Page 1 HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY being heard before: SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman) MR DAVID LANE MS GERALDINE DOHERTY held at Banbridge Court House Banbridge on Thursday, 11th September 2014 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 49) MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 1 Thursday, 11th September 2014 (10.00 am)3 SISTER BRENDA (called) 4 CHAIRMAN: Good morning. Sister Brenda has taken the oath 5 in the past. 6 She has, yes, Chairman. MS SMITH: 7 CHAIRMAN: That continues to bind you, Sister, as I am sure 8 will appreciate. So there is no need for you to be 9 sworn again. 10 Thank you, Chairman. 11 Reading of statement of WITNESS SUA27 12 Chairman, before taking Sister Brenda before her 13 evidence there is a statement that has been received by 14 the Inquiry from the Sisters of Nazareth which I propose 15 to read at this point, which is at AUS5958. 16 CHAIRMAN: This is --17 MS SMITH: This is the --CHAIRMAN: What is her reference? 18 19 MS SMITH: Her reference there -- she has been given 20 actually an Australia reference, which is SUA27 --21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 22 MS SMITH: -- and the statement is -- reads: 23 "I was born on ...", and she gives a date, "in 24 I joined the Sisters of Nazareth in County Clare. 25 February 1931 and was professed in August 1933.

Page 3

I recall travelling to Australia with some of the children. Myself and SR139 sailed on board the Ormond in 1947. We were accompanying the migrant children to sail to Australia. The trip took about six weeks. All of our children were from the north of Ireland and we had never met the children before our sailing.

My memory is that a Christian Brother sent by the bishop came to say that the government wanted Catholic children to populate Australia. We left from Southampton with about 85 children aged from 4 to 10 years. This was just after the war and we thought the children would have a good chance to make a life in Australia.

SR139 and I had never seen the children before, so it was a daunting challenge for us to take charge of them for this long trip. To assist in the care of the children on board we had a nurse named Walsh, a priest called Father Rodgers and around seven helpers. The helpers got passage for about 5 pounds. It was hectic on board trying to keep the children occupied but we did our best.

We tried giving them school for a few hours a day but the rest of the time we organised games and other entertainment. We tried to ensure that the children did not annoy the passengers. The passengers were very

helpful and friendly and I do not recall any incidents
on the boat. They were very friendly towards the
children.

The sailors were very good to the children, as were the other passengers. I do not recall any child being particularly sick or ill during the journey -- maybe the odd bout of sea sickness if we hit a rough patch.

We disembarked at Fremantle. The Superior from

Nazareth House, Geraldton came to meet us and took the

girls back with her to Geraldton, Western Australia.

The boys went with the Christian Brothers to Tar..." -
Tardun I think that should be -- "which is north of

Geraldton. I believe this was badly organised.

Once all the children had gone to their appointed places Sister SR139 and I boarded the ship and sailed to Melbourne."

She signed it and dated it 2nd September 2014. You will be aware from her date of birth that this Sister is now 101, almost 102, years of age, which -- we felt it wouldn't be appropriate to ask her to come to speak to the statement personally, Chairman.

22 CHAIRMAN: It would seem without checking the dates of the 23 sailing she must have gone on one of the earliest, but 24 not the earliest, sailing.

