHAIRMAN:
                Thank you very much.
13
     (2.00 pm)
14
                  (Hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
15
                    on Monday, 9th February 2015)
16
                               --00000--
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                 Page 126
```