Day 35 **HIA Inquiry** Page 1 HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY being heard before: SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman) MR DAVID LANE MS GERALDINE DOHERTY held at Banbridge Court House Banbridge on Monday, 19th May 2014 commencing at 10.30 am (Day 35) MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Monday, 19th May 2014

2 (10.30 am)

1

- 3 CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS RE TIMETABLE
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Just before
- we start the formal part of the public session this
- 6 morning I want to take this opportunity to say something
- about the timescale and programme of the Inquiry over
- 8 the coming months.
- 9 As you will be aware, today is the 35th day of the
- 10 public hearings devoted to St. Joseph's Home,
- 11 Termonbacca and Nazareth House Children's Home, both in
- Derry/Londonderry, and we expect that the public
- hearings in relation to these institutions should be
- completed by the end of this month or at the latest by
- 15 early June.
- 16 As anyone who has been following the public hearings
- since they started in January this year will realise,
- the Inquiry has so far heard from seventy witnesses and
- 19 the statements from many of those witnesses have been
- given to the Inquiry as the public hearings have been
- 21 taking place.
- In addition, so far over 18,000 documents have been
- 23 placed before the Inquiry in this module alone.
- However, the Inquiry staff had to consider a great many
- 25 more documents than that to decide which were relevant.

Page 3

I mention these matters to demonstrate that, as the public hearings take place, there is a great deal of preparatory work that continues to be done in order to take statements from witnesses and gather documents.

All of this material has to be considered, collated and processed by the Inquiry before the public session in which a witness gives evidence.

This involves an enormous amount of work behind the scenes by the Inquiry staff to prepare the necessary material for each day of public hearings in the current module. At the same time they are also pursuing investigations into other institutions and preparing the necessary material for the Inquiry Panel to consider in future modules. This work will continue over the summer months after the present module finishes.

After the present module the Inquiry will examine the operation of the Child Migrant Scheme in the context of children from Northern Ireland institutions who were sent to Australia. Before that module can start we have to complete our preparatory work for it, and a major part of that involves a second team from the Inquiry going from Northern Ireland to Australia to speak to those applicants who were not seen during last year's trip. Some of them will only speak to the Acknowledgment Forum, but a significant number have to

be seen by the Inquiry team. Altogether this will tie up a significant number of Inquiry staff for all of June.

That module will then start on Monday, 1st September and, whilst the detailed timetable will not be finalised until nearer that time, we are setting aside three weeks for public sessions for that module.

It will then be followed by the third module, which will consider the experiences of children who were placed in the home run by the De La Salle Order at Rubane, near Kircubbin, County Down. We anticipate that the public hearings relating to that module will start on Monday, 29th September.

The actual dates of these modules may be varied slightly as circumstances dictate and, as we do at present, a more detailed programme showing actual sitting days will be posted on our website from time to time. Nevertheless I hope that this advance notice of the next part of our programme will help all of those involved with the work of the Inquiry in any capacity to make whatever arrangements are necessary to engage with the Inquiry.

23 Mr Aiken.

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 WITNESS SND463 (called)

25 MR AIKEN: Morning, Chairman, Members of the Panel. The

- first witness this morning is SND463, who is "SND463",
- $^2$  and SND463 is aware, Chairman, that you are going to ask
- 3 her about taking the oath or affirming.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. SND463, do you wish to make either
- 5 a religious oath or make an affirmation, which is
- a solemn promise? They have the same legal effect.
- 7 It's entirely a matter for your choice.
- 8 A. I'll take the solemn oath.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Very well.
- 10 WITNESS SND463 (sworn)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Can I just remind you to
- 12 try and keep your voice up and speak towards the
- microphone so that we can all pick up what you have to
- say?
- 15 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 16 MR AIKEN: Bring up on the screen, please, SND-18050.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Just while that's being done can I take this
- opportunity to remind everybody that while the Inquiry
- is sitting please ensure your mobile phones are turned
- off or at least switched to silent, and in particular
- that no photography is permitted anywhere on the
- 22 premises, and certainly not in the Inquiry chamber or
- indeed anywhere inside the perimeter wall of these
- 24 premises. Thank you.
- 25 MR AIKEN: SND463, on the screen is I trust the first

- 1 page of your witness statement of 6th February. Can you
- just check that the version that's on the screen is the
- 3 same as the hard copy that you've got apart from the
- 4 black marks that we talked about?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Then can we go, please, to SND-18052? SND463, if you
- 7 look at the last page of the hard copy that you have,
- 8 can you just make sure that that's the same as the
- page that's on the screen except for the black marks?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you confirm that you've signed your witness
- 12 statement?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you want to adopt the contents as your evidence to
- 15 the Inquiry?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. If we can go back, please, to SND-18050, we discussed
- beforehand the reason for the marks, which is the
- operation of the Inquiry's anonymity policy, and I want
- to ask you to confirm that you want to keep your
- anonymity for as long as the Inquiry has that in
- 22 operation?
- 23 A. I do, yes.
- Q. Now you were born in 1937 and are now aged 77.
- 25 A. Yes. That's right.

- 1 Q. You lived in Bishop Street between 1940, when you were
- 2 aged --
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. -- and 1954, aged ?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The Inquiry Panel have had the opportunity to consider
- your witness statement already and are aware of the
- 8 nature of the assaults that you describe --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- and I am going to talk to you about those shortly.
- What I want to ask you about, first of all, from our
- discussion earlier you were explaining to me about the
- 13 regimental routine that operated during your time living
- in Bishop Street. Can you explain to the Panel what
- life was like? How -- what was the routine that
- operated?
- 17 A. Well, we got up early in the morning. First thing you
- 18 knelt down beside your bed, said your morning offering,
- 19 went out and got yourself washed, came back, went down
- 20 for your breakfast, said your prayer before breakfast
- and after breakfast, and then we went to school, broke
- in the middle of the day for lunch and -- till 4 o'clock
- in the evening, I think 4 o'clock in the evening,
- came -- went out then for our tea in the evening, then
- went out to the garden to play for a few hours.

- 6 o'clock we went to bed. That was it.
- $^2$  Q. You mentioned to me that at 9 o'clock --
- 3 A. Oh, yes.
- 4 Q. -- the nuns would come up and --
- 5 A. The children went to bed at 6 o'clock and then the
- 6 Sisters would come up at 9 o'clock to see everything was
- all right before they went to their bed, and we had to
- get up out of our beds to go to the toilet.
- 9 Q. Now you described to me -- it's not something you've
- 10 covered in your witness statement, but I want to ask you
- about it now -- about the practice in relation to
- children who wet the bed. Do you recall that was
- a problem that existed in Bishop Street?
- 14 A. Yes. Whenever any -- we got up in the morning. Sisters
- come round, waken us up in the morning. They go round
- and anybody who wet the bed was taken out to the
- 17 bathroom and had a cold bath, and then --
- 18 Q. Just allow me to interact with you. You mentioned the
- 19 cold bath. Am I right in saying that that was a bath
- specific for children who wet the beds?
- 21 A. Just for children who wet the beds, yes.
- 22 Q. When you had your normal Saturday bath, while you shared
- it with others, it was a warm bath?
- 24 A. Yes, yes.
- 25 Q. So the people who wet the bed have had a cold bath.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What happened to them then?
- 3 A. Then we all got dressed and went downstairs for our
- 4 breakfast. The children who wet the bed were made stand
- in the corner of the refectory, as we called it, the
- 6 refectory all during the meal so the rest of the girls
- 7 would know that they had wet the bed.
- 8 Q. Now the context of this, SND463, is at this time in
- 9 Bishop Street you are talking about in excess of 100
- 10 girls --
- 11 A. 108 girls.
- 12 Q. -- in the refectory at breakfast.
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Roughly can you give an idea of scale? Was it one
- person was made stand in the corner generally or was
- 16 it --
- 17 A. The most standing ever would be three, three at a time,
- three.
- 19 Q. They were made stand there and was that throughout the
- 20 period of breakfast?
- 21 A. Throughout the breakfast, yes.
- 22 Q. When did they get to eat?
- 23 A. Well, they got their breakfast brought over to them or
- 24 they come up and got their breakfast and went over and
- 25 stand -- stood in the corner again and had their

- breakfast there.
- 2 Q. So they had their breakfast standing?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Was the subject of bedwetting and the reasons why it was
- 5 happening ever a topic of conversation?
- 6 A. No, no. Just if you wet the bed, that was your
- 7 punishment. You got punished for wetting the bed and
- 8 that was it.
- 9 Q. What did you understand was the thinking behind having
- the girl stand in front of everyone else?
- 11 A. Well, we didn't think about it. It was just a normal
- thing that happened, you know. You saw somebody
- standing in the corner of the room. You knew they wet
- 14 the bed. That was it.
- 15 Q. Did you have a name for those people?
- 16 A. Bed wetters.
- 17 Q. They were know as bed wetters.
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. Do you recall were they teased by other children then
- for having wet the bed?
- 21 A. I don't remember that.
- 22 O. You don't remember?
- 23 A. Not that they were, no.
- 24 Q. Now you describe in -- was there ever physical
- 25 punishment for having wet the bed?

- 1 A. No. I don't remember them being beat or slapped for
- that. The punishment was being -- the cold bath and
- 3 then would stand in the corner of the dining room,
- 4 refectory.
- 5 Q. Now in your statement then, SND463 -- and in discussion
- 6 with me you explained in much greater detail -- you
- 7 describe physical violence --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- that was perpetrated on you.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you know, just so we set the scene for this, that
- the Order's position was always that children should not
- be hit, that there was to be no physical violence
- 14 towards them?
- 15 A. No, we didn't know that. Just if we were punished, we
- were punished, but we didn't think we shouldn't -- that
- shouldn't be happening or there's a law against it. We
- didn't know anything about the law or anything else
- 19 outside of Nazareth House. What was in the Nazareth
- 20 House was the Nazareth House law.
- 21 Q. In paragraph 2 of your statement you describe two
- 22 particular nuns that were in charge during most of your
- 23 period --
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. -- in Bishop Street and that's SR139, who is "SR139" --

- and her name shouldn't be mentioned outside the chamber
- and for the purposes of the transcript she should
- 3 remained redacted -- and SR24, who is "SR24" -- and the
- 4 same should apply to her.
- 5 SR139 from the information that we have to date was
- in Bishop Street -- we are not clear when she began, but
- 7 she was in Bishop Street until 1951, when she left, and
- 8 you at that stage were aged I'm not going to bring
- 9 it up, but for the Panel the reference that shows she
- 10 left in '51 is SND-6284.
- 11 As far as you recollect, SND463, she was in charge
- during your period growing up until she left?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You also then mention SR24. She, in fact, continued to
- be there after you left --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- in 1954. She was there until 1962. Again the
- reference for that is at SND-6294.
- 19 You explain the change when SR9 arrived. She
- arrived in 1952. We'll come to talk about that, but
- I want to ask you, first of all -- you describe SR139.
- Her weapon of choice, as it were, was the cane.
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 O. In what circumstances would you be caned?
- 25 A. Well, if you told a lie, or if you were cheeky, or did

- anything wrong, you were punished, you know. That was
- the way. She had a cane -- she always had a cane and
- 3 that was her way of punishing. She used a cane.
- 4 Q. You describe in paragraph 2 of your statement that black
- 5 tape was added to it --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- to prolong its life.
- 8 A. One of the teachers would be asked to bring in the
- g canes. The first thing that happened was the nun
- 10 would -- SR139 would seal it up with black tape for
- 11 whatever. Whether it was to keep it stronger, keep it
- from breaking, or whether to make it harder I don't
- know, but that was the routine she did, and the canes
- then were used. They were hung on the wall, and when
- she wanted, she lifted it off and used it.
- 16 Q. Now you described to me, and I am going to ask you to
- explain to the Panel, some of the more serious instances
- that you recall of the cane being used. You mention in
- 19 your statement -- in fact, you refer to it -- it is down
- at paragraph 7. You say:
- "On another occasion I recall SR139 slapping another
- girl constantly with a cane as a punishment ..."
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now you described that to me as taking place in the long
- corridor.

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. Can you just explain what was the girl made do before
- 3 the caning began?
- 4 A. If you were -- if you were to be punished, if you done
- something wrong, you would be punished. You were told
- to kneel outside the cookery room. This was a room that
- 7 we used when we were at school for cooking -- learning
- 8 to cook, but if you were being punished, you were told
- 9 to kneel outside there until you got your punishment.
- 10 This girl -- am I allowed to ...?
- 11 Q. Yes. Her name for the transcript will be redacted.
- 12 A. She was kneeling there, waiting for punishment. The num
- 13 came along. She lifted her cane off the hook and
- started to slap the girl. The girl was on her knees on
- 15 the passage. Do right hand, left hand slap, slap. As
- she got slapped, the girl would move back and back and
- back down the corridor, but because the girl wouldn't
- cry, she got slapped more and more and down the length
- of the corridor and back down again still being slapped.
- The girl's hands were raw by the time she was finished,
- but that -- because she didn't cry, and she still didn't
- 22 cry, I think that the nun maybe was angry and this was
- 23 driving her more to slap -- keep slapping her.
- Q. Now I have had the opportunity to be in I think the
- corridor you're talking about, but this is a long

- 1 corridor.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What you're describing is a beating, as it were, with
- a cane that continued the length of the corridor.
- 5 A. The length and back down again, yes.
- 6 Q. The girl retreated each time she was hit and the hitting
- 7 continued.
- 8 A. Yes.
- $^{9}$  Q. Now you were lined up to see this happen.
- 10 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. Why do you -- was that always what happened when
- 12 punishment was being administered?
- 13 A. I think that -- at that one occasion. I remember that
- one occasion just that we were lined along the corridor
- while the girl was being punished, but whether we were
- going to school or what, I just can't remind that, but,
- as I say, I just remember the girl being punished and
- going the whole length of the corridor and back again
- while we stood there.
- 20 O. This was SR139 did this?
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. She was at that time the head of the --
- 23 A. She was the head sister in the school.
- Q. When you say "school", you mean school --
- 25 A. School and the children' department.

- 1 Q. -- and where you lived with the children?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. Now what was -- you have mentioned the cane, and was
- 4 getting slapped with the cane a routine?
- 5 A. It was, aye. If you were being punished, she used --
- 6 SR139 used the cane a lot. It was always her way of
- punishing. Other girls have said about a strap, she
- 8 wore a strap, but I've never ever seen -- I don't
- 9 remember seeing anybody getting slapped with a strap.
- 10 Q. You mention at paragraph 2 of your statement that on the
- 11 habit was a strap. You mention:
- "They used the straps and canes regularly",
- but you don't remember the straps being used --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 O. -- but others --
- 16 A. I don't remember ever seeing the strap being used.
- 17 Q. But it is others have said to you they recall the straps
- 18 being used?
- 19 A. The stories that have come up have said about the strap
- being used.
- 21 Q. Yes, but you don't remember that?
- 22 A. No, I don't remember the straps being used.
- 23 Q. Was the hand used --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- to hit?

- 1 A. Yes. We would have been slapped across the face or
- maybe tugged by the hair, pulled by the ear, you know,
- 3 this kind ...
- 4 Q. Were we talking about the same two nuns doing that type
- of behaviour?
- 6 A. Yes, yes, and SR24, she had a bunch of keys which she
- 7 would have --
- 8 Q. I am going to come to --
- 9 A. Are you going to come to that?
- 10 Q. -- the bunch of keys, because that's something the
- 11 Inquiry has heard about before as well --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- at a much later period of Bishop Street's history.
- 14 So the use of the hand on the face --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. -- rather than the hands or arms?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. And what would have -- is that because the cane wasn't
- 19 nearby --
- 20 A. The cane was always --
- 21 Q. -- and you were slapped there and then?
- 22 A. -- kept over at the school end of the house on a hook
- there. So we would maybe have been slapped -- are you
- coming to the occasion of the girl?
- 25 Q. We will come to -- what was the instance that you are

```
thinking of, SND463?
```

- 2 A. The girl
- 3 Q. I'll come to her shortly, but you -- the slapping, was
- 4 that just a routine? Did you react to it when it
- 5 happened or ...?
- 6 A. Well, you got a slap and that was it, you know.
- 7 Q. It was just something you accepted as normal?
- 8 A. Aye. Aye. Aye.
- 9 Q. The particular incident that you are recollecting with
- 10 the slapping is at paragraph 6 of your statement. It is
- 11 the paragraph that begins:
- "One thing that sticks in my mind as being very
- cruel was one Saturday when we were out playing in the
- fields one of the girls ate berries, and when she got
- back to Nazareth, she was very sick."
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. "She went to SR139 ..."
- Do you want to just explain what happened?
- 19 A. Right. As I say, it was a Saturday, and we would have
- 20 our bath on a Saturday evening before supper. We would
- go down then to the fields to play for a while, come up
- then for our supper. This girl , got
- very sick and she was vomiting.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Her name again?
- 25 A. .

- 1 MR AIKEN: That's
- 2 **A.**
- 3 Q. Again for the transcript and for anybody who is in the
- 4 building her name shouldn't be discussed outwith the
- 5 building.
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. But was the person who had ate the berries
- 8 --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- and was feeling unwell.
- 11 A. She was very sick at the refectory table. She came up
- 12 to tell SR139 that she was sick. She said, "What made
- you sick?" and she says, "I was eating berries" and she
- got a slap across the face for eating berries. She
- shouldn't have been eating berries. That girl died that
- night. It was poison berries. She died that night.
- 17 Q. That's a memory you yourself have --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- because -- it is a matter that Mr Montague will look
- into, because we have been given a list of those who
- 21 died in the home. That's not a name that appears on the
- list. So that's something that will have to be ...
- 23 A. I remember it as well. The night that she died we were
- 24 all in our beds and SR 131 , she was in
- 25 the sister in charge of the nursery. was in this

- infirmary, which was a room off where SR139 slept they
- called the infirmary. was in there, because she
- was very sick. I don't know if the doctor was there.
- 4 He obviously was. The nun came out then and she said,
- 5 "She's gone. She's gone to heaven". So
- 6 that's how -- it is vivid in my memory that died.
- 7 I don't know what age. She was very young anyway, but
- 8 -- I mean, it sticks -- her name sticks in my mind.
- 9 Q. Do you remember approximately what age you were? Were
- 10 you a teenager when this happened?
- 11 A. No, I was a youngster. I don't know what age. I was --
- I don't know -- maybe 10 or 11. I don't really know.
- I don't remember what age I was.
- 14 Q. So this is probably late '40s/ --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- early '50s possibly?
- 17 A. It would be late '40s anyway, before SR9's time.
- 18 Q. Before -- she comes in '52.
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 O. So it is before 1952.
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. And the slap, why does the slap stick out in your mind?
- 23 A. Because I thought it was cruel, because the girl was
- 24 sick and had been vomiting, that she would get slapped
- rather than being attended, you know, put to bed or

- whatever. She got slapped for eating the berries. That
- seemed to be the main point: because she ate berries,
- 3 she got slapped, not the fact that the berries made her
- 4 sick and she died later.
- 5 Q. Now you say in paragraph 2 that these two nuns were very
- 6 cruel, but you also said to me that you recollect, for
- instance, SR139 had a love of music --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- and therefore when that was happening --
- 10 A. She was a different person.
- 11 Q. -- she was a different person.
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. But you described for me -- and perhaps you would
- explain to the Panel -- an incident where part of the
- home was said to be haunted --
- 16 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. -- and a reference to a ghost. Can you just explain to
- 18 the Panel --
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 O. -- what you recollect about that?
- 21 A. As I say, we used to clean around the place. We all
- 22 had different parts of the house -- of the school to
- 23 clean,k and it got out that part of the house -- the
- 24 back stairs was haunted by a ghost, but it was the shape
- of an egg. So we called "The Eggy Ghost", but anyway

going to the toilets -- when the sisters came up this night to go to their beds, as I say, they got us out of our beds to go to the toilets before they went to their beds, and we had to go down to the corridors to go to the toilet. The girls would go in four or five at a time -- I don't know how many toilets there was -- but four or five at a time.

One of the girls when they went into the toilet -went into the toilet shouted, "A ghost". So we all came
screaming out again down the corridor up to the
bedrooms, back into the bedroom. So the girl who
shouted the "Ghost", she was made stand out in the
corridor and -- while we all finished going to the
toilet. We went all back to our beds again, and the
sister, SR24, she went in and she put a chair into the
toilet with a white sheet over the top of it, took the
bulb out of the -- out of the switch -- switched off the
light, took the bulb away and locked -- put the girl in
and locked the door and kept her there all night.

Now at the time, I mean, it was terrible, but afterwards when we talked about it a few years or a year after maybe, we laughed about it, because we thought it was funny because of the ghost thing, but, I mean, it wasn't funny, but, as I say, that was another thing that sticks in my mind.

- 1 Q. You -- we were having this discussion. The caning, the
- $^2$  being hit, the likes of this was normal for you --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- and that's how you understood life operated.
- 5 A. Well, we didn't know any different. The place -- it was
- 6 strict. That is the way we were. We didn't know any
- 7 different and we didn't -- I mean, the nuns didn't talk
- 8 to us. Just there was rules, but we didn't talk, we
- 9 didn't interact with nuns until SR9 came. It was
- 10 different then.
- 11 Q. I'm going to ask you a bit about the interaction, but
- just going back to the girl who was locked in the toilet
- 13 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- do you remember her being released the following
- morning?
- 17 A. She was terrified, terrified the next morning. She was
- crying. She had been crying all night. She just said
- how she fell asleep in the toilet, just fell asleep.
- 20 O. And --
- 21 A. But that was it. It was over and done with. You know,
- there was nothing that was ever followed up on or
- whatever. If there was law or if there was rules,
- I~don't know. I mean, we wouldn't have the known about
- 25 police or anybody being brought in for certain things

- that happened or whatever. Just whatever happened
- 2 happened and we got on with it.
- 3 Q. Now you mention SR24 in connection with keys.
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. I want to ask you a bit about that. You describe in the
- last sentence of paragraph 2 that some girls were
- 7 sometimes hit on the head with a bunch of keys, and you
- 8 recollect that was for you once.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now I want to ask you, first of all, these are not a set
- of keys we'd have today, a set of Yale keys.
- 12 A. No. They were big.
- 13 Q. Can you describe what they were like?
- 14 A. They were big keys. Like in those days there wouldn't
- have been Yale locks or things like that. There was all
- big heavy keys. So these were on a ring, a big ring.
- 17 Q. So this was a much bigger metal ring than you would have
- nowadays on a key ring?
- 19 A. Oh, aye. Aye. There would be three or four keys to
- 20 different rooms -- I don't know what rooms -- but big
- 21 keys on this ring, but they hung -- the sister would
- have hung it on her belt around her waist.
- 23 As I say, at night time before we went to bed we
- would be lined up in, as we called it, the washing
- lavatory, which was a whole lot of sinks around the

- place and so many girls lined up behind each other to
- wash before -- their hands and face before they go to
- 3 bed. Of course, we were talking and whispering. I felt
- 4 the keys on my head where she was clobbering me.
- I shouldn't have been talking. It was a sin to talk,
- 6 you know. So I wasn't -- I was talking so I got ...
- 7 (gesturing) ... and that was it.
- 8 Q. Was the use of keys to the head, was that a routine
- 9 thing that just happened?
- 10 A. Well, she -- I mean, SR24 did. She -- that was her
- 11 keys. I mean, SR139 had the stick. SR24 had the keys.
- 12 Q. Do you recall -- you recall yourself seeing others being
- 13 hit with the keys?
- 14 A. Oh, aye, yes, but I only got once now, as I say, once.
- 15 Q. Now you won't know this, but the -- the Inquiry has
- heard, for instance, from another nun who witnessed --
- 17 SR139 you say was in charge then. SR9 becomes the head
- from 1952 through until she leaves in -- she left in
- 19 1976, and then SR59, who had been there under SR9 for
- 20 a period --
- 21 A. Um.
- 22 O. -- she becomes the head.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. This nun, SR147, who has given evidence, describes
- seeing SR59 in around 1974 using the keys to the head.

- Now what I am trying to see if you can you assist
- $^2$  with is whether the use of the keys was so ingrained or
- 3 routine as a means of discipline.
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. Was it something that just happened constantly to your
- 6 recollection or --
- 7 A. Yes. In SR139 -- in SR24's time, when I was there in
- 8 SR24's time. SR59, now I would be surprised now,
- because I thought she was always a gentle person. She
- was there after I left.
- 11 Q. Yes. You remember her when you --
- 12 A. Coming up to -- coming up to visit --
- 13 Q. Yes?
- 14 A. -- I would have found she was very -- a gentle person.
- I would find that hard to believe, although some --
- another person whom I came in contact with since this
- 17 all started said to me that at school she thought SR59
- was cruel, but she wouldn't go any further on that. So
- I don't know what -- I can't say that SR59 used the keys
- 20 because I never ever -- I didn't -- I wasn't there in
- 21 her time, only to visit.
- 22 Q. No, but what I am trying to understand is was the
- 23 practice of the keys something that was just -- it
- 24 happened all the time in your time --
- 25 A. No, not all --

- 1 O. -- under SR24.
- 2 A. No, not all -- I wouldn't say it was all the time. You
- know, an odd time that's what she used. I mean, she
- 4 didn't go round with a bunch of keys walloping
- 5 everybody. If, you know, you were doing something wrong
- in the vicinity, she hit you with them, but I only got
- 7 hit the once, but I wouldn't say it was used all the
- 8 time. No, I couldn't.
- 9 Q. Now you then describe in your statement -- and you have
- 10 touched on it already, and I want to try to handle this
- 11 sensitively -- but you have mentioned the fact you
- weren't really given -- there was no interaction as such
- 13 --
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. -- no conversation and no explanation about your
- development personally.
- 17 A. No. That's right. No.
- 18 Q. You explain in paragraph 5, which is the paragraph that
- 19 begins:
- 20 "As far as ...",
- 21 and "SR34" is SR34.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. Now just -- we set the scene. SR34 of the three nuns
- 24 who looked after you --
- 25 A. She was there, yes.