25 MS SMITH: I think that --

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 CHAIRMAN: The earliest was the Asturias.
- $^2$  MS SMITH: Yes, I think that's correct. Certainly she
- doesn't give the date of when the Ormond arrived --
- 4 CHAIRMAN: I am sure we can find that out.
- 5 MS SMITH: -- but we can check that from records.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 7 SISTER BRENDA (cont.)
- 8 Questions from COUNSEL FOR THE INQUIRY
- 9 MS SMITH: Good morning, Sister.
- 10 A. Good morning, Christine.
- 11 Q. You are the spokesperson for the congregation of the
- 12 Sisters of Nazareth. You have provided the Inquiry with
- two statements, one dated 6th July 19... -- sorry --
- 14 2014, which can be found at AUS11403, and if we can just
- go to the last page of that statement, 11414. So this
- doesn't appear to have been redacted, but it doesn't
- need to be. You have signed that statement on 6th July.
- 18 Isn't that correct, Sister?
- 19 A. That's right, yes.
- 20 O. Then a second statement, which is at 5950 to 5951. This
- is a second statement which you have provided to the
- Inquiry, and if we could just scroll down to the second
- page, please, that statement is signed by yourself on
- 24 8th September of this year?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And I just want to confirm, Sister, that this is
- information that you want the Inquiry to consider in
- 3 respect of this module of evidence --
- 4 A. Yes, please.
- 5 Q. -- and together with anything that you add --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- this morning?
- 8 Now if we could go back to the first statement,
- please, at 11403, and in that statement, Sister, at
- 10 paragraph 3 -- 2 and 3 you set out what you -- your
- understanding is of the historical background to the
- child migrant scheme, which you say was a scheme set up
- by British and Australian government as well as Catholic
- and voluntary homes in the United Kingdom. You say that
- the history was characterised by two salient
- 16 perceptions: the positive benefits to deprived children
- in the general social conditions of the time and the
- advantages to the colonies who received the children.
- 19 At paragraph 3 you talk about:
- 20 "The governments and charitable bodies alike
- formulated and operated child migration policies with
- the best of intentions."
- 23 You then talk about the schemes that went back into
- the 17th century right through to the end of the
- 25 Australian scheme in the late 1960s. Excuse me. You

say that:

"Within each epoch migration is seen as a constructive way to help destitute, abandoned, orphan or legitimate -- illegitimate children to a better life in the British colonies, and it seems as if the policy perfectly matched the needs of children to the social and economic needs of the receiving countries.

The participation of the Sisters of Nazareth", you say, "can only be understood by taking into consideration the historical perspective and for many years the migration scheme was perceived by the Sisters and the wider community as a good outcome for children."

You make the comment that:

"The Derry Journal at the time recorded it as a very positive move for the children concerned."

At paragraphs 16 through to 18 you discuss this further. Sorry. It should be at page 11411. You talk there about the rationale for the Sisters' participation, and you say the positive benefits to deprived children in the general social conditions of the time and the advantage to the colonies who received them was essentially the rationale. The scheme intended that the children receive a better quality of life and better prospects in the future and it was effectively a state-sponsored scheme which promoted the

- opportunities for those who went. Sorry. I just --
- yes. You say:
- 3 "The decision to become involved in the scheme in
- Northern Ireland was taken by the Superior General and
- her council in conjunction with the Superiors of the
- houses in Northern Ireland. There would have been
- 7 dialogue between the parties concerned but the final
- 8 decision would have been made by the Superior General
- 9 and her council. Emigration authorities would also have
- 10 played a large role in the final decision, as evidence
- dictates not all children were accepted for the scheme."
- Now if we can just pause there, Sister, to talk
- a little bit about the congregation's involvement. We
- have seen from the records that you have provided to us,
- the General Council minutes, that the first involvement
- of the Sisters would have been in 1926.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. That's with regard to sending children to Australia.
- 19 You have indicated here that there would have been
- 20 discussion between the Superior General and the homes
- from which children would have been emigrated?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. I just wanted to check with you was that something that
- you have seen in the records or why was that?
- 25 A. Well, a big decision like that would be discussed at

- a General Council meeting with the Superior General and
- her council and the decision was made, and then the
- Superior General would then consult with the Superiors
- 4 of all the homes in the UK about this scheme, and
- subsequently then the Brothers would go and discuss the
- 6 life in Australia with the children in the homes.
- 7 Q. I think you were -- you indicated when we were speaking
- 8 that the Sisters were invited to join the scheme?
- 9 A. We were invited to join the scheme, yes.
- 10 Q. And that was by the government and, in fact, then it was
- encouraged by the Catholic hierarchy --
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. -- both in the UK --
- 14 A. And Australia.
- 15 Q. -- and in Australia. If we could just go back to
- paragraph 4, which is at the bottom of 11403, you say
- that there was little evidence of any formal or defined
- policy, and you do say that:
- 19 "It seems that the congregation's response to the
- initiative was very cooperative and operational
- response. Again little in the archive about contact
- with state agencies. So we are trying to look at what
- is available and provide information to the Inquiry."
- 24 There is some documentation which shows that there
- was correspondence with the Australian Catholic

Immigration Council, and there's a letter certainly that is at 10733 to 34, and this is a letter which is being written by the Sisters of Nazareth to The Very Reverend and Dear Canon Flood:

"Thank you for letters and forms received."

