Page 1 HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY being heard before: SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman) MR DAVID LANE MS GERALDINE DOHERTY held at Banbridge Court House Banbridge on Wednesday, 10th September 2014 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 48) MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 1 Wednesday, 10th September 2014 (10.00 am)3 WITNESS HIA401 (called) 4 CHAIRMAN: Morning, ladies and gentlemen. 5 MR MONTAGUE: Good morning. 6 CHAIRMAN: Just before we turn to our last Australian 7 witness there are one or two administrative things. Again I remind everybody to ensure their mobile phone 8 has been turned off or placed on silent/vibrate and there is no photography permitted anywhere within the 10 11 chambers or the premises themselves. I think it might be appropriate just at this point 12 13 to look at the timetable for the remainder of this module in the light of what we discussed yesterday, 15 Mr Montague. 16 We will have the closing submissions I would think 17 on Tuesday morning, if that's convenient to you all. MR MONTAGUE: Certainly, Mr Chairman. Thank you. 18 19 I did ask before. I think an hour would be more 20 than adequate for each of you. 21 MR MONTAGUE: I am delighted to hear that. Thank you. 22 MS SMITH: Good morning, Chairman, Panel Members, ladies and 23 gentlemen. Sorry.

CHAIRMAN: You may arrange the running order amongst

yourselves in whatever way you wish.

24

25

- 1 MR MONTAGUE: I am obliged.
- 2 MS SMITH: Good morning. Good after -- good evening,
- 3 HIA401. Can you hear me all right?
- 4 A. Yes, I can. Thank you, Christine.
- 5 Q. You do know who I am, because we spoke earlier today,
- and you will be aware that I am going to ask you the
- questions, and I just want to confirm that you wish to
- 8 maintain the anonymity that has been afforded to you by
- 9 the Inquiry. Isn't that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct. Thank you, Christine.
- 11 Q. I'm going to hand you over to the Chairman, who is going
- to ask you to take the oath and I know you're happy to
- take a religious oath.
- 14 A. I am. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, Christine. Sorry. Good morning,
- HIA401, I should say.
- 17 A. Good morning, Chairman.
- 18 Q. I apologise for that.
- 19 WITNESS HIA401 (sworn)
- 20 Q. Thank you very much.
- 21 Questions from COUNSEL FOR THE INQUIRY
- 22 MS SMITH: HIA401, you should have some documentation in
- front of you, and the first document I want to refer you
- 24 to is your witness statement that you prepared for the
- Inquiry, and it can be found at AUS11388.

- 1 A. Yes. I've got that. Thank you.
- 2 Q. It's just being pulled on the screen here. I'm going to
- ask you if you would, HIA401, to go to the last page,
- 4 11394.
- 5 A. I have that page, Christine.
- 6 Q. And if you would just confirm that you have signed your
- 7 witness statement and it is dated 25th June 2014?
- 8 A. I can confirm that.
- 9 Q. And can you also then confirm, please, HIA401, that this
- is the statement of evidence that you wish the Inquiry
- 11 to consider together with anything further that you add
- 12 today?
- 13 A. Yes. I agree all.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Can we just then go to the first page of
- 15 your witness statement, which is 11388, and your
- 16 personal details are set out in the first paragraph of
- the statement, and it says then at paragraph 2 that you
- were placed in Nazareth House when you were eight months
- old, but you have no memories of your time there because
- you were so young.
- 21 As an older child you in -- in Australia you
- 22 suffered from a severe bronchial infection and that had
- 23 been caused by being in dampened conditions and
- 24 malnutrition. You believe that was due to the
- conditions you had been exposed to in Nazareth House in

- 1 Belfast and not receiving proper medical care?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Again can I just confirm with you, HIA401, that this is
- 4 speculation on your part; you can't really remember what
- 5 it was like to live in Nazareth House?
- 6 A. No, I don't have any memory at all of living there.
- 7 I can only speculate on the fact that I had to have
- 8 physio and medical treatment for a long time as I was
- 9 fostered.
- 10 Q. I'm going to call up another document on the screen,
- HIA401. This is your child migration form.
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. That's at 11148. Sorry. I beg your pardon. I called
- out the wrong number. 11448.
- 15 A. Yes. I've got that one.
- 16 Q. You've got that. This is a typed version and you quite
- 17 clearly see there that you were only four years of age.
- 18 You weren't at school obviously at that time. It is
- 19 signed by William Flint on behalf of the Catholic Child
- 20 Welfare Council in December 1954 and the consent
- section completed by SR99, who was the -- I believe the
- 22 Mother Superior of Nazareth House at that time?
- 23 A. Correct. Where is SR19? Sorry. I can't --
- 24 O. Sorry. You will have the name. You will actually have
- 25 her name, but I'm just --

- 1 A. Oh, sorry. I beg your pardon.
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. Okay. Understood. Yes.
- 4 Q. Just as we have given you anonymity, we are giving
- anonymity to other people, HIA401.
- 6 A. Yes. Sorry. Thank you.
- 7 Q. The witness was -- the signature of the nun was
- 8 witnessed by someone who was a teacher in school on
- 9 Ravenhill Road.
- 10 A. Correct. That's -- yes, that is correct.
- 11 Q. I'm just going show another document, HIA401, which is
- 12 11437 -- 11447.
- 13 A. I've got that. 11437.
- 14 Q. Yes. That's fine. No. Just leave it, this 11437,
- please.
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. Yes. This is a summary of the Australia House child
- migration form, and it shows that you went on the
- 19 Orontes on 27th March 1955, and then just if we -- these
- 20 are comments that were put on to your form -- on to your
- details when you arrived in Australia, and you will see
- there that you were brought along by a senior scholar
- 23 from Nazareth House:
- 24 "A sturdy, intelligent-looking child, a little
- 25 backward in speech, natural under the circumstances, but

- 1 apparently no impediment in that regard. This child is
- only four years",
- 3 and there was a short birth certificate provided as
- 4 well, and it says:
- 5 "Apparently normal, healthy child."
- 6 So at that stage there was nothing in your medical
- 7 history or background being recorded that might suggest
- 8 the problems that you had later, but this was -- this
- 9 was a medical examination that was carried out after you
- 10 arrived in Australia. Do you remember any of those
- 11 medical examinations?
- 12 A. I don't have -- I've got no recollection. I don't
- 13 recall.
- 14 Q. Well, you arrived in Australia -- this suggests that it
- was 27th March, but it may well have been -- yes. You
- 16 left -- actually left in February and you arrived in
- 17 March and you were then taken to the Nazareth House in
- 18 Camberwell, but you were really only there for a short
- 19 period of time before you were fostered and --
- 20 A. Can I just --
- 21 Q. Sorry, HIA401.
- 22 A. Sorry. Just going back to that document 11437, it says
- 23 the date of arrival at the top was 27/3/55. Correct?
- Q. Yes, that's right.
- 25 A. And then it says dated -- this document is dated

- 1 31st December 1954.
- 2 Q. I think this is actually taken -- details from the child
- 3 migrant form that I just pulled up, the document
- 4 I pulled up previously --
- 5 A. Oh, right.
- 6 Q. -- which was dated 31st December. I think it is just
- 7 recording the details that your consent was formed --
- 8 sorry -- was signed on 31st December 1954 and it was
- 9 signed on behalf -- by Monsignor Flint for and on behalf
- of the Catholic Child Welfare Council. It is just
- recording those details from the other form.
- 12 A. Oh, okay. Good. Thank you.
- 13 Q. Just then you were, as I say, fostered out after a short
- 14 period of time and you don't really remember being in
- Nazareth House in Camberwell in Melbourne.
- If we could just go back to the next page,
- paragraph 8, you took your foster family's surname and
- they, in fact, wanted to adopt you, but because the
- 19 Sisters of Nazareth said that your mother had refused
- 20 consent to adoption and they told your foster mother
- that, you weren't, in fact, adopted, but you remained in
- long-term foster care with that family. Isn't that
- correct, HIA401?
- 24 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And you certainly had a very good experience with your

foster family. You say at paragraph 10 you had:

"... a wonderful foster family who I was blessed to have taken me. They nurtured me as a daughter and sister and I have had a great life. My mother and I were best friends and we had a lovely relationship."

You then go on to say about some of the insecurities that you had and you experienced when you became engaged to your husband and the difficulties that you experienced in getting through the process of getting documentation for your marriage, and at paragraph 12 you say:

"When I was getting married, I had to go through the process of proving I was an Australian citizen, and this caused more emotional pain. It was a long drawn out process during which all my securities of abandonment and isolation rose to the surface. I had no documents at all to show who I was. My parents and I had always assumed I was an Australian citizen. Initially I could not get an Australian passport and I had to apply for a British one. I then had to apply to get a visa to return to Australia after my family and I travelled to Fiji as I wasn't an Australian citizen, and I now have an Irish passport."

So the lack of documentation caused you difficulties and your foster family difficulty when they wanted to

- 1 take you on holiday?
- 2 A. Correct. That's correct. All the time.
- 3 Q. And then you also in paragraph 13 relate that your life
- 4 -- you and your husband divorced, although it was
- 5 an amicable separation, and he has now passed away. You
- had four children, one, a daughter, who died as a baby
- and your son then died suddenly when he was 26 in 2005.
- 8 You have a close relationship with your other surviving
- 9 daughter and son and you have three grandchildren.

Your mother, that's your foster mother, told you in 10 11 1993 that you had been contacted by your biological 12 sister, who wanted to reconnect with you, but you don't 13 remember that, and you feel that when events cause you stress and anxiety, you block them out of your memory. 14 15 You do have some bad memories of visits with your 16 sisters and in particular some things that were very 17 distressing to you. They were both sent to Australia in 1953 and a brother was sent in 1956. You met him a few 18 19 times, once in Canberra and then in Perth. 20 met one of your sisters and you only had contact with the other sister in 1993. You talk about the fact that 21 22 your biological siblings had a hard life and your life 23 has been a blessing compared to what they have had and 24 you have chosen to abstain from further contact with 25 them as you have no feelings for them in any way, not in

the way that siblings should, and you feel that too much time has passed to try to build relationships with them.

At paragraph 16 you talk about how you found out information about your birth mother when your biological siblings appeared on a programme in 2002.

Distant cousins then recognised your name and contacted

. You yourself visited Northern Ireland for the

first time in August 2002 with your daughter. You say:

"It was an emotional visit."

You met your cousins, and that reunion was arranged by the Child Migrant Trust, and a social worker from the trust also went along to the reunion. Your cousins recalled your mother with fondness and you heard them tell stories about how she was a caring aunt. You found that difficult to listen to when you felt that she had abandoned her own children.

You learned further details out about her, that she was admitted to the Good Shepherd Convent in Newry in 1952. She was declared a person in need of special care by judicial order in '52 and that was under the Mental Health Act (Northern Ireland) 1948. She left there and went to St. Vincent's hospital in Dublin in October 1957 and was discharged in 1958, and on one trip you found that she had died age 72 in 1999. You were sad that you were deprived of the opportunity of meeting

her. She is buried in a cemetery in Dublin.

Paragraph 19, you were staying with your cousins when you discovered that you had another brother, a younger brother, and you didn't know until that time that he had been born in 1952 and was placed in Coneywarren's Children Home before being fostered. He lives in and you wanted to meet him, but he was away on business. So it wasn't possible on that trip, but you later did meet him and he told you that he had got the impression that you didn't want to meet him, but when, in fact, at that time you didn't know he -- of his existence.

At paragraph 20 you talk about the researches that you and your daughter engaged in to try to trace your family and relatives throughout the province. You talk about going back to Nazareth House, which you said really upset you, and your daughter said that she had never seen you like that. You talk about a visit that you paid to the Nazareth Care Village where you were greeted by a nun and given afternoon tea. You managed to talk your way into seeing what little records they did have of your siblings and yourself, and you say you then became angry and visibly upset when you were shown a photocopy of your name and siblings as numbers and the dates that they were accepted and sent away. You saw

information about baptisms and "the dates we departed for Australia".

1

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

May I just pause there? I've done quite a lot of talking, HIA401, and you have engaged in very personal work to try to find out about your family history and doing a lot of research yourself on the ground with the help of your daughter. This trip to the Nazareth Care Village, is there anything further you want to say about that or why you were angry and upset?

Well, I wasn't -- I got angry and upset because you can imagine you are asking about where you come from and what's happened to you and the only record they have, they brought up out a book, a diary, and there was just a number and the name, the date when you were put in and the date when you left, and it really upset me, because I thought, "We're only a number to these people. not a person". I wasn't a child. I expected some more empathy from them and I -- it kind of just shattered me I suppose that we'd seen the orphanage and not been able to have any mental images or visible images in my head, because I still can't -- I can't have -- I have got no memory, and it's really disconcerting. So I think it was just a build-up of all that, and no-one actually was -- they greeted me like I was a long-lost child of theirs rather than -- they weren't really concerned

- That's what I felt. They weren't really 1 about me. concerned about me and my history and what had happened 3 Yes. So I just found it really -- I found it really kind of -- that's the bit that really gets to me still to this day. There's no empathy from the nuns about people like me, no knowledge of my history with them, and so you kind of feel like your life is -- you are not sure when your life started, if that makes Yes. sense.
- Thank you, HIA401, for explaining what you meant by that 10 11 line in your statement.

You then talk about you also on that trip went to 13 where your mother had spent some time and travelled around looking for information. You describe 15 yourself as being desperate to find out who you were. 16 You met a local historian and for a small fee he was 17 able to provide a decent amount of information about 18 your family. You also met an elderly gentlemen who went 19 to school with your mother and her sisters and he told 20 you that your father had been a Protestant landowner and 21 gave a name for him. You found out years later that 22 was, in fact, the position, and you say you did well for 23 a couple of misfits from Australia in getting all that 24 information yourself.