- 1 O. -- was the kinder.
- 2 A. Yes, although she was strict, a wee bit stricter. She
- was strict, not as strict as SR139 and SR24, but she was
- 4 strict, but she was also soft -- a wee bit soft natured
- 5 and, as I say --
- 6 Q. When you say strict, that's the slapping again from her?
- 7 A. Aye. You know, she would have slapped you, but no canes
- 8 or no -- you know, she didn't use a cane or the keys,
- 9 but, as I say --
- 10 Q. What you are describing here is the first time that you
- 11 had your period.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And --
- 14 A. We hadn't -- I didn't know -- we didn't know anything
- about growing up or what would happen us and all this
- kind of stuff, but, as I say, that day I was very -- had
- terrible cramps, terrible stomach cramps and I started
- bleeding, and I thought because I told a lie in the
- morning, that this was my punishment, and I was praying,
- "If You take this away, I won't ever tell another lie",
- 21 but, as I say, as the day went on, I got talking to
- 22 another girl and she said to me, "No. Go and talk to
- 23 ...", naming another girl. "Talk to her. She's got the
- same as you. You have got your", as they called it,
- 25 "your monthlies". When I went to this other girl and

- told her, she said, "You will have to go and tell SR139.
- She is the head nun. You'll have to tell her. You are
- now becoming a senior girl". So I went up to SR139 and
- I said to her, "I have got my monthlies" and she says,
- 5 "Well, you're fat enough for it", and that was the way.
- 6 That's the way she told it, "You are fat enough for it".
- 7 Q. She made this cruel remark --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- but SR34's response --
- 10 A. Aye. SR34 -- as I say, because I was sick, I was very
- sore and very crampy, she took me aside and she said,
- "Look" -- she put me to bed and she gave me a hot water
- bottle. She said, "Put that against your tummy". She
- says, "Every month now whenever that happens you come to
- me and I will see -- get you a hot water bottle".
- 16 That's the reason -- as I say, when I made my
- confirmation, I took my name for her. SR34 -- SR34 or
- SR34 was my middle name -- SR34 was my middle name for
- 19 her because of -- she just was that wee bit softer than
- the other two, you know. I mean, I know other girls who
- 21 said when they took their period as well, she was good
- 22 to them at that time. She put you to bed and she made
- sure you were comfortable.
- 24 Q. She displayed compassion --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- that you didn't receive from --
- 2 A. Yes, from the other, from SR139.
- 3 Q. Who was in charge.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you recall SR139 ever being compassionate to you?
- 6 A. No, no. She was just strict. She was a strict nun.
- 7 Maybe that is the way the Sisters were there. I mean,
- 8 when we came out of the Nazareth House and talked to
- different people, they'd say, "But sure that's the way
- 10 things were. We got slapped and our fathers and mothers
- slapped us and did that", but it was, you know ...
- 12 Q. You just got on with it?
- 13 A. Aye.
- 14 Q. Now you then mention in your statement that --
- paragraph 9, this is the paragraph that begins:
- "SR9 came about the 1950s."
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So SR9.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You were there for two years after she arrived. She
- came in 1952. You are there until 1954.
- 22 A. '54, yes.
- 23 Q. You remember a considerable change.
- 24 A. It took her a while to get used to us, as I say, because
- if we did anything wrong, "Oh, the boys ..." -- she came

- from the boys' home in Belfast, Ormeau Road. If we did
- anything wrong, "Oh, the boys wouldn't do this and the
- boys wouldn't do that". Then, as I say, she softened to
- 4 us. She got used to us. I mean, imagine 109 girls, 108
- girls all together, you know. They are harder to look
- 6 after than boys I think.
- 7 Q. Had she effectively taken over as --
- 8 A. She was the head nun.
- 9 Q. She was now the head of the children?
- 10 A. She was the head nun, yes.
- 11 Q. I think whenever she began in Derry she was only 33. So
- she takes over, but the atmosphere is very different
- 13 from SR139.
- 14 A. The atmosphere changed. Things get lighter and bright.
- 15 Children are playing then again. They are laughing.
- 16 They are playing out in the garden. There was noise in
- 17 the house. We could talk to SR9. You know, if you had
- something you wanted to talk about, you could talk to
- 19 her. She would have sat down and talked to you and you
- 20 had a conversation with her.
- Whenever I started going with a boy -- my husband
- 22 , she would say, "Bring him up. Bring him up to
- the house". We would go up and have our tea there on
- 24 a Sunday. Then when and I got married, SR9 and
- 25 SR128, who was the sister of the house, in charge,

- overall sister of the house, they came out to our
- wedding in the Chapel, and SR163 in the
- kitchen, she baked our cake, a three-tier cake for the
- 4 wedding.
- 5 As I say, after we were married we came back up to
- Nazareth House. and I came up and our best man
- 7 back up and we went round the school so the children
- 8 could all see us there and then and had photographs
- 9 taken with them.
- 10 That's the way it was. SR9 was that good. You
- know, she was just like a mother to us. She was
- a mother to us and, as I say, then when our children
- came along, my first son we called -- called him
- 14 after SR9, and every Sunday and I would bring
- up and then when our next son and our next son
- bring them. We spent the weekends up at Nazareth House,
- which was a second home to us. You know, it was happy
- 18 times. I never ever seen any children unhappy when we
- 19 visited. I mean, we visited for years and then we had
- 20 our daughters, two daughters and --
- 21 Q. You yourself have five children --
- 22 A. We have five, three boys and two girls.
- 23 Q. -- three boys and two girls. You would have -- despite
- the things you describe happening you would have gone
- 25 back?

- 1 A. Oh, aye. I was always happy to go back to Nazareth
- 2 House. Nazareth House was my -- I was never ashamed of
- being brought up in Nazareth House. That was my home.
- 4 Although it was strict and all that, I still was proud
- of being brought up in Nazareth House. If people met
- 6 you and went to speak to you, and they would say, "Oh,
- you have a different accent", I would say, "That's the
- 8 nuns. I was brought up by the nuns. I was brought up
- by the nuns". I wasn't afraid to say it. Some girls
- didn't like to say it, but I was proud of it. I am glad
- I was brought up by the nuns.
- 12 O. You also continued to have a relationship with SR139 --
- 13 A. Yes. I know she was cruel.
- 14 Q. -- despite all of the things you --
- 15 A. Well, it was because my childhood years she was there.
- 16 When she left and went to -- I can't remember where she
- 17 went to. It was Hammersmith -- wherever she went to
- anyway, I would keep writing. Her Feast Day was 17th
- 19 March. I would send her a Feast Day card. I would send
- 20 her a Christmas card and she would write back. So
- 21 I~kept in touch. It was Nazareth House, you know.
- 22 Q. So despite -- you have described some very cruel
- 23 treatment --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- but that connection --

- 1 A. Was still there.
- 2 O. -- was still there.
- 3 A. Aye, aye.
- 4 Q. Despite not needing to have any more to do with this
- 5 lady --
- 6 A. Yes, yes.
- 7 Q. -- you still did.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now SR9 you describe -- it is on -- if we can move
- through to paragraph 21, which is on SND-18051 -- it is
- not numbered, but it is four up from the bottom -- you
- describe how SR9 was known as "Mammy SR9".
- 13 A. Yes, a lot of the children called her "Mammy SR9",
- 14 because that's what she was. She was a mother to us
- 15 all. Some of the children were brought in there as
- infants. She brought them up. She was "Mammy SR9" to
- them.
- 18 My son -- actually, as I say, when we went up on
- 19 Sundays -- we used to go up every Sunday. My third son,
- 20 he used to always look forward to going up. He would
- say -- she would say, "What do you want for your tea
- 22 today?" "Me bucker and dam." He wanted butter and jam.
- 23 That's what she called him, "There is my wee bucker and
- dam today". That's the way it was with her.
- 25 Q. I'm not sure that's --

- 1 A. I mean, we were never afraid -- we were never afraid to
- talk to her. As I say, do you see in the days if she
- 3 slapped me, whenever -- I mean, I got slapped at times
- because I was cheeky or whatever. As I say, she would
- slap me with a wee ruler but -- and then she mightn't
- speak to me, but it hurt me more she wouldn't speak.
- 7 I didn't mind the slap as long as she would speak to me.
- 8 You know, I would apologise that night and then she was
- 9 speaking to me the next day. So everything was grand
- again, but I never liked to be not speaking to SR9.
- 11 Q. So what your -- that may have been a development of
- 12 Derry rhyming slang --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- I think if you -- the example you gave, but what you
- are describing, if I can try and summarise it, is under
- 16 SR139 it was simply cold routine --
- 17 A. We didn't talk.
- 18 O. -- with violence.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 O. Under SR9 there was much more warmth --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and compassion --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 O. -- and communication --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- but on occasions you also got hit?
- 2 A. I got a slap. Oh, aye. I got a slap if I needed it.
- I mean, if you were at home with my own -- you would
- give them a clout, you know, whenever they needed it.
- 5 So you got -- you know, she wasn't -- I don't -- I mean,
- 6 these stories that you are coming up -- I will not get
- 7 excited about it, but these stories coming up of the
- 8 terrible things that happened and there are no names
- 9 coming forward, that I hope SR9 isn't one of them,
- 10 because I would find it very hard to believe that from
- when I went into Nazareth House at the beginning and how
- strict it was then, and then SR9 came and everything
- changed, that it would go back to these horrible stories
- 14 that are coming in the paper.

25

15 As I say, I wasn't going to come forward for any of 16 this -- these meetings until I say a few weeks when it 17 came into the paper that all the vile nuns, and 18 I thought, "No, I'm not having that. There might have 19 been a few that were strict and whatever, whatever they 20 did, but they weren't all vile nuns, and I am not having 21 that", because I was waiting for -- speaking up for SR9, 22 because she certainly changed -- she changed the whole 23 air in the Nazareth House, and the whole -- even the 24 nuns who were there in SR9's time -- beforehand in

SR139's time I mean at Christmas -- if there were Feast

- Days -- the nuns all had their own Feast Days. wouldn't have heard any music or anything, but whenever SR9 came, and we would have our nights -- in the summer time we would have our nights with -- where she'd the radiogram on, dancing and singing, and if the sisters had a Feast Day, some of the girls would carry the radiogram over -- you know, six of us I think would carry the radiogram over to the Sisters' department, and you would hear the Sisters singing at night time when we were in our bed, singing. That would never have 10 11 happened in the earlier years. So the Sisters 12 themselves were happy then as well.
- I mean, I was happy -- I even came back when my

  children were reared. SR9 got me a job back in Nazareth

  House working at the front reception area. I worked

  there until I retired at 60. I met all the nuns who

  were there, friend... -- really friendly nuns and the

  girls I worked with. I made some really good friends.
- 19 Q. How long did you work there, SND463?
- 20 A. I worked there it must have been 15 -- must have been 21 15 years.
- 22 Q. 15 years.
- 23 A. You know, when the children started -- when the boys
  24 went to college, and I went there until I was 60.
- I left when I was 60, but, I mean, I was there and it

- was a happy time. Even when I was working, it was
- 2 a happy time. I loved working there.
- 3 Q. The -- one of the issues that -- I don't want you to go
- 4 into the -- it is a matter for the Panel to decide on
- 5 the evidence it has heard --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- but one of the issues that struck you and why you
- 8 came forward was the suggestion that girls were made
- 9 clean out the toilets with their hands.
- 10 A. No way. No way is that true. I mean, that -- the age
- of that person was 8 years of age when she was sent to
- clean the toilets, and there's no way anybody of 8 years
- of age would have been doing anything like that.
- 14 Q. Well, can I just -- let me ask you it this way, because
- what may have happened to one particular person may not
- have been the standard practice. I want to ask you what
- was the practice of girls cleaning toilets?
- 18 A. No. There was -- in our time the 15 year olds, 16 year
- olds would have been in charge of the toilet, cleaning
- the toilets, mopping out the toilet, making sure -- the
- way you would do in your own house, and if there was
- 22 a blocked toilet, there was a plumber on hand. There
- was electricians on hand when things went wrong with the
- electrics. There was a plumber. No way would a child
- be asked to clean -- unblock toilets and scrape the ...

- off with their fingers, no way, no matter what nun was
- 2 -- even in our olden time with the old nuns and SR139
- 3 there no way would they ask them then. There was older
- girls cleaning the toilets then, but no way. I would
- find it very, very hard to believe that that's true.
- 6 Q. As a result of hearing things like that you have come
- 7 forward --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- and the Panel have the benefit of hearing the
- 10 evidence that you've given --
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. -- about what occurred for you.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. But what I want to ask you in the end you are describing
- a substantial change in atmosphere. There was still
- 16 some strictness --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- and some hitting, but now that was the exception
- 19 rather than the rule. Is that a fair description of
- 20 when you were hit by SR9, it was now --
- 21 A. It was a tap, you know. I wouldn't say it was a clout.
- 22 Q. You are pointing to your head each time.
- 23 A. No, but I am just saying you got a slap. You know what
- I mean? You know, but, as I say, it wasn't -- it wasn't
- 25 **a** --

- 1 Q. Well, did you get -- because this is important for the
- Inquiry to understand, given some of the other evidence 2
- it's heard --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- but are there occasions when the slap was to the
- 6 face?
- 7 A. Oh, aye. Aye. You know, a clout on the ear, you know.
- 8 You know the way (inaudible).
- 9 Q. That's even in SR9's time?
- 10 A. Well, SR9 slapped me once but it was a ruler on the
- hand, a wee tap.
- 12 Q. Yes, but what I am asking you is are you aware that
- others did get slapped in the face with the hand even
- 14 then?
- 15 A. No, not with SR9, no, not on the face.
- 16 O. You don't remember that?
- 17 A. No, no, no way.
- 18 Q. For you it was only the ruler on the hand?
- 19 A. Aye.
- 20 Q. Now you then wanted to show the Panel -- because of your
- strong relationship with SR9, whenever she passed away
- 22 --
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. -- the girls -- there were 30 I think you said --
- 25 travelled to her funeral --

- 1 A. Yes. (Inaudible.)
- 2 Q. -- and a poem was written --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- which your daughter then kindly --
- 5 A. She stitched it into a -- into a picture, yes.
- 6 Q. -- made permanent for you in a stitching. You have got
- 7 that with you and perhaps if Catherine can let the Panel
- 8 see that. So this is what was ... (Shown)
- 9 So this is the --
- 10 A. This is -- the funeral -- at the funeral in Scotland --
- SR9 died in Scotland. So we all went over for the
- 12 funeral. At the mass the girls -- one of the girls,
- she got up and she read this out for us, the --
- what do you call it -- the eulogy?
- 15 Q. The eulogy.
- 16 A. Eulogy. As I say, some us asked for copies of it and
- I got a copy in the paperwork, and , my daughter,
- she then put it into the -- she stitched it for me, and
- 19 that has a permanent place in our house, in our home.
- 20 O. So the connection --
- 21 A. And you read the heading on that -- you read -- if you'd
- like to read the heading, it was "my mother". All the
- girls now -- that's from girls who were a lot younger
- than I who were still in the Nazareth House and who we
- got to know when we went up there visiting with

- children. You know, we got to know them.
- 2 Q. So you are talking about girls who were children in the
- $\frac{3}{}$  home in the '60s?
- 4 A. Yes, and at the funeral -- they are working girls now,
- 5 away nursing or teaching or doing whatever. As I say,
- 6 SR9 helped them along the way. If you had a talent, she
- pushed that in you. You know, she helped you out with
- 8 that.
- 9 So they made up this poem and , as I say, my
- daughter, she sewed it into that for me, a picture, and
- it's in our house, pride of place in our home.
- 12 Q. So for you, if I can put it -- try and summarise it in
- this way, if it is the case that the Inquiry Panel has
- heard evidence about SR9 hitting children on occasions,
- for you that would be very much the exception --
- 16 A. Aye.
- 17 Q. -- compared to SR139 --
- 18 A. Oh, definitely.
- 19 O. -- for whom it was the rule.
- 20 A. I couldn't -- I couldn't compare -- I'd be very
- 21 surprised -- and I am not saying -- maybe if she lost
- 22 her temper or anything -- I mean, she was human. If she
- lost -- after we left now, as I say, but any time coming
- 24 up to visit with the -- with our children we never ever
- seen unhappy children. They were all jumping around,

singing, playing, swinging, whatever, on the swings. never seen unhappy children sitting around in the corners moping or whatever. We didn't see that. you know, I don't know. I am not saying some of the stories aren't true or whatever. Who am I to judge, but for SR9 and what I know of Sister and what I know of the place before she came and what it was whenever she -before she left again, I would say it's different -- two different places and life changed altogether. Now can I ask you, SND463, whenever the Inquiry finishes 10 Ο. 11 its work the Panel have to make recommendations to the 12 Northern Ireland Government, the Executive, about 13 whether there should be an apology of some kind, whether there should be some form of memorial and whether there 15 should be some other form of reparation that might be 16 considered. We have asked each of the witnesses who 17 have come forward who have explained what happened to 18 them to give a view, if they have any, about what the 19 Panel might consider recommending. Some have said they 20 are sick of seeing memorials around this country; they 21 don't want any more. Some have thought a statue would 22 be an idea. Some have thought counselling. Have you 23 any views that you want to express to the Panel about 24 what the Panel might consider recommending? 25 Just an apology for -- for all the bad things that have

been said about the nuns -- well, SR9's time, you know. I don't know about memorials and all them kind of I don't know, but, as I say, I have my own opinion of SR9 and whatever, and we all -- we all have our own story and our own view of a person, but I don't know about memorials and all this kind of thing, you know, but an apology for all the cruel things that were said about the good nuns. Now I am not saying all the nuns -- and I am not saying SR9 was a saint. She was human like the rest of us and she maybe lost her temper. 10 11 As I say, who wouldn't with 108 children to look after, 12 If she did lose her temper -- in my day she 13 didn't do anything cruel or whatever, but I am not 14 saying -- maybe something later on that the girls then 15 maybe thought what happened then was cruel. 16 SND463, can I ask you about whether there's anything 17 else that you want to make the Panel aware of, given 18 that the Panel is investigating abuse that happened in 19 children's homes -- we have covered examples of that in 20 your evidence this morning -- whether there's anything 21 else you can remember that would assist the Inquiry with 22 its work that you want to say? Now's the time to say 23 it. 24 Well, what I am saying is -- what I would want to say is 25 when I left the Nazareth House -- my mother came up --

```
1
        mother came up for
                                  and I whenever I was 17,
               was 15 and we went out to live with my mother.
3
        I had no happy times at home and
                                                , my sister, got
        a hiding from my mother, which led her back to Nazareth
        House again to stay there until I went and got my job.
        I got a place of my own and brought her out again and
7
        looked after her. My mother wasn't at our wedding.
              Whenever we went to America with the Gaelic
        singers and came back again, my mother didn't see me off
        and come back. SR9 was there. So, as I say, for me all
10
11
        I want is to let people know that SR9 was a good person.
12
        If she made any mistakes in years after I left,
13
        I apologise for that, but I am finding some of them very
        hard to believe, and the fact that she is not here to
14
15
        talk for herself or speak up for herself, you know,
16
        people can say whatever they like and make their stories
17
        as bad as they like, but I know what I thought of SR9
        and of the nuns and what it was beforehand and what it
18
19
        was after she came, and that's all I am going to say.
20
        As I say, I just hope there would be an apology if her
21
        name -- if she has been named as being a cruel person.
22
    Ο.
        SND463, there's nothing else that I am going to ask you,
23
        but if you just stay where you are, the Members of the
24
        Panel may want to ask you some questions. So just bear
25
        with us.
```

- Questions from THE PANEL
- 2 MS DOHERTY: SND463, thanks very much for your evidence
- 3 today -- it's been very helpful -- and for bringing
- 4 along the plague.
- 5 Can I just ask you -- the wee girl that was hit
- 6 going up and down the corridor --
- 7 A. She wasn't kicked. She was slapped.
- 8 Q. No. "Hit" I said.
- 9 A. Sorry.

1

- 10 Q. No. "Hit". My Derry accent mustn't be as good as it
- used to be! What age was she? Have you got a --
- 12 A. I'd say she must have been 11, 10 or 11, about that age,
- because I mean -- I'm thinking back to my own age.
- I mean, she was in the same class as I was. So about
- 15 10, 11 around that time I think.
- 16 O. Can I ask -- one of the things we have heard from other
- 17 people is about that the older girls were often left to
- supervise and could be quite kind of strict and maybe
- a bit easy with their hands as well. Do you have
- 20 anything --
- 21 A. There was three sisters who were like that we were kind
- of afraid of, but I am not going to mention them,
- 23 because there is a couple of them still alive.
- Q. But were they left -- did they -- were they left in
- 25 charge?

- 1 A. No, they weren't in charge. They just bullied when the
- sisters weren't about --
- 3 O. Okay.
- 4 A. -- as far as I can -- as far as I can remember, you
- 5 know. It wasn't -- the nuns wouldn't have known they
- 6 were bullying, know.
- 7 Q. And would that be -- when the Sisters weren't about,
- 8 would that be in the evenings when they were praying or
- 9 ...?
- 10 A. This was at bedtime when you were in bed maybe. I know
- one of them would have -- by the way she would go round
- calling your name and if you got up -- you were supposed
- to have been sleeping. I mean, I didn't bring this out
- in my story, because I didn't think, you know. Anyway
- there were three sisters and, as I say, the eldest one,
- she would have called your name, and if you sat up, you
- 17 **got** --
- 18 Q. You got hit?
- 19 A. -- pulled out of the bed, you know. By the way she was
- 20 calling your name to give you a sweet, you know. So
- that was that, but that was ...
- 22 O. But that was the -- that was the extent of it?
- 23 A. Aye. Then there was two older girls. I am not going to
- name them either, because I think they are still alive,
- but, as I say, they are -- I mean, when I was out with

, my husband, one day shopping, I thought this 1 other older girl was dead and gone, but I saw her in a wheelchair, and do you know I actually said, "You deserved that", because she was a bully, you know. I would love to -says, "Don't even look at her". She would have pulled you by the hair and everything, you know, but, as I say -- but that wouldn't have happened -- that wouldn't have happened when the sisters were about and all. The older girls, one of the things was about supervising 10 0. 11 the bathing and supervising the hairs. 12 Aye, about that Jeyes Fluid and stuff. I mean, the 13 Jeyes Fluid -- it was a spray of Jeyes Fluid. I mean, 14 in those days there was no disinfectant or whatever. 15 I mean, we use shampoo and everything now and bubble 16 bath and all that. There wasn't anything then. 17 a spray of Jeyes Fluid for I suppose -- what would you say -- disinfectant, you know, and when you got your 18 19 hair washed, the nuns would tell you, "Hold your eyes 20 tight" in case it stung. I know friends of mine would 21 say to me, "I remember my eyes getting all burnt", but 22 you were told to hold your eyes, but that was to 23 preserve -- you know, keep you from the nits, spreading 24 nits and things like that like. You know, imagine that 25 many girls together with long hair and the nits and

- stuff. So you got your hair washed. That's the only
- thing going then was Jeyes Fluid, but it was only
- a sprinkle. I mean, listening to those stories, you
- would think it was full of Jeyes Fluid. There is no way
- 5 they would have got out of the bath alive again if it
- 6 was.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thanks very much. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Can I just ask one or two things? First of all,
- 9 you mentioned that -- more than once I think that SR9
- 10 had 108 girls to look after.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was she the only nun responsible?
- 13 A. No, no. There was SR9, SR59 and -- I can't remember the
- other nun -- SR24. I thought she'd gone, but ...
- 15 Q. Yes, but there were normally two looking after 108?
- 16 A. Three. There was always three.
- 17 Q. Oh, as many as three?
- 18 A. I remember three in my day, in our day, but, as I say,
- it was SR9 and Sister -- when I left the home, it was
- 20 SR9 and SR59. SR24 must have been the other one, but
- I didn't remember SR24 being still there, but according
- to ... she was.
- 23 Q. At your time there were possibly two or maybe three at
- 24 most looking after --
- 25 A. There was always three. There was always three.

- 1 Q. Always three?
- 2 A. Uh-huh. They taught us as well as being with us
- 3 after -- you know, they taught in the school as well as
- 4 after school.
- 5 Q. I take it the -- your daughter who is with you today is
- 6 the one who did the embroidery.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Just for the benefit of those who won't have seen this I
- 9 want to highlight some of the things you have said so we
- all know what it is, but before I point out these things
- 11 you said that there were a number of the girls who were
- 12 there went to SR9's funeral --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. -- in Scotland.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Were they all of your age group or were they --
- 17 A. No. They were younger -- they were younger girls, girls
- that were there after I left Nazareth House, but I got
- 19 to know them through visiting all the time, you know.
- 20 Q. So they covered, did they, the whole age range that SR9
- 21 would have looked after?
- 22 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. The things which this poem refers to, did they all
- happen in your time or did some of them happen later on?
- 25 A. Must have happened later on, some of them.

- 1 Q. Just to pick one out almost at random, there is
- $^2$  a reference to trips to Mosney. That's the Butlins at
- Mosney, near Dublin.
- 4 A. Yes, that's right. Went to Butlins.
- 5 Q. Was that in your day or afterwards?
- 6 A. Yes, we went there, yes.
- 7 Q. She seems to have been particularly enthusiastic about
- 8 music and Irish music in particular.
- 9 A. Yes. She had the ceilidh band and she had the
- orchestra. Then, as I say, the Gaelic singers came
- from -- you know, the Gaelic -- I was working at the
- 12 time the Gaelic singers but she phoned me and asked me
- would I like to go with them. I mean, I was 19 then but
- I was still kind of green. As I say, we went to America
- and met Elvis, Elvis Presley and all. How stupid we
- were! We didn't make something of it. As I say,
- I would never have got to America and met Elvis Presley
- if it wasn't for SR9. I say ten weeks that was and
- 19 I say music -- she loved music. There was the ceilidh
- 20 band. There was the feis --
- 21 Q. That would be somewhere --
- 22 A. -- and that was through --
- 23 Q. Just taking it, that would be somewhere in the late
- '50s/early '60s you are talking about?
- 25 A. Yes. Aye. '56. '56 we went to America. '56.