This is dated 1956. It names children there whose names will be redacted and can't be used outside the chamber, but it is -- I have opened this document earlier this week or perhaps last week. I am not quite sure when I did, but it seems to indicate that:

"The mothers of these boys, who were unmarried at the times of their birth, have never visited or contacted us in any way since leaving them in our care as infants. Communications forwarded to the address given in the register have been returned marked 'Unknown'. Last efforts made through welfare officer and letter in December 1955 of no avail."

It sets out:

"The usual procedure here is the mother brings the infant along, has it admitted and promises to support it. She gives her address, which may not always be correct -- the correct one. Possibly she is faithful to her promises for six months or one year and then disappears."

Then she describes the boys in more detail in that

```
1
         letter.
             Now, Sister, this is an example of communication
3
        between the Sister who was nominating these children for
        migration explaining why I presume she was signing the
         consent form in respect of them, and I will come back,
         if I may, shortly to the issue of consent, but it would
         seem that there is other documents involved -- showing
8
         involvement with both the Crusade of Rescue, the Child
        Welfare Council in England, or in the UK, I should say,
        but based in London, and the Australian Catholic
10
11
         Immigration Committee, and if we can maybe just look at
12
         one of those documents, please, at AUS5341.
13
             Now this is a document, Sister, which -- it's from
         the Catholic Child Welfare Council in Coleshill in
14
15
        Birmingham, dated 1st October 1954, and it says:
16
             "Dear Mother Superior, St. Joseph's, Termonbacca,
17
        Londonderry."
             So it is a letter from the Child Catholic Welfare
18
19
         Council, also known as the Child Catholic -- the Rescue
20
         -- sorry -- Crusade of Rescue:
21
             "Dear Reverend Mother,
22
             I return herewith birth and baptismal certificate of
23
                               who was rejected for emigration
                 AU 22
24
         some time ago."
25
             Now again I have used a name there that will be
```

redacted and can't be used outside the chamber. Then it names two other boys and says:

"I shall be pleased to hear whether these two boys are still available for emigration, also if you have any other children under 12 years of age to put forward as we have several nominations in hand at present."

That's dated in October 1954.

We can see a letter at AUS5337. This is clearly a letter from Australia House and it says:

"Dear Madam,

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I refer to the boys on the attached list whose applications have been submitted by the Australian Catholic Immigration Committee for migration to Australia.

Arrangements have been made for these boys to be seen by an Australian selection officer, Mr A. Gross, at the Employment Exchange, Bishop Street, Londonderry, at 3.00 pm on Tuesday, 24th March 1953.

It would be appreciated if you would arrange for the boys to be present at this time to see Mr Gross, who will advise you regarding medical examinations after the interviews."

That's March 1953.

Now I am drawing the Inquiry's attention to those documents -- and I will come back to discuss them

- a little bit further -- but at this stage it is clear
- $^2$  from these two documents that it wasn't just the Sisters
- of Nazareth who were essentially selecting children and
- 4 migrating them. There was a very definite process that
- was involved involving the Catholic hierarchy and the
- 6 Australian Catholic hierarchy, as it were --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- as well as the government clearly of Australia in
- 9 that process?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. So although there's no evidence of a defined policy with
- regard to the congregation's involvement, one of the
- things that you talk about at paragraph 9 of your
- statement, if we could go to that, please, which is at
- 15 page 11409 --
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Just before we leave that, Ms Smith, it refers to
- an enclosure with a list of the names. Do we have that?
- 18 MS SMITH: I don't believe --
- 19 CHAIRMAN: You said you would be coming back to it.
- 20 MS SMITH: No, I am going to come back to it. We do have --
- we don't have that enclosure. We just -- there's
- various items of correspondence that Sister Brenda and
- 23 her representatives have provided to us which show
- certain correspondence, but I don't believe we have the
- enclosure with the list of names.