25 Yes. That's correct.

12

```
1 Q. You say:
```

"During our short visit we embraced the North and travelled to many destinations, and despite my emotional fears, I was able to reconnect with the land and its people. However, deep down it was all taking its physical toll on me."

You describe how you got in touch with your brother in around 2005 and you got on like a house on fire.

There was an instant connection there. You look alike and mannerisms which you share led to you believe that you had the same father.

You also returned to Ireland in 2009, because your son was then living in and you spent time with your younger brother and his family. Again you came back in 2012 and visited your brother as a result of the funding from the Family Restoration Fund, and you were here again just in June of this year and that was a trip that was financed by the British government.

You say that you had written to Jeremy Hunt explaining your background and asking the British government to extend the restoration fund beyond the cut-off date of 2013, and you say he responded saying that you were free to return at any time. We have that e-mail that you wrote to him at AUS11401. If we can just look at that briefly --

A. Yes.

Q. -- this is the e-mail that you sent to Jeremy Hunt in
the UK Parliament and in that you essentially set out
your history and the need as you -- the flaws as you saw
it with the fund that the government had set up, that it
was:

"... a three-year one, which creates in itself
an abuse of the child migrant again insofar bringing
them home and then and saying, 'Well, that is that. Be
grateful and get on with your lives', all perfectly fine
for those who are in a position to finance further trips
home to the north of Ireland from Australia, but not for
those who can't. What happens to those such as I who
bonded so well with my brother in Ireland and wants to
visit again, as he made it perfectly clear he won't
travel to Australia?"

You talk about the toll that the unification of families has taken: botched visits home, insufficient resources, insufficient investigation of those who truly are to be visited, and the most damning, incorrect information about family and circumstances surrounding the birth mother. You say that there are child migrants who wish to discover their own truth. You have had the help of your daughter and that, but you go on to talk about that you feel there is matters that have been

covered up, and you then go on to describe the
difficulties that you have had personally which meant
that you weren't able to travel within the time frame.

If we just scroll down, please, to the next page, you say that to assist child migrants as you are becoming low in numbers, and you cynically wonder if that was what the government was hoping -- as more and more comes out about the scheme, there are more and more areas of concern regarding children of your age who were sent out in collusion with the church and state. You ask them to:

"... provide ongoing trips to the country of origin, remembering we are ageing and more than likely won't be able to travel as frequently."

You ask them:

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

"... to provide a British government fund to pay for return flights to the country of origin, reasonable costs for accommodation for a two-week visit. In itself this approach may appear radical, but if you study this option, it may turn out a far better management of the fund for child migrants I believe."

You say that:

"The cheapest option is to continue the fund beyond 24 2013",

and certainly you were granted the permission to

- extend your use of the fund beyond that date, HIA401?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. As you say, Mr Hunt replied with a lovely letter saying
- 4 you were free to return to Ireland at any time. At
- 5 paragraph 25, if we could go back to your statement at
- 6 11393 --
- 7 A. 11393.
- 8 Q. -- paragraph 25 at the bottom of that page you talk
- 9 about --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- and we have discussed already the amount of research
- that you carried out in tracing your family, and you say
- that when you were working as an executive assistant
- 14 for -- I am going to use the name of the -- it was the
- 15 that you were
- 16 working for, and while working there you say you
- 17 discovered that institutions in Ireland which sent
- children to Australia received \$10 from the Australian
- 19 government for every child that was sent out under the
- white Australian policy.
- I asked you a little bit about this earlier, HIA401,
- 22 and you said -- if you could maybe just explain what it
- is exactly that you found out.
- 24 A. I was doing some archival work for the person that I was
- working for -- I suppose that's the best way of saying

- it -- and I came upon this paperwork and child migrants 1 was mentioned, and I says, "Well, this is very interesting", and at the time Australia had what they called the white Australian policy and they were very concerned that the country needed to be filled with white people, white children, and so they encouraged -it started off with Barnardo's I believe and other institutions and then the Catholics got whiff of it and thought, "Oh, we'd better get involved in this", and so 10 the Australian government assisted these organisations 11 to send children out to Australia, because they wanted 12 good, strong, white children and in -- in the 13 documentation the institutions or the religious orders 14 were given monetary assistance for the children that 15 they were sent out -- that were sent out from Ireland 16 and the UK -- the UK and Northern Ireland, and some were 17 sent to Canada, and some were sent to South Africa. 18 I think that's South Africa, Ireland and Australia. 19 So the Australian government was providing financial 20 assistance. You talk about the sum of \$10.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Is that actually what --
- 23 A. It could have been 10 pounds. 10 pounds, yes.
- 24 Q. And the documentation you saw suggested that the
- 25 financial -- sorry -- the Catholic authorities were

- being provided with 10 pounds per child?
- 2 A. Financial -- yes, financial assistance, and with other
- 3 organisations they were provided funds for technical
- assistance. They were worded in different language, but
- 5 basically they were helping to finance the child
- 6 migrants coming out to Australia.
- 7 Q. Now in paragraph 26 you say that you have a strong
- 8 faith. You have taught in Catholic schools and worked
- with the bishops, and you had great respect and empathy
- 10 for the work of the church, but as a result of your own
- personal difficulties and particularly the difficulties
- 12 encountered in obtaining documents relating to your past
- from the Catholic Church you have lost respect for it?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And --
- 16 A. Particularly the religious -- particularly the religious
- orders.
- 18 Q. You say at paragraph 27:
- 19 "All my life I have lived with not knowing who
- I really am. I have no reliable family history
- 21 particularly in regard to medical history, which is
- 22 extremely important to me, because I have children of my
- own and now grandchildren. Whilst I cannot recall my
- time in care both in Northern Ireland and Australia,
- I feel that I have suffered the emotional and

- psychological abuse of exclusion and denial of my
- heritage and culture as a result of my migration as
- 3 a child."
- 4 A. Exactly.
- 5 Q. Now, HIA401, one other question I wanted to ask you.
- 6 Have you ever yourself received any redress, any form of
- 7 redress as a result of being a child migrant?
- 8 A. No, no, none at all. Only the assistance that I have
- had from the British government to go back and visit,
- 10 but that's not really redress, but that's the only
- financial assistance that I have had.
- 12 Q. Well, we have been asking those of you in Australia --
- and it's a question that we ask of everyone who speaks
- to the Inquiry about their experiences -- this Inquiry
- has to make recommendations to the Northern Ireland
- 16 Executive about what should happen, and whether that --
- 17 what form -- any steps that the government should take,
- what form that should take, and I want to know what your
- 19 views are, what you think would be beneficial, and what
- you think wouldn't be any help to you.
- 21 A. Well, my priority, and always has been a priority, is
- 22 an acknowledgment from the nuns of what they put me
- through. So an apology is my number one priority,
- 24 an acknowledgment of my existence, of my records, my
- care or lack of care and why they put people like me in

particular, me as an eight month old child and then as a four-year-old, sending me across the ocean and then denying my heritage. On any -- all of the certificates there is no acknowledgment of any of my birth records, foster records and child development records, psychological records, and to this day they're still not acknowledging that. So that's my number one priority is an apology and recognition of the damage that they have done to me. So that's my number one.

Compensation for depriving me of my life at the beginning, because I still don't remember and I don't think people realise how awful that is to go through life when people ask you, "Do you remember what you were doing when you were five or six?" and I've got none, and so if you can imagine it, and now that I have got my own grandchildren and they come up to me -- and they all call me in my family -- and they say, "Oh, what were you doing when you were doing this?" and I can't tell them stories. I can't -- I see how -- I see how much happiness it brings to my own children, what their life was as children, and the fact that I have got no memory is just something that really is hurting.

So I really want an acknowledgment that harm and hurt and distress, not only was it happening to me in a physical sense, because from what I gather my history

of my bronchial asthma and physio that I required when I came to Australia, my foster mother was told by the doctors and medical people that treated her was caused by lack of care and malnutrition, and this happened before I came to Australia. So that's number one.

Number 2, emotional stress that still causes me, because when people say to me, "Where were you born?", you know, "What was it like?", there's still some part of me that doesn't want to -- I feel ashamed of who I am, because the nuns kept telling me that I'll end up -- this is the nuns at school that I went to, at primary school, because it was in the same parish as the orphanage, and so they knew the nuns from the orphanage, and if they wanted to really get at me, they'd say, "Oh, you'll end up like your mother in the gutter", and it's very hard to get -- emotional scars are the ones I think are really hard to get rid of, because it's really hard to express that to people without getting upset yourself.

So it happens like the whole process goes around in your mind, but an acknowledgment from the nuns of the appalling behaviour, and from the Catholic Church, because if you look at my documents, all the signatories to the documents are from either a priest or a nun or Catholic Welfare. There's no mention of my birth

- 1 mother's name on any of the documentation and yet her
- name is on my official birth register. So that they
- ignored her and gave her no dignity or respect.
- 4 So I think that's the most important thing and
- 5 I think compensation for the fact that they deprived me.
- I mean, I'm lucky that I had the life that I have had.
- 7 I'm blessed, but it still doesn't -- it still doesn't
- 8 diminish the emotional suffering. So ...
- 9 Q. Thank you very much for that. I hope you feel that you
- 10 have had the opportunity to say what you want the
- Inquiry to hear from you. If there's anything else that
- 12 you feel we haven't covered, now is the opportunity for
- 13 you to say it.
- 14 A. Well, I don't -- I'm -- I just think that you need to
- look at the fact that -- take away the fact that the
- majority of us are in our 60s or 70s and go back in your
- minds and think of us as four year olds, four and a half
- year olds, and the trauma of being put on a boat at that
- 19 age and sent somewhere, forgot, not knowing, and told
- lies when you get here, and I still hope -- I don't know
- whether this is in your sphere -- ask people would they
- 22 please open their hearts up and actually give us the
- 23 information we want, which is our health. We need to
- know our health. Well, I do. I need to know
- 25 particularly my health history, because of the fact I

- have lost three children and there is nothing physically
- that we or medically that we can find out here in
- 3 Australia. So that's why I've been so vigilant in
- 4 trying to find out as much as I can about my mental --
- health issues, when I was born and when I was in
- 6 Nazareth in Belfast.
- 7 Q. Thank you very much, HIA401. I am just going to hand
- 8 you over to the Panel in case they have some questions
- 9 for you.
- 10 A. Thank you.
- 11 Questions from THE PANEL
- 12 CHAIRMAN: HIA401, can I take you back to what you were
- telling us a few minutes ago about these documents that
- 14 you saw relating to payments that were made?
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: I'd like to ask you about that in a little
- detail, if I may, but first of all the Inquiry is aware
- from our researches that once children arrived in
- 19 Australia there were payments made for their support
- from a number of sources. The British government paid
- 21 a certain amount. The Commonwealth Government, the
- 22 Central Government, paid a certain amount. The State
- Governments, depending which state it was, paid
- 24 a certain amount, and in some instances at least,
- 25 perhaps in all of them for all we know, but certainly in

- Western Australia there was money that came from
- a lottery fund of some kind, and these payments then
- were increased and changed as the years went by probably
- because of inflation, but that was when the child
- 5 arrived in Australia. Before they got there the cost of
- the passage and things associated with travel and so on
- 7 I think were paid for by the British government,
- 8 possibly by the Australian government as well.
- 9 Now against that background the 10 pounds you are
- 10 referring to, can you remember whether it came with any
- explanation as to what the money was to be used for?
- Was it to cover the costs of passage, or the costs of
- administration, or was it money that was paid after the
- children got to Australia by way of weekly or monthly
- 15 payments?
- 16 A. Chairman, I have to be honest. Because I don't have the
- document or can't refer to the document now, I'm relying
- on my recollection, but I'm 85 to 90% sure that the
- 19 money was given to the religious organisations before we
- left the UK and Northern Ireland.
- 21 Q. But looking back on it now, can you remember if it was
- 22 to cover the cost of the voyage or was it just
- a straight payment so far as you could see?
- 24 A. My recollection, Chairman, it wasn't to cover the
- voyage, but I can't remember in detail whether it was

- administration or other costs, but I remember when
- I came across the documents, I was quite shocked that
- there was a monetary fee in regard to the child -- the
- 4 children -- child migrants.
- 5 Q. Yes. The reason I'm pursuing this in this detail is
- 6 that the Sisters of Nazareth, for example, who are the
- 7 body who sent most children, say they didn't get any
- 8 payment of that sort, but there is or there was
- 9 a well-known scheme, which you have probably heard
- about, called the 10 pound ponds, in other words, the
- assisted emigration, where the British government
- 12 effectively subsidised the cost of the voyage to
- 13 Australia --
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. -- and I think the person who went only had to find 10
- pounds.
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. Could it be something like that?
- 19 A. I think so. You could be right there, Chairman. Yes,
- 20 could be, but I just remember when I came across it,
- I was quite shocked.
- 22 Q. Well, I can understand that, because a number of the
- 23 applicants who have sent material to us, not necessarily
- their statements, but letters they have written or
- comments they have made in Australia, they do refer to

- 1 themselves sometimes as having been sold, that the organisation got money for sending them, and it may be that they misunderstand what the financial arrangements were, or it may be that there was some sort of payment to encourage people or I should say encourage organisations to send children. That's what we're 7 trying to get to the bottom of with these questions. 8 Well, I remember, Chairman, when I actually saw the document -- I'm not putting myself out there to be ethically so high or morally high -- but I thought, 10 11 "Should I be reading these documents fully?", if you 12 understand what I mean, because I was doing archival 13 work for my boss, so organising the documents for him, because there hadn't been anyone working in the office 15 for quite some time. So he wanted me to get some 16 organisation. So that's how I came across the document -- those particular documents. So I thought -- I didn't 17 know whether I should read in depth. I didn't know, you 18 19 know, legally or morally where I stood, because as being 20 a child migrant myself and then reading about a child 21 migrant, if you can understand what I mean. 22 Ο. I quite understand. I suspect if I had been in your 23 position, I would have done exactly the same. 24 Yes.
- 25 Q. Well, we may ask you subsequently if you can give us