- Q. Yes. You maybe hadn't been to Germany with the American
  Army by that stage.
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Well, other things that are referred to here is --
- 5 amongst the things that are referred to are that she
- seems to have been always on the go. Is that right?
- 7 A. Aye. Electrics, plumbing. She knew -- she could
- 8 work -- maybe with working the boys beforehand --
- 9 I don't know -- but she could -- knew everything. You
- 10 know, she could work at anything. I mean, she taught --
- I mean, I was a knitter -- I was a good knitter and we
- entered competition. I used to do fair isle knitting
- and then I got on to aran knitting through her. I
- 14 taught -- she taught me how to knit. As I say, she used
- to make rugs. You know, we would sit down at night-time
- making rugs. She would show us how to do them and then
- sell them off and make money for the house. You know,
- she was good -- she was -- I'm not -- I shouldn't say
- she is good at everything. People will say she was like
- a saint, but, no, as I say, she was human. I mean, she
- 21 had her faults and whatever. Who doesn't have faults,
- 22 but, no, but I won't -- some of the stories that are
- 23 said I won't sit back -- I mean, I wasn't going to come
- forward, but some of the stories coming forward, and
- 25 names were mentioned, but in the years -- the times --

- the years that were mentioned it would have been her
- years, and I thought, "Well, no, I have to come forward
- and speak for SR9".
- 4 Q. In this poem there are references to movies being shown.
- 5 Was that in your time?
- 6 A. What's that?
- 7 Q. Movies being shown on a screen.
- 8 A. Oh, yes. That's what I am saying. You would have
- nights -- we would have nights where she would have a
- 10 music night when you would be dancing and singing. She
- would put films on, aye.
- 12 O. I see.
- 13 A. Yes, and if you had a talent, if you had a talent, if
- 14 you were any -- I mean, I probably -- had my mother not
- turned up to take us away, I probably would have been
- teaching, because I wanted to teach, but then because my
- mother came on the scene for the age when you were going
- to high school or whatever, my mother came and took me
- home, you know, so I didn't -- I couldn't -- she didn't
- 20 push that, but other girls -- I mean, my best friend,
- she is in America now where she went on to
- 22 nursing. She worked in one of the big hospitals in
- 23 London -- I can't remember the name of it -- and worked
- her way up to matron there and went all over the world
- nursing, and then settled -- met an Italian boy and

- 1 married him and went to America and they've opened a big
- restaurant and all in America. So, you know, she pushed
- you. If you had anything, she pushed you, but if you
- were lazy, she let you know you were lazy as well.
- 5 Q. Well, SND463, thank you very much for coming to speak to
- 6 us and bringing this. I am not sure -- have we had
- 7 a copy of it made yet, Mr Aiken?
- 8 MR AIKEN: No, but we will take some steps to deal with
- 9 that, Chairman.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: We will try to take a photograph of this before
- 11 you go so we have a record of it, if you don't mind.
- 12 A. That's lovely. No, I don't mind.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: In the meantime I will give it back to you, if
- I may. Thank you very much for coming to speak to us.
- 15 A. Right. Okay.
- 16 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Ms Smith is taking the next witness.
- 17 Perhaps a short break to allow her to indicate where
- she's reached.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Very well. I think we should have our --
- give our stenographer a break in any event. We will try
- and start as soon after 12.00 as Ms Smith is ready.
- 22 (Witness withdrew)
- 23 (11.52 am)
- 24 (Short break)
- 25 (12.00 noon)

- WITNESS SR6 (called)
- 2 MS SMITH: Good afternoon, Chairman, Panel Members. The
- 3 next witness will be "SR6", who is to be known as SR6.
- 4 There are some appearances to be announced.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Yes.

1

- 6 MR LAVERY: Chairman, my name is Michael Lavery. I appear
- 7 -- I am a barrister and I appear with Mr Finbar Lavery,
- 8 who is a barrister, on behalf of SR6. I am instructed
- by Mr Denis Moloney from Donnelly & Wall.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Lavery.
- 11 MR McATEER: Mr Chairman, my name is McAteer. I appear on
- behalf of HIA69 with my learned friend Mr Toner. We are
- instructed by Mr Kevin Casey of McCartney & Casey.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr McAteer.
- 15 MS SMITH: Good afternoon, SR6. Can I just confirm, first
- of all, that you are happy for me to call you SR6?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You have, as you know, been afforded anonymity. In the
- 19 papers you are known as SR6. That's because you were
- formerly known by your religious name, which is why you
- have been given the "SR" designation. You were known as
- 22 SR6. I'm going to use that name, but you will be aware
- it will not be used outside this chamber.
- Now the Chairman is going to ask you about taking
- an oath or affirming. So if you just remain there for

- 1 a moment.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: I take it you wish to make a religious oath?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 WITNESS SR6 (sworn)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Please sit down.
- 7 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 8 MS SMITH: Now, SR6, you have provided a statement for the
- benefit of the Inquiry. If we could pull that up on the
- screen, please. It is SND-18138. You will see there,
- SR6, that it says "The Witness Statement of SR6", and if
- we could go to the last page of the statement, which is
- 13 SND-18147, although it -- that version is unsigned, this
- was the version that was sent to the Inquiry a week or
- so ago, but you have, in fact, signed the statement
- 16 yourself this morning --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- and did so, in fact, in my presence --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- and you have dated it with today's date. Isn't that
- 21 correct, SR6?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. It doesn't appear to have made its way into the
- bundle as yet, but it will be -- this document will be
- replaced with the signed version, which you checked and

- confirmed that it is the same as what we see on the
- screen. Isn't that so?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. This is the document that you wish the Inquiry to
- 5 consider as your evidence.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can I just ask you about some of the general details,
- 8 SR6, of your time in the congregation of the Sisters of
- 9 Nazareth and your time when you were in Termonbacca? If
- we could look at another document, please, which is
- 11 SND-17202, I explained to you this is a document that we
- have received from the Sisters of Nazareth. It is
- a register that they kept of the Sisters who were in the
- 14 congregation. You will see that where we have -- just
- stop there, please -- we have large black rectangles,
- that is blocking out the names of people, but you have
- seen this document without these redactions.
- 18 It gives the names of your parents, your date of
- 19 birth and where you were born. You entered the
- religious life on August 22nd, 1962. You were professed
- in May 1965. You took the name SR6 and your final
- 22 profession was in 1972. You came to Termonbacca on 20th
- February 1978 and you left there to go to Swansea on
- 24 9th September 1981.
- Now you saw this document for the first time this

- 1 morning. Isn't that correct, SR6?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. Before that the documentation that you had received from
- 4 the Order and to the best of your recollection you had
- 5 thought that you had only gone to Termonbacca about 1979
- 6 --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- but you accept that this being --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- a contemporaneous document shows you were actually
- 11 there earlier than that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You, in fact, from other documentation that we have seen
- 14 -- if we look at SND-6390, this is a letter that was
- written by the Sisters of Nazareth to the Pope
- 16 requesting that you be dispensed from your vows, and you
- will see there that it gives the reasons for that, but
- in the second paragraph it says you went to the
- juniorate in Ireland when you were only 13 years of age.
- 20 You entered the novitiate in Hammersmith when you were
- 21 17. So from a very young age you were with the Sisters.
- 22 Isn't that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You, in fact, left after a period of some three years
- exclaustration in 1998. Isn't that so?

- 1 A. Yes.
- $^2$  Q. When you left at that time, you received a sum of
- £20,000 from the Order --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- although you had to wait some years to receive that
- 6 money. Isn't that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Having spoken to the Order, the information that we have
- been given is that that money was given to you in lieu
- of what you might have earned or what pension
- 11 contributions you might have received had you stayed in
- 12 the Order.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. It was essentially a sum of money to help you start up
- in life once you left.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Could we also look at SND-6385, please? Now this is
- an incorrect document in that it is described as
- 19 a curriculum vitae which was prepared by the Sisters of
- Nazareth, which supposedly sets out your career until
- 21 you left the Order in '95, but if you look at that
- document, it says that between 1971 and 1972 in Aberdeen
- you did a child care course.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that, in fact, correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- $^2$  Q. And can you confirm just what that childcare course was?
- 3 Do you recollect?
- 4 A. It consisted really of lectures on the different aspects
- of child care from 0 to 17 years of age. We had
- a number of lectures from different people during the
- 7 day time. The main man was a
- 8 Q. When you say "we", were there other Sisters of Nazareth
- 9 there with you?
- 10 A. There was -- there was another sister doing the training
- with me, a
- 12 O. Where was this course held?
- 13 A. To the best of my ability it was in an annexe of the
- university and I think the name of it might have been
- Gilroy's College. I am not 100% sure of that.
- 16 O. You did achieve a qualification as a result of this
- 17 course?
- 18 A. We got a certificate, yes, in child care.
- 19 Q. Now after that we know that you were in Cardiff --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- and Swansea, where you were engaged in looking after
- children. Is that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And Sligo also.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Then in 1980 according to that, but we know that, in
- $^2$  fact, it was 1978, you went to Termonbacca. Can I ask
- 3 how that assignment came about?
- 4 A. Well, normally we were shifted about from house to house
- 5 where the need was, and at that particular time I had to
- be -- I was stationed in Nazareth House, Sligo with
- a group of kids and was asked to go to Termonbacca, and
- 8 that was just what we did. You didn't question it. You
- 9 had a vow of obedience and you went where you were sent.
- 10 Q. Can you remember what Termonbacca was like when you
- 11 arrived?
- 12 A. Termonbacca was a very new style of building, two
- groups, separate in a sense with just entrances, but
- they had mostly single -- single rooms. When I say
- single rooms, you could get three beds in one room. You
- 16 might get two in another, and you'd have a single room
- as well, and I think there was six of those in my group
- on the top floor.
- 19 Q. Your role you describe in paragraph 2 of your statement,
- if we go back to that, at SND-1818... -- SND-18138.
- 21 Sorry. At paragraph 2 there you describe:
- 22 "My role at Termonbacca was that of housemother
- which took in the duties of the full-time care of
- children from broken homes due to a variety of
- circumstances. I took over from", another nun, "SR14

- when she left. This would have meant that I was in
- $^2$  charge of a group of between 16 to 18 children. There
- were two distinct units in the home and the other unit
- 4 was run by SR2 when I arrived. The two units were
- 5 separated to give the idea of two households in order to
- 6 make it feel more like a natural home environment."
- 7 Can I just ask you at that time apart from yourself
- 8 and this other sister who was in charge of the other
- group of children what other staff were there in the
- 10 home?
- 11 A. I had three to four staff, and if I can recall rightly,
- 12 a SND57, a or , a SND21 and an
- 13
- 14 Q. So that would have been four people --
- 15 A. Four, yes.
- 16 Q. -- as well as yourself looking after 16 to 18 children?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were those people full-time workers in the home?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And would they have been there -- would they have lived
- in, for example?
- 22 A. lived in.
- 23 O. And the other ladies?
- 24 A. The other ladies, two of them were married with families
- in the Creggan. They came down in the morning or in the

- evening shift and went back at night-time, and SND21
- stayed in sometimes, but just the one staff room.
- 3 would be off. SND21 would stay in.
- 4 Q. You describe in your statement at paragraphs 3 and 4
- 5 what the daily routine was like in the home. You say
- that that would involve waking the children about 7.30.
- 7 They would be encouraged to wash, brush their teeth and
- 8 dress. Sometimes they would have been woken by a lay
- 9 staff member. A lay member of staff would have stayed
- over each night and there was always a member of staff
- around to the best of your knowledge.
- 12 Can I just ask you at that point before I go on to
- read out something else that is in your statement did
- 14 the congregation or the home have a policy on the
- discipline of children, first of all?
- 16 A. Well, first and foremost from my training we would be
- given great -- in great depth what to do with children
- if they misbehaved and certainly hitting was completely
- out of the question in those years. The policy of the
- Sisters, if I can remember rightly, was that anyone who
- 21 was working with the children were to look upon them as
- 22 if it was the child Jesus you were looking after. If it
- was the old people and gentlemen, you looked after them
- 24 as you would St. Joseph, and if it was the old ladies,
- you looked after them as if it was Our Blessed Lady that

- you were looking after. They were -- that was my dear
- ethos and what I carried very much through life.
- 3 Q. That was the ethos that you as a Sister of Nazareth were
- 4 taught by the Order?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you recall how that was communicated to you?
- 7 A. It would be in my first years of training as a novice
- getting ready to go out to the branch houses.
- 9 Q. Well, what about bedwetting? Did the congregation have
- a policy on how to treat a child who wet the bed?
- 11 A. No. I think because the spiritual aspect of our life
- would cover that, you would never think anything of
- a child wetting their bed. It was to be expected,
- 14 number one, coming from broken homes. Secondly, on the
- religious side you just wouldn't do -- you just wouldn't
- do that to a child.
- 17 Q. You say you wouldn't do that, but you are aware of the
- 18 complaints that the Inquiry has heard --
- 19 A. I am, yes.
- 20 O. -- about how children who wet the bed were treated --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and I will come back to that in due course, but you
- are saying that because of the training that you had
- 24 received in child care --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 O. -- and also because of the ethos --
- 2 A. Of the spiritual, yes.
- 3 Q. -- of the Sisters that that would not have happened?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Well, a couple of general questions again, SR6. During
- 6 your time in Termonbacca would you have been aware of
- 7 the legislation and regulations which governed the
- 8 conduct of children's homes?
- 9 A. You mean the public legislation?
- 10 O. Yes.
- 11 A. Yes, I would be.
- 12 Q. The legislation would be, for example, the Children and
- 13 Young Persons Act (Northern Ireland) 1950 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- and the 1968 Act which succeeded that.
- 16 A. Yes, I would.
- 17 Q. Where would -- how would you have been aware of that?
- 18 How did you come to be aware of the legislation?
- 19 A. Well, because coming up to those years children came in
- 20 mostly through the local authorities. Any new
- legislation that came out would have been sent to every
- 22 Nazareth House and therefore we would have read it to
- keep up-to-date with what was going on.
- 24 Q. So by corollary you would have been aware then of the
- Voluntary Homes Regulations that were passed in Northern

- 1 Ireland in 1952 and 1975?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Can I also ask then if you were aware of those
- 4 regulations, that you would have been aware that they
- 5 proscribe the circumstances in which corporal punishment
- 6 was allowed.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I also asked you this morning about a document which
- 9 I showed to you, which was a 1951 Home Office Guidance
- on the Conduct of Children's Homes, a memorandum, which
- was sent by the Ministry of Home Affairs in Northern
- 12 Ireland to all children's homes in Northern Ireland in
- 13 1952. Were you aware of that document?
- 14 A. I wouldn't have been with the Order in 1951, but I would
- be very much aware of the document after I was
- professed.
- 17 Q. So when you came to Termonbacca in 1978, you would have
- been aware -- you would have seen that document prior to
- 19 that --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- and you would have been aware of what it contained --
- 22 A. I would have.
- 23 Q. -- and the advice that was given in it?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can I take it that any Sister who had done any child

- care qualification would equally have been aware of it?
- 2 A. Any Sister that would have done her qualifications, yes,
- and any Sister that didn't, that hadn't done would still
- 4 have to read those documents, any of them that came in
- from the health boards or the local authorities --
- 6 Q. So --
- 7 A. -- working with children.
- 8 Q. So if -- even though there might have been a child
- 9 working with the nuns (sic) who at that stage --
- sorry -- working with the children who at that stage,
- unlike yourself, hadn't done the certificate in child
- 12 care --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- or any certificate in social work, for example, would
- nonetheless have been made aware of that --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- the existence of that document and its contents?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How would that have come about? Were there meetings
- about such things in the home?
- 21 A. Not -- not meetings as such, but the legislation would
- 22 be sent in to the Superior of the house, who would read
- 23 it and then hand it on to the Sisters in charge of the
- children in the groups.
- 25 Q. And would the documentation then have been kept in

- a file somewhere for a consultation?
- 2 A. I would imagine yes, it would, yes.
- 3 Q. But do you ever yourself remember having to go back and
- 4 look something up?
- 5 A. I often did. I often did.
- 6 Q. From that particular document?
- 7 A. Yes. From any of the documents that would come in.
- 8 Q. Can I ask during your time in the home in the course of
- 9 your duties how often would you have seen the Mother
- 10 Superior?
- 11 A. Well, the Mother Superior really wasn't -- she didn't
- have anything physically to do with the children, but,
- I mean, she always visited the group homes, as we called
- them, if not every day, every second day anyway.
- 15 Q. And would she have spoken to the children when she did
- 16 this?
- 17 A. Oh, yes, yes, she would.
- 18 Q. What about visitations from the congregational
- 19 hierarchy? Do you recall those?
- 20 A. Yes, I do, yes.
- 21 Q. As part of that were you spoken to?
- 22 A. Yes, we would be -- I would be.
- 23 Q. What kind of things would have been discussed at those
- visitations?
- 25 A. Well, how I was getting on with the children; how I was

- getting on actually in the home itself; was I happy in
- 2 the situation that I was in.
- 3 Q. Did you ever express any dissatisfaction?
- 4 A. No, because I have to say I was very, very happy in
- 5 every Nazareth House I was placed in.
- 6 Q. Do you yourself have any knowledge of how Termonbacca
- 7 was funded?
- 8 A. In my day Termonbacca would mostly be funded by the
- 9 Social Services' finance -- the children coming into
- 10 care would be financed by the Social Services.
- 11 Q. We have heard that there were some children who had been
- 12 placed there on foot of a private arrangement, as it's
- been described. To your recollection did any of the
- children you had to look after -- were they in that
- 15 position?
- 16 A. I think there might have been maybe one or two, and if
- I can remember rightly, I think Social Services after
- discussions with them, not me particularly, but the
- 19 social worker that we had in the home, got funding for
- them as well.
- 21 Q. We have seen a letter -- do you remember a lady called
- 22 SND 483
- 23 A. Sorry?
- Q. Do you remember a lady called SND 483 at all who was
- 25 -- no, you don't remember that?

- 1 A. I kind of remember the name, but I don't remember her.
- $^{2}$  Q. I didn't show this to you earlier, SR6, but there was
- 3 a letter the Inquiry has seen where she wrote to the
- Western Health & Social Services Board asking that
- 5 certain boys be taken into care so that the home could
- 6 get the funding. That's the kind of situation you are
- 7 talking about. Is that correct?
- 8 A. Well, I was thinking more about the children in my group
- 9 that would have been there when I went and weren't
- 10 funded, that steps would have been taken to see if we
- 11 could get funding for them from Social Services, which
- 12 I think happened.
- 13 Q. That's fine. That's the best that you can remember.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You don't remember any specific children in that
- 16 position?
- 17 A. I don't.
- 18 O. You talked about the social worker in the home, SND332.
- 19 We are using names here, which -- to make it easier for
- 20 everybody to understand who we are talking about, but
- just to remind people that those names are not to be
- 22 used outside this chamber and designations must be used
- at all time to protect people's anonymity.
- I think I did confirm with you that you wish your
- anonymity maintained. Isn't that correct, SR6?

- 1 A. Yes, please.
- 2 Q. Well, SND332 has spoken to the Inquiry as well as
- 3 several others. Can you remember what you can about
- 4 what his role was in the home?
- 5 A. SND332 was employed, paid staff, and to speak for us as
- 6 well on behalf of anything regarding the finances of the
- 7 home, and also was there for the children if they wanted
- 8 to go over and talk to him about anything, probably when
- 9 would they be going home and things like that.
- 10 Q. He has told us that he, in fact, ended up effectively
- looking after the ex-residents more than the children in
- the home.
- 13 A. That's right. That's right, yes.
- 14 O. Do you remember that?
- 15 A. A lot of the boys had been out in the Creggan in the
- 16 flats and that. SND332 would keep an eye on them to see
- 17 how things were going.
- 18 Q. Can I just ask about what life was like in Derry and in
- 19 and around Termonbacca at the time that you were there?
- 20 What were the social conditions like? What were the
- 21 political conditions like that you remember?
- 22 A. Well, from what I can remember I think they were pretty
- 23 hot actually now with bombings and car bombs and
- 24 different activities that was going on at that
- 25 particular time.

- 1 Q. In what way would the -- what was happening outside
- affect what was happening in the home?
- 3 A. Well, I think, to be honest, if I can think back, all of
- 4 the children -- yes, I'd say nearly all of them in my
- 5 group had somebody -- father, brother, uncle -- somebody
- 6 inside Long Kesh, Magilligan.
- 7 Q. Can I then move on to a different topic, SR6? As part
- 8 of your training did that make you aware of the issue of
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- if I can describe it. That was part of your training
- 12 back in the early '70s?
- 13 A. 1971 I did my training in that.
- 14 Q. As a result of that then when you came to Termonbacca,
- 15 you would have known what to look out for --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- in terms of peer sexual abuse? I will come back to
- specific instances shortly, but just how were you
- trained to deal with those sort of problems?
- 20 A. Well, I think mostly would be to observe the child that
- 21 had tendencies towards that and try to keep them away
- from little ones really. I didn't come across much of
- it in my life with the children, but I did come across
- 24 a little bit of it in Termonbacca.
- 25 Q. I will come back to that, SR6.

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. We will deal with that in due course. Again on
- 3 a separate topic -- and again I am just dealing with
- 4 some general matters at this stage -- can you assist the
- Inquiry as to what records were kept on each child?
- 6 A. Certainly the records that would come in with the social
- 7 workers giving you a bit of a history on the background
- 8 of the children and why they were -- you know, why they
- were coming in, and then in our own way we kept a little
- 10 file as well regarding their day-to-day running, you
- know, the day-to-day getting on of the child, how they
- were progressing maybe in school and things like that.
- 13 Q. Yes. We will look again at the document we were looking
- 14 at earlier, but certainly the evidence has been from
- some of the people who have spoken to us that there was
- a little exercise book kept with a daily record of the
- child, and if there was a major event in the child's
- life, such as a birthday, that would have been recorded,
- 19 and there were some photographs placed in some of these
- 20 exercise books. Did you ever do that?
- 21 A. I don't think -- I can't recall myself keeping
- an exercise book, but I can recall myself keeping files,
- 23 A4 papers.
- 24 O. An A4 sheet?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. This was -- this was what was described as an internal
- 2 case report?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. It was a typewritten template on which you would have
- answered the typewritten questions. Is that correct?
- 6 A. Quite possible, yes.
- 7 Q. We will look at one shortly.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. We can talk about it in relation to that, but did you
- 10 keep -- apart from those internal case reports did you
- 11 yourself keep any other kind of record that you remember
- 12 --
- 13 A. I don't --
- 14 Q. -- on an A4 sheet you were saying?
- 15 A. I think most of the reports were kept in a file, in
- a locked file. Everything that you had corresponding to
- each child that you had would go into a bigger file and
- go into a locked ...
- 19 Q. Locked filing cabinet?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Where was that kept?
- 22 A. Probably in my office and quite likely might have moved
- over to the social worker's office when I remember now.
- 24 O. You think it might have moved to SND332's office?
- 25 A. Yes, but I did have my own little filing cabinet in the

- office.
- $^2$  Q. Well, during your time in Termonbacca did the children
- 3 ever complain to you about their care or about any
- 4 aspect of their care at all?
- 5 A. No, no.
- 6 Q. If they had done, what might you have done?
- 7 A. Well, I'd probably have to discuss it with SND332.
- 8 Q. Was there a formal system in relation to complaints and
- 9 how children could complain or were they -- was there
- 10 anything like that?
- 11 A. Well, SND332's door was always open and he was there for
- their convenience just as much as anything really and
- they thought nothing of popping over to his office.
- 14 Q. So you think that the children were aware that --
- 15 A. Well, I think they should be. Oh, the children knew
- they could go to SND332 at any time.
- 17 Q. Well, I am going to move on, SR6, to deal with a number
- of general complaints that the Inquiry has heard and ask
- 19 for your comments. Some of these you have dealt with in
- your statement.
- One of the things there has been a complaint about
- is the lack of supervision that there was in
- 23 Termonbacca. Now the Order has certainly accepted that
- up until 1972 that was the position. How do you feel
- 25 the staff ratios were in your time from '78 to '81?

- 1 A. I think they were adequate for the age group of the
- 2 children that I had.
- 3 Q. Can I just confirm what age group that was?
- 4 A. Well, about 3 to about 15.
- 5 Q. Another complaint that there has been is that there was
- 6 physical abuse on younger children by older children, by
- older boys in particular. Can you -- were you aware of
- 8 that, first of all?
- 9 A. No, I wouldn't --
- 10 Q. I don't mean were you aware of the complaint. Were you
- aware of that happening at all during your time?
- 12 A. I don't think that would have happened.
- 13 Q. Did you ever see anything like that happening?
- 14 A. No. If I saw older ones maybe being a bit rough with
- 15 the little ones, they got a telling off.
- 16 Q. And sexual abuse by older boys is another issue that has
- been brought to the attention of the Inquiry. Were you
- 18 aware of it as an issue?
- 19 A. I could nearly say 100% there was no sexual incidents
- during my time in my group in Termonbacca.
- 21 Q. Another matter, one of the things that people have
- 22 complained about is the clothing that was provided for
- them. We were talking earlier about what your
- 24 recollections are of how children were clothed and
- perhaps you'd like to explain what you remember.

- 1 A. I don't think there was any problem regarding the
- clothing of children. I read in the report that they
- were all supposed to be dressed alike. Well, if they
- 4 claim that school uniform is part of that, yes,
- otherwise the Social Services gave a fee, a certain
- amount of money for every child that came into care in
- 7 order to rig them out, because they came in with what
- they stood up in, and my recollection is of not sending
- 9 the children to school for a couple of days and then we
- would take them down the town and they were rigged out,
- and I'm nearly certain that I went anyway to Marks &
- 12 Spencers and there was -- because you got a variety of
- shirts and trousers and things like that.
- 14 Q. You recollect taking children down to get them clothing?
- 15 A. I did like taking them to Marks & Spencers because you
- got nice, modern, posh stuff.
- 17 Q. One of the other things that we have heard complaints
- about is the food that was provided for the children.
- 19 A. Was?
- 20 O. The food.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Can you hear me okay?
- 23 A. Yes, I can.
- 24 Q. I am maybe not speaking into the microphone.
- 25 The food that was provided, and you have said that

- 1 -- both boys and SND21, who we will come back to talk
- 2 about again --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- and you have seen her statement -- said that the nuns
- 5 did not eat with the boys.
- 6 A. No, we didn't.
- 7 Q. They felt there was different food provided.
- 8 A. No. That's definitely not true.
- 9 Q. Can I just ask the fact that the nuns didn't eat with
- 10 the children, might that have led to the perception that
- 11 you were getting something better than them?
- 12 A. That's quite possible.
- 13 Q. Another issue that we have heard complaints about is the
- 14 fact that denigrating remarks about children or about
- their families were made. Did, first of all, you ever
- make any such remark or see any other sister do so?
- 17 A. Definitely not.
- 18 Q. You are aware that and I will come back to again what
- 19 SND21 does say --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- that nuns commonly said things like, "I am in charge
- of you because you have no-one else".
- 23 A. No, no.
- Q. You don't remember ever saying anything like that to
- 25 a child?