1 So we can't identify how many children were CHAIRMAN: spoken to on that occasion? 3 MS SMITH: No, we can't. 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 5 MS SMITH: Sorry, Sister. I was just asking you about the 6 involvement in the -- again we were talking about the rationale behind the Sisters' involvement. As you say 8 in paragraph 9, one of the purposes of your involvement was, as is recorded in the paragraph 9 there: "The only other reference to emigration in the 10 11 period between the two world wars occurs in 1928 when 12 the General Council minutes refer quite bluntly to the 13 'emigration of children -- of the children in our houses so as to spread Catholicity'", a word that -- I think most of us would now say 15 16 "Catholicism", but certainly that was the word that was 17 being used back in 1928. You say: "About that time the Christian Brothers in Australia 18 19 had offered to take fifty boys to their farm school and 20 to be entirely responsible for their education. 21 By the outbreak of the war in 1939 some 112 Nazareth 22 House boys emigrated to the Christian Brothers' residential establishments in Western Australia." 23 24 You say: 25 "Both the British and Australian governments were

grant aiding each boy to the amount of 13 shillings per week and the boys were to be trained by the Christian Brothers for at least ten years."

You go on to discuss, as we were discussing, that:

"Although no formal policy or procedure documentation of the congregation or the two governments has survived in the general archive ... it is quite clear that the Sisters cooperated in the prescribed procedures. The correspondence suggests that a sailing was missed as documentation was not completed and the forms appear not to have been fully completed as when the parents were alive their full addresses were to be given and at the consent section it is clear for the UK scheme that the father was to complete this as he was still living."

You say:

"That supports the view that the Sisters acted in good faith in the selection, but that there were substantial checks in place to oversee the procedure."

Now one of the questions that the Inquiry will be looking at is what -- how did the children -- how did the Sisters, how did the congregation -- on what basis did you believe that these children were going to something better? Where would that have -- that guarantee have come from?

- 1 A. Well, we would have been told it by the authorities,
- number one. Number two, two of our sisters went out to
- 3 Australia to look at the venues that the children would
- be going to and, number three, we trusted the
- 5 governments that they knew that the places the children
- 6 were going would have been a suitable place for them.
- 7 Q. I take it also that the involvement of the Catholic
- 8 hierarchy would have been a matter that would have led
- you to trust what was happening to the children?
- 10 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: I think it is correct to say that the policy of
- the British government was to approve individual
- institutions in Australia as suitable --
- 14 MS SMITH: Yes, that's correct, Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: -- institutions to which children could be sent.
- It wasn't just a blanket authorisation.
- 17 MS SMITH: Yes. I think that reassurance would have come
- through to the Sisters that they were going to
- an appropriate place in Australia.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Equally can I suggest to you, Sister, that the fact that
- these children were going to homes that were run by your
- Order would have been a reassurance?
- 24 A. Certainly our own sisters would have known the
- 25 accommodation that the children would be going out to,

- but the Sisters out there also would have known of the
- homes that the boys would have gone to and also some of
- the girls went to Subiaco to the Mercy Sisters. So they
- 4 would have known of those.
- 5 Q. That -- there was -- if I can put it this way, within
- 6 the Catholic ethos there would have been trust between
- 7 institutions run by other Orders?
- 8 A. Completely. Completely.
- 9 Q. Are you aware, though, of any formal steps? I mean,
- 10 have the documents shown you any formal steps that were
- 11 taken by the congregation themselves to check conditions
- or is this just something that you're aware of from the
- general knowledge?
- 14 A. Well, from general knowledge, but also it was our own
- congregation that the girls went out to. So we would
- have known what they were like.
- 17 Q. And at paragraph 18, in fact, you say that in the early
- days the Christian Brothers built a house for the
- 19 Sisters in Tardun to care for the boys and the
- 20 congregation would have known the conditions of the
- Nazareth Houses in Australia through the application of
- 22 your ethos and by way of visitation, as with all other
- houses.
- 24 "As for the other establishments, we believe the
- 25 Sisters in Australia would have known and visited them

- on occasions."
- 2 A. I think when the Sisters first went out to Tardun, there
- was no house and they were kind of sleeping under
- 4 canvas. The Brothers built them a convent and they were
- in it a short time and then Geraldton came on the scene
- 6 and the Presentation Sisters took over in Tardun.
- 7 Q. If we could just look at another document, Sister. This
- is another letter from the Catholic -- Australian
- 9 Catholic Immigration Committee to the Mother Superior in
- 10 St. Joseph's in Termonbacca. It is dated 21st March.
- 11 Sorry. I should have given the reference number.
- 12 AUS5215.
- 13 Sister, can I just confirm with you that you are
- able to read what's on the screen, because I know you
- have forgotten your glasses today?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. We can enlarge it a little bit further if you need it.
- 18 A. No. That's fine. Thanks.
- 19 Q. You say that -- sorry. Just this letter is dated
- 20 21st March and it is written to the Mother Superior and
- 21 it just says:
- "Dear Mother,
- I have just returned from a visit to Australia and
- 24 beg to advise you that after a number of years battle
- with the Home Office here I have succeeded in securing

approval for most of our institutions in Australia who
are prepared to take British migrant children, both
girls and boys. Under the circumstances it will now be
possible for us to proceed with the migration of many of

the children whom you first submitted."