- some more information, but I'm not going to ask you any
- 2 more about that now.
- 3 A. Okay. Thank you, Chairman.
- 4 MR LANE: Thank you very much for your evidence. One query
- I have. The contact you had with Jeremy Hunt, did that
- 6 indicate a change of policy or was it simply a personal
- 7 response that he made?
- 8 A. I think it was a personal response, because the
- 9 organisation here in Australia that was administrating
- 10 the fund had given me an indication that I was --
- because I couldn't go within the time frame they had
- set, that they weren't allowing me to postpone the
- travel to another time, and so that was why I wrote to
- Jeremy, and so it was in that context that I wrote to
- him and said, "Surely I'm the person that should be able
- 16 to decide, if the government has given funds, when I --
- when I can travel, not when someone else tells me it's
- 18 convenient for them". So I took it in that context and
- 19 also in the context of his e-mail that I took -- if I
- 20 had any other problems that I could feel quite free to
- write to him. So that was the context, yes.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of anybody else who has been affected in
- this way?
- 24 A. With the Child Migrant Trust?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 3 A. Thank you. Thank you very much.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Well, HIA401, thank you very much indeed for
- 5 taking the trouble to speak to us so clearly --
- 6 A. Thank you, Chairman.
- 7 Q. -- and so helpfully. I know it is a bit later in the
- 8 day where you are now than it is for us.
- 9 A. That's all right.
- 10 Q. I hope we haven't kept you too long.
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much for speaking to us.
- 13 A. Thank you. Thank you very much, Chairman.
- 14 MS SMITH: Thank you, HIA401.
- 15 A. Thank you. Thank you very much, Christine. Thank you.
- 16 (Witness withdrew)
- 17 Q. Chairman, that concludes the witness evidence from the
- applicants to the inquiry who were child migrants.
- 19 There is some documentation which I propose to open
- to the Inquiry, but I would be grateful for a short
- 21 break just to get that organised.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Mr O'Reilly, can I just raise one matter with
- you? I think it would be helpful to the Inquiry if your
- 24 clients through governmental channels could ascertain
- from the Department of Health in London what exactly the

- government policy is about the Restoration Fund that we
- 2 have heard about, whether they are contemplating
- 3 extending it or whether it has come to an end. It is
- 4 clearly important for us to know that. We don't have
- 5 a direct line to them, as you will appreciate, being
- a devolved institution ourselves I suppose, but it would
- 7 be helpful if your Department could pursue that.
- 8 MR O'REILLY: You will see from the papers there has been
- 9 some correspondence in relation to the Restoration Fund
- 10 from it was set up and the Department of Health here --
- I use that abbreviation -- did contact and was given
- certain details. So I will put that in train today,
- 13 Mr Chairman.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I think we are perhaps more interested now
- in what, if anything, is contemplated for the future.
- 16 Is it going to continue or is there no intention at the
- moment to extend it or whatever, because the last
- witness' evidence would suggest that the Minister may
- 19 have been personally sympathetic but that there was no
- 20 change in policy. So it is something we would like
- 21 pursued.
- 22 MR O'REILLY: Very well.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Well, we will rise just for
- 24 a few minutes then, Ms Smith.
- 25 MS SMITH: Yes. Thank you.

Page 32 1 (10.50 am)(Short break) 3 (11.00 am)Reading of documents by COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 5 MS SMITH: Chairman, Panel Members, ladies and gentlemen, at 6 this point I propose to address some of the material that is in the evidence bundle we have provided to the core participants. I have referred to some of this 8 documentation in my opening, but I think some of it 10 bears further examination and certainly I am going to 11 deal, first of all, with the legislation which governed 12 the area of child migration. 13 In my opening I dealt with this at paragraph 29 and onwards, but if we could just look, first of all --14 15 I just remind you that prior to 1950 it was the 16 Children's Act 1908 which governed the area of child 17 migration. If we could look, first of all, at HIA098 -- that's 18 HIA098 -- this is section 21(6) of the Children's Act 19 20 Sorry. HIA098. You will see here that at 21 subsection (6): 22 "The Secretary of State in any case where it appears 23 to him to be for the benefit of a child or young person 24 who has been committed to the care of any person in 25 pursuance of this section may empower such person to

Page 33

procure the emigration of the child or young person, but except with such authority no person to whose care a child or young person is so committed shall procure his emigration."

Essentially this gave the power to the Secretary of State to consent to or his consent was required before a child could be emigrated, but that child was one who would have been in what we would now call the care of a fit person.

If we look at 118, that's HIA118, and this is the Children Act 1908 and section 70 there, if we can just scroll down to that, reads:

"If any youthful offender or child detained in or placed out on licence from a certified school or a person when under the supervision of the managers of such a school conducts himself well, the managers of the school may, with his own consent, apprentice him to or dispose of him in any trade, calling or service, including service in the navy or army, or by emigration, notwithstanding that his period of detention or supervision has not expired; and such apprenticing or disposition shall be as valid as if the managers were his parents."

Now we will be aware this particular provision related solely to those children who were in certified

- or training schools and who were serving a period of
- 2 detention, and the consent of the Ministry was required.
- 3 Sorry. I should have read on the second part:
- 4 "Provided that where he is to be disposed of by
- 5 emigration, and in any case unless he has been detained
- for 12 months, the consent of the Secretary of State
- 5 shall also be required for the exercise of any power
- 8 under this section."
- 9 Essentially what was required was that if a child
- was in a training school or certified school back in
- 11 1908, the Ministry's consent was required before he
- 12 could be sent for emigration, and you will recall that
- I used the example of the Malone Training School and the
- children who were sent from there.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: This is the Act presumably on which the Ministry
- of Home Affairs relied in the 1920s.
- 17 MS SMITH: Yes, indeed, Chairman.
- The certified reformatory or industrial school you
- 19 will be aware then was succeeded by training schools in
- this jurisdiction, and where it says "Secretary of
- State", in fact, it was the Chief Secretary of Ireland
- in Northern Ireland -- sorry -- in 1908 before petition.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Those powers were then transferred to in
- this instance I imagine the Ministry of Home Affairs.
- 25 MS SMITH: Yes. Then that's the position prior to 1950.

With the Children and Young Persons Act (Northern Ireland) 1950 if we could look, first of all, at HIA230, please. This is section 94 of that Act, and under this provision welfare authorities were empowered, subject to consent of the Ministry:

"... to procure or assist in procuring the emigration of any child in their care, and the provisions of subsection (5) of section 111 of this Act shall apply with respect to children received into the care of a welfare authority under section 81 of this Act in like manner as the said provisions apply with respect to children and young persons committed under this Act to the care of a welfare authority as a fit person."

If we could look then at section 111(4), first of all, at HIA245, you will see there that at subsection

(4) this is the provision which covers children who are the subject of a Fit Person Order and it says:

"The Minister may at any time in his discretion discharge a child or young person from the care of the person to whose care he has been committed and any such discharge may be granted either absolutely or subject to conditions."

I refer to that subsection because I do recall calling up or seeing in the bundle of evidence in respect of one -- it may have been the boys who were --

I think it might have been HIA354, that there was a document which indicated that he was discharged from care subsequent to his being migrated to Australia. I think it was in that bundle of documents relating to him, but at (5) it says:

"The Minister in any case where it appears to him to be for the benefit of a child or young person may empower the person to whose care he has been committed to arrange for his emigration, but except with the authority" -- scroll on to the next page, please -- "authority of the Minister no person to whose care a child or young person has been committed shall arrange for his emigration.

Provided that the Minister shall not empower such a person to arrange for the emigration of a child or young person unless he is satisfied that the child or young person consents or, being too young to form or express a proper opinion on the matter, is to emigrate in company with a parent, guardian or relative of his or is to emigrate for the purpose of joining a parent, guardian, relative or friend, and also that his parents have been consulted or that it is not practicable to consult them."

So there were conditions attached to the grant of permission by the Minister. He had to be satisfied that

the child was consenting or that he was too young and his parent or guardian was -- had been consulted or that 3 it wasn't practicable to consult them. That may well be what the Minister had in mind in CHAIRMAN: relation to HIA354 when he said he would, overruling the advice or not accepting the advice he was given, permit his travelling, provided he was in the care of a suitable person on the voyage. 8 9 MS SMITH: Yes. If we could just look at that document where the Minister, in fact, overruled the Civil 10 11 That's at AUS11260. You will recall that this 12 was a case where Tyrone County Welfare Committee sought 13 the permission of the Ministry to -- for the emigration of the child who was in their care, and the memo, if I can just read it in its entirety -- it bears repetition: 15 16 "Please see the attached letter from Tyrone County 17 Welfare Committee asking if the Ministry would approve 18 arrangements for the emigration of this boy who is 19 an orphan aged 8 and a half years. Sections 94 and 20 111(5) of the Children & Young Persons Act refer. 21 The scheme under which the proposed emigration would take place is administered by the Presbyterian Church in 22 23 Australia. The boy's prospects would probably be 24 brighter if he were to allowed to emigrate under this

scheme than if he were to remain at home.

25

Page 38

The proviso to section 111(5) of the Act, however, debars the Minister from authorising the emigration of a child unless he is satisfied that the child consents or, being too young to form an express -- or express an opinion on the matter, is to emigrate in company with a parent, guardian or relative, or is to emigrate for the purpose of joining a parent, guardian, relative or friend.

A child of 8 years of age is obviously too young to form or express a proper opinion on the advantages or disadvantages of emigration. In the circumstances the section would appear to debar any prospect of emigration in this case for present.

A representative of the Dhurringile Training Farm
has recently approached the welfare authorities in
Northern Ireland, having previously ascertained that no
objection to this course would be raised by the
Ministry, to inform them of the facilities for
emigration which they could offer in the case of
suitable boys. It is possible that other requests for
information may be received from welfare authorities.

Whilst it is difficult to say at what age any particular child may reach the stage of mental development at which he is capable of forming a proper judgment on such a question, it is unlikely that such

Page 39 1 a stage would be reached before the age of 12 years at the earliest. I think, therefore, that unless the 3 conditions laid down in paragraph 111(5) are satisfied, we should not agree to the emigration of any child under 5 that age." That's signed in August 1950 by Shanks, the civil 7 service -- servant advising the Minister. 8 However, if we could enlarge the handwritten note at the bottom, please, the Minister has -- there's a note 10 that says: 11 "ASC spoke to the Minister about this yesterday 12 afternoon. The Minister agrees generally as it would" 13 -- it is very difficult -- "agrees generally as it would probably be in the interests of the child if he could 14 15 emigrate under a" -- it is quite difficult to make this 16 out. 17 "Under a scheme." CHAIRMAN: 18 "Under a scheme sponsored by a responsible body MS SMITH: 19 such as the Presbyterian church, he would be prepared to 20 approve if a responsible officer or agent of the 21 managing body of the farm could", I believe that's, 22 "assume responsibility of the child or assume 23 guardianship of the child or other ..." 24 CHAIRMAN: "... reasonable arrangements made for travel and 25 subsequent care."

```
1
                It's quite difficult to make out. Thank you,
    MS SMITH:
        Chairman, Miss Doherty. So essentially this is
3
        a situation where the civil servant is advising the
        Ministry that reallyO'Reilly children under the age of
        12 aren't capable of giving informed consent, but the
        Minister overrules the objections in this particular
        case provided that there's someone travels with him and
        he thinks that it would be for the benefit of the child.
8
            There are -- just to go back to the final provision,
        which is at HIA276, which is schedule 4, paragraph 7 of
10
11
        the 1950 Act, this covers the situation of emigration
12
        from training schools. In paragraph 7:
13
             "If a person under the care of the managers of
14
        a training school conducts himself well, the managers of
15
        the school may, with his written consent, apprentice or
16
        place him in any trade, calling or service, including
17
        service in the army -- sorry -- "navy, army or air
18
        force, or may with his written consent, and with the
19
        written consent of the Ministry, arrange for his
20
        emigration.
21
            Before exercising their powers under this paragraph
22
        the managers shall, where it is practicable to do so,
23
        consult the parents of the person concerned."
24
            You will note this is different from the provision
25
        under the 1908 Act in that written consent is required
```

- and it does not require -- sorry. My mind has just

 drawn a blank. There is a distinction there because it

 doesn't require the child to have reached a certain
- 4 stage in its period of detention.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 CHAIRMAN: The child has to give his written consent, as
6 does the Ministry, and they are only obliged to consult
7 with the parents where it is practicable.

MS SMITH: Yes. Now there are similar provisions in the

1968 Children & Young Persons Act, but I don't propose

to open those to the Inquiry, as we are aware that they

wouldn't have come into play in the case of any of the

child migrants who left from Northern Ireland, as by the

stage that that piece of legislation was enacted the

child migration schemes had come to an end.