- 1 A. I don't remember, nor don't remember ever hearing
- a Sister in any house I was ever in degrading them or
- 3 humiliating them with those words.
- 4 Q. In paragraph 9 of your statement you deal with the issue
- 5 about chores the children had to do. Some people have
- 6 complained that they were asked to do excessive chores,
- but you say -- this is in response to a statement that
- 8 was provided to you by one of the people who have
- 9 complained -- you say:
- "The allegation that children had to clean the
- floors, brush them and scrub them before they got ready
- 12 for school is untrue."
- 13 A. Yes.
- ${\tt Q.}$  "All the floors were carpeted except the bathrooms and
- staff would have hoovered the whole unit after children
- had gone to school. There would not have been even time
- for the children to do this after they got up and were
- ready for school. This allegation is completely
- 19 untrue."
- 20 You go on to say that:
- "No child was obliged to go to mass every day."
- 22 A. Yes, that's true.
- 23 Q. So essentially you are saying that, while children were
- 24 made to do things, they weren't excessive chores. Is
- 25 that right?

- 1 A. Children didn't have time to do any chores whatsoever
- before school. There wasn't any big deal about it. You
- 3 ran a hoover around the carpet tiles and one of the
- staff did that, and if they had dropped a bit of
- 5 porridge or a bit of -- cornflakes or something, you
- just -- you just swept it up with a hand broom and that.
- 7 Q. What about weekends when the children weren't at school
- 8 or on holidays?
- 9 A. Weekends were very lax actually. We got up late. They
- got up late. No.
- 11 Q. Were the children ever asked to do anything by way of
- 12 chores?
- 13 A. Yes, as -- not as chores, but my punishment was if they
- did something wrong, they had to go upstairs and tidy
- 15 their wardrobes and tidy their dressing tables.
- 16 Q. But they didn't --
- 17 A. There wasn't really -- there wasn't really much chores
- 18 to do. It was quite a new building. There was -- you
- 19 know, it was ...
- 20 Q. What about doing dishes or anything like that? Were
- they asked to do that?
- 22 A. I think they might have come into the kitchenette and
- 23 helped the staff to wipe up the dishes and stuff like
- 24 that and they might be asked to set the tables for the
- 25 next meal.

- 1 Q. A further complaint that we have had is the use of Jeyes
- Fluid. Do you have anything to say about that?
- 3 A. Certainly Jeyes Fluid was never used in my time and
- 4 I hate the smell of it.
- 5 Q. Generally there are some other issues which I will
- 6 explore with some of the more specific allegations that
- 7 have been made, but if I can just ask generally about
- 8 striking children. It has been said certainly by SR2
- 9 that while no canes or sticks or belts or anything like
- that would have been used to strike a child, and she
- doesn't have any particular recollection of striking
- a child herself, she accepts she might have slapped one
- on the hand or the bottom. First of all, have you any
- such memory of striking a child yourself?
- 15 A. No, and I certainly can't see SR2 even smacking them on
- the hand.
- 17 Q. And you --
- 18 A. There was one occasion -- there was one occasion where
- 19 I~smacked --
- 20 Q. Yes. In paragraph 11 of your statement there you do
- recall one occasion where you did strike a child.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You say that you found a boy interfering with another
- younger boy in the toilets.
- "I smacked his hand with a wooden spoon" --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- "but that's the only time I ever reacted in that
- $3 \quad \text{way}$ ,
- and you go on to say that the allegation that you
- 5 would have hit a child because they wet themselves is
- 6 untrue.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I mean, I will come back to the specific allegations
- 9 that are made about you there, but certainly that's the
- only time that you ever recall striking a child?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If I can just pause there, and again I am going to ask
- 13 you for the names, because I think it is important that
- 14 we understand what this incident was about. The two
- boys that you are talking about, who were they? Again
- the names aren't to be used outside the chamber, SR6,
- 17 but ...
- 18 A. One was SND33, about 10 or 11. One was HIA69, and
- I might be wrong with his age, but I thought he was
- 20 about 12 or 13.
- 21 Q. And what did you do when you came across the two boys?
- 22 A. The first -- the first instance I saw of it was I think
- it was SND33 and HIA69, and I thought it was SND33 that
- 24 was instigating it, and that was the time that I smacked
- 25 him on the hands and I said to HIA69, "Get outside and

- go out and play. Out", and the second time that
- I caught HIA69 doing it, I just shouted at him to get
- out.
- 4 Q. Can I just explore that briefly with you? You say that
- 5 there were two incidents then that you -- I mean, you
- 6 talk about this in your statement also and we will come
- 7 back to it, but as you raised it, we will deal with it
- 8 now. You say that there were two incidents, both of
- 9 which involved HIA69.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. One involved SND33, and if I am clear on what you have
- just said, you told HIA69 to get out and go outside --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- and you smacked SND33.
- 15 A. I did, because I thought it was SND33 that was enticing
- 16 it.
- 17 Q. Did you report those observations to anyone?
- 18 A. I have no knowledge of it, but I probably would have
- 19 been upset and I probably would have said it to SR2,
- 20 probably to SND332. I probably would have.
- 21 Q. But you don't actually remember doing so?
- 22 A. I don't. No, I don't.
- 23 Q. What about either of the two boys' social workers? Do
- you ever remember telling them?
- 25 A. No, I don't think I mentioned anything to them.

- 1 Q. Did -- you did say earlier that you do remember telling
- 2 your staff --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. -- that --
- 5 A. Yes, I did tell the staff, yes, to keep an eye on them,
- 6 because it was serious enough and I didn't want little
- 7 ones interfered with.
- 8 Q. So you did tell your staff to keep an eye out for these
- 9 boys, as it were?
- 10 A. Yes, and they were not to be left on their own with the
- little ones at any time.
- 12 Q. Other allegations, and again we will deal with these in
- respect of the specific allegations, but what about
- bathing in the home? How were the children bathed?
- 15 A. Little ones were bathed by a member of staff or myself.
- The big ones showered themselves and that.
- 17 Q. And I take it there was no supervision of the showers or
- was there?
- 19 A. Oh, there would be, yes, the shower room anyway. The
- showers were quite near to the sitting room and also to
- the wash place and to where I had my little office.
- 22 Yes, there would have been, and the normal procedure
- would be in the evening you put your head round and
- said, "Come on, . Go and get a shower" or,
- y , go and get a shower", whoever it was. There was

- l always somebody --
- Q. In the vicinity.
- 3 A. -- either in the wash place, or in the office, or in the
- 4 sitting room.
- 5 Q. So they might not have been actually been in where the
- 6 shower was taking place?
- 7 A. No, they wouldn't be in the actual shower room itself,
- 8 because, well, you are talking about boys of 11, 12.
- $^{9}$  Q. So they would have been left to shower themselves --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- but there would have been somebody nearby if they
- needed help with anything?
- 13 A. Yes, and only one ever went into a shower together, you
- 14 know. It wasn't a regime of four or five into the
- showers or something like that. There was only two
- shower heads anyway. So they just used to go in one at
- 17 a time.
- 18 Q. Just about bedwetting, SR6, for example, some of the
- 19 boys have complained that they were humiliated when they
- wet the bed, that they were shouted at and that they had
- to do, as one boy described it, the walk of shame with
- 22 his sheets. What do you want to say to the Inquiry
- 23 about that?
- 24 A. Of course I never heard of the walk of shame until
- I read it in the documents that I got over. Certainly

- that would never ever have happened. Bedwetting was not
- a big thing. You expected it.
- 3 Q. Some further general allegations. Some children have
- 4 alleged they were treated differently, for example, if
- 5 they were illegitimate or where their parents were
- 6 showing an interest in them. During your time, first of
- 7 all, was that the case?
- 8 A. Definitely not, no.
- 9 Q. It has been said that, you know, they were not given
- 10 proper medical attention for injuries or illness.
- 11 A. No, that wouldn't be -- that's not true.
- 12 Q. Can I just ask you after you left Termonbacca and even
- 13 after you left the Order did some of the children keep
- in touch with you that you remember?
- 15 A. Yes, not on a regular basis, but there -- one of two of
- them came to see me in Oxford.
- 17 Q. I think you remember SND76 was one of those --
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. -- and I think SND65. Is that correct?
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. Can I -- another complaint that has been made and
- 22 certainly you have seen SND21's statement where she says
- that the nuns were more interested in appearances than
- in looking after the children.
- 25 A. No, definitely not. That wouldn't be the case. No way.

- 1 Q. And what about the allegation that the nuns had
- favourites?
- 3 A. No. That's wrong. That's not true.
- 4 Q. Were any of the children treated differently from other
- 5 children in any way?
- 6 A. The only time that you might see favouritism was if
- 7 a child, a little one was very sick or something. You
- 8 would spend more time comforting them and looking after
- them, but other than that the normal routine of the
- group was every child was loved just as much as each
- other.
- 12 Q. Coming back to the issue of SND332 and the ex-residents,
- and we know from SND332 he tried to help them in the
- 14 community by finding housing --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- and so forth for them, at paragraph 20 of your
- 17 statement, if we could just scroll down to that, you
- talk about the -- again this is in response to
- 19 a statement that has been made -- you say that:
- 20 "No ex-residents would have been in charge of the
- 21 groups."
- I mean, one of the allegations that has been made is
- 23 that the ex-residents were sometimes left to supervise
- while the nuns were at office or they would have looked
- 25 after the younger boys. You say that it was only paid

- members of staff who would look -- looked after the
- 2 children --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- when you were there.
- 5 A. Definitely.
- 6 Q. You do recall at paragraph 27 that they did -- the
- 7 ex-residents did visit the home.
- 8 A. Yes. The ex-residents visited mostly on a Sunday
- g afternoon, and when I say ex-residents, you are not
- 10 talking about seven and eight of them together. You
- might be talking about two or three at the most. There
- might be one or two over in SR2's group, one or two over
- -- one over in my group and vice versa, and they'd have
- dinner there with the kids.
- 15 Q. You say they would have all gone by the time the older
- 16 children in the group would have gone to bed, which
- would have been about 9 or 10 o'clock.
- 18 A. Yes, definitely.
- 19 Q. You say the oldest boys in your group was 15.
- 20 A. About -- about that anyway.
- 21 Q. Paragraphs 29 and 30, if we just scroll down to that,
- this is where you say that you are aware of
- an allegation, a specific allegation that's made in
- relation to sexual abuse occurring when residents have
- 25 baths or showers, and you say:

- "That couldn't be true, because the ex-residents
  would never have been near the showers if children were
  showering. The showers were located on the ground room
  beside the sitting room, opposite the ironing room and
  laundry room where a member of staff or myself would be
  ironing, washing or getting clothes ready for school.

  No ex-boys would have been allowed to shower or indeed
  be in the home after", you say, "about 8.30 or 9.00."
- You say the ex-residents did not oversee any of the dorms, and this is in relation to the allegation about this particular boy's bedwetting.

## 12 A. Yes.

- Q. You continue with that in that paragraph, but -- so
  essentially what you are saying is while the
  ex-residents did come back to visit in the evenings and
  at the weekends and they might have come and had a meal
  with yourselves --
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. -- or with the children, they would not have been allowed into the shower area?
- 21 A. They would never be allowed upstairs and they certainly
  22 would never be allowed near the showers when some of
  23 them was showering. Definitely not.
- Q. If I can now move on, SR6, to some of the specific allegations that have been made about you and you

- address a number of these in your own statement. What
- I am proposing to do is summarise the allegations --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- summarise your response and allow you to add anything
- further that you want to say to the Inquiry about them.
- We will just take them one by one.
- 7 So the first person -- and this is in no particular
- 8 order -- but the first person who makes allegations
- 9 about you is HIA126 or HIA126, as she was as a child.
- 10 She is "HIA126". Her statement can be found at SND-2403
- through to SND-2408. Your response can be found in
- paragraphs 7 to 14 of your statement.
- If I can summarise her allegations, she alleges that
- 14 you and SND57, who worked with you, force fed her. At
- paragraph 7 of your statement, if we just go back to
- 16 that, you say that you deny ever force feeding or
- 17 keeping food to be eaten later. You said -- I think it
- must be in paragraph 8, the next statement really:
- "In relation to paragraph 4 of the statement I can
- say that porridge was part of what was served at
- 21 breakfast. I would never have forced a child to eat
- 22 anything. The allegation that I would have forced
- 23 a child to eat something that would have made them sick
- is untrue. I also would never have kept the food until
- 25 the child came home from school and made them eat the

- food at that time. No child would have been deprived of
- food for not eating food until the next day."
- 3 You were explaining to me how the food was served at
- 4 breakfast time. If you would maybe like to explain that
- 5 to the Inquiry.
- 6 A. During those years we were trying to make the group
- 7 homes as much of a home as possible, and for porridge it
- 8 would be served in a larger dish, say with three or four
- 9 children around the table, and it was put in the middle
- of the table, or the Cornflakes, the box of Cornflakes
- or Rice Krispies. If it was a fried breakfast, we'd
- share it out and again the bacon and sausage and eggs
- would be put on a plate where the child could take, just
- getting them used to serving, you know, themselves.
- 15 Q. And would this variety of food, as you describe, would
- that have been available every breakfast time or ...?
- 17 A. The fried breakfast mightn't be available certain
- mornings of the week, but it certainly would be
- 19 available four to five mornings a week along with
- 20 porridge or cereal.
- 21 Q. And a child could have helped him or herself to
- whichever ...?
- 23 A. There would be no reason why they would have to be force
- fed in anything. There was a variety there for a child
- 25 to choose from.

- 1 Q. One of the allegations -- the further allegation she
- makes was about scrubbing and polishing the floors or
- brushing and scrubbing floors before school. Again we
- looked at paragraph 9 of your statement where you say
- 5 that that was impossible.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I should tell you that in oral evidence, which can be
- 8 found at SND-18189 -- we don't need to call it up -- she
- 9 said she had to scrub the stains off the carpet.
- 10 A. No, definitely not.
- 11 Q. She also -- sorry.
- 12 A. I can also think she would have been no more than about
- 13 5 or 6, maybe 7. No.
- 14 Q. She also alleged that you picked favourites to take to
- school in the minibus and that those were people that
- 16 could you manipulate and that didn't include her.
- 17 You respond at paragraph 10 in your statement to
- that. You say that you couldn't drive until just before
- 19 you left Termonbacca. You say that you, in fact, failed
- 20 your driving test I think it was three times. Is that
- 21 right, SR6?
- 22 A. Yes. I tried my utmost when that question -- when that
- came up in the papers that I received in Nottingham and
- I phoned the DVLA in Derry to see if they could give me
- 25 the correct date of when I passed my test, because my

- only recollection of driving a minibus -- excuse me --
- was when I was in Oxford.
- 3 Q. There should be water there in front of you if you need
- 4 some, SR6.
- 5 A. Thank you -- was when I went to Oxford in 1983 or
- something, '84, and I have no recollection of ever
- driving a minibus in Termonbacca, St. Joseph's.
- 8 Q. We certainly know that you couldn't drive before 12th
- February 1979, as the Inquiry has received a diary that
- was compiled by SND76, and in that on that date he
- 11 records you failed your driving test on
- 12 12th February 1979. So whenever you passed, it must
- have been some date after that.
- 14 A. After that, yes.
- 15 Q. HIA126 also alleges that you hit her on the head with
- 16 knuckles and you would crack her head with your stump.
- 17 You beat her and her sisters for playing at --
- pretending to perform mass in the chapel. She said you
- 19 hit children with the curtain rod, and when she gave
- 20 evidence to the Inquiry, she described it as a thin
- 21 plastic length. She said that she was hit on the arms,
- legs or back, wherever you could reach. You said things
- to her such as, "You are getting fed. You are getting
- 24 a roof over your head. Your mother and father didn't
- 25 want you. You would have been God knows where out in

- the streets", and you respond to these allegations in
- $^2$  paragraphs 11 to 13, where you deny that you ever hit
- 3 children except for the one occasion which you related
- 4 and you wouldn't have said such things.
- 5 A. Definitely not.
- 6 Q. I know there was something else you wanted to say and
- 7 you have said this again in paragraph 11:
- 8 "The allegation about hitting children with the
- 9 stump of my finger and part of my hand is untrue."
- 10 A. Yes. That came up a few times in the papers that I had
- in Nottingham, and although I had a stump at the
- beginning of my religious life when I lost a finger,
- that was removed in 1966 in Scotland. So from 1966
- onwards I never had a stump. That is -- that is -- that
- 15 **is what --**
- 16 Q. The stump would have been between your finger and --
- 17 A. It would have come to about there from this finger
- missing.
- 19 Q. It was your forefinger that was the stump effectively.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. But that was in removed in 1966?
- 22 A. In 1966, definitely. So I had never a stump.
- 23 Q. Can I just ask -- you say that you wouldn't have said
- 24 the kind of things either that she reports you as having
- said.

- 1 A. I definitely wouldn't, no.
- $^2$  Q. You have also I know seen the police statement that she
- gave to police last year. She described you as sneaky
- 4 and said that you hit when other people weren't looking.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is there anything you want to say about that?
- 7 A. No. I read that and I -- I disagree with that.
- 8 Q. If I can move on, that's -- those are HIA126's
- 9 allegations. If you are happy for me to -- I have
- 10 covered what you want to say about those. If there's
- anything else you want to say ...?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Then we'll move on to HIA40. He is "HIA40". His
- statement can be found at SND-4570 through to SND-4579.
- 15 He says that he was beaten for wetting the bed by you or
- 16 SR59, and when he was questioned about this at the --
- when he was giving his oral evidence, he described you
- as having a missing finger. It is quite clear from his
- 19 evidence that he was confusing his time in Bishop Street
- and his time in Termonbacca, but you certainly have no
- recollection of him at all. Isn't that right?
- 22 A. I can't remember that young lad. No, I can't.
- 23 Q. And you were never in Bishop Street?
- 24 A. No, I was never stationed in Bishop Street.
- 25 Q. And again talking about driving the minibus, you don't

- remember doing that. I mean, he talks about an incident
- involving himself where the minibus crashed.
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. Do you have any memory?
- 5 A. No memory of that whatsoever. I did ask the police in
- 6 Westbridge for it. If I crashed the minibus, there must
- 7 be -- the police would have come surely and there must
- 8 have been some ...
- 9 Q. Certainly he does say that the police came.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. He had actually had an accident. He'd had an injury and
- the police thought this was as a result of the minibus
- crash, but you have no recollection of that? He got
- hurt in Donegal and this was on the way back.
- 15 A. None whatsoever.
- 16 Q. If I can move on then to HIA92, who is "HIA92", and his
- evidence can be found at SND-14923 through to SND-14934.
- 18 He alleges that you tried to force feed him, that he was
- 19 hit a crack on the back or side of the head with a
- 20 closed fist every morning for a week or over a period of
- 21 days. He said you hit him with the brush shaft, the
- 22 dust pan brush. He recalls in particular what he
- describes as two main whippings for bed wetting. He
- said he was whipped -- you whipped him with the top of
- 25 the fishing rod in Donegal when you were on holiday

- there. You beat him and his brother HIA 86 with a branch
- $^2$  that they had broken off a tree. You called him a brat
- and you battered him for using a bad language. When he
- gave evidence, he gave evidence to that effect also.
- 5 Your response at paragraphs 16 to 17 is that you
- 6 have read his statement. You do not remember him being
- 7 in care in Termonbacca at all. You deny all of the
- 8 allegations.
- 9 "He talks about being in another, SR59's, group and
- that would mean he was not in my group and wouldn't have
- been in my group for breakfast. SR59", you say, "would
- not have tolerated any child being treated in the way he
- describes."
- 14 A. Definitely not.
- 15 Q. You also say it would have been extraordinary if she --
- there is no way she couldn't have known about it if this
- 17 treatment was being meted out. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now I am conscious of the time. I am going to move on
- to HIA69, and that might take a little time, Chairman.
- 21 So I am happy to go on, but I am just conscious that it
- is lunch time.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: I see there are four more individuals.
- 24 MS SMITH: There are actually more than that, Chairman.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: We will rise now then and sit again at 2 o'clock.

```
1
     (1.00 pm)
                            (Lunch break)
3
    (2.00 pm)
                Good afternoon, SR6.
    MS SMITH:
                                      We were talking this
5
        morning about various people who had made specific
        allegations about you, and were just going on to speak
        about one boy called HIA69, who is "HIA69". Again
        I just reiterate for anybody who was not here this
        morning that the names are not to be used outside this
        chamber. His statement can be found at SND-2188 to
10
11
        SND-2197.
                  In summary what he alleges is that he was
12
        beaten by you. He claimed that the level of cruelty he
13
        experienced at your hands hit the ceiling when he
                       You said you hated him.
14
                                                He was
15
        an abomination to you and everyone around him.
16
        him with your fists in Donegal when two girls called at
        the house where you were all staying. He claimed SND21
17
18
        had to pull you off him. He also said he threw stones
19
        at you when you wouldn't let him go to the beach and he
20
        had to be restrained by other ex-residents who were
21
        there and thrown into a stream. He said that you beat
22
        him for wetting the bed. You used your fists and the
23
        flex of a kettle to beat him, although he gave some more
24
        details about those beatings.
25
             If we can pull up, please, SND-18171, this is what
```

- he said when he came to speak to the Inquiry, SR6. If
- I can just pause there, we will come back to that in
- a moment. Another allegation he makes, and we need to
- deal with that in some detail, is you grabbed him around
- 5 the throat. He ran away and SR2 agreed to have him
- 6 transferred to her group.
- 7 Now if I can just ask you -- you deal with his
- 8 allegations in your own statement at paragraphs 18 to
- 9 33. So if we could go back to your own statement, which
- is SND-18140 -- SND-18142. Sorry. SND-18142. You say
- 11 there at paragraph 18:
- "I have read the statement of HIA69. I remember
- HIA69 was about 13 to 14 years old when I took over at
- 14 housemother at Termonbacca. I remember he had two
- sisters and I think he had a little brother."
- 16 You name the sisters in that paragraph. Now can I
- just pause there, SR6, because you say he was about 13
- or 14 in 1978. He has talked about
- which would have made him younger than that, and I think
- this morning you had a recollection of him being about
- 21 12. So --
- 22 A. I am not 100% sure how old he really was, but he
- 23 probably looked to me to be about maybe 12 or 13 when
- 24 I~went there.
- 25 Q. Well, certainly the details of his date of birth would

- tally with what you are saying in your statement, that
- $^2$  he would have been about 13 or 14 in 1978. He would
- 3 have been 13 in 1978 --
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. -- and might have been 12 in the earlier part of that
- 6 year, because I can't actually remember the month of his
- 7 birth from the papers, but that can be checked from his
- 8 statement.
- 9 You say:
- 10 "There would have been staff in the morning, during
- the day and evening and there would always have been
- children that needed looked after who were not of school
- 13 age."
- 14 That would have been the younger ones.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Can I just clarify with you also we heard that there
- were two groups and there was a nursery.
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. We have heard there was another nun in charge of the
- 20 nursery.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Do you remember who that was in your time?
- 23 A. SR 18 .
- 24 O. So she would have looked after --
- 25 A. She would have looked after from 0 to maybe 2 years.

1	Q.	You also said that no ex-residents would have been in
2		charge of the groups, and you go on you deal
3		specifically with allegations that he makes in his
4		statement. If we could scroll on down, please, at
5		paragraph 23 you say that:
6		"I would never have discouraged a child from doing
7		well at school and I totally deny that I said anything
8		or did anything to him in the way he alleges. It is not
9		clear from the statement when he was under my care. He
10		refers to the fact that his treatment from me got worse
11		after he He refers to allegations
12		of cruelty against me both before and after he
13		
14		
15		I was not in Termonbacca until",
16		you say there 1979 but it was 1978, but in any
17		event he would have before you came in
18		'78 if those figures dates are right.
19		"I am unsure if he was ever in my group. My
20		recollection is that I met him when I met him, he was
21		already
22		which again would tie in with him
23		
24		"I totally deny the allegation that I beat HIA69 as
25		he makes out or at any other time. The allegation is

- just untrue, and I refer to the paragraph of SND21 which
- $^2$  refers to HIA69 and where she denies pulling me off
- 3 him",
- 4 and it is true to say that SND21 does not have any
- 5 recollection of the incident that he describes happening
- 6 in Donegal happening at all.
- 7 Then you go on to talk about SND21, but I will leave
- 8 that for the moment, because you are aware, and we have
- 9 looked at documents this morning, and I am going to pull
- some of these up. The first document that I am going to
- 11 pull up, SR6, is SND-223 -- no -- SND-2223, SND-2223.
- 12 This is described as an internal case review. We were
- talking this morning about record-keeping and, first of
- 14 all, can I -- you have looked at this and you confirm
- that that is, in fact, your handwriting. The
- handwritten sections are yours. Isn't that right?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. We will see it is dated April 1978, which would have
- 19 been about two months after you came to Termonbacca.
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. It's -- this is the template that I was talking about
- with the typewritten questions.
- "To be completed by a member of staff responsible
- 24 for the child.
- Name of the child,

Page 103 1 and HIA69's name is there, his date of birth, his date of admission, which was 1973. 3 "The responsible local authority", where you have recorded: 5 "Western Health Board (Derry). Referred by Welfare. 6 Responsibility: SND 484 7 He was in St. Gerard's house or group. Now the two 8 groups had a name --9 Yes. Α. -- name after a saint and St. Gerard's was yours. 10 Is 11 that right? 12 Yes, yes. 13 And SR2's --Q. 14 Sacred Heart was it? 15 Q. -- was St. Joseph's? Was it St. Joseph's? 16 I thought it was Sacred Heart, but it may have been. 17 You are probably more right than I am on that one. St. 18 Joseph's, of course, was the whole house, Termonbacca. 19 A. Yes. 20 You talk about: Ο. 21 "The relationship with the child's own family." 22 You say: "He visits his mother but there are no visits from 23 24 her to the children." 25 You describe on:

Page 104 1 "With siblings or other relatives: Continual fights between him and his older sister." 3 Now he made the point to us that he has two younger sisters, but not an older sister. "With other outside contacts." He had no contact with outside. 7 "Emotional development and behaviour." 8 You record him as: "Appears to be a very disturbed lad. Loathes any form of correction." 10 Then if we can scroll on down: 11 12 "The relationship in the children's home with 13 residential staff: Cannot bear to be corrected. In conflict most of 15 the time in staff (residents). With other children not 16 liked very much. Very aggressive unless they fall in 17 with HIA69's wishes." 18 His health was good. No serious illness. His last 19 medical inspection was March '77. If we can just keep 20 on scrolling down through this, please. Education is 21 excellent. 22 "General comments and relationship with teachers: 23 Stares into space at times but on the whole 24 excellent relationship with teachers and pupils. 25 Religious development and education is excellent."