So it would appear that there was in or around the early 1950s some correspondence in Australia between this -- the Immigration Committee, the Catholic Immigration Committee, and the Australia government to seek approval there for the homes that were being sent, and clearly the ACIC is informing the Mother Superior of Termonbacca that those homes had been approved by the Australian authorities.

I will come back to that letter in due course, but it is clear from the documentation that the -- the congregation worked closely with the Child Welfare Department in crusade -- the Welfare Council I should say in the UK and with the Australian Catholic Immigration Committee?

## 20 A. That's right.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21 Q. In your statement at paragraphs 7 onwards -- sorry -- in 22 paragraph 8 you were able -- you record the details of 23 those sailings which you were able to identify from the 24 records, and it is fair to say that record-keeping in 25 those days would not have been up to modern standards,

- and it would appear from what we have learned in the
- Inquiry to date that the Sisters' records are sadly
- 3 lacking in not just detail but in actual existence.
- 4 There's a lot of missing documents.
- 5 A. A lot of missing documents, yes.
- 6 Q. But the last sailing we know took place on 24th
- 7 December 1956, and I wanted to ask you, Sister, have you
- 8 any idea yourself or does the Order know why that was
- 9 the last sailing from Northern Ireland?
- 10 A. I would presume, and it's just a speculation here, that
- 11 the thinking of migrating children had changed about --
- in the early '50s, mid '50s, and that was why they
- stopped sending children.
- 14 Q. But there's nothing in the documentation to indicate why
- this was the last sailing from Northern Ireland --
- 16 A. No, no.
- 17 Q. -- that you can discover?
- 18 A. No, none.
- 19 Q. Just in regard to the numbers who actually went out from
- Northern Ireland from the Sisters of Nazareth, at your
- statement at 11409 you thought that there were 122, but
- 22 you provided the Inquiry with a second statement,
- paragraphs 6 and 7 of AUS5950. If we could just look at
- 24 that, please, 5950. You record there at paragraph 6 --
- sorry -- it's 5 actually. As a result of a further

review of documentation you believe that your first

statement was incorrect and you continue to try to

identify correctly as many children as possible. You

say:

"I have attached a list of children amounting to 107 who can be identified in the documents and have been located in the Sisters' registers. It is accepted that these children were resident with the Sisters of Nazareth and went to Australia.

I also attached a further list ... amounting to four, for whom there are documents suggesting that they were connected to the Sisters of Nazareth and went to Australia, but for whom we can find no record in our registers."

I am just going to pause there, Sister, because one of the documents you provided to us was a list of children compiled by Sister John Ogilvie for the benefit of Miss Keenan's report. In that we were able to identify at least one other of those children, but you would accept in -- anyway that it is likely that those children were connected to the Sisters of Nazareth prior to their departure. So that essentially is 111 children identified as going from Northern Ireland from Sisters of Nazareth homes?

25 A. Yes, that's correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 In Northern Ireland I should say. What -- I have discussed the fact that there has been this involvement 3 with the various government bodies, with the Catholic Child Welfare Council and the Australian Committee, but I want to know from you, Sister, the first layer, as it were, in involvement or in a child migrating, the first layer is the selection by the Sisters of Nazareth, the people who were looking after the children in the homes here, and I just wonder what you want to say about how those children were selected? 10 11 They would have been selected according to the precept 12 of the Australian government that they were white. 13 was the first thing. They had to be white. They had to 14 have good health and in the -- they had to have good health and of good stock, and then in about the middle 15 16 of the -- 1947 or something the Australian government then said that we were sending sub-standard children and 17 18 they then required us to have an IQ test for the 19 children. 20 I'll come on -- come on to that detail shortly, Sister, but what I am -- I mean, the first thing that 21 22 happens is okay, Mother Superior in the home is asked to 23 send children or to nominate children for selection and 24 then we know that they under... -- have to undergo