Obviously the legislation outlines those situations where ministerial consent was required. However, the position is that no ministerial consent was required in respect of those migrated who were not either the subject of a court order, a Fit Person Order, or who had been in a training school, and essentially we know that from the information we have received that the majority of children who were migrated from Northern Ireland came from voluntary homes, and the issue then arises as to what knowledge the Northern Irish government would have had about the migration of those children and the

1 numbers of those children being migrated since their consent was never sought or required to be sought. 3 There is a suite of correspondence which occurred in If we could look at that, first of all. 1949 and 1950. The first would be at AUS4192. This is a letter from -- excuse me -- to McWilliam in the Northern 7 Ireland Cabinet Office from the Home Office in Whitehall and it reads: 8 "The enclosed copy of a letter from the Office of the High Commissioner at Canberra has been forwarded to 10 11 our Children's Department by the Commonwealth Relations Office. 12 13 The letter has been very much delayed and I should 14 be grateful if you would be good enough to let me have 15 the views of the Northern Ireland government as early as 16 possible on the matters raised by the Australian 17 authorities." That letter was in December, 28th December 1949. 18 19 Just the letter which is enclosed can be found at 20 AUS4081. This is a letter to the Commonwealth Relations

Just the letter which is enclosed can be found at AUS4081. This is a letter to the Commonwealth Relations Office from the Office of the High Commissioner for the United Kingdom in Canberra. It is dated 15th August 1949 and it says:

"Dear Dixon,

21

22

23

25

Our attention has been drawn to certain sections of

the United Kingdom Children Act 1948 ..."

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I pause to comment that Act did not extend to

Northern Ireland.

"... which describe the powers of local authorities in the United Kingdom to arrange for the emigration of children and to the interpretation of these sections by the authorities concerned insofar as it affects the introduction to Australia of children from the United Kingdom under the terms of the assisted passage agreement and the provision for their guardianship in this country made by the Commonwealth Immigration (Guardianship of Children) Act 1946-1948.

With particular regard to section 17 of the Children Act 1948 the Department of Immigration state that they have been advised that the attitude to child migration of the Secretary of State for the Scottish Home Department is as follows:

- (a) Irregardless of whether a parent consents or not, the Secretary of State has the final word where a child maintained by a local authority is concerned.
- (b) Except where a child goes to join a parent, relative, guardian or friend, he will withhold permission for emigration for all children of tender years -- this being interpreted as under the age of ten years."

If we could go down to the next page, please:

- "(c) After the necessary investigation and in consultation with the local authority children over the age of ten years may be allowed to emigrate providing that they themselves desire to go.
- (d) The term 'guardian' in section 17 of the Act -1948 Act is to be interpreted strictly as meaning
 an individual. The Secretary of State is not prepared
 to accept either the guardianship of Mr Calwell, the
 Australian Minister for Immigration (as is accepted
 under the Government Child Migration Scheme Agreement)
 or the guardianship of the superior home. Individual
 guardianship must be provided.
- (e) A child of any age may be allowed to emigrate providing all the authorities concerned are satisfied if he or she goes to join a brother or sister already established in Australia -- whatever the age of the brother or sister may be, an example of this being that a child of five years of age having been granted permission to emigrate for the purpose of joining his brother who is not yet 7 years old.

The term 'children' in the foregoing covers all those maintained wholly or partially by a local authority."

This is obviously a communication from the Scottish

Home Department to the Commonwealth Relations Office in England or, in fact, to the Office of the High Commissioner for the United Kingdom in Canberra that he has received clearly showing what attitude the government in Scotland -- the Secretary of State for Scotland is going to take with regard to the emigration of children from that jurisdiction. It is clear this caused concern in the Australian authorities such that they then wrote to the Commonwealth Relations Office, which was then passed on to the Home Office, and then came to Northern Ireland to see what they intended to do, because the fear -- they fear -- the next paragraph is:

"They fear that if the Secretary of State for Scotland has been correctly reported, the consequences will be a virtual cessation of the migration to Australia under the auspices of and for placement with voluntary child migration organisations approved by our respective governments of children maintained either wholly or partially by local authorities responsible to the Scottish Home Department.

Could you please look into the matter urgently with a view to clarifying the position of the Department ..."

-- if we could just go the next page, please -- "of the Department of Immigration here and at the same time

- check the views of the Northern Ireland government?
- I imagine there is not likely to be any change in the
- 3 Home Department's attitude so far as England and Wales
- 4 are concerned. The Australian authorities are
- 5 particularly anxious that the interpretation of the term
- 6 'guardian' in section 17 of the Children Act 1948 should
- be established on a uniform basis throughout the United
- 8 Kingdom."
- 9 CHAIRMAN: I think part of the significance of that is that
- 10 the Secretary of State was required in England to give
- 11 consent to the emigration of children who were in
- voluntary homes, not just in care.
- 13 MS SMITH: That's correct. That was the effect of the 1948
- 14 Act.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: In England and Wales.
- 16 MS SMITH: In England and Wales, but, as I said, it did not
- 17 extend to Northern Ireland, and it was a --
- 18 CHAIRMAN: No, but the Scottish Home Department's view under
- 19 those provisions was that they would not agree to
- anybody younger than ten going.
- 21 MS SMITH: Exactly.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: But there was no such protection in Northern
- 23 Ireland.
- 24 MS SMITH: There was none, and, in fact, it may well have
- been a different attitude was taken by the authorities

in England and Wales with regard to the ages of the children, but there were clearly a large number --3 That seems to be the case, because we have seen forms that purport to be approved by the Secretary of 5 State. Yes, indeed. Clearly there was a large body of 6 MS SMITH: 7 children who were migrated from Scotland and from the Nazareth homes there, for example. So obviously if that source of migration -- of children was to be curtailed, 10 then that was causing concern to the Australian 11 authorities, and that's why they were writing seeking 12 for clarification of what attitude would be taken in 13 England and Wales and Northern Ireland and quite clearly saying they wanted a uniform basis for migration 15 throughout the UK. 16 CHAIRMAN: Yes. If we can then go to 4193, this is a letter from 17 MS SMITH: 18 the Cabinet Secretariat. That's from Mr McWilliam, who 19 then asks Robinson in the Ministry of Home Affairs for 20 his observations on this correspondence. He just simply 21 says there. It is dated 29th December: 22 "The Home Office have sent the attached copy of 23 a letter from the Office of the High Commissioner at 24 Canberra to the Commonwealth Relations Office. 25 letter seems to have been very much delayed.

The Home Office would be grateful for our views as

soon as possible on the matters raised by the Australian

authorities and I should be obliged if you would kindly

let me have your observations."

Then if I can just go to AUS4202, that letter -- you

will see the first one was dated in December 1949, and

on 1st February there is a reminder from the Home Office

to Mr McWilliam, because obviously Northern Ireland were

delaying matters even longer by not replying promptly:

"I would be grateful if you could let me know if you are yet in a position to reply to my letter of 28th

December enclosing a copy of a letter from the Office of the High Commissioner at Canberra, requesting the views of the Northern Ireland government on the interpretation of statutes governing the emigration of children in

There is some handwritten notes basically I think trying to hurry matters up.

19 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I noticed they asked for the reply to be 20 expedited.

21 MS SMITH: Yes.

care."

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

22 CHAIRMAN: Five, six weeks later nothing seems to have

happened.

24 MS SMITH: No.

25 CHAIRMAN: Expedition seems to have the same meaning then as

- it has now in Northern Ireland government departments.
- 2 MS SMITH: If we look at a memo that is prepared by the
- Ministry of Home Affairs, and we can see this at page --
- I think a better page is actually AUS4086 and this is
- 5 the response that is prepared from the Ministry of Home
- 6 Affairs, and it reads:
- 7 "In connection with the attached correspondence,
- 8 clause 94 of the Children and Young Persons Bill
- 9 contains the provisions which we hope to apply in
- relation to the emigration of children who are in the
- 11 care of welfare authorities."
- 12 This is obviously before the Children & Young
- 13 Persons Act was brought into being, because it is still
- 14 at the bill stage:
- The clause is based largely on the relative
- section in the Children Act 1948.
- Under our legislation a welfare authority will, with
- the consent of the Ministry, be enabled to procure or
- assist in procuring the emigration of any child in their
- 20 care. In all cases where a child is capable of giving
- 21 his consent such consent will be necessary, but where
- 22 the child is too young to form an opinion, he must
- emigrate in company with a parent, guardian or relative
- or must be emigrating to join a parent, guardian,
- relative or friend. In all cases the parent's consent

must be practicable -- must, where practicable, be obtained.

If we are going put forward any views on the subject of emigration to Australia, we will have to decide the age below which we deem a child incapable of giving his consent to the arrangements and we will have to make up our minds as to whether we will recognise an Australian Cabinet Minister as a guardian for the purposes of the section and similarly if we will also regard the Superior of the home for children in Australia as a suitable guardian.

Up to the moment under the old legislation this question of emigration has never to my knowledge cropped up, but I do know that at the present time the Roman Catholic church has a representative in Northern Ireland who is trying to make arrangements for the emigration of boys and girls to Australia. This scheme is being worked through organisations which have been set up in Australia by the church and which provide institutions for the reception of children from the British Isles and give them a certain amount of training in various trades before placing them in jobs in the commonwealth.

I understand that at the beginning this scheme was not looked upon too favourably by the Roman Catholic bishops in Northern Ireland on the grounds that the Roman

Page 51

Catholic population would be reduced. At the present time, however, the representative of the movement from Australia is working in close contact with the bishops and the scheme is being worked mainly through the Roman Catholic voluntary homes in Northern Ireland."

I just pause to make the point that this clearly shows that at that point in time the Northern Ireland government and Ministry of Home Affairs were well aware there were numbers of children being considered certainly for migration from the Roman Catholic voluntary homes. Not only were they being considered but they are working in close contact with the bishops and the scheme is being worked mainly through the Roman Catholic voluntary homes in Northern Ireland. He then goes on to discuss:

"I don't know what procedure has been laid down by
the Home Office insofar as England and Wales are
concerned, but it is quite clear that the procedure in
Scotland is at variance with that which obtains in
England. On the question of age I think that the limit
of 10 years fixed by the Scottish Home Department is
a liberal one, but I cannot understand why they refuse
to accept the guardianship of the Minister for
Immigration or indeed the Superior of a home. On the
whole I would be inclined to the view that we here

should be prepared to accept whatever arrangements exist in England, since in all probability that will eventually be the scheme which will be applied in Scotland.

In Great Britain the Secretary of State has power by regulations to control the making and carrying out by voluntary organisations of arrangements for the emigration of children. We did consider a similar provision for our legislation but the Parliamentary draughtsman thought that we would be prohibited from doing so. The whole question is more likely to arise in connection with voluntary organisations than in relation to children in the care of welfare authorities."

What he seems to be saying here is that, you know,

"We don't have the regulations to control the making and

carrying out by voluntary organisations of arrangements

for the emigration of children in our proposed new Act.

We did consider it, but felt we could not do that and

that the whole question is more likely to arise in

connection with the voluntary organisations than in

relation to the children in the care of welfare

authorities. That is the whole question of the issue of

what child -- age a child -- it is appropriate for

a child to go and the issue of guardianship.

"As I have already said, I think we should merely

```
1
        accept this letter to the effect that we will be
        prepared to accept ..."
3
             If you could go to the next page, please:
             "... whatever scheme is in existence in England and
        Wales and at the same time asking for information as to
        what is contained in this scheme."
7
             So that's the memo that is then sent to the Cabinet
8
        Office in Northern Ireland, and then there is
        a letter -- the Northern Ireland response to the Home
10
        Office query is found, dated 10th February. There's
11
        both a copy and the actual letter itself, which it might
12
        be clearer to look at, at AUS4201, and this is the
13
        letter enclosing the memo -- this is in response to
14
        the -- the memo is prepared by the civil servant who
        then -- the Minister of Home Affairs is then writing to
15
16
        the Cabinet Secretary I think and saying:
17
             "Dear McWilliam."
18
             It is dated 10th February 1950.
19
             "Dear McWilliam,
20
             I am extremely sorry for the delay in replying to
21
        your letter of 29th December last on the question of the
22
        emigration of children to Australia, but we've been very
23
        busy here, as you will realise, with new legislation and
```

it has not been possible to deal with the correspondence

24

25

before this.

Our Children and Young Persons Bill will enable a welfare authority to procure or assist in procuring ..."

He then quotes again:

"... the emigration of any child in their care, but where the child is capable of giving his consent, such consent will be necessary. Where the child is too young to form an opinion, he must emigrate in company with a parent, guardian or relative or must be emigrating to join a parent, guardian, relative or friend. In all cases the parent's consent must, where practicable, be obtained and the Ministry's approval ..." -- and there is a handwritten addition there which is very difficult to make out -- "will also be necessary in each case."

I am afraid I just can't make out what that says either from the copy I have or on the electronic version.

"As regards children in training schools, the managers may, with the child's consent, and with the consent of the Ministry, arrange for his emigration and must, where possible, consult with the child's parents.

I" -- and I will come back to why "we" is scored out
-- "do not visualise any child emigration on a large
scale from Northern Ireland and therefore we would
prefer to leave it that we would deal with any cases

Page 55 1 arising on their merits. We would not as a general principle apply the limited definition of 'quardian' 3 which appears to have been adopted by the Scottish authorities." 5 That is the letter that goes to the Cabinet 6 Secretariat. He then --7 Would it help if we blew up the letters a bit to 8 a higher magnification? 9 MS SMITH: It might assist, but I think in any case we can -- what has actually happened is that that letter is 10 11 then copied. Nowadays it would be cut and paste with 12 some amendment, but it is then copied and sent in -- on 13 14th February 1950 to Sir Ernest Holderness in the Home 14 Office from the Cabinet Secretary from McWilliam. 15 have a copy of that at AUS4200. I think that the 16 handwritten amendments in that were to allow the typist 17 to alter it, because, as you will see, it commences with 18 the same: 19 "I am sorry for the delay in replying ... on 28th 20 December ...", 21 about a letter forwarded from the home -- from the 22 Office of the High Commissioner at Canberra. 23 Then he quotes the Children and Young Persons Act 24 which received -- by this stage it has received royal 25 assent "today", that day, 14th February.