- 1 Reading and planting shrubs are his hobbies.
- 2 "Other remarks."
- 3 You put:
- 4 "At the moment HIA69 is causing great concern. He
- is most insulting to members of staff when corrected,
- quite nasty with younger members of the groups unless
- 7 they do as he wants them. Appears to be only happy if
- 8 allowed the attitude of doing as he pleases and coming
- 9 and going when he likes.
- 1. HIA69 returning to his mother."
- This is your recommendations.
- 12 "2. Suitable couple found who would take him for
- weekends and short breaks.
- 3. Foster home within an area where he could still
- visit his mother."
- Now can I just pause there and ask you have you --
- this is the kind of document you were talking about
- filling in for the children. That is the file you would
- 19 have kept on them?
- 20 A. Yes, something similar to that, yes, yes.
- 21 Q. So this document then formed part of their overall
- 22 Social Services file and would have been handed
- I presume to the social worker when she came to visit.
- 24 A. Yes. If she had any questions to ask about a child and
- I had any comments to make, she would read those in the

- 1 files.
- $^2$  Q. So the file -- she would look at the file before she
- maybe chatted to you about any of the difficulties?
- 4 A. Well, if she came particularly over a problem, if she
- 5 came particularly over a problem, she would just discuss
- 6 that child with me and then look at the reports.
- 7 Q. So we know this was April -- do you remember writing
- 8 this about HIA69 at all?
- 9 A. I don't. I'll be honest. I don't, but I know it's my
- writing.
- 11 Q. Well, if we can look at other documents that I showed to
- 12 you this morning, which is SND-2231, and we looked at
- this. You will see it is "Western Health & Social
- 14 Services Board, Londonderry, Limavady and Strabane
- District, case report" on HIA69's entire family, and
- just scrolling down there to the entry on 15th
- March 1978, you will see:
- "SND332 contacted."
- 19 He was contacted -- you will see -- just if you look
- on the right-hand side of the page, you will see  $^{\text{SND 484}}$
- 21 SND 484 social worker" has recorded all of these
- 22 entries on this record and she has confirmed she did do
- that.
- "SND332 contacted re HIA69 running away last
- 25 Friday."

- First of all, Sister, have you a recollection of
- 2 HIA69 ever running away from the home?
- 3 A. I haven't, no.
- 4 Q. He gave evidence that he ran away on at least three
- occasions. You don't remember that?
- 6 A. I don't, no.
- 7 Q. "Called at Mother's. HIA69 there but very unhappy at
- 8 idea of going back to Termonbacca. Has been getting on
- badly with SR6, culminating in an incident where he
- alleges she caught him by the throat. However,
- eventually agreed to go accompanied by his mother. We
- talked to SR6, who said that she understood that HIA69
- was unhappy, but that she felt he was quite insolent to
- 14 her and that she had lost her temper with him."
- 15 Then:
- 16 "Mother agreed they would try to find a placement
- for him in the family."
- If we may scroll down then, when we get to April, on
- 19 3rd April, which is around the same time as you were
- filling in the last document or certainly the same
- 21 month:
- 22 "Talked to SR6 about HIA69. Feels she still cannot
- 23 make any kind of relationship with him and that he is
- still defying her authority, although not overtly."
- 25 If we can then scroll down to the next page, on 24th

- 1 April, if you could just stop there, please -- first of
- 2 all, 21st April:
- 3 "Talked to HIA69 and SND332. HIA69 says he
- definitely wants to leave Termonbacca."
- 5 That was then about one of his siblings. Then
- 6 24th April:
- 7 "SR2", who is SR2, "phoned. Says she has talked to
- 8 HIA69 and has agreed to have him transferred to her
- group."
- 10 So those are records that were being made by the
- 11 Social Services in and around March/April 1978 about
- an incident involving HIA69 where he alleged you had
- taken him by the throat and as a result he ran away, and
- that was certainly the evidence he gave to the Inquiry.
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. Now do you remember that at all?
- 17 A. I don't remember -- I remember HIA69, but I don't
- 18 remember him running away.
- 19 Q. Did you ever grab him by the throat, sister?
- 20 A. No, definitely not.
- 21 Q. Would you accept that the entry of 15th March, if I can
- go back to that just on the previous page -- what it
- says is you were spoken to by SND332 and SND484:
- 24 "We talked to SR6, who said she understood that
- 25 HIA69 was unhappy but that she felt he was quite

- insolent to her and that she had lost her temper with
- 2 him."
- 3 Do you remember that conversation at all?
- 4 A. I don't, no.
- 5 Q. When SND484 gave evidence to the Inquiry, she was asked
- 6 were you accepting that you had done what was alleged
- 7 effectively and she said, "I mean, yes", that you were.
- I don't want to mislead you. So if we could look at
- page SND-18226 -- I think it is -- I think it is
- actually a later point. SND-18228, if we can just go to
- that page, please. This is where -- if we can just
- scroll on down, this is where this paper I have just
- read from to you is being read out to SND484. Now if we
- can scroll on down to where Mr Aiken was putting to her:
- "Now this record appears to suggest that SR6 was
- accepting that she had, in fact, done what HIA69 claimed
- she had done?
- 18 Answer: Uh-huh.
- 19 Question: Do you remember this now when you read
- this document?
- 21 Answer: Yes, I do. Uh-huh. I do.
- Question: And was that what she was doing? She
- was, in fact, accepting that she had grabbed him by the
- 24 throat?
- 25 Answer: I mean, yes. She did agree that she had

- done wrong, if you like, and, you know, from my record
- $^2$  it would seem that we did spend time trying to see what
- was the best thing to do in the situation, because HIA69
- 4 was in care under a court order and he -- he couldn't
- just go back home just like that, you know."
- 6 So what SND484 is saying is that you were actually
- 7 accepting the allegation. She remembered when she
- 8 looked at this document that -- she remembered the
- 9 incident and remembered speaking to you and speaking to
- 10 you about HIA69, but she is also saying you were
- accepting you had grabbed him by the throat at that
- conversation with her and SND332.
- 13 A. Well, I have no recollection of the incident at all
- 14 actually. I don't even recollect having any meeting
- with SND484, but what can I say?
- 16 Q. You do certainly -- even if you don't remember that --
- and, you know, this is what SND484 said in her evidence
- to the Inquiry, but she also talks about you admitting
- losing your temper. Did you lose your temper with the
- 20 children?
- 21 A. Well, if shouting at them when they were fighting or
- doing anything wrong, if that -- if that is the same as
- losing my temper, but I never beat them because I lost
- 24 my temper. I never beat them with flexes of kettles or
- anything like that that has been said. Definitely not.

- I did shout at them.
- 2 Q. Just going back to your own statement at paragraph 25,
- which is on page 18143, you do actually in that
- 4 paragraph say you admit you would have shouted at the
- 5 children at times, as you imagine most people would
- 6 dealing with children from time to time.
- 7 "I was aware that most of these children were from
- 8 very unhappy homes and backgrounds and there is no way
- 9 I would have bullied them or added to their
- 10 difficulties. The nuns or social workers would not have
- 11 tolerated such conduct. I doubt if any child could
- stand in a corner all day without collapsing."
- 13 This is in relation to something that SND21 speaks
- about later, and I will come back to that. You say:
- "As stated earlier, I really cared for the children
- and did my best to care for the children."
- 17 You have no recollection of the incident that we
- have described.
- 19 A. I have not.
- 20 Q. One of the things you do say in your statement at
- 21 paragraph 33, and we touched on this this morning, is
- 22 that you say that there were two incidents when you
- found HIA69 interfering with smaller children in the
- toilets. You related that one of those was SND33.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You say:
- 2 "He would always have been given a stern telling off
- 3 and was not allowed to be on his own with children less
- 4 than five years of age. My recollection is that he
- resented this and this resentment reflected on to me."
- It is fair to say, Sister, from the document you
- 7 completed about HIA69 that the relationship that you and
- 8 he had was far from amicable, if I can put it that way.
- 9 Would that be a fair comment?
- 10 A. Well, I wouldn't go out of my way to show any diffidence
- to HIA69, but he knew that I would not let him out of my
- sight because of the actions that I caught him doing.
- 13 He was -- he knew that. He knew that he couldn't go
- 14 anywhere with the little ones without supervision and he
- knew why he could not do it and I think he probably did
- 16 resent that.
- 17 Q. But certainly you describe him as insolent and not
- getting on with the other staff members, not getting on
- 19 with the other children. You describe him as aggressive
- 20 and that. I mean, is that your recollection of him as
- 21 a child?
- 22 A. Well, there must be incidents when I felt that about
- him, but my long-term memory of him isn't at all like
- 24 that. I thought -- apart from the problems I had
- 25 watching him with the little ones I thought he was quite

- a shy lad really and didn't really cause me much
- trouble, but ...
- 3 Q. Well, we have talked about SND33. One of the things
- 4 that SND33 has said -- sadly he is no longer with us,
- but he did speak to the police, and in his police
- 6 statement he claimed that he told both you and SR2 that
- 7 HIA69 was abusing him. We can find that at SND-18112.
- 8 Just if we can scroll down, I have -- you see there:
- 9 "Around this time I went to SR6 and SR2 and told
- them what was going on in the home."
- This was about an older boy in the home and what he
- can remember being done there.
- "The only thing that appeared to be done about it
- was that HIA69 was moved away from me and over to
- 15 St.~Gerard's part of the home. When I seen that nothing
- 16 more than that was being done about it, I started to
- abuse the younger children."
- Do you remember SND33 saying to you -- I mean,
- 19 I know you have described the incident where you
- 20 effectively caught HIA69 and SND33 together --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- but did SND 33 complain to you?
- 23 A. He didn't say to me when I slapped him on the hand with
- the wooden spoon, "It is not my fault. HIA69 is doing
- it to me". He didn't. As I say, I thought it was

- 1 SND 33 doing. That's why I shouted at HIA69 to get out.
- I didn't think HIA69 was doing it at this stage, but he
- 3 certainly never said to me.
- 4 Q. You do say in your statement -- you say there was
- 5 another incident involving HIA69. What can you tell us
- 6 about that?
- 7 A. That was the incident of HIA69 and I think it was the
- younger brother of the SND 197, HIA 126 HIA127. He was only
- 9 a little thing of about 3 I think and I certainly caught
- 10 him in the act with the little boy.
- 11 Q. And do -- where was this, Sister?
- 12 A. In the toilet downstairs and I just opened the door and
- I said, "Get out" and just picked up little HIA127.
- 14 I think it was HIA127.
- 15 Q. I am just going to ask you about another incident that
- the Inquiry has heard about and it doesn't -- well,
- 17 HIA69 was involved in the police investigation, but it
- 18 related to someone called SND23. There are certain
- 19 police documents that we have received in relation to
- that. That can be found at SND-18331. Now you see this
- is -- just this section of the material that I wanted to
- ask you about is, Sister, SND23 is being interviewed by
- police about allegations that had been made about him
- 24 and allegations that had been made that he was teaching
- children in Termonbacca -- now I should -- just for

- clarity's sake, the papers disclose at this stage this
- $^2$  was a man of 22 and he -- it is being alleged he was
- 3 teaching children about sexual activities in
- 4 Termonbacca. He is being asked about this and he is
- 5 being pressed about how he knew about the rumours. He
- 6 said:
- 7 "I was asked to leave Termonbacca. I will tell --
- if I tell you who told me about the rumours, that person
- 9 will be asked to leave."
- He does go on and he said that he was told by
- someone called SND14.
- "SND14 was told by SND57, who works in Termonbacca
- in the other children's group, and presumably she got it
- from SR6. How exactly SND57 found out I don't know."
- Really what I wanted to ask you about, Sister, is do
- 16 you remember -- I should say this is 1980; this document
- dates to 1980 -- do you remember any incident or any
- rumour in Termonbacca in 1980 about SND23, about him
- 19 being -- you know, teaching other children -- teaching
- 20 children to engage in sexual activity or anything like
- 21 that?
- 22 A. No, definitely not.
- 23 Q. You don't remember any --
- 24 A. I don't remember. No, I don't. I do remember SND23
- just vaguely actually, but I never once suspected SND23

- of doing anything immoral to the children.
- $^2$  Q. I should also explain that SR1, who had replaced SR2 --
- isn't that correct -- when SR2 moved on from
- 4 Termonbacca?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. She was in charge of the other group to yourself in
- 7 1980.
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. She actually brought this -- an incident involving SND23
- and a boy called SND35 -- do you remember SND35?
- 11 A. I think I remember SND35. I didn't have him in my
- group. I think he was in Sister's group actually.
- 13 Q. Certainly she thought --
- 14 A. I don't know what the incident was, but I do remember
- 15 that little lad.
- 16 Q. Do you remember any discussion in the home about
- 17 reporting an incident to the police --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- or anything like that?
- 20 A. No, definitely not.
- 21 Q. If I can just then move on from HIA69 to another person
- who makes complaints about you, sister, and that's
- HIA113, who was HIA113 to her own name.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. Her statement can be found at SND-22... -- SND-2334 to

- 1 SND-2340. She also gave a statement to police, which
- can be found at SND-15325. Again I am not going call up
- 3 the documents, but I will summarise what she was saying.
- 4 She is "HIA113".
- 5 She said that she was hit when her sister wet the
- 6 bed after the first night that they were there. She
- 7 said she was hit on the head with the knuckles. In her
- 8 evidence to the Inquiry at SND-18185 she thought that
- 9 she was the only one getting hit with knuckles and then
- she was told others were getting hit that way too. She
- said you took her to your room to hit her harder. In
- evidence she gave a description that your bedroom was
- across the hall from the bedroom where she slept with
- her sisters. That is correct, isn't it, SR6?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. She says she was beaten for playing mass and she was
- 17 beaten with a curtain rail. She said she had her mouth
- washed out with Fairy Liquid for using bad language. In
- 19 her evidence to us she says that was either the kitchen
- or the bathroom that this would have occurred. You said
- 21 that -- you accused her of putting on her stammer. Yet
- 22 despite that there was something about you that she
- liked. She said she was force fed lumpy polish --
- 24 porridge. Sorry. She vomited it and you made her eat
- 25 the rest of the porridge.

- Now in paragraphs 34 to 43 of your statement, which is SND-18145, if we could just go back to that, please, you respond to the allegations that HIA113 makes about you, and you deny beating or hitting children with or without any plastic curtain rail, and I should say she described that as a swish type rail. You say withholding pocket money or being disallowed TV was the actual punishments that you would have used against children who were misbehaving or not doing what they were supposed to.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 You say that the abuse that she alleged occurred in that 13 bedroom could not have happened -- you say it in 14 paragraph 38 -- because your room was opposite. You 15 were a very light sleeper. Your light was always on 16 during the night, and the boys would have slept at the 17 lower end with the door closing off the girls' 18 section from them, which they would have had to open and 19 pass beside your bedroom door, and you feel what she 20 alleged happened in that bedroom simply could not have 21 happened without your knowing of it.
- 22 A. That's the sexual allegation?
- 23 O. Yes.
- 24 A. No, definitely nothing like that could have happened.
- 25 Q. You say you never force fed any child and the idea that

- you would have cleaned up vomit from a child and then
- made her continue eating the same food is just untrue.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Can I just pause, SR6, to make it quite clear that the
- 5 Panel have your entire statement, and although I am only
- 6 referring to certain parts of it --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- they will have read it all and will have considered
- 9 it all.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. So I don't want you to think that I am glossing over
- 12 anything.
- 13 You said also that you don't remember any children
- 14 attending any schools except the Creggan, because one of
- the things that was said was that you drove your
- 16 favourites in the minibus to school, but did any -- the
- 17 children not attend Bishop Street School?
- 18 A. Not in my time, and the statement said four miles they
- 19 had to walk. Well, I can't think of any school where
- 20 they had to walk four mile, and to the best of my
- 21 knowledge my kids just went out from Termonbacca and
- 22 straight up the hill to the schools there in the
- 23 Creggan, which would be about a ten-minute walk at the
- 24 most, but I can't remember -- I am not saying they
- didn't, but I can't remember any of mine going to any

- other schools other than at the Creggan.
- 2 Q. Might it be about four miles approximately from
- 3 Termonbacca to Bishop Street?
- 4 A. Oh, no, not even that. I doubt it very much.
- 5 Q. But you -- in your time you don't remember any of your
- 6 children going --
- 7 A. None of mine -- none of ours -- at the time I was there
- 8 none of the two groups went to Bishop Street. That's
- 9 **definite.**
- 10 Q. Now another person who has spoken to you was HIA381. He
- is "HIA381". His statement can be found at SND-11496 to
- 12 SND-11501. He said that you beat him on the back of the
- head with the knuckle for moving a knife at a table and
- when your knuckle got sore, you then hit him with
- a knife handle. He said he was humiliated for bed
- 16 wetting. He was shouted at in front of the other
- 17 children. He said when he stayed for Christmas you said
- to him, "The only reason your mother is keeping you in
- here is so you can get your toys and clothes". He said
- you belittled him and made him feel like a beggar. In
- evidence he said he felt small, like dirt and wouldn't
- 22 take any of the Christmas presents or the stuff that was
- 23 brought for Christmas.
- 24 At paragraph 44 of your statement your response is
- 25 that you don't remember him.

- 1 A. I can't remember him. No. I'm sorry. I can't remember
- 2 him.
- 3 Q. You say you've read the allegation that you are supposed
- 4 to have hit him on the back of the head and you totally
- deny that and you wouldn't have done that to any
- 6 children --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. -- or children in your care. You don't -- I take it,
- 9 although you haven't addressed it, SR6, do you accept
- 10 you would have made any such comment to --
- 11 A. Oh, definitely not, no, and regarding toys and clothes
- 12 like that, there was no reason why we would keep stuff
- 13 like that from them. In actual fact they went home with
- bag loads of stuff. We had -- we were not short of
- 15 clothes, nor food, nor indeed toys.
- 16 Q. In fairness he is not complaining about the lack of
- toys. What he's saying is that he was being told --
- they were supposed to go home before Christmas and that
- 19 the only -- they weren't then able to go home because of
- some family difficulty. So they had to stay in
- 21 Termonbacca, and the comment was made to him that, "The
- 22 only reason your mother is keeping you in here is so you
- can get the toys we are going to give you" effectively.
- 24 A. No, that would never have been said to any child.
- 25 Q. Now those are the people who make complaints about you

- and who you have had the opportunity to address in your
  witness statement and respond to what they say.
- 3 I am going to move on to some other people who have
- 4 complained, and we covered this this morning when we met
- 5 earlier, and I believe -- I hope the papers have now
- been provided to your legal representatives, but
- 7 certainly they -- again I am going to go to do the same
- 8 thing and summarise what has been said.
- 9 HIA382, who was HIA381's brother -- I take it you
- don't remember the family at all?
- 11 A. I don't remember the boys at all, no.
- 12 O. He is HIA382 and his statement can be found at SND-5643
- through to SND-5648. He alleges that you beat him, that
- 14 you used your knuckle to hit him on the back of the
- head. He said you belittled him, made him feel
- worthless and said things like, "Your mother couldn't
- 17 cope and couldn't feed you and that is why you're in
- here".
- In evidence at SND-18225 he said:
- 20 "'Your mother doesn't want you. Your family doesn't
- 21 want you', and things of that nature."
- He said you took him into the launderette to hit him
- with your knuckle. I am just asking you and giving you
- the opportunity now, SR6, what you would like to say
- about those allegations?

- A. I don't remember the boys and certainly I would
- never abuse children like that.
- 3 Q. Another person who is a sister of the boy HIA69 we were
- 4 talking about, that's HIA352, and she is "HIA352", her
- 5 statement can be found at SND-2130 to SND-2136. She
- 6 said that you replaced SR14, which is correct. Isn't
- 7 that so? SR14 was in the home before you went there.
- 8 A. Yes, she was, yes.
- 9 Q. She said you hit her brother SND 309 with a stick for
- wetting the bed. She talks about the incident where
- 11 HIA69 ran away. She said she was told he had gone
- missing and that the incident was reported in the Derry
- Journal. She heard that you had tried to strangle HIA69
- and that he had bruises on his neck. She said you had
- called him "a queer boy". She said you would warn girls
- to be aware of the boys, that you hated men. That was
- her opinion. She said that in Shrove you called HIA69
- queer in front of a girl he liked. She recalls him
- throwing stones at ex-residents who came on the trip.
- In evidence to the Inquiry at SND-18220 she gave
- 21 more detail about SND 309 and the bed wetting and she said
- 22 she remembered SND21, who is now SND21, sitting on
- a piece of wood to stop you hitting SND 309. It is fair to
- say, and I will come to what SND21 says also, she
- doesn't have any recollection of any such incident.

- First of all, can I -- did ex-residents go on the
- 2 trip to Donegal?
- 3 A. Not to my knowledge. One came and he drove the minibus,
- 4 and he would stay and bring back the laundry to St.
- Joseph's every two or three days, but no number of
- 6 ex-residents. SND122 is the only one I can remember
- coming on holidays, not the whole of the holidays. He
- 8 would come down and he'd bring the laundry back and stay
- 9 up in Termonbacca or stay in his flat and then bring the
- 10 dry laundry down when it was done.
- 11 Q. And would he -- when he would come down, he would then
- have spent the days with the boys?
- 13 A. He would. He would, yes.
- 14 Q. Well, those are the allegations that SND352 makes
- against you, SR6. I just wonder what you want to say to
- the Inquiry about those allegations.
- 17 A. They're not true.
- 18 Q. Another person you may remember is HIA60 or he was known
- as HIA60 at the time.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. He is "HIA60" and his statement can be found at S... --
- 22 I think it is a transcript rather than a statement --
- 23 SND-110... -- sorry -- SND-10070 through to SND-11079.
- 24 At SND-10075 he says that you slapped him and threatened
- him. He said that he didn't go back to school after his

- 1 'O' levels, having done particularly badly in Latin and
- French. He moved to your group and he believed that he
- 3 was sent there as a punishment, as your group were less
- well-behaved than the group that he had been in. Can
- I ask you, first of all, do you remember him?
- 6 A. I do remember a boy HIA60. He was with SR2. He was
- never in my group and I think he went to the college.
- 8 Q. You don't remember him ever being moved into your group
- 9 even for a short period?
- 10 A. No, I don't, and I wouldn't have any reason to raise my
- 11 hand to him anyway. He wasn't -- as I say, he wasn't in
- my group, but --
- 13 Q. But would it be a fair comment that your group was less
- well behaved than SR2's group?
- 15 A. No, I would think my group was very well behaved.
- 16 O. Another person is HIA113 (sic). That's SND149, and his
- statement can be found at SND-14866. He was referring
- particularly to what HIA113 had said about how children
- were hit and he said he did get hit in the way described
- 20 by HIA113 by you, that is hit on the head with the
- 21 knuckles once for talking back to a nun in the kitchen,
- 22 and he says he saw you hit other children in the same
- way, that is on the head with the knuckles. Is there
- 24 anything you want to tell us about that or what do you
- want to say about it?