25

various tests --

- 1 A. Yes.
- $^2$  Q. -- and procedures before that nomination is accepted,
- but I am curious to know as to what the thinking was,
- $^4$  "Well, it is and not who is going", for
- 5 example?
- 6 A. I would surmise that the Sisters chose children who they
- 7 thought, well, they had no contact with family, or who
- 8 had permission from their family to be migrated, and who
- 9 the Sisters thought would have a better life and
- a better quality of life out in Australia than they
- would have at home, especially in the early days when
- there was so much deprivation.
- 13 Q. But there is nothing in the documents that you have
- been able to examine that suggests --
- 15 A. No, that's just my speculation.
- 16 Q. -- that there was any sort of policy, because one of the
- things that has been suggested, as you are aware, by
- applicants to the Inquiry is that it was the children of
- unmarried mothers, for example, who were chosen?
- 20 A. I don't think that came into it at all, but we had no
- 21 policy as such that I can find, but my speculation is
- 22 that would be the criteria that the Sisters would have
- picked, chosen, nominated the children to go to
- 24 Australia.
- 25 Q. We know that from some children that they were asked

- whether or not they wanted to go.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. We have heard of hands going up, the entire two hands
- 4 going up from eighteen children and so forth, but
- 5 I wondered, Sister, I suppose in that regard asking
- 6 children of a certain age whether or not they wanted to
- 7 go might not have been what we would term today as
- 8 informed consent. It seems to be that there was very
- 9 little information given to the children about what was
- ahead of them.
- 11 A. My understanding is that Brother -- one of the Brothers,
- either Brother Creehan or Brother Come to
- Nazareth House and spoken to the children and gave them
- 14 a glowing report I presume of Australia, and then would
- ask who wanted to go, and obviously children being
- children would have put their hands up.
- 17 Q. And we know from documentation we have seen and what we
- have heard is that there were some boys who volunteered
- 19 to go but whose parents or grandparents refused to let
- them go?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. So -- and certainly it seemed to be something that was
- 23 attractive to children, the way it was presented to
- them.
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- 1 Q. But would you accept, Sister, that essentially it was
- not really an informed consent on behalf of those
- 3 children, given their age?
- 4 A. Of course, definitely. What would children know?
- 5 Q. Just for completeness, we were talking there about there
- 6 seems to have been a difference in procedure, if I can
- 7 put it that way, with regard to the selection of
- 8 children after they had been nominated by yourselves.
- 9 Initially there doesn't seem to have been a terribly
- 10 complex process. As you say, they were of good health,
- good white British stock, as it were, and then after
- a while the Australian authorities were requesting more
- information about the children, and there's some
- documentation clearly in respect of that.
- 15 If we could look at AUS333, this is at paragraph 27
- in your statement. You talk about believing that
- doctors were nominated by the Australian authorities to
- carry out examinations. Sorry. If I can just call up
- 19 5332, please. This is a document again that's from
- 20 Australia House and it seems to be just a pro forma
- letter, but it says:
- 22 "Dear ...",
- and I presume they would be the head of the home
- 24 who would be written to:
- 25 "Further reference is made to your application for

- migration to Australia."
- 2 It says:
- 3 "In this connection would you please make
- an appointment with ..."
- Now this is clearly a pro forma letter that would be
- 6 used by Australia House for anybody applying to migrate
- 7 to Australia and the first two paragraphs would really
- 8 relate more to an adult who was applying to go out but
- 9 then it says here:
- 10 "When this has been done you should make
- an appointment for a medical examination with an M.F.
- 12 Leslie, 2 Dacre Terrace in Londonderry.
- 13 Attached hereto is a medical examination form."
- 14 So this is the basis on which you say that the
- doctors who carried out the medical examinations were
- nominated by Australia House --
- 17 A. Australia House.
- 18 O. -- and the Australian authorities. So it wasn't the
- 19 local GP who was carrying out the examinations?
- 20 A. No, but -- yes, and sometimes the doctors actually came
- into Nazareth House, but they were nominated by
- 22 Australia House.
- 23 Q. If we could just look at the next page, please, which is
- 5333, and this is a -- probably accompanied that letter,
- which says:

"Medical examination.