"A welfare authority will be able to procure or assist ..."

and he quotes from that. Then he talks:

"In all cases a parent's consent must, where practicable, be obtained and the approval of the Ministry of Home Affairs will also be necessary in each case. As regards children in training schools the managers may, with the child's consent, and with the consent of the Ministry, arrange for his emigration and must, where possible, consult with the child's parents.

We do not visualise any child emigration on a large scale from Northern Ireland and therefore would prefer to leave it that any cases arising would be dealt with on their merits. The Ministry of Home Affairs would not as a general principle applied the limited definition of 'guardian' which appears to have been adopted by the Scottish authorities."

So this correspondence clearly shows that there was knowledge within the Northern Ireland government of the fact that really very few people were being emigrated from Northern Ireland under the legislative provisions and they don't anticipate that there will be very many falling into that category, but the memo clearly shows that they were aware that nonetheless there was a migration scheme in operation where numbers were going

```
1
        from the voluntary homes and particularly the Catholic
        homes.
3
             In considering this whole area of what knowledge the
        government had if we could look at a further set of
        correspondence. This was a letter to the Ministry of
        Home Affairs from the Australian Catholic Immigration
7
        Committee, which was sent in 1949. Enclosed with that
8
        was a quarterly progress report. If we could look at
        this, please, at 7 -- AUS7078. No, I think I've got the
        wrong -- try 4078, please. Yes. This is actually the
10
11
        quarterly progress report.
                                     I did in looking through the
12
        documentation last night come across the letter that
13
        actually enclosed this, and I thought I had written down
14
        the reference number, but certainly it is --
15
                I wouldn't worry too much about it.
16
    MS SMITH:
              -- in the bundle. It is a very short letter, but
         it is enclosing this. If we look at this particular
17
18
        document, it says:
19
             "Quarterly progress report."
20
             It is about Scotland and Northern Ireland
21
        particularly.
22
             "General position regarding child migration.
                                                            The
23
        position in Scotland and Northern Ireland with regard to
24
        the emigration of children to Australia must be viewed
```

Although in 1947

at this time with dissatisfaction.

25

well over 100 children were sent out to Australian homes and convents, the numbers since then have gradually dropped and the last group sent out consisted of less than half a dozen children. There are so far as can be ascertained many reasons for this fall in numbers, the main ones being as follows:

1

3

7

8

11

12

13

15

18

19

22

23

24

25

Attitude of the homes and convents in this country."

That would be in Australia.

"Of all the homes from which it might be expected to obtain children for emigration, only one or two have so far been 100% cooperative. It would appear that a long-term policy with regard to the children's future is not being taken, and that several factors contribute towards this viewpoint, the main ones being:

- (a) personal attachment to the children.
- (b) general dislike of letting the children leavethe country.
 - (c) unwillingness to have the numbers in the homes depleted."

I should say that this was the attitude in Scotland and Northern Ireland, not Australia. I beg your pardon:

"Other factors having relation to the lack of response from the convents are mentioned in paragraph 4."

Then he talks about the attitude of public

Page 59 1 authorities towards child migration. If we go down to the section where it says "Northern Ireland": 3 "Up to the present time no application has been made to Irish local authorities, as the necessity has not yet arisen." That's -- we may need to actually go back up to 7 where it says about Scotland: 8 "Children placed in homes and chargeable to the local authority ..." Well, this is largely repetition of what we have 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 already seen in earlier documents. 12 MS SMITH: Yes. It says: 13 "The Home Office practice is exceedingly cooperative insofar as the children for whom permission has already 14 15 been sought are concerned, and they have after the 16 necessary legislation in no case refused permission", 17 but at this time in 1949 -- this report I think 18 actually dates to 1948 -- but at that time they had 19 not -- Northern Irish authorities had not exercised the 20 power to grant consent and had not been required to do 21 We are aware that the --22 CHAIRMAN: That, of course, would be for the Catholic 23 children. 24 That would not have applied -- this was with MS SMITH: 25 regard to the public authorities towards child

So it would have been -migration. CHAIRMAN: Yes, but when it says "no application has been 3 made", this is written from the viewpoint of the Roman 4 Catholic --5 MS SMITH: Yes, of the Catholic children, yes, absolutely. 6 CHAIRMAN: -- immigration organisation. 7 MS SMITH: It wouldn't have been required. 8 application was made because none was required. 9 CHAIRMAN: Their children were nearly all in voluntary homes 10 at that stage. 11 Yes. If we can just go down, the next heading is "The attitude of the Scottish and Irish hierarchies 12 13 towards child migration". In respect of Northern Ireland it reads: 15 "The Irish hierarchy hold mixed views on the subject 16 of emigration. His Grace the Archbishop of Armagh 17 circulated information about the scheme amongst Northern Irish parish priests in 1947, but other bishops in the 18 19 area have not responded to letters and circulars 20 regarding emigration which have been sent to them." 21 If I might just pause at this stage to give what I think is the example of the circular that would have 22 23 been sent in Northern Ireland, and that can be found at 24 AUS2588 and the pages after that. You will see that 25 this was the "Christian Brothers' and Associated Schemes

```
1
        for the Training of Boys and Girls in Western
        Australia". The pages run right through to 2634.
3
             I'm not going to go through it all, but if we can
         just go back to the first page there, please, you'll see
        that there are photographs of the different homes to
        which we have heard reference made by the applicants who
7
        have given evidence to the Inquiry.
8
             There is Nazareth House, Geraldton; a photograph
        described as "Approaching Bindoon"; St. Joseph's
        Orphanage, Subiaco; St. Mary's Agricultural School,
10
11
        Tardun, and that seems to be an artist's or
12
        an architect's depiction of what it would look like
13
        eventually; St. Vincent's Orphanage, Queen's Park; and
14
        then St. Peter's Intermediate Orphanage, Clontarf.
15
             Throughout the brochure we see photographs.
16
         just scroll down through it briefly, there are details
17
        given about the schemes and by whom they are operated
        and the various brothers there. You can see there
18
19
        a photograph -- just pause there -- a photograph of
20
        BAU4, who was a principal at Tardun.
21
    CHAIRMAN: He is described as "the Reverend Brother".
22
    MS SMITH:
                Yes.
23
    CHAIRMAN:
                Does that mean he was ordained?
24
                I don't believe so.
                                     I think that was just simply
    MS SMITH:
        the title that the Christian Brothers were given.
25
```

1 CHAIRMAN: Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 MS SMITH: So it goes down then. There is Clontarf, Tardun,
- and we see there the Reverend Prendiville, Archbishop of
- Perth. I am just going to scroll down this quickly.
- This is a letter from Archbishop Prendiville about the:

"Many thousands of homeless Australian boys and
girls have passed through the sheltering portals of
these homes. Just prior to the war it was our privilege
to add young citizens from the United Kingdom to our
flock and I wholeheartedly commend the proposal to
arrange for the reception of children from the United
Kingdom at the institutions in Western Australia and
commend the Catholic Episcopal Migration and Welfare
Association, which to is arrange -- which is to arrange

and control the migration scheme."

If we can just -- it just continues on. It gives details about the individual orphanages and homes and gives more photographs of them. You will see there photographs of children and the layout. Outdoor sleeping accommodation. Just continue on scrolling down, please. This is in relation to Clontarf, about whom -- which home we have heard a number of people were -- from Northern Ireland were sent to this particular orphanage. There is a photograph there, a clear photograph of the front view of the orphanage.

1 Then a pictorial map of the different buildings within that orphanage. Then some of the boys in the classrooms 3 and then the apprentices, the different skills and trades that they were to be taught, and then Clontarf chapel, the chaplain's residence, and then the kind of work which -- with which the children became engaged, 7 and again some of the inside of the room and some of the other buildings. Then there are "Some migrant boys who 8 arrived before the war received a rousing welcome". 10 Then we go on to Bindoon. At this stage Bindoon is 11 not completed. We see the prospective drawing of what 12 it's likely to look like once it is completed. 13 I see it says in the bottom right-hand corner: CHAIRMAN: "Administration block in course of erection by the 14 15 boys." If we can scroll on down, please, the laundry, 16 MS SMITH: 17 the recreation hall and views of the buildings. 18 there's a montage of photographs of the boys at school 19 and at work. The dairy herd and horse team. 20 scrolling down. 21 Then the next section relates to St. Mary's 22 Agricultural School in Tardun. Again we have a lot of 23 details, both written details and then quite a number of 24 pictures again showing the dormitories, trainees, 25 toilets and shower facilities, science room. One batch

- of boys off on holidays. The buildings in 1945. The Superior.
- Then once again the girls section. It is Nazareth

 House, Geraldton, and it shows the north wing of the

 house. Scroll down. Then the centre courtyard with

 fountain and goldfish pond. Tardun boys visiting

 Nazareth. I pause to say that the foundation books that

 have been provided by the Sisters of Nazareth for

 Geraldton do show, record these visits taking place

 between the two homes.

If we can go on down, please, then this is Subiaco

I think. St. Joseph's Orphanage it is described as

there. There is the main dormitory with a doll on each

child's bed. The chapel. Then child migration from

England, and that's the end of the brochure.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statistics and --

So it is clear from this particular brochure that it was very much a marketing piece of information and one can see how it would have impressed those people here who were being asked to send children for migration, but despite that fact -- I am not quite sure what the date of this brochure is. I am not sure if it is actually -- CHAIRMAN: Well, the internal evidence may well reveal that. I don't think it is necessary to look at it at the moment. There will be references to dates and

1 MS SMITH: Yes, it would be -- but certainly when the Australian Catholic Immigration Committee are providing 3 their progress report, that despite the bishops receiving such material from Australia, they have not responded to the letters and circulators -- circulars that were sent to them. If we could go back, please, to AUS4079, and then at 7 8 paragraph 4 then it says: "Other factors contributing toward the dearth of children for emigration. 10 11 Length of time between selection of children and 12 sailing date." 13 I pause there to say it is quite clear from the 14 child migration forms that we saw some were signed maybe 15 two years prior to the child actually travelling to 16 Australia. 17 "In this regard either parent or children or both 18 get tired of waiting and names are withdrawn. 19 High cost of outfitting and inadequacy of governing 20 -- government outfitting allowances (amounts to 4 pounds 21 per child). 22 The attitude of parents towards scheme. Some of 23 those -- these are traced through the homes, others 24 through the local authority. Information given to these 25 people is often scanty and their attitude is frequently

- one of suspicion.
- 4. Children are not being selected young enough.
- Once they approach the age of 15 years they are
- 4 potential wage earners and this is a great incentive to
- 5 the parent to keep them at home.
- 5. Non-cooperation of some local authorities in
- 7 tracing the parents.
- 8 6. Views by the convents themselves -- see
- 9 paragraph 1.
- 10 7. Lack of personal contact between the
- 11 representatives of the scheme and the clergy, convents
- and local authorities concerned."
- 13 You will be aware from what we have -- what I have
- 14 already outlined is that Br Conlon actually came to England
- and we know that he visited homes in Northern Ireland
- 16 after in particular seeking the permission of Bishop
- 17 Farren in Derry to visit two homes there. So obviously
- this lack of personal contact between the
- 19 representatives of the scheme and local clergy and
- 20 convents was addressed by his coming in subsequent
- 21 years.
- "In summing up the foregoing report it is to be
- emphasised that there is no wish to emigrate children
- from whom -- for whom adequate provision can be made in
- later years by parents or guardians. For those children

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 67

their rightful place is obviously in their own home with their parents and it would be neither desirable nor judicial to urge their emigration. The majority of children in homes, however, are either illegitimate or have parents who are totally unable to provide a decent home and upbringing for their children. It is for these children that emigration provides a complete answer to the question of the future. They are removed from parents who will exploit their wage-earning capacities, given more chance of learning a trade or profession which will give them security, and above all they are brought up in a completely Catholic atmosphere, thus removing all threat to their faith, which might well be endangered should they be returned to their own home at school leaving age. To these children, therefore, it is asked that every chance be offered and every effort made to induce their parents to allow them to emigrate."

So it is clearly -- from that it is quite clear that the intention is not to select children for emigration who had a chance of going back to their parents at some time in the future. Yet we know from the accounts that we have had that parents subsequently came looking for their children and by that stage it was too late. They had already gone.

It then recounts the numbers of children who have

1 already emigrated from Scottish and Irish homes and you see there that the Belfast -- the Nazareth Lodge, 3 Londonderry had sent 13 in 1947, nobody in 1948 or '49. St. Joseph's, Termonbacca sent 27 in 1947, again none in '48 or '49. Then Nazareth Lodge, Belfast sent 23 out in '47 but none in '48 or '49. 7 I pause there, because one of the matters that I'm 8 going to refer to later is the selection of children, and it is quite clear that there was -- from documents that I will refer to that the Australian authorities 10 11 were dissatisfied with what they described as the 12 sub-standard children who were sent out in 1947 and that 13 led to an increase in documentation being required and testing of the children before they were sent for 15 emigration. It says: 16 "Approximately 25 children are now awaiting passages 17 and it is hoped to send them in August of 1949." That obviously would be from both Scotland and 18 19 Northern Ireland. 20 Again this document, although it is from the Catholic Immigration -- the Australian Catholic 21 22 Immigration Committee -- Catholic Immigration Committee, 23 was sent to the Northern Irish government. I think the 24 letter may have been at 480. If we could just look at

Yes.

This -- it is dated 12th

the next page, please.