I don't think that's true and I would have to reach very 1 high to hit HIA 321. HIA 321 was a very, very tall boy 3 for his age. The Inquiry has also heard -- and we talked about this 5 this morning -- from HH 5 , who was a social worker working in Fort James and Harberton House. Obviously you don't know the man or anything about him, but what he told the Inquiry was that SND33 told him that a nun had taken a curtain or a shower rod -- he couldn't be sure -- beaten him with it, and when asked 10 11 if he had been given -- when HH 5 was giving 12 his evidence, he was asked if SND33 had given a name of 13 What said was that the name the nun. HH 5 that came to mind was SR6. He couldn't be 100% certain, 15 but that was the name that came to mind as he sat 16 reflecting on what SND33 had told him. 17 Obviously you can't comment on that, Sister, but in 18 fairness to you I am just making you aware that's 19 something else that the Inquiry has heard said about 20 SND33 is dead, but in his police statement at 21 SND-18111 he says that you physically assaulted him, and 22 it is correct that you were actually interviewed by 23 police in 1991. We can see this at SND-18114. 24 could put that up, please. SND33 had been making 25 allegations about a number of things that he said had

```
1
        happened to him in Termonbacca involving other boys, and
        the police came to you and interviewed you, and you will
3
        see -- he had also alleged that you had physically
        abused him and that's why you were being interviewed by
        police about that. You will see just about ten
        lines down or so it says:
7
             "On Wednesday, 17th July 1991 ... I saw the
        accused", that was you, "SR6. We introduced ourselves
8
        to her and explain the nature of our inquiries, ie
        sexual abuse against SND33, which occurred at
10
11
        Termonbacca home, Londonderry between 1970 and 1980."
12
            You were then cautioned and you replied, "I'll tell
13
        you all that I can remember". You were asked if you
14
        remembered SND33. You did. You were asked when you
15
        were there. Again you thought it was from '79 until
16
        '82.
17
             "Question: Was SND33 in your group?
18
            Answer:
                     He was."
19
            Then the allegations were read out to you and you
20
        were asked a series of questions. You were asked if you
21
        ever assaulted SND 33 in the way he described.
22
             "Answer: No, never. The children were in our
23
        charge, but there was a social worker also in charge."
24
             I take it you mean SND332 by that?
25
    Α.
        Yes.
```

- 1 Q. "They had meetings with their social worker frequently.
- I would also say that the Department of Health & Social
- 3 Services paid for their stay with us. So in that sense
- 4 I could not see that anything of a sexual nature could
- 5 have occurred.
- 6 Question: He also alleged that you physically beat
- 7 him whilst in the home.
- 8 Answer: I can say that nothing like that occurred.
- 9 SR2, who was the senior nun, would not have allowed it.
- 10 She was like a mother to the ..."
- If we could keep scrolling down, please, to the next
- 12 page:
- "... to the children.
- 14 Question: He further alleges that you stripped him
- and beat him with a curtain rail.
- 16 Answer: I can honestly say that didn't happen.
- I wouldn't treat a dog like that."
- Then you were asked:
- "Sister, whilst you were there did you see anything
- of a sexual nature occur between the children?"
- Your reply is:
- "I can say that they were always supervised very
- closely and nothing of a sexual nature occurred in the
- home.
- Question: Would you have heard or noticed anything

- of an indecent nature taking place in the home?
- 2 Answer: I would have. I was always very quick and
- 3 would have known if there was anything of an indecency
- 4 nature taking place in the home."
- 5 You are then asked why SND33 might make the
- 6 allegations and this -- you go on to explain that
- because he had been caught doing something untoward, he
- 8 was blaming other people and naming other people and you
- general felt that that was why he was saying these things, but,
- 10 Sister, can I ask you -- and we talked about this this
- morning also -- it's clear from that that you told the
- police in 1980 that you had never seen anything of
- a sexual nature happening in Termonbacca during your
- 14 time there --
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. -- and that is at odds with what you are telling the
- 17 Inquiry today and what you have said in your statement
- about what you witnessed involving SND33 and HIA69 and
- 19 HIA69 and a boy you think may have been HIA127.
- 20 A. All I can think of there was my impression was that when
- they said about sexual nature, that would be
- 22 ex-residents and the children. That's all I can fathom
- why I made that. Now the allegations of SND33 and the
- little -- and HIA69 and the little lad, I knew it wasn't
- going to happen again and I didn't put a great deal of

- 1 heed on it.
- $^2$  Q. So is that why you feel you didn't say to the police?
- 3 A. That's the reason I think that -- I knew it wouldn't
- 4 happen again with HIA69, SND33 and any of the children
- in my group, and the incident, I didn't think that it
- 6 was strong enough to report or anything like that.
- 7 I thought it was going to be a one-off incident and
- I just didn't make a big thing of it. I did make a big
- 9 thing of it to HIA69 and to SND33 as well, but I can't
- think of any other reason.
- 11 Q. Sister, I am going to go on to SND21's statement now,
- which can found at SND-17859 through to SND-17865.
- Sorry. If you can just bear with me, I am just trying
- to find ... You will be aware that SND21 worked with
- 15 you. Isn't that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. She was one of the people working in your group. She
- made a number of comments in her statement that I am
- 19 going to address with you, if I can just find where
- I put it. If we could call it up on the screen in any
- event. It is SND-17859, please.
- She confirms she was a houseparent for about a year
- and a half between '77 and '79. So she would have been
- there when you were there. She said she had done
- voluntary work in the home before that. The voluntary

- work involved making tea, washing clothes, etc. She
- said during the time she was working in Termonbacca she
- 3 attended Rupert Stanley College and completed
- 4 a certificate in residential care.
- 5 Was that the same certificate that you got in
- 6 Aberdeen?
- 7 A. Quite possibly.
- 8 Q. Then she said she was houseparent in St. Gerard's group.
- 9 You replaced SR14. SND57 she said worked as a cleaner
- in the group and SR2 was in charge of the other group.
- 11 She thinks there were approximately 17 children in the
- group. She said, just going down to the bottom of that
- paragraph 4:
- 14 "My course in residential child care introduced me
- to child care theory. When I tried to implement some of
- the theories, SR6 said to me, 'You are not coming here
- to introduce any theories to us'. When I tried to apply
- my training in any way, the nuns did not like it and
- 19 resisted it."
- 20 A. That wouldn't have happened, and may I just add that
- I think it was myself encouraged SND21 to go on and do
- 22 training.
- 23 Q. She talks about an incident involving another of the
- children who took a biscuit from the kitchen.
- You caught him. He was about 5 or 6 years old, and as

- punishment you made him stand in the corner of the corridor all day.
- 3 "I stood up for him and told Sister not to punish
- him in that way. I told her I would not take the other children to the civic parade that day without him. She
- 6 then conceded and allowed him to go."
- 7 She says she started to stand up for herself and to 8 challenge certain practices in the home.
- Now you deal with what SND21 says in your statement,
  and I will come back to that. She also said that you
  appeared -- when you first arrived, you appeared to be
  a get up and go nun. This is at paragraph 7. You were
  full of fun, always smiling, but very controlling and
  everything had to be spick and span.
- "She was cross with children and often threatened,

  'I will give you a kick up the ass'. I did see her kick

  children on the bum with her boot but this was more to

  move them along rather than to hurt them."
- 19 Can I just pause there and ask: did you ever do 20 that, Sister?
- 21 A. I'd never use that language in the first place and I'd 22 never raise my feet to a child.
- Q. She said that you shouted at children, but she never saw you hit a child with your hand or with anything else, although she said she sensed from the children that they

- were uneasy in your company. She said when you were at
- prayer, which usually lasted a few hours, she was in
- 3 charge and let them watch TV. She goes on to say that
- 4 -- at paragraph 8 she said that:
- 5 "SR2 was in charge of the other group. I did not
- think she was very nice. On one occasion I saw her and
- 7 SR6 talking about people who donated money and were
- 8 visiting the home saying, 'I wish they would go now'."
- 9 Do you ever remember any incident where SR2 said
- something like that to you?
- 11 A. No, definitely not.
- 12 Q. She said it was a very regimented life in Termonbacca.
- Uniforms had to be neat and shoes had to be polished.
- 14 She said:
- 15 "The nuns were very concerned about portraying a
- good image to the outside world."
- 17 Is that a fair comment?
- 18 A. No, definitely not.
- 19 Q. She talks about the older boys coming back. She also
- 20 talked about in paragraph -- sorry. She said -- she
- talks about SND33 I should say in paragraph 10, but one
- thing she said about you in relation to SND33 was that
- 23 SND33 told her that you didn't like him and that you
- shouted at him and roared at him when SND21 wasn't
- about.

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. She says:
- 3 "There wasn't enough staff for the ratio of children
- 4 in Termonbacca."
- 5 She said:
- 6 "If the nuns had had their way, I would have been on
- duty every night, but I had other commitments."
- 8 A. That's not true.
- 9 Q. She talks about on some occasions taking the children
- 10 home to her own family. She said that she recalls that:
- "HIA126 felt that SR6 wasn't nice to her at times
- and I think SND197", who is HIA126's sister, "was
- a favourite of SR6."
- 14 A. I didn't have favourites amongst the children.
- 15 Q. She also describes that there was an SR64 in charge of
- the kitchen. Is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- 18 Q. She describes her as bad tempered and the children had
- said that SR64 would have hit them.
- 20 A. No, definitely not. She wouldn't have any -- there
- 21 wouldn't be any reason for her to hit them. She was in
- 22 a separate building, a separate unit altogether down in
- 23 the kitchen. The children didn't go near the kitchen
- 24 nor did Sister actually come over to the groups really.
- 25 So that's not a fair statement to say there about her.

- Q. She said there were a few children in her group -- this is at paragraph 15 -- that wet the bed.
- 3 "When this happened, SR6 would have told the child
- off and make them take the sheets down and put them in
- the washing machine. I told SR6 that bed wetting was
- the result of feelings of separation and loss, which
- 7 I had learned from my course. She just looked at me and
- 8 wasn't interested in what I had to say."
- 9 She said on occasions she washed the children's
- sheets, showed them how to do it so they wouldn't get
- 11 told off again. She said when you were on holiday, she
- made the children's beds and helped them as much as she
- could. She is saying you shouted at the children for
- wetting the bed.
- 15 A. No, definitely not. The children didn't have to wash
- their sheets either. Staff did that.
- 17 Q. At paragraph 16 she said -- I raised this with you this
- morning:
- "The nuns commonly said to children, 'I am in charge
- of you because you have no-one else'. I thought this
- was a cruel thing to say."
- 22 A. No, I deny that.
- 23 Q. She says the children were made to do chores in the
- home, including washing dishes, cleaning the kitchen,
- 25 hoovering, making beds and cleaning floors, and that

- they had to do those chores from a young age.
- 2 A. No, definitely not.
- 3 O. Her view is that --
- 4 A. They didn't have to do them. None of those things that
- she mentioned, they wouldn't have to do them, but they
- 6 were encouraged to go and dry the dishes with the staff
- and that, but they wouldn't have to do them.
- 8 Q. So there wasn't like a rota, "It is your turn to do the
- 9 dishes today" or anything like that?
- 10 A. No, no, no.
- 11 Q. She does say at paragraph 18:
- "I do not think poverty was the reason why the nuns
- ran Termonbacca in the way they did. I was of the view
- that the nuns were money led rather than needs led. One
- time I was in the convent and the nuns used the best
- 16 china and had excellent furniture. They didn't eat with
- the children. They ate in their own dining room and
- I believe they ate better food than the children."
- 19 A. That is wrong. That is not true.
- 20 O. She talks then about SND332 and she does mention
- 21 an incident involving -- a different incident to what
- you have related. At paragraph 20 she said:
- "I had a room in the home when I was staying
- overnight. One day while I was off duty in my room
- 25 I~heard a child crying at the end of the corridor where

- the toilets were. I went to see what was going on.
- Outside the toilets I found SND197 with her pants down
- 3 at her feet. She was about 5 or 6 years old at the
- time. HIA69 came out of the toilets and scarpered.
- 5 HIA69 was about 9 years old at the time. I lifted
- 6 SND197 into my arms and comforted her. She said that
- 7 HIA69 was touching her. I reported this to SR6."
- 8 Is that possibly the same incident you are talking
- 9 about, Sister, relating to HIA127?
- 10 A. HIA127 is definitely -- was one of them. I don't
- remember this incident definitely with SND197.
- 12 O. You don't remember being told about that at all?
- 13 A. No, no, no.
- 14 Q. Her understanding is you then told SND332 about what she
- told you --
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. -- and she thought HIA69 was removed because of the
- incident in 1980.
- 19 A. No, no.
- 20 Q. She also said at paragraph 22 that:
- "I believe that SR6 had to leave Termonbacca and go
- 22 to England but I do not know why. I believe complaints
- 23 may have been made to the Mother General about her.
- I think she left child care."
- Were you -- were there ever any complaints made

- 1 about you?
- 2 A. That I don't know. That I don't know. I think I was
- just automatically changed to Swansea. Yes, I was
- a driver and they were short of a driver in Swansea, but
- 5 I don't know whether that's -- whether my Mother General
- 6 had other reasons or not. She certainly didn't tell me.
- 7 Q. Well, certainly she talks about the allegations that
- 8 were made by HIA352 at paragraph 23. She says:
- 9 "I do not recall the incident she described. SR6
- may have had a stick and she could have threatened
- 11 children with it."
- 12 Did you ever do that, Sister?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. "However, I never witnessed SR6 hit a child whilst I was
- in Termonbacca."
- 16 HIA69 she talks -- I. mean, the incident he talks
- about pulling you off -- him off you or you off him:
- "I recall HIA69 in Termonbacca and being at Shrove
- during the holidays. I was only there once. However,
- 20 I~do recall the incident" -- sorry -- "I do not recall
- the incident which HIA69 describes. I would have
- remembered if I had pulled SR6 off him."
- She goes on to say that she got increasingly
- frustrated with the system in Termonbacca. She was
- young. She had learned new theories and she left. She

- felt the nuns weren't interested in her views or

  implementing her ideas despite her best efforts. She

  said the nuns did not seem to want change.
- She then said that in Termonbacca, at paragraph 26, the nuns were very into praying and following their own rules.

"I used to go home and vent my frustration to my

mother. I told her, 'The nuns are supposed to be with

God and I had not seen any proof of that in

Termonbacca'. The gentleness, kindness and compassion

which the children needed wasn't provided by the nuns.

I learned a lot from my time in Termonbacca. I learned

how to be a better social worker."

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now you talk at paragraphs 24 and 25 of your own statement -- you address SND21's statement and that's at page SND-18143. You say in relation -- 24 and 25. In relation to -- I think we have probably read both of these out before. That's the allegation about HIA69 -- beating HIA69 and denying that, and you say that SND21's statement refers and she denies pulling him off and then you refer to her statement. Admit shouting at the children.

"I imagine most people would have done that."

You say at the bottom you really cared for the children and did your best to care for the children.

Page 140

Now in fairness to you, Sister, the Inquiry has received statements very recently. They are unsigned, but no doubt that can be rectified. They are from SR2, they are from SND76 and from SND194. They have also -- the unsigned versions have been put into the bundle. Each of them speaks about you.

The first is SND194 and it is at SND-18321. She talks about going to Greencastle for a month's holiday with your group. She does actually say that SR2's group was called St. Joseph's group. So I might have got that actually right. She said:

"Both groups were together in the Old Fort Hotel.

I~worked in close proximity with SR6 and SR2 and I think there were three other staff members. During this time SR6 gave of her best to ensure the children had a good time. She played the accordion. We would often have sing-songs in the evening. I got to know her very well. She was always smiling and was a kind and understanding person."

She also said when SR2 had to leave, you were there to comfort the children who were upset at her leaving.

During her time she didn't hear any complaints about you from children or staff.

SND76 talks about you in his statement. Again he describes you in terms of being pleasant and considerate

and understanding. He describes you as a no nonsense sort of person. You tended to be more down to earth than some of the older sisters and you tended to keep very much religious belief as a personal matter and was more focused on interacting with people. He thought people found your approach refreshing. He does talk about remaining in contact with you long after you had left Termonbacca. He said he had never heard of any complaints about you either.

Then, finally, SR2 found you to be a kind, considerate sister who contributed a lot to the children. You were very cheerful and sisterly and would always do your best by the children, and talked about the holiday being a great success.

"We all had a great time together."

She said she could not fault you during the time in Donegal and never heard of any child complain of any of the problems with you.

"I am aware that when I left Termonbacca, SR6 did a great deal to comfort the children and I am very grateful for this, as the children were very dear to me."

So essentially they are giving a different picture of you than has been presented by the specific allegations that have been made, Sister.

- Now you moved from Termonbacca to Swansea and we
- 2 think that was in 1981. Termonbacca we know closed in
- 3 1982. Did you know at the time when you were leaving
- 4 that it was closing?
- 5 A. No, I didn't, no. When I heard it was closing down,
- I wasn't surprised, because the numbers of sisters
- 7 were -- was decreasing, you know, and we didn't have
- 8 a big number of sisters, and I think -- I thought that
- that was the reason. I certainly didn't know that when
- I was leaving, because I thought, "Well, they'll replace
- me with another Sister", and if that was on their mind
- 12 at that particular time, it seemed a bit foolish to send
- on another sister to close it down, you know. I don't
- 14 know. I really don't know the reasons.
- 0. You then went from there to Swansea.
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. Isn't that correct? In Swansea you were involved in
- 18 collecting as a collecting sister.
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 O. Then after that am I correct -- and correct me if I have
- 21 got this wrong -- you then moved to caring for the
- 22 elderly?
- 23 A. I did.
- 24 O. You, in fact, left the Order after an incident in 1995
- where one of the Sisters of Nazareth care homes for the

- elderly closed. Is that right?
- A. Yes, the one in Oxford.
- 3 Q. The one in Oxford. Then for three years you worked
- 4 in -- with elderly residents until you were eventually
- 5 given dispensation from your vows.
- 6 A. I went home on holidays and then I made my mind up, and
- 7 I didn't think I could go through the same procedure of
- 8 closing down another home of the elderly, and I made my
- 9 views known, and I came back to Oxford that I had known
- 10 for a long time, for fourteen years, and I went to work
- in the Alzheimer's home I had watched being built for
- four and a half to five years. During that time then
- 13 I got my dispensation.
- 14 O. That was in 1998?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- 16 Q. You talk about that in paragraph 45 of your own
- 17 statement. You, in fact, had to close the home for the
- 18 elderly yourself.
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 Q. You were Superior at that time and responsible for that
- 21 closure -- not responsible but responsible for the
- 22 overseeing of it.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. That's what caused you the distress that you felt you
- could not do that another time.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Sister, those are the matters that I want to cover with
- you. Now we have covered a lot of ground, and is there
- 4 anything that you feel that we haven't covered that you
- want to say to the Inquiry at this stage or any points
- 6 you want to make about anything that we have covered?
- 7 Now is your opportunity to do so.
- 8 A. No, I think -- yes, I think there's no more I can say
- 9 other than I gave my -- the best part of my life to
- 10 caring for the kids in Nazareth House and I loved
- every minute of it and I loved them and I can't --
- I can't undo what people have said about me just.
- 13 Q. Well, thank you very much, SR6. I think I might have
- called you "Sister" there, but that's old habits dying
- 15 hard. The Panel Members may have some questions that
- they want to ask you. If you would just stay there for
- 17 a minute.
- 18 Questions from THE PANEL
- 19 MR LANE: When you did your training, did you go on
- 20 placements to see other children's homes and so on?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. What sort of places did you go to?
- 23 A. I went -- my first placement was to Dunfermline and that
- was a local authority small group home. My second
- 25 placement was to a hostel for girls from 13 to 16 run by

- the Plymouth Brethren in Aberdeen. My third placement
- was -- was up in Bowie I think in the north of Scotland.
- That was a children's home and that was from 3 I think
- 4 to about 16 years of age.
- 5 Q. Were you staying at the Aberdeen house at the time?
- 6 A. I was.
- 7 Q. How did the sort of work that you saw in those
- 8 placements compare with the sort of work that you saw
- 9 within the Sisters of Nazareth?
- 10 A. Well, I didn't -- the only difference I saw was that
- their numbers were smaller, you know. Their numbers
- were smaller and then, of course, when I finished, we
- started using the small numbers as well.
- 14 Q. Was the Aberdeen house using smaller numbers or was that
- still large at that time?
- 16 A. Aberdeen house was a very, very big house, but they
- 17 were -- I think there was four sisters in different
- sections with four groups.
- 19 Q. Were there any ideas you picked up on the training
- 20 course that made your practice change when you went back
- to working with the children for the Sisters?
- 22 A. Well, one of the practices was that I began to put the
- 23 food in dishes on the tables and let the families sit
- 24 together around the tables and help themselves, you
- know, small little things like that I had seen done

```
in the local authority home that I had been in in
        Dunfermline.
3
        Was that with a view to making it more homely?
        Yes, it was.
5
        Okay.
              Thank you very much.
6
               Well, thank you very much, SR6. That's all we
    CHAIRMAN:
7
        have to ask you. Thank you for coming to tell us about
8
        your experiences today. Thank you.
                          (Witness withdrew)
               Chairman, there is a further witness who is
10
    MS SMITH:
11
        speaking on behalf of the congregation, Sister Brenda.
12
        I haven't had the opportunity to speak to her yet today.
13
        I would be grateful if we could maybe start at, say,
14
        3.30.
15
    CHAIRMAN:
               Yes.
                     Very well.
16
    (3.10 pm)
17
                            (Short break)
    (3.30 pm)
18
19
                    SISTER BRENDA MCCALL (called)
20
                Good afternoon, Chairman, Panel Members.
    MS SMITH:
21
        next witness is Sister Brenda McCall.
                                                 She knows she
        will have to take the oath, Chairman.
22
23
                     SISTER BRENDA MCCALL (sworn)
24
                Thank you very much. Please sit down.
    CHAIRMAN:
25
```

- 1 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- $^2$  MS SMITH: Now, Sister, I know that, having spoken to you,
- 3 that you are extremely nervous, but if you need a break,
- just let us know. There should be some water there in
- front of you.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 Q. You have provided on behalf of the congregation of the
- 8 Sisters of Nazareth a number of statements. I am just
- going to refer to them and say where they are in the
- evidence bundle and the dates that there are on them.
- The first is a statement dated 31st October 2013,
- which can found at SND-408 through to SND-4112 -- sorry
- 13 -- SND-412. There's another of the same date dated --
- 14 and it is at SND-437 through to SND-443. On
- 15 22nd December of last year of 2013 we received
- a statement which is at SND-13939 to SND-13944. We then
- 17 received an unsigned and undated statement at SND-18148
- to SND-18152, and that appears to have been dated both
- on 15th May and I think 28th May. That can't be right
- 20 -- we haven't got there yet -- of this year. It
- certainly was unsigned and undated, but it seemed to
- 22 have been prepared on 15th May. The -- an undated
- statement at SND-13945. We then have a dated statement,
- which is effectively a duplicate of that undated one,
- but it is dated 9th May 2014. When I say it is

I	effectively a duplicate, you added in some things to the
2	statement that you then signed. That's at SND-18044
3	through to SND-18049. There was a statement which is
4	dated 28th February 2014, and I think that's probably
5	the statement that was dated 15th ought to originally
6	have been dated 20th February '14. It is at SND-15845
7	through to SND-158 sorry SND-15845 to SND-15840
8	(sic). Again on 9th May you updated that statement and
9	that's at SND-18040 to SND-18043. We also have two
10	further statements dated 28th February 2014. They are
11	at SND-15848 and SND-15839m and that we also received
12	an unsigned statement from someone who was dealing with
13	the Inquiry at the outset, which is Sister Cataldus.
14	She appendice (sic) there were a number of appendices
15	attached to her statement, which is dated 17th May 2013,
16	and it can be found at SND-077 to SND-161. It is
17	unsigned and it largely relates to the Belfast home. So
18	I will but just to be sure what we have received by
19	way of statements addressing issues from the
20	congregation. There was also a statement from Sister
21	Cora at SND-14219 where she sets out the difficulties
22	that the congregation had in finding documentation, and
23	she also refers to your appointment to act on behalf of
24	the Order in relation to the work of the Inquiry.
25	Now can I just pause there? I will be referring to

parts of these statements in due course, but can I -- in SND-15848 to SND-15853 you talk about the set-up of the congregation of the Sisters of Nazareth, and maybe if we could just call that document up, please. That is SND-15848. Yes. This is a much easier way of reading it than in the hard copy because the hard copy was minimised for some reason.

You say the statement is to give some general background assistance to the Inquiry. You talk about the foundation of the congregation there at paragraph 2. You go on to say about the first home being set up in Hammersmith and how the Order is governed. At paragraph 4 you say:

"The congregation of the Sisters of Nazareth is governed by a Superior General with the assistance of her council. The council itself is made up of four senior sisters who are appointed as councillors at the general chapter. The Superior General and her four councillors reside in the mother house in Hammersmith but conduct their responsibilities by visiting the various houses around the world.

The present Superior General is Sister Mary Anne
Monaghan, who was elected in 2006 and ultimately
re-elected in 2012 at the general chapters held in those
years. General chapters were held from the beginning of

- the congregation usually every six years with
- intermediate chapters being held between the general
- 3 chapters. In the 1950s only general chapters were held
- every six years with the exception of an extraordinary
- 5 chapter in 1968, which was convened in response to
- Watican 2.
- 7 From the beginning there were visitations to each
- 8 branch house made from the mother house in Hammersmith.
- 9 Between 1960 and 1970 seven regions were created to
- assist with the administration of the organisation.
- 11 There was an Australasian region, Southern African,
- 12 American, Irish, Northern UK, Eastern UK and Western
- 13 UK."
- So it is fair to say that the bulk of the work of
- the congregation was in Ireland -- in Great Britain and
- 16 Ireland?
- 17 A. Yes, yes.
- 18 Q. "Due to the shortage of Sisters and the closure of some
- 19 homes it was decided at the chapter in 2000 to have only
- 20 two regions instead of three in the UK and in 2009 it
- was further decided to have only one region in the UK.
- 22 Each region is governed by a Regional Superior aided by
- 23 her council, which amounted to two senior sisters within
- 24 a region, and a Regional Superior is appointed for
- a period of three years and has a duty to visit each of

- the houses within her region on a regular basis. She
- also has duties to visit the Sisters personally and in
- particular to visit the sick sisters to ensure that they
- 4 are aware of the ongoing consideration of care felt
- towards them by the congregation."
- 6 You say:
- 7 "The Regional Superior is responsible for keeping
- 8 the Superior General informed of all affairs ongoing
- 9 within her region."
- Now the Regional Superiors were created between '60
- and '70 according to this, sister. So can one accept
- 12 then -- you talk in the present tense, but can one
- accept that from the outset of the Regional Superiors'
- appointment, as it were, when the regions were created
- in the 1960s and 1970s, that she was responsible for
- 16 keeping the Superior General informed of all affairs
- ongoing within her region?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's not something new; that happened from the outset
- when the regions were set up?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You say:
- "The Regional Superior must also make one formal
- visitation to each house every three years."
- 25 Again, just to be clear, she would have had to do

- that from the 1960s on?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. "A general visitation from the mother house in
- 4 Hammersmith occurs once every three years as well as
- 5 a regional visitation also once every three years."
- 6 Would those two visitations be in the same year?
- 7 A. No, no, never. Different years.
- 8 Q. So -- and, I mean, how would they be worked out? Would
- 9 it just be if a home is visited by the mother house in
- 1960, then it might be 1961 that the Regional Superior
- 11 would visit?
- 12 A. Or '62, yes.
- 13 Q. Then --
- 14 A. They would work it out between them, the Superior
- General and the Regional Superior.
- 16 Q. And so it is, "Are you going this year or will I go?"
- 17 Is that how it happened?
- 18 A. Something like that.
- 19 Q. "So guidelines for the visitations are set out in the
- 20 constitutions and the visitator must move around all
- areas of the house, speak with residents, including",
- depending on what type of home it is obviously,
- 23 "elderly, children, staff and any visitors in the house
- 24 when they meet. The visitator will also check the
- documentation held by the house, such as registers and

- other documentation required and held to be relevant,
- including inspection reports, and if any recommendations
- or requirements are made at any inspection, the
- 4 visitator will check as to whether these requirements or
- 5 recommendations have been followed up, whether they are
- being implemented or, in fact, have been implemented.
- 7 If there are any areas of concern, the visitator will
- 8 carry out and give direction about further steps to be
- 9 taken."
- 10 Again, just to be clear, Sister, I know you are
- speaking in the present day, but in the period the
- 12 Inquiry is looking at would that have been the case?
- Would those have been the duties of the visitator?
- 14 A. Yes. According to canon law, they have to do that, yes.
- 15 Q. Now I am not going to go through the rest of it. I may
- 16 come back to certain parts of it. Essentially what I
- wanted to ask, you talk about the constitutions and
- canon law, and can I ask for those who maybe don't
- 19 understand what exactly was the ethos or is the ethos of
- 20 the Sisters of Nazareth?
- 21 A. Our ethos when we were founded was to care for the needy
- 22 and the poor of the area at the time and from the outset
- our work was with children and elderly.
- 24 O. You talk in some statements about the rule. For those
- who don't understand what you mean by that could you

- please explain that?
- 2 A. When a foundation is made, any new congregation, they
- all have a rule to live by, the rule of one of the great
- saints, St. Benedict, St. Augustine. We use St.
- 5 Augustine's rule and live by that rule.
- 6 Q. What in a nutshell, if I can ask you to -- what does
- 7 that rule involve?
- 8 A. It's very lengthy. It just outlines the spiritual life
- 9 of a Sister in a congregation.
- 10 Q. And can I ask how the obedience to the rule and the
- ethos of the Sisters of Nazareth would affect the
- 12 running of a home, in particular in the case of the
- 13 Inquiry a home for children?
- 14 A. Well, we sisters take three vows, poverty, chastity and
- obedience, when we are professed, and the love that we
- have for our vocation, the love we have for God is
- manifested or portrayed in the love that we put into our
- ministries. Whether it be care of the elderly, care of
- 19 the children, hospital visitation, whatever we do is
- an outpouring of that love we have for our vocation.
- 21 Q. Thank you, Sister. You heard today -- you were present
- when SR6 gave evidence this morning --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- and she talked about how part of her training as
- 25 a Sister of Nazareth was to treat the elderly -- if it

- was an elderly man or a man she was looking after or
- $^2$  a woman or a child effectively as Jesus, Mary or Joseph,
- one of the Holy Family, and that was how the people you
- were caring for were to be viewed. Is that your
- 5 recollection?
- 6 A. That's our emblem. That's what we live by, the Jesus,
- 7 Mary and Joseph, the home of Nazareth. That's why our
- 8 founders took in the children off the streets in London
- 9 and also the elderly destitute poor. That's where
- Nazareth House got its name, the house of Nazareth.
- 11 Q. In another statement, which is an undated one, and it is
- found at SND-18148, although it may since have been
- dated, but I will just go from the copy that I have in
- the bundle, you talk about your own role within the
- 15 congregation and the background and experience. You say
- 16 that:
- "For the avoidance of confusion", at paragraph 2
- there, "I should make it clear that I have no direct or
- 19 personal knowledge of any of the significant and serious
- 20 matters of complaint which lie at the heart of this
- 21 Inquiry.
- I have, however, had access to such records and
- documents that still exist relating to the houses which
- 24 are being looked into as well as other material which
- has been gathered in the course of this and related

- investigations and have tried to speak to as many
- sisters and others who might have information or even
- 3 relevant experience to help the Inquiry."
- 4 It is clear from that, Sister, that you have no
- 5 direct or personal knowledge of either Bishop Street --
- Nazareth House, Bishop Street or Termonbacca. Isn't
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. No. I have visited on several occasions, but I have
- 9 never lived there or worked there, no.
- 10 Q. When you say you visited, is that in your role as
- 11 a visitator?
- 12 A. Oh, no, no. As a sister.
- 13 Q. In paragraphs 17 and 19 of the statement you set out
- some of the difficulties that you have had in trying to
- provide information to the Inquiry. That's at
- 16 SND-18152. You say that you freely acknowledge that in
- many cases you cannot answer the searching questions
- 18 posed by the Inquiry:
- "... and I have found that there are neither
- witnesses left nor documentation available to help."
- You continue to review the archive material and to
- seek information from within the congregation to try to
- respond as best and as fully as you can.
- "Sisters who could have helped are deceased or so
- ill and infirm that they can no longer recall their

Page 157

lives at that time. Some records were not to be kept beyond statutory time limits because of the meagre resources of the congregation and a lack of storage space. Houses have closed and memories have faded.

Some documents have been moved and we continue to check records and files to locate material to assist the Inquiry.

Often I am obliged to rely on a collective memory or confused recollection or the general sense of how things must have been in the light of our guiding principles and the common practice of our houses in the age in which they operated.

As a member of the congregational leadership group

I have been asked to be the spokesperson for the

congregation and hope to fully -- to cooperate fully

with the Inquiry on behalf of the sisters."

You set out your assignment to the Inquiry and essentially the steps that you have taken, as you have outlined there, to provide information and assist. That -- essentially, if I have got what you are saying right, sister, is that the information that you have acquired that you feel may be of use to the Inquiry has come about by talking to those sisters who are still alive and who are in good enough health to be able to speak to you and also from what you can gather from the

```
documentary evidence. Is that right?
```

- 2 A. Yes, and working with archivists as well, yes.
- 3 Q. Now there is one matter that I want to raise with you,
- sister, and that's the issue of funding. You say in
- paragraph 9 of the statement, which is SND-18149 -- you
- 6 say that:
- 7 "The congregation has always been a small community
- 8 of limited funds and resources, heavily reliant on
- 9 charitable donations and alms collected by the Sisters,
- 10 guided by faith and spiritual commitment."
- In another statement you talk about under-staffing
- in terms of lack of resources and so forth, but I am
- going to ask you to look at a couple of documents which
- I am going to pull up on the screen now. Unfortunately
- they are in the bundle in reverse order, as it were. So
- if I can tell the Panel Members that these can be found
- 17 at SND-78110 going back to SND-7794.
- The first document that I am going to talk to you
- 19 about if I can find it -- yes. It is at SND -- if we
- can just go to SND-- sorry. I am not sure I have got
- them in the right order. SND-7796, first of all. You
- 22 will see here that this is -- there was a letter
- accompanying this, which can be found at SND-7794.
- Sorry. No. It is SND-9... -- there is a letter -- this
- is a questionnaire -- if I explain it better, sister,

1 there is a questionnaire which was sent out by the Child Welfare Council to all of the voluntary homes in 3 Northern Ireland. This was sent out in 1964. a number of questions, and we have both this document, and I know you have seen these and we have looked at them before. This is one relating to Nazareth House, 7 Bishop Street, and there is a further document relating 8 to Termonbacca, but if we just go through these quickly, the questionnaire asks for a brief description of the home and how it is governed. 10 11 "Give categories of membership of the governing 12 body." 13 It indicates there that they are: "Superior and sisters (teachers, cook, etc) all 14 15 members of the congregation of the Poor Sisters of 16 Nazareth. 17 What relation (if any) has your home with other 18 children's homes or child care organisations? 19 It has relationship with other homes under the same 20 congregation of sisters. 21 Do you receive children on transfer from other 22 homes? 23 Occasionally. No. 24 Link with any adoption society?" 25 It says:

Page 160 1 "We are an adoption society." If we can scroll on down, basically just through 3 this there is a series of questions, but if I continue to scroll down: 5 "Age limits for children in the home. 6 Provides from age 2 up to 18 for girls and 2 to 5 7 for boys." 8 This was again in 1964. 9 "If admission -- if the decision to admit is based on information given by the applicant only, what 10 11 additional information is gathered? 12 It is usually on a priest's recommendation or that 13 of welfare. That information is collected by the member 14 of staff." 15 If we can just scroll right down, please, to the end 16 of this document. If we can just go on over the next 17 page. Hopefully it will be in order. It talks about 18 children, and if we can go right down to "Contact", it 19 talks about contact with the Welfare Authority: 20 "Very few -- in many cases contact with parents have been lost. Very few have no real contact." 21 22 If we can scroll on down, it says: 23 "What is the total staff establishment?" 24 It says: 25 "24."

1 It asks for the categories of staff. It says there are 20 full-time and 4 part-time. Then it says there is 3 one nursery nurse who has a qualification and one person who has a catering qualification. If we can scroll on down, please, right the way through, it talks about schooling and chaplaincy and then asks about the interest that outside organisations 8 take in the children and about holidays: "What holidays away from the home do the children have in the year? 10 11 All the summer and it is arranged by local priests." 12 It goes on about the activities, but if we can just 13 keep on going, it says: 14 "There is an adequate library. 15 What opportunities for quiet reading?" 16 It is quite a detailed questionnaire. If we can 17 scroll right down, it talks about finding employment. 18 If we could just stop there at paragraph 17 or question 19 17, it says: 20 "Are your financial resources sufficient to meet 21 your ordinary day-to-day expenses and to enable you to 22 employ all the staff you think should be employed and to have premises adequate for modern standards of child 23 24 care?", 25 to which the answer is:

Page 162 1 "Yes. Are there any desirable developments which you are 3 unable to undertake for reasons of financial difficulty, for example: Improvement of premises and staffing. Employment of a fieldworker to investigate 7 applications for admission and aftercare work?" The answer to all of those is: 8 9 "No." At 19: 10 11 "If your financial resources are insufficient, it 12 would be of assistance to the council if you could give 13 information on the following: Annual running costs of the home. Capital funds." 15 16 It simply says: 17 "Our financial resources are sufficient." 18 So in 1964 it would appear that Nazareth House did 19 not feel that it was in any way short of money to meet 20 the needs of what it had to do both in terms of looking 21 after the elderly and in looking after the children. 22 If we can move on then to how the questionnaire was 23 filled out for Termonbacca, and that's SND-4803. No, it 24 It is SND-7803. SND-7803. Sorry. 25 I keep calling up the wrong number documents. This is

Page 163 1 again exactly the same questionnaire. It is filled in in relation to St. Joseph's Home, Termonbacca, 3 Londonderry. "This home is run by the Sisters of Nazareth, whose head house is in Hammersmith, London. Superior sisters and all members of the congregation of the Poor Sisters 7 of Nazareth are the governing body. It has 8 a relationship with other homes under the same congregation of sisters. Do you receive children on transfer from other 10 11 homes? 12 Occasionally. 13 Do you transfer children to other homes? No." 15 Again this is in 1964. 16 "The geographical area from which children are 17 admitted? Usually Ulster but from other parts if application 18 19 is made." 20 Is this the manuscript copy from which the typed CHAIRMAN: 21 copy was made? 22 MS SMITH: No, Chairman. 23 CHAIRMAN: It is not? 24 MS SMITH: This relates to Termonbacca. The typed copy related to Nazareth House. 25

- 1 CHAIRMAN: I do beg your pardon.
- $^2$  MS SMITH: It goes -- so the details are different. Again
- 3 the age limits for the children in Termonbacca were boys
- only at this stage in 1964 from 2 to 16.
- 5 "Exceptional cases are kept until 18 years.
- 6 Children must be mentally sound.
- 7 Decision to admit: usually on a priest's reference
- 8 or that of the Welfare Authority. Person in charge of
- 9 the home takes the decision to admit."
- 10 It goes on through these questions, and if I could
- 11 come down right to question 17 again, which relates to
- the financing and funding, page SND-7808. It is the
- next page, please. Well, it does say there -- I mean
- there is a difference there in regard to library
- facilities. Termonbacca says it has a library but it is
- 16 barely adequate whereas Nazareth House seemed to have
- a library they felt was adequate.
- It is asked about -- "We find" -- about employment
- 19 for children.
- 20 "We find employment suitable to their abilities and
- 21 tastes. In finding lodgings we have very capable
- 22 people. The services are provided by voluntary help
- only.
- 24 Are your financial resources sufficient to meet your
- ordinary day-to-day expenses and to enable you to employ

Page 165 1 all the staff you think should be employed and to have premises adequate for modern standards of child care?", 3 to which the answer different to Nazareth House. Clearly here: "No. Are there any desirable developments which you are 7 unable to undertake for reasons of financial difficulty, 8 for example, improvement of premises, staffing, employment of fieldworker? We badly need sleeping accommodation 10 11 organised, more play space, etc, at an estimate cost of 12 £50,000 to replace old corrugated iron structures." 13 Then in answer to: 14 "If your financial resources are insufficient, 15 please tell us the annual running costs of the home and 16 capital fund", 17 it says: "The annual running costs are 11,000 and subsistence 18 19 from farm. The capital fund is none save perhaps the 20 value of the farm itself." 21 If we could scroll to the next page: 22 "Last year's capital expenditure: £1687 spent on 23 roads. 24 Received in grants: £766. 25 Actual weekly cost of maintaining a child in the

```
Page 166
1
        home".
              and I think this is 3 pounds, 10 shillings in
3
        cash:
             "... but this, of course, is an absolute bare
        minimum, remembering that the services of the community
        are free and because of the considerable donations in
7
        kind outside voluntary services, etc.
8
            Government grants: Nil except farm roads grants as
        above.
            Local authorities: 13,062."
10
11
            Parental contribution seems to be 399.
12
             "Alms: 9,000 alms collection, concerts, donations,
13
        etc, etc.
            We consider our home and services absolutely
15
        necessary to child care."
16
             So there's clearly a distinction, sister, to be
17
        drawn between in 1964 in any event what Nazareth House
        was saying they needed in terms of help for funding and
18
19
        what Termonbacca were saying.
20
        I think Bishop Street probably had sisters out
21
        collecting. I think there was three sets of sisters out
22
        collecting in Bishop Street as opposed to Termonbacca.
23
        Maybe that's why they had got more money, because
24
        I believe they -- Bishop Street used to send £1,000 up
25
        to Termonbacca every month and also £1,000 to Fahan in
```

- Donegal to help subsidise their finances.
- Q. So the alms, donations or subscriptions, the £9,000
- 3 would probably have included what was being sent from
- 4 Bishop Street --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- up to Termonbacca?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Although if it was £1,000 a month, they seem to be
- 9 short-changing them if they were only giving 9,000
- 10 perhaps. That also includes the concerts and donations.
- 11 So it may be that in 1964 it was less than £1,000 and it
- might be that went up later on.
- 13 A. I don't know. I am just going by what we are told.
- 14 Q. I appreciate we are speculating, sister. I appreciate
- you don't have all the information to hand about that.
- 16 However, I just want to look at another document here,
- 17 which is SND-5815. Again we looked at this when I met
- with you earlier. If that could be enlarged, please.
- 19 This, sister, is a document that has been received by
- 20 the Inquiry. It was in documents that were held in the
- 21 Public Records Office in Northern Ireland. It is a memo
- 22 made by a member of the Ministry. It is to the Ministry
- of Home Affairs in Stormont and it says that -- it is
- 24 about St. Joseph's Home, Termonbacca:
- 25 "Mr Jackson and I saw Mr Patrick Maxwell, solicitor,

in the Law Courts yesterday afternoon."

1

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now this is dated 1959, some five years before the questionnaire was filled in. Mr Maxwell would have been the then solicitor for the congregation in Northern Ireland or certainly in Derry, and it says:

"A lengthy discussion on the Nazareth organisation developed which would be pointless to record here. I doubt if Mr Maxwell or indeed any of the people in the local Nazareth homes are fully aware of the set-up, financial and otherwise, of the central organisation. It was clear, however, that the central organisation does exercise considerable administrative control over the satellite homes. Mr Maxwell quoted one instance where in the early days of St. Joseph's the organisation of the home had become extremely defective, misappropriation of home funds by members of staff, etc, and the central organisation stepped in with very drastic action, including discharge of employees. the financial side Mr Maxwell said that while each separate home was expected to stand on its own feet financially, the mother home in London could and would help with cash advances in years in which local income was inadequate. We told Mr Maxwell that we were already aware of these advances from an examination of the accounts of St. Joseph's, in which the transactions

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 169

appeared as loans, and that as far as we could see no attempt was being made to repay. Mr Maxwell explained this by saying that the home had been told that these advances were not to be regarded as out-and-out grants and that the mother house would expect repayment if the resources of the home in any year would permit of this, for example, if they got a substantial legacy. Mr Maxwell that all the information he had given us merely confirmed in my mind the rightness of the Ministry's attitude in insisting that for purposes of determination of financial need and the resources of the organisation -- that the resources of the organisation as a whole should be taken into account, and that as far as the Ministry was concerned the matter must be regarded as resting with the Ministry's request for a sight of the accounts and balance sheets of that organisation. I also mentioned that in England the Home Office took the same line and that, in fact, no grant had ever been paid to a Nazareth home there, because the Home Office had never managed to persuade the central organisation to produce accounts.

My impression is that Mr Maxwell felt that he had no case to press if we were going to regard the organisation as a whole for grant purposes, and he left us saying that he would return to Derry, inform the

local people of his discussion with us and tell them
that he thought they had better step up their efforts
locally to raise money."

Now there are a couple of points that I want to explore with you, sister, in respect of that. In your -- in your statements to the Inquiry you have said that, you know, the congregation had limited funds and resources. The Inquiry -- this document suggests that Termonbacca was not being given funding by the Ministry of Home Affairs because it would not produce the overall accounts of the entire congregation and that was the reason why that was not happening, and that was exactly the position that was taken in England with the congregation. Can you assist in any way with whether or not that is your understanding of how grants were dealt with in England or whether there were ever any applications for grants in England?

A. Well, I wasn't even in the Order in those days, but
I believe the Order was very guarded as regards opening
up to the public any of their finances or even any
information. They were almost secretive and in no way
transparent and open as we are today, so that we would
all be -- even the Sisters would hardly know what was in
the bank. Only the Superior General and her council
would know of those finances, and for whatever reason

- that's just the way they operated in those days. They
- 2 didn't want anybody to know.
- 3 Q. Would you -- I mean, you are talking about things being
- 4 different today then I take it from that?
- 5 A. Absolutely. Total transparency and openness.
- 6 Q. When would that have changed, Sister?
- 7 A. I'd say the biggest change came probably about -- after
- 8 the 2000 chapter, certainly 2006. Our present Superior
- 9 General is all for openness and transparency.
- 10 Q. I am going to talk to you -- we did talk about
- a Spotlight programme and there's a transcript of that.
- 12 It was a programme that was broadcast on 6th
- October 2009, and there's a transcript, which can be
- found at SND-17652 to SND-17663. I know from speaking
- to you you have had the opportunity now to view that --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- that television programme, but the point that I want
- to make about that is there's a suggestion made there
- 19 that the Order has substantial assets and is not, in
- fact, being open and transparent even today about those
- assets.
- 22 A. Well, I think we are open and transparent today for
- sure. That programme refers to Nazareth Hammersmith
- having on the site of the value of 40 million plus. All
- our site -- that's where our assets are, all in

- 1 property. The liquid assets we have is very small and
- has to be shared where the Superior General and her
- 3 council feel that they should be shared. So, in other
- words, they prioritise where the needs are throughout
- 5 the congregation. So even although we may have lots of
- 6 properties, they are full of elderly people for the most
- 7 part these days.
- 8 Q. Can I just ask if we -- you are talking about the
- 9 secrecy that would have been surrounding the issue --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- of finances back in the '50s and '60s.
- 12 A. Excuse me. I wouldn't just say about finances. I think
- there was secrecy about a lot of things in days gone by.
- 14 Q. So the secrecy didn't just cover the funding and
- 15 financing?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. If I might just stick with the financing thing for the
- moment, sister, I mean, it is clear from this letter
- 19 that's on the screen that back in May of '59 the
- 20 Ministry of Home Affairs or someone who is involved in
- 21 consideration of an application by Termonbacca
- 22 presumably for a grant is saying, you know, "Well, until
- you give us your details, we are not going to consider
- it. You may go back to Derry and you may see what money
- you can raise yourself". Would you accept then by

- surrounding the finances in such secrecy that that
- appears to have delayed the provision of state funding?
- 3 A. Without a doubt. Sure.
- 4 Q. I am going to now -- you do try in one of your
- 5 statements which I will move on to, which is SND-437 --
- you try to address a number of the matters that the
- 7 Inquiry has heard complaints about, and I am going deal
- 8 with them individually, if I can just go back to the
- 9 other file.
- 10 The first of these is -- I think that's the right
- page. Is it? SND-437. Yes. In this statement,
- sister, at paragraph 5 you talk about one of the things
- that we have heard complained about, which is the
- practice of calling children by numbers. You deal with
- this in paragraphs 5 through to 8 of this statement.
- I think also just before I go there at paragraph 4 of
- 17 your statement you say that:
- "It is difficult, bearing in mind the period of time
- 19 covered by the Inquiry, to deal with a number of these
- issues accurately for each and every period which has
- 21 been identified. Understanding of the issues faced by
- 22 the children, the availability of food and clothes and
- 23 society in general at the various times means that
- 24 practices could change constantly. We are limited in
- some respects in replying as there is a restricted pool

- of memory available to us in trying to deal with these
- issues. In regard to the investigations that have been
- 3 conducted by the Sisters and the documentation provided
- 4 to the Inquiry there are a number of things that can be
- 5 said."
- 6 That's -- essentially what you are saying, Sister,
- is what might have been the case in the 1940s was not
- 8 necessarily the case in the 1970s. Therefore we are
- 9 limited in what we can say about the earlier periods
- 10 perhaps, because you will not have the memory bank or
- the documentation perhaps to deal with those. Would
- 12 that be fair?
- 13 A. That's fair, yes.
- 14 Q. Then you try from what you have -- I mean, can I just be
- 15 clear? This statement you have compiled then from the
- information you have been given from the sisters who do
- 17 have some memory --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- of time in the homes and also from what you have seen
- 20 from the documentation?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Are those the two sources for what you say here or is
- there anything else which is --
- 24 A. No, that's the sources.
- 25 Q. So numbering at paragraphs 5 through to 8, you do not

- accept that there was ever a practice of calling a child
  by a number, that that was a demeaning and unfair way of
  treating them. There is no record anywhere it was
  a specific policy or that such a policy was used by the
  Sisters. Then you do talk about the Sisters'
  understanding:
  "... accept that many items belonging to
  a particular child would have had a number placed on
  them. The child's uniform, clothes or other items may
  have had a number attached to ensure the child's items
- them. The child's uniform, clothes or other items may
  have had a number attached to ensure the child's items
  were always returned to the same child from the laundry.
  There is no memory among any of those we have spoken to
  of children actually being called by a number. It is
  possible and accepted that when items were returned,
  a number may have been called out rather than a child's
  name, but that would simply reflect the fact that it was
  a number written on the child's clothing rather than
  a name."
- In fairness, sister, some of the people who have spoken to the Inquiry would accept that that was the practice.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You say:
- 24 "If such a policy had existed, this would be 25 a demeaning practice and is not one which we would

- approve of today. However, we do not believe there was
- such a policy and practice at any time and we believe
- 3 that children would have been known by name, not by
- 4 a number."
- 5 You go on to the Sisters that you have spoken to
- 6 cannot -- I take it when you say:
- 7 "The Sisters cannot accept ...",
- 8 that's not just those you have spoken to but the
- 9 Order as a whole?
- 10 A. That's right, yes.
- 11 Q. "... cannot accept that the use of a numbering system
- for identifying items of laundry was a deliberate
- intention to make a child feel less loved or cared for
- than they actually were."
- 15 Can I just explore that slightly with you? Is it
- possible that those children who were called by numbers,
- 17 even if it was not the intention to make the child feel
- less loved or cared for than they actually were, they
- might have perceived it as a slight in some way? Is
- that possible, sister?
- 21 A. Well, it could be possible, but that's not -- that
- 22 wasn't the intention of the sister calling out number.
- 23 Q. You say that:
- 24 "Sisters tried at all times to maintain a homely
- environment. ... evident that the learning of a number

- to identify a child would be more difficult than
- actually trying to learn a child's name. The children
- would have called each other by their names. Therefore
- 4 the staff and sisters would have known the children by
- 5 their names and not by any particular number. .... no
- 6 indication of this being a specific policy or practice,
- 7 nor is there any suggestion that it was implemented or
- 8 discontinued at any particular time."
- 9 A. I think probably it was definitely a practice to put
- numbers on their clothes, because even in the noviceship
- we had numbers. I was number 11. So that was just the
- 12 practice at the time.
- 13 Q. It was a pragmatic way of dealing with laundry
- 14 essentially?
- 15 A. Absolutely, but now they would have names on.
- 16 Q. Bathing you talk -- you address this in paragraphs 9
- 17 through to 11 there. You say that:
- "A number of allegations were made -- are made
- 19 concerning bathing ... suggested children were demeaned
- in various ways because of bathing. These contentions
- 21 have been made on a number of aspects. There is no
- 22 memory or recollection of children being required to
- line up naked, wait for their bath in a line of naked
- children. Children would have been bathed on a single
- child basis and it may be that one child was ready

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 178

before another was finished and had to wait to have their bath. ... not a regular occurrence, not a planned procedure. Never done deliberately to humiliate or Sisters would not accept that there embarrass a child. was any deliberate practice or policy of requiring children to stand in a line with other children without clothing. Sisters would accept that at certain times, more likely in the early days, that once a bath was filled more than one child may have had their bath in the same bath water. Nothing intentionally demeaning about any such practice and would reflect the sort of practices that would have been seen in ordinary homes around the country where children were regularly bathed together or where one child would bathe in the water left by another sibling. It would also reflect the costs of heating bathing water. In more recent years children would have been encouraged to bathe on their own and they would also have had access to a bathroom without any restricted bath time."

Then we go on to the issue of Jeyes Fluid in respect of bathing. You say then that:

"Another major contention is the Sisters would have used Jeyes Fluid or perhaps some other similar strong disinfectant as a bathing agent. The Sisters accept that Jeyes Fluid or other such strong disinfectants

would have been used in the homes as a cleaning agent and the bathrooms themselves would have washed and cleaned using these substances. These substances may have had an extremely strong smell and it is accepted that children may well have felt that the bath water was mixed with Jeyes Fluid as a cleansing agent for them because of the lasting smell."

They do not accept it was a general practice to use Jeyes Fluid as an alternative for soap or other bathing agents.

"It is accepted that on occasions Jeyes Fluid may have been used to prevent an outbreak of head lice, body lice and scabies. In a situation where a large number of children shared facilities an outbreak of any of these parasitic lice could have been very common and distressing. In these particular circumstances it may you be that a small amount of Jeyes Fluid was used occasionally for the purpose of dealing with such conditions, but under no circumstances as an alternative standard bathing agent."

So is there anything you want to add to that at all, sister, about --

A. I think we do accept that Jeyes Fluid was used in the early days certainly, but they also talk about having bars of soap, and there was no bubble bath as we know it

- today. So obviously that was the in thing at the time,
- to use the Jeyes Fluid, a spray of Jeyes Fluid, and also
- for the head lice as well, but certainly that practice
- died out I'd say in the '50s. Having spoken to the
- sisters, the Sisters I spoke to certainly said they
- 6 didn't use Jeyes Fluid.
- 7 Q. After the '50s, but the congregation accepts that it may
- 8 have been used --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- in a bath prior to the '50s --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. -- or at some stage --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- before it stopped in the '50s.
- One of the other matters -- you deal here at
- paragraphs 14 and 15 about the issue of toys and:
- 17 "Children are aware of the suggestions that toys
- given to the children by parents, families or other
- 19 agencies were removed from children by the Sisters.
- 20 They do not accept that this was a practice in any
- institution operated by them. To a large degree
- 22 children did not receive gifts, as few received visits
- from family. Most families would not have been in a
- 24 position to give the children gifts if they did, in
- fact, visit. There were gifts at Christmas, whether

from families, St. Vincent de Paul or other charitable organisations ... would have been given to the children and would not have been removed from them by sisters or any staff. Sisters do not understand where this memory comes from."

I discussed with you, sister, and I apologise, because I can't put my hand on the document, but I did discuss with you that certainly material that has been received by the Inquiry in relation -- from Social Services in relation to one person we have spoken to, who is HIA40, suggests even in later years the Sisters were taking personal possessions from boys. HIA40 and his brother -- the context of it is that HIA40 and his brother were moving to another home, and his social worker, whose name is Griffith, had recorded she asked where their toys were and the sister had informed the social worker that, in fact, there was another family had come in and that they needed the gifts more -- the toys more and they had given them away to that family. So it would seem there is some independent record, if I~can put it that way, for a practice of not allowing a child to keep his or her own possessions.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't think it was a policy or practice that the Sisters would do that, and I can only surmise that maybe the children -- I mean, children grow out of their toys.