25

1 August 1949 and says -- it is addressed to the Australian Catholic Immigration Committee and it says: 3 "In the absence of Mr Dunbar on holidays I am acknowledging with thanks the receipt of your letter of 9th August regarding the migration of Northern Ireland children to Australia." 7 So that letter clearly shows that that material was 8 received in the -- with the Northern Irish government and that this letter has come from the Ministry of Home Affairs' file in the Public Records Office. 10 11 So the third way we know that the Northern Irish government were well aware of the scale of children who 12 13 were being emigrated not under the auspices of the legislation but by voluntary homes comes from a memo 15 which was made by Miss Forrest in 1955 and that's at 16 You see that it is -- it is 1955 and it is dated 21st November 1955 and it's a memo and she just 17 18 says: 19 "When I visited Nazareth Lodge on 1st November 20 Reverend Mother mentioned several points of interest." 21 We don't need to look at the first -- next three 22 paragraphs, but the final paragraph, it reads: 23 "She also tells me she is sending 23 boys to their 24

homes in Australia soon and may send another 20 later.
Rubane can't absorb all their output and this is how

25

- 1 they are to be disposed of. This is being arranged by a priest from Australia collecting children here. wondered if Mr Murphy, their aftercare officer, could cope with the negotiations and formalities for her. I said I didn't see why he shouldn't do ". So clearly from this in 1955 there are a number of 7 children going out. Miss Forrest, who you will remember is the inspector employed by the Ministry of Home Affairs, has gone to Nazareth Lodge on 11th November and in discussion with the Reverend Mother there she has 10 11 essentially agreed on behalf of the Ministry that 12 Mr Murphy, the aftercare officer for the boys, could 13 cope with the negotiations and formalities of migrating these children. 15 It seems -- if that was followed through and that 16 did happen, then there would seem to be some more 17 involvement by the Northern Ireland government in the migration of children than just under the legislative 18 19 scheme. 20 I don't have the figures to hand, but my CHAIRMAN:
- recollection is nothing like 23 boys did go in the end.
- 22 MS SMITH: I think that's probably correct, Chairman.
- I don't have the figures myself, but we do have them.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: And there's certainly nothing like 43 at all.
- 25 MS SMITH: Yes.

- 1 MS DOHERTY: Can I just check? Do we know is Mr Murphy the
- Nazareth Sisters' aftercare officer?
- 3 MS SMITH: Yes.
- 4 MS DOHERTY: So it's their aftercare?
- 5 MS SMITH: So he wasn't -- I beg your pardon. Yes. So he
- 6 was actually an employee of the --
- 7 MS DOHERTY: Nazareth?
- 8 MS SMITH: -- Nazareth Order rather than someone employed by
- 9 the Ministry. I apologise for misleading the Inquiry
- about that matter, but ...
- 11 CHAIRMAN: One wonders if Miss Forrest in saying:
- "Rubane can't accept all their output and how they
- are to be disposed of "
- was using her own language or that of the Reverend
- Mother.
- 16 MS SMITH: Indeed. It will become clear in the next module
- that when boys reached a certain age in Nazareth Lodge
- in Belfast, certainly from 1950, after Rubane opened,
- 19 a large number of them were then moved on to Rubane.
- 20 Just in discussing what actual numbers were sent
- from voluntary organisations, you will recall, Chairman,
- that earlier in the week I drew attention to a report
- that was prepared by the Deputy Director of the Catholic
- 24 Children's Society of Westminster, a Miss Rosemary
- 25 Keenan, where she in 1996 analysed the computer database

in relation to former child migrants to Australia.

If we could look at that, please. The first page is at 1190. That's AUS11... -- sorry. I take that back.

10090. I can't read. You will see that there is an introduction here at page 1 where she says:

"Between 1938 and 1963 Catholic religious orders and Catholic child care agencies in England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland sent children to Australia to be cared for by religious orders who ran a variety of institutions for children. It is not the purpose of this report to argue the cause and effect or the push and pull factors operating on the various parties to the programme at the time. This report is an analysis of data provided by the child migrants' register kept at the time, and other extant records were found in the UK and Australia. It should be added, however, that the accuracy and completeness of information recorded at the time varies substantially."

It says:

"All entries in the first edition have been cross-checked by agencies and religious orders in the UK and Australia. Amendments have been made to that edition. Subsequent to this the database has been kept up-to-date and this third analysis of the database is therefore a more accurate reflection of the Australian

child migration by Catholic agencies and orders."

If we could go to the next page, please, and it -she gives figures there, that from the central register
of migrants it appeared that 970 children had been sent
to Australia.

"The early process of cross-referencing revealed an additional 37, to which a further 140 have since been added. While the majority of children were sent under the scheme administered by the Catholic Child Welfare Committee, it is believed that other children were sent by religious orders working directly with representatives of the Australian church and the Christian Brothers. Evidence for this is found within the minutes of the Catholic Child Welfare Committee meetings written at the time and supported by the finding of additional children mentioned above. The total number of children sent to Australia is unknown at this time. There are currently 100 -- 1011 -- 1147 entries for former child migrants on the database.

Work undertaken by the Poor Sisters of Nazareth, who have cross-checked every entry on the original database of migrants, has revealed new information and additional papers which have been added to the files kept by the CCWC."

She then analyses certain aspects of the data, the

- 1 first of which is age. She says: "The average age of children sent to Australia was 3 approximately 9.4 years, the youngest being 2 years and the oldest entrant on the original register was a 23 year old who was accompanying her younger sister. The ages and numbers of children sent in each age range 7 be seen in table 1." 8 We see there is one child went at the age of 2, but, as she says: "The majority of children sent were between the ages 10 11 of 5 and 13 years, the Australian Catholic Church 12 preferring younger children to be sent. The highest 13 single percentage of children sent were 8 year olds, with about half of all children aged between 7-10 years 15 of age. There are 99 children for whom the date of 16 birth/age is not given in records." 17 If we can scroll on down, please. Just scroll to 18 the next page. Thank you. There is a diagram there 19 setting out the age analysis of the children in pie 20 chart form. 21 "The gender of the children. 22 "Of the 1147 children sent, 795 were boys (some 69%)
- 23 and 352 were girls (31%).
- 24 Consent to migration.
- 25 Consent by birth parents was given to the migration

```
1
        of children in 216 instances (19%). In 913 (80%)
         instances it is unknown whether or not parental consent
3
        was given as the documentary evidence remains unfound."
             With regard to the sender:
             "It is often difficult to identify the exact
        relationship between the religious orders running the
        homes and the child care agencies that may have placed
        children with them. In most instances the agency would
        have been involved with the migration procedures and
10
                   The relationship between the agencies
        consent.
11
        and orders in the decision making process is usually
12
        unclear. The social history and clear reasons for
13
        migration are not given on migration forms and only
14
        rarely in supporting documentation.
15
             An analysis of those sending children to Australia
16
        reveals that of the 1147 children, 65.6% appear to have
17
        been sent by the Poor Sisters of Nazareth.
18
             The analysis of the above chart is distorted
19
        somewhat by double counting. Certain migrants have both
20
        agency and order recorded",
21
              and it gives an example of that.
22
             "Children sent to Australia -- analysed by religious
        order in the UK."
23
24
             There is a table there, which clearly shows the
25
         figures being 96.9% were sent by the Poor Sisters of
```

- Nazareth out of a total of 777 children.
- With regard to destination:
- 3 "Almost half of all children who migrate went to the
- 4 care of the Christian Brothers. For 14.6% of all
- 5 children the CCWC has no record of their destination.
- 6 Details of destinations are contain in the chart and
- 7 table following."

1

- 8 We will see that the homes that have been referred
- 9 to by applicants to the Inquiry are listed there on that
- table of destination and you will see that the order or
- institution to whom they were sent includes SND220 as
- someone, and we will see -- we have seen the migration
- forms where he has signed it on behalf of the
- organisations in Australia for whom he was working at
- 15 the time.
- 16 At the bottom there we can see Castledare, Clontarf,
- 17 Tardun, Bindoon in Western Australia and at the top we
- see East Camberwell, Camberwell, Nazareth House and
- 19 Nazareth House in Geraldton.
- If we could go to the next page, please, it records
- 21 that:
- "There were 13 deaths recorded either in the
- register or in supporting correspondence. Two were
- girls who died within months of arrival. The remainder
- were boys, mostly in road accidents.

```
1
             To the above deaths have been added additional
        details on the deaths of former migrants as adults.
3
         is hoped that this information will assist those working
         on behalf of birth families of former migrants in the
         future.
                  In total 26 former child migrants are known to
        have died at the time of this analysis", which was
7
         August 1996.
8
             It talks about supporting paperwork:
             "Aside from an entry in the migrants register,
         additional papers kept by CCWC were found for 789
10
11
        migrants (69% [of the total]). Papers vary considerably
         in both quality and quantity of information and included
12
13
         combinations of the following:
             Migration papers.
15
             Correspondence.
16
             Brief details of reason for migration.
17
             Copies or originals of birth certificates/baptismal
18
         certificates.
19
             Medical reports.
20
             School reports from England.
21
             Progress reports on individual children from
22
         institutions in Australia.
23
             The above figure does not take into account records
24
         that may be held by individual sending agencies or
25
         religious orders."
```

Then:

1

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Inquiries by and on behalf of former childmigrants.

According to the register and the additional supporting paperwork so far consulted 266 former migrants (23%) have made enquiries about themselves or their families or information has been requested by third parties on their behalf. 27% of this 23% of enquiries have returned to the agencies requesting further searches, information, etc. Some of those -- these enquirers have made a number of contacts over a lengthy period of time. Additionally there were 99 migrants (9%) whose family made enquiries about them, with double enquiries made in a few cases, for example, a sibling and a parent both enquiring on separate occasions.

For the purposes of this analysis contact subsequent to second enquiries have not been included.

The preceding figures are an underestimate of the total number of migrants that have made contact. Until recently the CCWC did not record all enquiries made by or on behalf of former migrants. Enquiries to religious orders and other childcare agencies in the UK have now been added to the database.

Table 3 below gives an analysis by year of the

initial enquiries made by and in respect of former migrants. Again this underestimates the total, as a significant number of former migrants have over the years made further enquiries."

The table is there showing that in the 1960s there were a large number of inquiries, a larger number than had been the case prior to that being made, but if we look at the situation from 1992 onwards, there is large numbers 1992, '93, '94 and again '95, but certainly in 1994 there were 47 people asking about their backgrounds. It says:

"Although it would be dangerous to draw conclusions from such incomplete records, it appears that there is a substantial (and probably increasing) level of enquiries at present. For example, there appears to have been only a handful of enquiries during the 1980s, but during the 1990s the number rose to a peak of 62 in 1994."

I should say that the 47 was the first contact in that table.

"Experience in respect of migrants to Canada indicates that enquiries from migrants and their descendents are likely to continue for many years.

Acknowledgments. Compilation of this report and the three editions of the central database has taken

approximately 700 hours to complete. In addition to this, many hours have been spent by workers in agencies 3 and religious orders in the UK and Australia. Considerable credit should go to Sister John Ogilvie of the Poor Sisters of Nazareth, who spent 1500 hours cross-checking the references to children sent by the Order against extant records from the 26 Nazareth House homes across the UK which sent children to Australia. would like to express my appreciation of Mother Bernard Mary, the Superior General of the Poor Sisters of 10 11 Nazareth, who made Sister John and her computer skills 12 available for this task and who ensured that Nazareth 13 House records in Australia were likewise checked. My final thanks go to David Walley ... " 15 She signed that in September 1996. 16 So it is clear this document relates to the children 17 who were sent from the UK and it was probably the best figures that could be arrived at at the time in 1996 and 18 19

who were sent from the UK and it was probably the best figures that could be arrived at at the time in 1996 and it is likely that a lot of the documentation that may have been used to compile this information was of the same nature as the material that we have had presented to us by the former child migrants. So it was clearly an onerous task to try to decipher just exactly how many children did go, but there is the caveat attached to that obviously that those figures will include double

20

21

22

23

24

25

counting and cannot be completely accurate.

1

That brings me back to the issue of the selection of 3 children for migration. One of the -- we have seen from the documentation and from the accounts that have been given to us by the child migrants that certainly after 1947 there was a more rigorous selection process or a more rigorous form filling that had to be undergone with regard to the selection of children, and one of the reasons for this is that it would appear that the Australian authorities were dissatisfied with the 10 11 children who were sent out in 1947. We have seen 12 documents which have been kindly brought to our 13 attention by Miss Walkenshaw for the Sisters of Nazareth, which are documents in the National Archive of 15 Australia. They can be viewed digitally at the 16 naa.gov.au website. However, we have requested a hard 17 copy of a file there, which is described as the 18 "Department of Immigration file, subject: Catholic 19 Episcopal Migration and Welfare Associate, Perth, Child 20 Migration", and in that file there is a telegram which 21 seems to be a communication between the Department of 22 Immigration and the High Commissioner's Office in London 23 sent in 1949. I have copied the wording of that 24 document or that telegram. It says: 25 "No nomination from Church of England stop Catholic

nomination for placements 30 children stop This

nomination will be recommended subject thorough medical
exam... -- medical check stop This necessary owing
excessive number of sub-standard children so far
received."

Clearly that was a telegram that was describing children in those terms across the UK, not particularly relating to Northern Ireland, but other documents describe them as borderline defective, and the High Commissioner was saying at this time that there were many children available in the UK for migration, but the Australians were simply saying, "We're not going to accept those children that -- of the type you have sent prior to now".

We do see that subsequent to that there seems to be a more complicated process in relation to the migration schemes themselves. We see that clearly in relation to HIA354 and the documentation relating to him. He did have to undergo IQ tests. If we could just look at that at AUS11073, this was from the Western -- the Tyrone County Welfare Committee's file, which is the IQ test that was undergone by the child before he left. If we can just scroll down that, it shows the type of test that the children were expected to undergo. If we just scroll down through that page, what I think most of us

who did the 11 Plus several years ago might recognise as a verbal reasoning type paper, but there were different tests for each age group, which would explain why certain aspects of this paper are not completed.

There's year III. So it would have been anticipated that this type of test would have tested a child's IQ at different stages in development.

If we just scroll on down through that, please, we see here that at year VI there were supposed to be six tests two months each or four tests three months each.

This seems to have been the section that was completed by HIA354 testing his vocabulary, testing copying a bead chain from memory, mutilated pictures, number concepts, pictorial likenesses and differences and maze tracing. You will see that these are the mazes that were provided. He has drawn a little line from the little person trying to get home to the house on the maze.

Just scroll on down. Again another test of drawing shapes, picture absurdities, similarities, copying a diamond, comprehension, opposite analogies, repeating five digits. Then a vocabulary test. Memory for stories and verbal absurdities again.

Scrolling down, similarities and differences, comprehension, memory for sentences, paper cutting, verbal absurdities, memory for designs, rhymes, giving

change, repeating four digits reversed.

So certain tests were carried out by a child according to the age and the older the child, the more tests had to be completed. Vocabulary, reading and report, memories, time for reading, mistakes, finding reasons, word naming, repeating, memory for designs, verbal absurdities, abstract words, memory for sentences, word naming, similarities, vocabulary, verbal absurdities II, response to pictures, repeating five digits reversed, abstract words, Minkus completion, and then obviously he hasn't completed any of the rest of this form, because he wasn't old enough to do so.

Now you recall that many of those who have spoken to us have memories of undergoing both medical and IQ tests and there were -- if I can just refer briefly to the evidence in the bundle relating to HIA308, which we saw I think earlier this week or late last week. AUS11494, please. This is the letter from Professor Seth of Queen's University, and he sent -- I will just read it out again:

"I am sending you now the report of our examination of the nine children in Nazareth Lodge, Belfast, whom you referred to us.

The examinations were carried out in Nazareth Lodge on Monday, 27th and Wednesday, 29th August 1956. All

Page 85

intelligence quotients reported were obtained on the

Terman-Merrill Binet scale (Form L). In qualification

of the actual -- in qualification of the actual IQ

figures it should be noted that all the results were in

our opinion somewhat lowered by the limited range of

experience in this group of children due to their

prolonged institutionalisation.

In all cases report from the staff of Nazareth Lodge and our own observation of the children would not suggest any marked degree of emotional maladjustment in any of these cases. All the children cooperated well in the test situation and we formed a very good opinion indeed of the care and training that had been provided for them.

Some comment on the lowest result obtained -- that on", and the name of a child is given, "(IQ80) -- is perhaps in order. This child was very well adjusted in the test situation but he is very young and at several points in his performance there were suggestions that this result falls short of representing his effective intelligence.

If there are any points about which you would care to have fuller information, we shall be glad to try to amplify this note."

So it's clear that there was testing being done on

the children. We have seen the reports of the medical examinations in respect of some of them, and Professor Seth certainly at the date of this letter, which was in 1956, was carrying out IQ tests on behalf of children who were to be migrated from Nazareth Lodge.

If we just look at two other letters in respect of this, they are to be found at AUS5218, first of all.

These are letters sent by the Australia Catholic

Immigration Society to the Mother Superior of

Termonbacca.

The first is 4th December 1951 and it says:
"Dear SR106,

We have just received a small nomination for boys aged 9 to 12 and as Father Nicol has left instructions for your boys to be given first consideration, I propose to submit the following boys whom we have on our files and who apparently would be eligible under this nomination."

He names the boys there.

"As you know, we require the following documents in respect of each child: application forms, birth certificate, baptismal certificate, confirmation certificate if confirmed, case history, IQ report and school report. Many of these documents we already have. I therefore set out below the list of papers we are

holding for each boy",

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and he recites those.

3 "We would be grateful if you would let us know if these children are still available for emigration. if you have any other boys of this particular age group whom we could perhaps migrate with a later batch? you wish these lads to be included in the next sailing of migrant children, we would appreciate it if you would 8 furnish us with any documents which are missing from our files. We would point out that very careful selection 10 11 is now taking place and therefore if children are any 12 way below average and do not come up to the required 13 standard, they are being sent back.

With every good wish.

Yours sincerely.

M. Canning",

who is described as "Secretary to the Reverend Father Nicol".

This is clearly showing what documents are required before a child's application for migration can be submitted to the Australian authorities, but the point at the bottom about children being any way below average are being sent back, I am not sure if that refers to them being sent back from Australia or just simply that their applications are refused by the Australian

authorities, but in any event that would seem to bear out the fact that the Australian authorities after their experiences in 1947 had tightened up procedures somewhat.

If we then look at AUS5216, please, this is a further letter to the Sister Superior at Termonbacca dated 20th December 1951 and it says:

"Dear SR106,

We wrote to you on the fourth instant but feel that inadvertently the letter was wrongly addressed, having put 'Termonbacca, Londonderry' instead of 'Termonbacca, County Derry'. Perhaps the letter has by this time found its way to its proper destination. However, in case it has not, we desire to tell you that we have received a nomination for boys and have submitted the application of three boys. In our previous letter we suggested one boy also, but he comes outside the age group for this Tasmanian nomination of boys from 9 to 12, so we have had to withdraw him for the time being.

As you probably know already, it is now necessary to furnish three application forms, birth certificate, baptismal certificate, school report, IQ report and case history in respect of each child. Some of these documents we already possess. We therefore set out below a list of the papers we are holding for each boy."

He does that. He repeats:

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

"We would be grateful if you would let us know if these children are still available for emigration and, if so" -- could you scroll down, please -- "we will be glad to have their IQ and school reports and case histories. At the same time perhaps you would be so kind as to let us have a list of any other boys whom you wish to be migrated to Australia and who fit into the specified age group.

Since our first letter we have noticed on our files an application for [a boy], presumably the brother of [another boy]. If this is so and you wish the brothers to be migrated together, we may possibly be able to fit them into a special nomination which has come through for Western Australia.

When submitting further applications, Father Nicol asks you to bear in mind the fact that if these children are in any way below average mentally or physically, they will be sent back.

Wishing you every blessing for the coming holy season.

I remain",

and it's signed "M. Canning, Secretary to the
Reverend Father Nicol, Parish Priest."

So that documentation indicates that there was

Page 90

a selection procedure in place. The Australian authorities had demanded certain information before a child could be selected, but it does not enlighten us as to how the Sisters themselves identified which children would be suitable for migration.

The next matter which I wish to raise is that of what was known and when about what happened to the children once they were migrated to Australia. There's a number of documents in relation to that.

You will recall that in -- when we were looking through the material relating to HIA354, there was a body of material from Tyrone County Welfare Committee and we examined that material in relation to concerns that had arisen over Dhurringile and what they did to try to ascertain what the correct picture was with regard to that particular home, and they eventually satisfied themselves with regard to the home, although we know that subsequently there doesn't appear to have been any other child sent out.

We have received a document again. It is a document that comes from the National -- the Australian National Archives, and again I am grateful to Miss Walkenshaw for locating that for us. It is entitled "Good British Stock: Child and Youth Migration to Australia", and can be found in the National Archive of Australia website

- 1 It is now in the bundle at pages AUS2960 and again. following. I am not going to call that up just at this 3 point in time save for one entry, but it's a 38-page document, and it gives the background to the child migration schemes, and it provides a synopsis of the files that are held in the National Archive of 7 Australia in respect of the issue of child migration. 8 If we look at page 11 of that document, which is at 29... -- 2970. Sorry. It is just -- leave that one. It is actually the 1951. Yes, it is page 25 of it, 10 11 which is -- page 25 at 2985, please. 12 E.P. OPERATOR: I don't appear to have that document. 13 MS SMITH: I understand it was given to Merrill last night, 14 Chairman. 15 Perhaps if I just read from it. 16 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 17 MS SMITH: The particular entry relates to a general 18 inspection of Castledare Catholic Home in 1948 to 1954. 19 As the person who has complied the document on behalf of 20 the National Archives of Australia comments: 21 "The most important item is a summary of the 22 Castle... -- is a summary of the Castledare situation 23 penned by Mr W. Garnett of the UK ..."
- 24 Sorry. I will start again:
- "... of the UK High Commission to Mr A.L. Nutt at

the Immigration Department dated 12th January 1951:

1

3

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

'I visited Castledare in 1944. Very
unsatisfactory. My impression after going over my
reports and with vivid recollection of what the place
looked like when I last saw it was that the authorities
responsible for Castledare have a very dilatory -- have
been very dilatory in effecting essential improvements,
even assuming that the institution is capable of being
adapted to meet modern requirements.'

Then in the Immigration -- it states that:

"The Immigration Department reacted defensively to the British official's comments and in 1951 a team inspection of the institution reported in positive terms and later reports are equally encouraging."

So it would seem certainly in 1944 the British authorities are aware that some of these homes were unsatisfactory and had expressed their displeasure, which resulted in things improving by May of 1951.

There is correspondence in the bundle which I'm going to refer to now which relates to the -- it is correspondence between the Northern Ireland government and the Home Office in relation to the Ross report.

If we could look at the first page, please, which is at AUS4249, you will recall that when I opened the Ross report, this was a fact-finding mission that had been

sent out to Australia in 1956, which visited a number of homes in Australia and received reports on a number of others, and the report -- the Ross report was quite concerned about the homes to which children were being sent from the UK.

Can we have AUS4249, please? This is a letter dated 25th January 1957. It is to the Ministry of Home Affairs from the Home Office and it says:

"Dear Duff,

You wrote to Gwynn on 3rd January to ask for information about the institutions in Australia to which migrant children are being -- are sent by the voluntary organisations here and to ask what English local authorities do about a Fit Person Order when a child committed to their care is emigrating.

The fact-finding mission sent to the Commonwealth Relations Office confidential notes on each of the institutions they -- confidential notes on each of the institutions they visited and I enclose a set for you. Neither their existence nor their contents should be disclosed. These notes have been a source of embarrassment to us. We were loathe to see migrant children going to some of the institutions but we could not use the notes to justify any effective action because they were confidential, were not full inspection

reports and did not deal with all the institutions in Australia.

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commonwealth Relations Office have to approve (for the purposes of the assisted passages scheme) the emigration of each child put forward by a voluntary organisation with whom they have an agreement and in addition the Home Secretary's approval is needed under section 17 of the Children Act 1948 before any child in the care of a local authority may emigrate. Fortunately the latter type of case is rare, but Commonwealth Relations Office have been in a serious dilemma.

I am also enclosing copies of my letters of 15th and 22nd June to the Commonwealth Relations Office. will show the way our minds are working at the" -scroll on down, please -- "at the time. The second letter was reinforced by a talk that Gwynn and I had with Shannon, in which Gwynn expressed rather more firmly our objections to the middle course that the Commonwealth Relations Office were disposed to take. CRO did not accept our advice and, after consulting the Australians through the High Commissioner, drew up a black list of the five establishments specifically" -- sorry -- "specially condemned by John Ross in the letter with which his confidential notes were enclosed. These were:

- 1 Salvation Army, Riverview Training Farm, Queensland.
- Dhurringile Rural Training Farm, Tatura, Victoria
- 3 (Presbyterian).
- 4 St. John Bosco's Home -- Boys' Town, Hobart (Roman
- 5 Catholic).
- Methodist Children's Home, Magill, Adelaide.
- 7 St. Joseph's Farm School, Bindoon, Western Australia
- 8 (Roman Catholic).
- 9 Nothing was said to the voluntary organisations
- about this black list, but CRO applied administrative
- delay to applications for them and approved applications
- for the other institutions in the ordinary way.
- The Australian authorities had a quick inspection of
- the institutions on the black list and I enclose copies
- of reports of them. The minor improvements carried out
- 16 at Dhurringile and Bindoon since then seem satisfactory
- as far as they go, but they can have done little to meet
- the main criticism of the fact-finding mission and the
- 19 reports emphasise only too clearly the gap between our
- way of thinking and that of the Australians.
- The Australians later extended the scope of their
- inspection and we have just received their considered
- views on the fact-finding mission's report -- see
- enclosed copies of letters dated 16th and 17th January.
- 25 Within the last month or two CRO have lifted their

1 ban on approvals to children going to the five institutions on the black list. We understand that 3 applications are again being approved in the normal way, including at least one (a Scottish case) for Dhurringile. We have fortunately not been asked to approve under section 17 of the Children Act 1948 a child's being sent to one of the institutions of which the missions speak ill. 8 CRO are now in the process of reviewing the 10 agreements in the expectation that the Empire Settlement Acts will be continued by force" -- sorry -- "in force 11 12 by the Bill that is now before Parliament.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agreements in the expectation that the Empire Settlement Acts will be continued by force" -- sorry -- "in force by the Bill that is now before Parliament. The Parliamentary Undersecretary of State at the CRO had a meeting with representatives of the voluntary organisations concerned, and the enclosed letter of 27th December gives the gist of what is proposed. There is, unfortunately, not very much that we can do to improve matters, but we hope that contact with the voluntary organisations in this country and such influence as we can bring to bear indirectly through the CRO and the High Commissioner's Office will in the long run have a good effect.

I hope that this letter and its enclosures, together with the report of the Interdepartmental Committee, of which you were sent a copy last autumn, give you the

sort of information you want about the emigration schemes, but please let me know if there is anything else we can tell you.