- Maybe they didn't want them and so, therefore, she gave
- them to another family, but that's an assumption.
- 3 Q. I apologise, because I can't actually pull up the actual
- document, and I will try to find where that is for you,
- but it may be -- I think it was documentation that came
- in somewhat belatedly from Social Services. It may not
- 7 even yet be in the bundles. So I can certainly -- if we
- 8 finish today, I will get it for you for tomorrow so we
- 9 can be clear on that.
- 10 One of the other things just about the toys and
- that, children who were placed there by Social Services,
- there would have been funds provided by Social Services
- for things like birthday gifts and Christmas gifts.
- 14 Isn't that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct, yes.
- 16 Q. So there would have been more than just the St. Vincent
- 17 de Paul --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- in the later years for those children who were placed
- 20 by the welfare authorities?
- 21 A. Yes, and they would have got donations as well from
- other people.
- 23 Q. In paragraphs 16 to 20 of the statement you deal with
- the issue of the disclosure of siblings. You say:
- 25 "There was never any policy of not disclosing the

1

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 183

existence of siblings in any house. There may have been occasions when the existence of siblings was not known to the sisters, as it may not have been in the information given when a child was brought to our care.

In some cases, for example, Termonbacca and Bishop Street, a boy could have been brought to Termonbacca and his sister to Bishop Street by different people. As we did not have central registers or computers, it is possible that the two houses did not know of the siblings.

If children were admitted to the same house, then they would almost certainly have known their siblings. They may in earlier years have been separated into age groups, but they would not have been kept hidden from each other.

In later years the arrangements changed to have children of the same family placed in the same groups within the home to try to keep them together and maintain a more family atmosphere.

It is accepted that as a result of communication difficulties at the time and possibly as a result of inadvertent error in registers some children may not have known of siblings. In the instances where this occurred the Sisters apologise to these children."

So if I am summarising what you are saying there,

- Sister, and I don't want to put words into the mouth of
- the congregation through you, but while you are saying
- 3 there was never a deliberate policy to separate
- 4 children, do you accept that there appears to have been
- 5 no steps taken to encourage familial relationships?
- 6 A. In the early days I would say yes, but in practicalities
- 7 the boys would go to the boys' home and the girls would
- 8 say at the girls' home and maybe the Sisters didn't know
- 9 there was siblings in the other home.
- 10 Q. I suppose that brings me on to a discussion of what
- 11 contact there would have been between the two homes.
- What have you been told about that from the Sisters that
- 13 you have spoken to or ...?
- 14 A. Do you mean by Sisters or by the chosen or who do you
- mean?
- 16 Q. Yes. For example, you have been here when I have been
- 17 asking people questions and some of the Sisters I was
- asking what contact there would have been between
- 19 Nazareth House and Termonbacca. Subject to the
- transcript, but my recollection is that those who spoke
- 21 to us said there really wasn't much. There may have
- 22 been some of the nuns coming up from Bishop Street to
- bring the alms up or whatever, but there was no getting
- together of the children or anything like that.
- 25 A. No. I would agree.

- 1 Q. I just wondered from the congregation's point of view is
- that how homes operated generally, that there was no
- 3 contact between individual homes and children in
- 4 individual homes?
- 5 A. In the early days, yes, certainly, but in latter days
- 6 Sisters visit other Nazareth Houses very frequently,
- yes.
- 8 Q. And what about -- it comes on to something we may
- explore in a bit more detail, but if I can just while
- 10 I~am on the point ask you about practices in one home
- informing what happened in another home. For example,
- we have heard from -- SR2 was saying that when she moved
- from where she was in England to Termonbacca, then to
- 14 Belfast and then back to Nazareth House, that she
- noticed a vast difference in how -- in the practices in
- each of those children's homes. I suppose I am
- exploring with you if the homes were being visited by
- 18 the Regional Superior and by the --
- 19 A. Visitator.
- 20 O. -- visitator from the mother house, would those
- 21 practices that were taking place, perhaps more advanced
- 22 practices, in those homes not be told about to the other
- 23 home?
- 24 A. They probably would have been told, but each house was
- semi-autonomous. So it was up to that house to pull up

- their practice, or they may have found reasons why they
- didn't practise in such a way. So each house was
- different, but they would have been told or advised, "In
- 4 that house they are doing this. In that house they are
- 5 doing this".
- 6 Q. So there would have been -- in these visitations,
- 7 whether by the Regional or by Hammersmith, there would
- 8 have been some discussion with the Mother Superior and
- 9 with those people in charge of the children, "You know
- in Aberdeen they are doing this" --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 O. -- "and it has worked really well" or --
- 13 A. They would have to be very careful in case the Sisters
- thought, "Oh, she thinks that house better than our
- house", you know. So that kind of thing would go on
- too. So it would be done very tactfully.
- 17 Q. Was there ever at any stage a getting together, as it
- were, of the Mother Superiors of children's homes to
- 19 sort of have a discussion about what best practice might
- 20 be that you can recollect?
- 21 A. I can't talk for Ireland really. It is something
- 22 I didn't actually ask the Sisters, but certainly in the
- 23 UK we got together every couple of years. The group of
- catering Sisters would get together. The group chosen
- with the -- the Sisters with the children or the Sisters

- with the elderly would get together, yes. We would have
- meetings. Whether it happened in Ireland or not I can't
- 3 really say.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thank you. Discussing about the disclosure of
- siblings, there is a separate issue with the separation
- of siblings. Again you address this in paragraphs 21 to
- 7 23 of this statement. You say that:
- 8 "It is noted that there is a suggestion that
- 9 siblings were separated within the institutions.
- 10 It was not a specific policy as far as any
- investigation can ascertain to separate the children
- from their siblings. In earlier years the children
- would have been managed in age-related groups and
- therefore were placed in different areas ... In later
- 15 years they strived to ensure all families were kept
- together ...
- In regard to the separation the children would not
- have been separated at all times and would have been
- 19 able to mix at the different socialising times in the
- 20 home. However, as would have been the case in normal
- society, it was typical that different age groups would
- 22 not merge. This would have included not mixing with
- 23 siblings during those activities. Activities did take
- up a large part of the day and it is possible that
- siblings would not have mixed for protracted periods.

- 1 However, this would not have been a deliberate intention
- of these arrangements or an objective of the Sisters to
- 3 separate families."
- 4 Again it comes back to the question that I asked you
- with regard to disclosure of siblings, that there
- 6 wasn't -- there doesn't appear to have been steps taken
- 7 to encourage familial relationships and you are
- 8 accepting on behalf of the congregation that may well
- 9 have been the case in the early days?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You talk about family letters at paragraphs 24 there and
- 12 25. You say:
- "Very few children would have received letters from
- their families. The circumstances in which they came
- into the care of the Sisters of Nazareth were not happy
- and often there would be no contact at all."
- 17 Certainly people who have spoken to us have
- indicated they did not know who their family was or
- anything about them. It is more than just family
- letters, though, sister. They were never -- they
- complain that they were never told about their
- background and, in fact, on occasions were told, "Oh,
- you don't want to know about her". You say:
- "In some cases letters may have been received where
- a family have moved away, a mother or father may have

remarried or for some other reason they were not in a position to maintain or re-establish a relationship 3 with a child. If a letter was received along those lines, it may have been withheld from a child to avoid causing pain or upset to the child. It was never policy or practice to withhold correspondence from a child. may be that a letter would be read to a smaller child and then kept by the Superior or the Sister responsible 8 for a unit. Nevertheless this can be considered to be 10 speculation to some degree." 11 You seem to be accepting in this -- these two 12 paragraphs, Sister, that the Sisters determined whether 13 a child would see or receive letters, and indeed if I can extend that to the complaints we have had, or

I can extend that to the complaints we have had, or information about their families. Would -- are you accepting that?

15

16

17 Well, I think that's a really general statement and 18 I think each child and each Sister, you know, you'd have 19 to really go each one separately, because we don't know 20 the circumstances, and if, as I have said here, 21 a child's parent had moved away and didn't want any more 22 contact with that child, the sister that was looking 23 after that child would -- would be able to -- I am sure 24 she discussed it with either the senior sister or the 25 Superior, "Would we tell that child or not? Would it

- cause the child more upset?" I presume.
- 2 Q. One case -- I mean, I appreciate you weren't in either
- of these homes and you can't speak directly to any of
- 4 these things, but if someone came back and said, you
- 5 know, "I need my personal information. I want to try
- and contact my mother", people have complained they were
- 7 not assisted with that process.
- 8 A. Well, all I can say is now we go out of our way to give
- 9 them any information we've got. Our archivists are very
- busy in passing out information all the time. What
- happened in those days I really can't answer for.
- 12 Q. Bedwetting in paragraphs 26 to 30 is addressed here.
- 13 Various complaints. Now you say at paragraph 27 that:
- "The causes of bedwetting were not known and the
- 15 connection between bedwetting and psychological trauma
- suffered by these children were also not fully
- 17 appreciated. The anxiety felt by the children as
- a result of their home history, the reasons leading them
- 19 to coming into care and the separation from their home
- 20 life may have collectively contributed to bed wetting
- 21 within the homes."
- 22 You say that:
- 23 "As time went on the Sisters have realised that this
- was not rebellious, mischievous, or misbehaviour and
- 25 medical advice was sought. There are clear memories of

a buzzer alarm being obtained to try to prevent bed wetting and to help the children to stop this occurring.

When considered in today's society, the childrens (sic) accept that to embarrass or humiliate a child who had wet the bed was not helpful and may have led to the prolonging of the issue. This was done out of ignorance of the issues behind this problem and a belief that the child could just stop the bedwetting if he or she wished."

You go on:

"There is an overlap with an issue concerning bedwetting and bathing and some former residents describe being washed in cold water as a punishment for wetting the bed. The sisters would not let a child go to school without making sure they were washed. To allow the child to go out unwashed risked bullying and abuse, because they might smell. It is possible there was little hot water but the use of cold water is not recalled as a punishment for bedwetting."

You are aware, sister, we have had a lot of children complain they were punished for wetting the bed, that they were either beaten or they were humiliated, and while accepting there are different periods of time that is being covered here, do you accept on behalf of the congregation that children were humiliated for

- bedwetting?
- 2 A. I think it's -- has been proved that, yes, at different
- 3 periods of time going back in history, yes, that they
- 4 were humiliated.
- 5 Q. Now you suggest in this paragraph that Sisters did not
- 6 have the requisite knowledge of how to treat children
- 7 who were bedwetting and deal with them appropriately,
- $^8$  and you said also -- I mean, I can pull up SND-13939,
- which is another statement that you provided. If we
- 10 could just look at that briefly, please. It is at
- 11 paragraphs 3 to 7. It says that -- again this is on the
- issue of bedwetting:
- "The Inquiry has sought clarification in regard to
- the Sisters' understanding of bedwetting incidents and
- how attitudes altered or changed through the years.
- 16 Clarification is sought on what advice the Sisters
- 17 received in respect of this, when that advice was
- received and from whom that advice was received.
- To the best of my knowledge and from the information
- available to me there was no one piece of information or
- 21 advice that changed or altered the understanding of
- bedwetting. Sisters would have been trained in the
- 23 latter years and therefore would have a better knowledge
- and understanding of bedwetting.
- 25 Throughout the periods in which the Sisters provided

Page 193

care to young people and more recently to the elderly, they maintained a close working relationship with the relevant statutory agencies and local medical practitioners. The Sisters would always have spoken to their colleagues from the statutory agencies, inspectors (whether it be the children's home inspectors or the education inspectors in respect of the schools ...) or more latterly in regard to the ... care to the elderly.

Over time information was passed to the Sisters via statutory agencies, doctors attending at the homes and from other visitors to the homes like the charitable organisations such as St. Vincent de Paul. The information obtained by Sisters would have developed throughout this time by speaking with these particular agencies, doctors and visitors.

There is no-one incident or contact that any sister can specifically address with me. A number of the sisters have spoken of waking children who were known to wet the bed when sisters were going to bed to try to encourage those children to go to the toilet or reduce the potential for an incident of bedwetting during the night."

You apologise for not being more specific about a particular incident:

"... as there is no information available about

- a particular course, communication, conference or
- documentation provided by any third party which can
- 3 highlight or indicate a particular piece of knowledge
- 4 having been passed on. I can, however, say that the
- 5 sisters would always have listened to their advisers and
- 6 would have taken into account any information passed on
- 7 to them."
- Now you are aware where I am going with this,
- 9 sister, because we have had great discussion, including
- this morning, with SR6 about the memorandum of 1951, the
- Home Office Memorandum, which can be found at SND-13438
- through to SND-13498. SR6 as was, SR6, who spoke this
- morning, indicated that when she did her training, she
- was aware of that memorandum. Were you yourself aware
- of the memorandum?
- 16 A. Yes, yes.
- 17 Q. What is your recollection of where -- where you would
- have become aware of that? How did you know about that
- memorandum?
- 20 A. During my training in 1974.
- 21 Q. 1974. Now in another statement to the Inquiry you had
- 22 been asked to provide information about SR1. You talked
- about her and you found out about what you could about
- her history and the statement in relation to her is
- 25 SND-15839. You say that you discovered that she went

- for training in the area of child care in 1958 when she
- 2 received a formal qualification in child care.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now in 1958 this memorandum would have been very
- 5 current. It would only have been out seven years. So
- $^{6}$  is it safe to assume that SR1 would have had that
- 7 brought to her attention during that child care
- 8 training?
- 9 A. I would certainly think so, yes.
- 10 Q. Certainly you were aware in 1971?
- 11 A. '74.
- 12 Q. '74 -- sorry -- and I think it was maybe Sister --
- sorry -- SR6, who trained in 1971, and she was made
- 14 aware of it.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So it certainly was being brought to people's attention
- some twenty years after it was produced and therefore it
- is highly likely that, when it was current, it was part
- of the training.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Clearly that deals with the issue among other things of
- bedwetting and how to treat children and be
- understanding for those children who wet the bed.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So can I ask when you are saying that you accept on

- behalf of the congregation that children were humiliated
- for bedwetting are you saying then that that happened
- 3 prior to that memorandum?
- 4 A. I think yes and also I think maybe some sisters still
- 5 didn't understand fully why children wet the bed and
- I think -- I can't just generalise, because every sister
- is different, and some sisters that hadn't done formal
- 8 training were very kind to the children and would
- 9 understand why children wet the bed, especially if they
- 10 had just first come into the home, but generally I would
- say yes.
- 12 Q. Can I ask you -- I mean, SR6, who spoke this morning,
- indicated that if there was material came in from Social
- 14 Services, from the Welfare Authority, the most
- 15 up-to-date advice or whatever was passed down to those
- in charge of child care. Do you know if that was always
- 17 the practice?
- 18 A. I wouldn't think so. I think sometimes maybe it came in
- 19 and the Superior read it and maybe she didn't always
- 20 pass it to the sisters.
- 21 Q. I know this is speculation, sister, but might that have
- 22 been because she didn't necessarily agree with the
- 23 advices contained in it or might have felt that was too
- 24 difficult to implement in her home?
- 25 A. I don't know. I think sometimes Superiors had a lot of

- power and they thought, "Well, they don't need to know
- that". I don't know, but sometimes Superiors had a lot
- of power and they would have kept it and not given it to
- 4 the sisters for whatever reason.
- 5 Q. Is it fair then to say that how a home was run and the
- 6 practices that pertained there would depend very much on
- 7 who was in charge of it?
- 8 A. Yes, yes.
- 9 Q. Again just on another issue that you deal with in your
- statement, and we will have to go back to that one,
- which is SND-441, please. This is in relation to the
- issue of supervision and staff ratios, sister. At
- paragraph 31 you say that:
- "The solicitors -- the Sisters provided 24 hour care
- with a sister being responsible for individual groups of
- 16 children. She would have been assisted by lay staff to
- 17 aid in the care for the children. We are continuing to
- seek the existence of any relevant documents in order to
- make direct comment on the supervision and staff ratios,
- as these would have changed over the periods depending
- on the number of children in a particular house and the
- 22 regulations which were in place at any particular time."
- Now if I can just ask you to look at a couple of
- documents. I should say that at SND-13940, paragraphs 8
- to 10, you again explain difficulties with records. If

we can just go to that. Paragraph 8. You discuss staff rotas:

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"We continue to check all our records to see if staff rotas and records have been maintained. ... not an easy task bearing in mind the period covered ...

These homes have now ceased child care over that Sisters, however, have spoken of times when there would have been very small numbers of staff, if any, in their houses. I have spoken to sisters who would have said that particularly in the '60s and early '70s there would have been two sisters caring for 60 or 70 boys, leaving one sister responsible for somewhere in the region of between 30 to 40 boys. Staff were employed in the early '70s when the family group homes commenced. In hindsight this was clearly an unsatisfactory situation. However, it was the practice at the time. If this practice resulted in some children not having the oversight, care and affection needed by them in the houses, this was not deliberate. The Sisters simply did not have the funding to provide for significant numbers of children. The Inquiry has been provided with copies of the financial registers showing the shortfall in the funding care provided.

The Sisters relied very heavily on charity, the goodwill ... and the local communities' yearly church

- collections to aid them in the provision of their work."
- If I pause there again, sister, to say that clearly
- in 1964 Nazareth House were saying it didn't need any
- funding for staff. Termonbacca were saying that they
- needed funding for building infrastructure for bedroom
- 6 accommodation, but they weren't saying that they needed
- 7 money for staffing.
- 8 A. That is true.
- 9 Q. If I can just look at a couple of other records here,
- this is SND-6046. This is not very clear, I am afraid,
- but we can try and enlarge it, but this is a -- this
- seems to be a rating valuation, and information is being
- provided to the rating authority by the -- in relation
- 14 to the rating of Termonbacca townland. If we just read
- there, it is saying:
- "Inspected 17th December 1974."
- If I can just scroll down that page, please, it
- says -- it talks about the actual building itself. If
- 19 you just go down to the third paragraph there, it says:
- The orphanage has a total of six nuns working
- full-time caring for children. A total of 78 children
- 22 can be accommodated at any one time, but generally the
- number is around 60. The children's age ranges -- ages
- 24 range from weeks -- even in some cases days old to
- 25 16/17. They come mainly from broken homes so that

a number of full families are resident."

1

- 2 So in 1974 it is suggested in that document that
- whoever the sister in charge -- and I think from
- 4 recollection of the designations that was actually SR7
- 5 -- was saying to the rating inspector that there were
- 6 six nuns working full-time for up to 78, but usually 60,
- 7 children, which would be a staffing ratio of 1:10.
- 8 Can we also then just look at SND-5784, please,
- 9 which is the Sisters' own records about who was in
- 10 charge of child care? You will see in that document in
- 11 1974 it is recording that there was one nun who was both
- 12 the bursar and in charge of group, another nun in charge
- of group and laundry and nursery and girls. So there
- appear to be three sisters in charge of usually 60
- children in 1974 according to the Sisters' records,
- which would have been a ratio of 1:20.
- Now I have to ask, Sister, one has to be wrong.
- There were certainly six nuns in the home, recorded as
- 19 being in the home, but not in full-time childcare
- according to that document.
- 21 A. Well, I assume -- it is an assumption -- that the
- 22 Superior when she filled it in would count the catering
- 23 sister as working for the children and the laundry
- sister. They weren't working directly but indirectly
- and maybe that's the way she looked at it.

- 1 Q. Although that would only still have given four if we
- 2 discount the --
- 3 A. Well, the linen room, that would be indirectly with the
- 4 children as well, because she would have looked after
- 5 their clothes.
- 6 Q. And she would have been -- the Superior having overall
- 7 charge, she would include herself in that?
- 8 A. I presume so.
- 9 Q. In any event in 1974 we are talking 1:10 according to
- 10 that -- both that -- if we take that as being full-time
- care of the children and the information given by SR7 to
- the rating valuation.
- 13 There is -- the 1952 memorandum, as you are aware,
- would have recommended a much higher ratio of child to
- 15 -- staff to children than that.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You say in paragraph 9 of SND-13945 that -- and this was
- a statement that you subsequently amended -- but you say
- 19 there that:
- 20 "The sisters always tried to provide the best care
- with the staff and resources available to them in both
- 22 Termonbacca and Bishop Street. They provided the best
- level of supervision and constant monitoring of the
- children as best they could. It is clear in some
- 25 circumstances peer abuse and bullying may have occurred

without the knowledge of the Sisters. While the Sisters

cannot confirm or deny any specific allegations, it is

dreadful to consider the allegations that had occurred

while under the care of the Sisters. At no stage do the

Sisters accept or condone any type of abuse by way of

bullying or sexual abuse."

Now if I can just go to -- you amended this statement, and if we can go to that. It is SND-18044, paragraph 9. You will see here that you say that:

"Before 1972 we accept that staffing in Termonbacca was inadequate.

Before 1977 we accept that staffing in Bishop Street was inadequate."

Then you say:

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The consequences of inadequate staffing would diminish the level of supervision and constant monitoring of the children as the Sisters would have hoped to achieve."

Then you go on to reiterate that:

"In some circumstances quite clear that peer abuse and bullying may have occurred without the knowledge of the sisters. Whilst they cannot confirm or deny any allegations, it is appalling and shocking to consider the allegations at hand under the care of the sisters."

Just if I might examine that, sister, from the

- unsigned statement was submitted to the Inquiry you
- would have attended here and would have heard a number
- of people give evidence. On reflection it appears to me
- 4 that you seem to have then accepted that the staffing
- was inadequate in Termonbacca on behalf of the
- congregation in 1972 and Bishop Street in '77. However,
- 7 there are a couple of things about that. Those two
- 8 documents that I just pulled up suggest even in 1974 the
- 9 staffing was inadequate in Termonbacca. So I am curious
- 10 to know why the point of 1972 was arrived at or how that
- 11 was arrived at.
- 12 A. Well, when I got these statements to fill in, not
- knowing the houses, I would contact sisters who actually
- lived there and went by what they told me and that is
- 15 how these are filled in.
- 16 O. It may be it was 1972 when there was the move to the
- family groups and to the new building, as it were.
- 18 A. It could have been.
- 19 Q. That may be where that date has come from, but certainly
- 20 would you accept that the documents would show that it
- 21 may well have been later than that before staffing in
- 22 Termonbacca was adequate?
- 23 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. '77 again would perhaps tally with the family groups,
- 25 because I think somewhere either in your statement or

- somewhere else we were told, in fact, Termonbacca had
- the family groups before Nazareth House had theirs.
- 3 A. That's right, yes, when they got the new build.
- 4 Q. But again 1977 you are being told that by other sisters
- is when they felt the staffing was adequate?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But you weren't getting that from any documents?
- 8 A. No, no, no, no.
- 9 Q. Given that certainly before 1972 in Termonbacca and '77
- in Bishop Street the staffing ratios were inadequate,
- can I ask did -- are you aware of any steps that the
- 12 congregation took to refuse to admit children?
- 13 A. Well, it stems from our ethos not to -- not to refuse
- a child and it would have been going against their ethos
- if they had. So I don't think there was any steps taken
- to stop children coming in.
- 17 Q. Even though you weren't really able to cope with the
- children? When I say "you", obviously I am meaning the
- 19 Sisters --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- who were there at the time.
- 22 A. Well, I think they did the best they could.
- 23 Q. Can you in your discussions with the sisters -- are you
- 24 aware of why there wasn't any attempt earlier to refer
- 25 those children to the statutory sector?

1 Having listened to the sisters -- and it is very difficult for me, because I didn't live here and I don't know the politics about Northern Ireland -- but some sisters told me that when children were placed in their care by families, the families didn't want to go to Social Services. They wanted their child to be brought up in the Catholic faith. So therefore maybe the Sisters didn't ask Social Services for money for fear that their voluntary status might be taken off them or that children may not be brought up in the Catholic 11 faith, and also they respected the wishes of the person 12 that brought the child into care, that they didn't want 13 Social Services to know. 14 0. We do know that certainly there was a Diocesan Child 15 Welfare Society was formed and we have seen 16 documentations in relation to that, and a name I am sure 17 you have heard as you have been sitting here, sister, 18 was a lady called Rose Black. She seemed to have 19 commenced work with the Diocesan Child Welfare around 20 I am sure you have seen a document where she was 21 asking for three boys to be taken into care in 1976. 22 do you -- given what you have just been saying to me, do 23 you think that once that Diocesan Child Welfare Society

was formed that that made a difference to the

relationship between the homes and the welfare

24

25

- authorities, because there was this intermediary body?
- 2 A. It could have helped. I really don't know enough about
- 3 that to answer properly.
- 4 Q. Okay. There may be another witness from the diocese who
- 5 might be able to assist, Sister, with that, but in any
- 6 event the Sisters were never going to turn away a child
- 7 who they felt needed their care. Is that really
- 8 essentially why --
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. -- they were not -- they were happy to take -- maybe not
- 11 happy but they certainly were taking in children even
- though they didn't have the resources necessary to look
- 13 after them?
- 14 A. That was our ethos, yes.
- 15 Q. I am conscious of the time, Sister. We still have quite
- a number of things to cover with you, and I see that it
- is now 4.50 in what has been quite a long day for
- everyone. I did ask you earlier -- I know "happy"
- 19 wouldn't be the right adjective to use, but you are
- 20 content to return tomorrow to continue your evidence.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So that might be an appropriate time, Chairman, to ...
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Yes, I think it is an appropriate time. As you
- say, there are I imagine quite a number of things you
- wish to raise with Sister Brenda. We will resume

```
Page 207
         tomorrow morning, Sister, as near 10.30 or after it as
1
        we can manage.
3
        Thank you.
4
    MS SMITH: I am just wondering, Chairman, it might be --
5
         subject to the Panel's view, we could maybe start
6
         a little earlier at 10 o'clock tomorrow, since we could
7
         go straight in with Sister's evidence.
8
               I am impressed by your enthusiasm. We do have
    CHAIRMAN:
9
         other things to look at as well.
10
    MS SMITH: Very well. 10.30 then.
11
        Thank you.
12
    CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
13
    (4.52 pm)
14
           (Hearing adjourned until 10.30 tomorrow morning)
15
                               --00000--
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 208
1	I N D E X	
2	CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS RE TIMETABLE2	
3	WITNESS SND463 (called)4	
4	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY5  Questions from THE PANEL46	
5		
6	WITNESS SR6 (called)55  Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY56  Questions from THE PANEL144	
7	SISTER BRENDA MCCALL (called)146	
8	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY147	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		