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the Fit Person Orders, we believe that some of the local authorities have regarded the Home Secretary's approval under section 17 of the Children Act 1948 or the earlier provision in the 1933 Act as absolving them from the need to have the Fit Person Order set aside. Others have no doubt applied to the court -- either before or after emigration has taken place -- for the Order to be revoked. Still others have asked the Secretary of State to discharge the child from care in pursuance of the powers conferred by section 84(4) of the 1933 Act. Apart from a prejudice nowadays against the exercise of this power when it is open to the local authority to apply to a court for revocation of the order, we should be hard put to it to rule out any of these ways of setting aside the Fit Person Order."

It is signed -- there is a little handwritten note there, which --

"The equivalent of section 84(4) of the 1933 Act is section 111(4) of the Children and Young Persons Act 1950."

You will recall that earlier today I mentioned that

with regard to the discharge of the Fit Person Order that was in existence in respect of HIA354.

So this letter -- for some reason the Northern

Ireland Ministry of Home Affairs are writing to see what
the Home Office know about some of the homes in

Australia to which children are being sent by voluntary
organisations in Northern Ireland. It must have come to
their attention and this is the response from the Home

Office to that query. This is how we come to know that
the Ross report was not complete in that it had the
secret annexe with the blacklisted homes.

We see at AUS4253 there is a letter of 4th February 1957 thanking Whittiak:

"I am most grateful for your letter of 25th January with enclosures about certain institutions in Australia.

The information you have furnished will be extremely helpful to us in the course of our work and we would appreciate it very much if you could keep the Ministry informed of any subsequent developments."

The next letter is in October 1957 at AUS4266. Can we go to 4266, please? You will see that it says:

"Dear Duff,

1

3

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In your letter of 4th February you asked to be kept in touch with developments in child migration.

The Commonwealth Settlement Bill received the royal

1 assent in February. The reports referred to in the letter of 16th January (of which I sent you a copy on 3 25th January) from the Australian Department of Immigration were duly received and after consultation with us the Commonwealth Relations Office made new agreements with the following organisations: 7 Dr Barnardo's. 8 The Church of England Council for Commonwealth and Empire Settlement. The Fairbridge Society. 10 11 Northcote Children's Emigration Fund for Australia. 12 Salvation Army. 13 Catholic Child Welfare Council. National Children's Home. 15 The Scottish Home Department agreed to the renewal 16 of the agreement with the Church of Scotland Committee 17 on Social Services. The Commonwealth Relations Office consulted us about 18 19 the terms of the agreement and I enclose a copy of the 20 model form that was evolved. Paragraph 7 provides for 21 the Home Office to be given information about the work 22 of the voluntary organisations in this country. This 23 follows the proposal in the letter of 27th December, of 24 which you have a copy, that the organisations should

permit" -- scroll on down, please -- "their records and

25

methods of working to be formally -- informally
inspected. They have agreed to this and inspections
have started, but there is nothing out of the ordinary
to report yet and it is too early to judge what
influence we can or need to have on the work of the
organisations in England and Wales."

There is then at 4268 an extract from the Hansard, the Parliamentary debates on child migration to Australia. I did quote from this in the opening

I believe, where Mr Alcorn -- sorry -- Mr Alport, the Undersecretary of State for the Commonwealth Relations, says:

"It was partly with the objective of reassuring local authorities that the fact-finding mission to which reference has been made in this debate went to Australia and reported in August 1956. This is perfectly true that certain aspects of that report were critical of some of the features of the system which was then in operation, but I think it would be fair to say that the report was not intended to be antagonistic to child migration in principle, nor indeed critical generally of the admirable work being carried out both here and in Australia by the various voluntary bodies to which reference has been made.

It suggested certain improvements, and these have

been carried out. As a result local authorities can be assured that where they decide that it is in the best 3 interests of a child to emigrate -- for a child to emigrate, the conditions under which he or she shall do so will give him or her a fine opportunity of making the most of a new life which the child will lead when it reaches Australia. In 1957 my noble friend the Secretary of State completed a series of new agreements with the various voluntary organisations under the Commonwealth Settlement Act, which embodies the 11 recommendations or those which were acceptable of the 12 fact-finding report. As a result local authorities 13 should consider very carefully whether a particular child in a particular case the project of going overseas 15 and starting a new life would provide a future for that 16 child which would provide the best answer to the 17 individual problem which the child represents. The extension of what one might call family 18 19 emigration ..." 20 He goes on then to discuss other matters in respect 21 of emigration generally, but he says: 22 "Concerning the arrangement for the care of children 23 who go on their own to Australia, it has become 24 increasingly the practice of societies there to find 25 some means of fitting those children into the

Page 102

environment of family life as opposed to keeping them in what is called rather crudely an institution. Even where it is necessary for them to remain on a farm or at a school, it is the practice to ensure that as far as possible the children go to an Australian family during the school holidays and later, if it can be arranged, that they should be boarded out with a neighbouring family so that they can enjoy not only the advantages of instruction and training but also a family environment in which to grow up.

I hope that this debate will help to call attention to those opportunities and also to reassure those who are responsible for the care of eligible children so they may consider seriously the chances of a better life which emigration may hold open to them and that perhaps they may be more willing than it is at -- than is at present the case to take advantage of the existing schemes for child migration both for the benefit of the children and their families and for the benefit of Australia and the United Kingdom."

So clearly the government in the UK in the House of Commons are encouraging Australia, saying, "Well, we had problems, but we have had those problems resolved. The criticisms that were accepted have been addressed by the Australian authorities and we can reassure you that

children are not simply going to institutions, that they are experiencing family life in Australia", and we know from the accounts given by the applicants to the Inquiry of their time in Australia that certainly there was the same scheme that operated with children that we have heard about here, that they went to families at holiday time and that kind of thing, and there were also more permanent foster arrangements arrived at for some children, as the witness this morning showed.

So that was the attitude of the UK government as a whole. Then there is a note, if we can look at this, at AUS4269. This is in 1958 and it is a memo from the Secretary of the Child Welfare Council to the Ministry of Home Affairs I believe. It says:

"When Mrs Haughton was at a party at Government

House last week, the governor mentioned to her that he had had a letter about some officials in Australia who are coming to England shortly to enquire into the work of child welfare. She is somewhat vague about who these people are but thinks they are interested in an organisation similar to the Australian Fairbridge Farm.

The governor asked if she thought they could gain any useful information in Northern Ireland and she advised him to get in touch with Mr Dunbar.

If Mr Dunbar thinks the officials should come to

Northern Ireland, the Child Welfare Council would be very glad indeed to invite them to a meeting and to discuss with them any points of interest relating to child welfare.

Mrs Haughton also told the governor that she was quite sure that the Children's Department of the Ministry would be able to arrange some useful visits for the officials to children's homes and training schools and she asked me to inform the Secretary of the suggestions she had made to His Excellency."

Then if we can look at the handwritten note in response to this memo suggesting that Australians come to look at homes in Northern Ireland, it reads:

"PC,

It is desirable that any consideration given to this matter should take into account the correspondence about the unsatisfactory conditions at some of the Australian institutions for deprived children. The reports (tabbed) on two farm schools run by the Fairbridge organisation should also be noted.

In addition attention is drawn to the entries in

Tyrone Welfare Committee's minutes of 1st September '52,

1st November '56 and 3rd March '58 and the Ministry's

action on Belfast Welfare Committee's letter of 1st

January '57."

1 Then there's a note saying: "The Australians concerned are Mr and Mrs [X]. 3 Arrangements about their visit to Northern Ireland are dealt with on ...", and there is a file reference number. Then it says: 7 "Please let the Secretary see this on his return. 8 I understand that to date no approach has been made by the governor. If these Australians come to Northern 10 Ireland, we can easily let them have a look at our 11 arrangements for the reception and treatment of needy 12 and delinquent children, but if they come seeking 13 support for child emigration, this is quite a different matter and something which will have to be looked into 15 very closely. However, there is no suggestion as yet 16 that this is what they are after." 17 This suggests that the Northern Ireland government 18 did have its own concerns in light of the report that 19 they had received, particularly the secret annexe to the 20 Ross report that was provided by the Home Office. 21 There is also a letter at -- for completeness I am 22 not going to open this letter, but it can be found at 23 AUS4206, which is from the Home Office to Shannon: 24 "Dear Shannon." 25 It is about the course to be taken with regard to

Page 106

the suggestions being made about drawing up a black list of institutions and what the implications might be for that, particularly when the black list was based on notes alone and not solely on an inspection of the establishments in particular.

Now essentially -- we don't have unfortunately the document from the National Archives of Australia, but there are a number of interesting entries in that and we can -- I can give you the page reference numbers for the bundle whenever they are -- it is possible to look at them.

At page 17 of the document, which actually commences on page 16 I think, which is AUS2975, it is -- again this is the -- just -- this is the synopsis of what is contained in the child migration files in the National Archive of Australia, and in a file entitled "Federal Catholic Migration Committee, Child and Youth Migration" it talks about a visit of Monsignor Crennon from the Catholic Immigration Committee and Fr Stinson of the Catholic Episcopal Migration and Welfare Association to Canberra after Fr Stinson return from a fifteen-month recruiting trip to the UK, Malta and Western Europe. It says:

"The issues discussed included the Federal Immigration -- Federal Catholic Immigration Committee

1 London office, recruitment of juvenile migrants, the low level of intelligence among many of the Catholic child 3 migrants, the special difficulties recruiting girls, and Fr Stinson attendance at a conference of Catholic charities in Rome, where delegates from Scandinavian countries had denounced his efforts as 'immoral and inhuman'." So it clearly shows that what Fr Stinson and the Australians were trying to do in encouraging child migration was frowned upon by those in Scandinavian 10 countries and, in fact, denounced. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Those remarks are not really the author's 13 comments, but they are a direct quotation from the 14 original. Isn't that correct? 15 I believe so. It is hard to tell without seeing 16 the actual document, but we believe that it's -- it is 17 certainly showing a completely different attitude to the 18 issue taken in those countries, the Scandinavian 19 countries, than was the case in the UK and particularly 20 here. 21 There is also interestingly a reference to the 22 Scottish Home Office Inspector, a Miss Harrison, who in 23 April 1950 considered Bindoon to be the best of the 24 Roman Catholic homes that she had ever visited. 25 CHAIRMAN: So the Scottish Home Office appear to have sent

1 Miss Harrison to Australia or at least availed of her being in Australia to inspect places including Bindoon? 3 In 1950. That can be found at page 22 of the MS SMITH: 38-page document on the national archives and it's at page 29... -- AUS2981 and it is headed "Bindoon, St. Joseph's Farm School, Inspection and Progress Reports 1948-1950". That's the file from which it says: 8 "The roving inspector from the Scottish Home Office, Miss H.R. Harrison, arrived on April 5th, 1950 and was wonderfully impressed by Bindoon. Sir Tasmin Hayes was 10 11 informed 28th April that Miss Harris considered Bindoon 12 the best of the Roman Catholic homes she has ever 13 visited." Now that was six years prior to the Ross report, 15 which blacklisted that particular home. 16 I have already referred to the comments of Garnett 17 of the UK High Commission about the unsatisfactory condition of Castledare in 1951. One must ask the 18 19 question as to whether or not those -- that information 20 was ever passed on to the Northern Ireland government or 21 not. 22 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 Finally, there is an entry at page 34 which is MS SMITH: 24 from correspondence files and it concerns child 25 migration. It really relates to St. Vincent de Paul

```
1
         Orphanage in South Australia, but it is an entry which
         says:
3
             "This concerns recruitment of girls for the South
         Australia home and their education. Br Conlon wrote to the
5
         immigration officer ..."
             I should say that's at page 2993 in the bundle.
7
             "... Arthur Calwell on 18th October 1947, and it
8
        reads:
             'The Archbishop of Adelaide asked me when leaving
         for England at the beginning of 1946 to get him some
10
11
         girls for the orphanage in Adelaide. '"
12
             Calwell explained the procedures.
13
             "Meanwhile it proved difficult to find girls in the
        UK for child migration. Girls were easier to foster and
14
15
         there was still unlimited work for older girls in
16
         domestic service. However, 28 migrant girls arrived on
17
         19th January 1948, but four were 15 to 16 years of age
         on arrival."
18
19
             I refer to that because it might explain the
20
         statistics in Miss Keenan's report that more boys than
21
        girls were migrated and that it seemed to be easier to
22
         find placements for children within homes in their own
23
         country rather than have them migrated. It might
24
         explain why there's a discrepancy in numbers.
```

So, Chairman, Panel Members, that is all of the

25

- 1 material that I wish to draw to your attention, but
- I hope it addresses some of the issues with which you
- will be grappling in due course when reflecting on this
- 4 module of evidence.
- 5 MR CANAVAN: Mr Chairman, you raised an issue about the
- 6 reference to a "Reverend Brother".
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 8 MR CANAVAN: I have taken the opportunity to make an
- enquiry. It is unlikely that that refers to an ordained
- Brother, who would normally drop the "Brother" reference
- and would become "Reverend".
- 12 CHAIRMAN: I see.
- 13 MR CANAVAN: So if that assists you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: So it is like "Reverend Mother", "Reverend
- 15 Brother".
- 16 MR CANAVAN: It would be his standard title. He would be
- "Reverend Brother".
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 19 Well, that I think takes us as far as we can go
- 20 today. Is that right, Ms Smith?
- 21 MS SMITH: That is correct, Chairman. Tomorrow there is one
- witness to give evidence. That will be Sister Brenda.
- We should be ready to start at about 10 o'clock tomorrow
- morning.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much.

```
Page 111
              Well, 10 o'clock tomorrow, ladies and gentlemen.
 1
2
     (1.07 pm)
         (Hearing adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning)
3
 4
                                   --00000--
 5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 112
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS HIA401 (called)2	
3	Questions from COUNSEL FOR THE INQUIRY3 Questions from THE PANEL25	
4	Reading of documents by COUNSEL TO32	
5	THE INQUIRY	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		