The cost of medical examination must be paid by yourself and the fees chargeable by the medical referee is as follows."

So there was clearly a panel of doctors who carried out medical examinations on behalf of the Australia authorities for both adults and children, because the fees charged were 1 pound, 1 shilling for a person over 16, and 7 and 6 for a child under 16 years.

"A separate certificate must be completed for each individual applicant 16 years of age and over. The medical certificate, if satisfactory, will remain valid for six months. Should departure be delayed beyond this period, applicants may be required to resubmit themselves for examination in order that the certificate may be checked and endorsed.

Under no circumstances will any medical certificate be accepted unless duly certified by the medical referee and signed by the applicant in his presence."

There is a note in relation to female applicants.

It clearly shows that these were, as I say, panel nominated doctors carrying out -- who were being paid.

One can assume from that that the payment would have had to be made by the Sisters of Nazareth and then presumably they were refunded that, because there is

```
1
         a document that I have seen -- I am not sure if I can
        quite put my hands on it -- which talks about a refund
3
         for medical examinations to the Sisters.
                                                    I will find
                         I know it is in the bundle I was looking
         that document.
         at earlier.
             It is clear also then there was an interview to be
7
         carried out. If we look at AUS5344, this again is
        presumably to the Mother Superior of Termonbacca.
8
         to St. Joseph's Home.
10
             Dear madam,
11
             I wish to refer to the applications for migration to
12
        Australia for a number -- of a number of boys from St.
13
        Joseph's Home, which you forwarded to Canon Flood
        recently."
14
15
             This is from the Office of the High Commissioner for
16
        Australia at Australia House, the Chief Migration
17
        Officer.
18
             "In order to reach an early decision about these
19
        boys, arrangements are being made for the child
20
        migration officer, Mrs B. Hunt, to call at St. Joseph's
21
        Home next Friday, March 23rd at about 11.00 am to see
22
         these boys.
23
             It would an appreciated if you would advise me if
24
         this arrangement would not be convenient to you."
25
             So in March 1956 there are -- clearly they are being
```

- interviewed by an official from Australia House.
- Obviously it is probably a local person nominated by
- 3 them to carry out the interviews. So the boys were
- 4 spoken to before they migrated.
- 5 A. They were.
- 6 Q. So there was another layer of the process before they
- 7 were actually accepted --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- for migration. All of this seems to have been put in
- place subsequent to the first group going out in 1947?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I am just talking now about post-war migration, because,
- as I indicated yesterday, there was documentation to
- show that the Australian authorities were unhappy with
- 15 the first batch of children who went out and they seem
- to have put all of these hurdles, if you like, in the
- way of a child being sent out until they passed each of
- them, although interestingly, despite that, we certainly
- 19 saw documentation to suggest that one child was sent
- despite the fact that they had heart problems or medical
- 21 problems.
- 22 So it is clear that while the Sisters may have
- chosen a child to nominate, that child was not migrated
- 24 to Australia unless all of these steps --
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. -- were completed?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Can I ask -- we talked a little bit about parental
- 4 consent. Sorry. Just before I move on to that, one of
- 5 the things that we have heard from people is that
- 6 there's children -- there's a complaint from children
- 7 who were born in the Republic of Ireland and who were
- 8 brought from the home -- Nazareth home in Sligo. Have
- 9 you any comment or explanation or anything you want to
- say about that, Sister?
- 11 A. Well, there's -- I would imagine, and this is my own
- thoughts on the subject, that the Sisters wouldn't have
- 13 thought about north or south. If the child was in
- Nazareth House, Derry, Nazareth House, Belfast, they
- would have been eligible to go to Australia. That's all
- 16 I can comment on that.
- 17 Q. What about Sligo, which was across the border?
- 18 A. But they came up to -- they came up to Belfast or
- 19 Termonbacca.
- 20 Q. We have heard from some that they simply were
- 21 transferred to Termonbacca to meet up with the boys who
- 22 were going from there, that they had actually been in
- the house in Sligo as a resident.
- 24 A. Yes. I can't really comment, because I have no evidence
- of why that was so.

- 1 Q. If we could just go back to your statement at
- $^2$  paragraph 20, which is at 11412, this is -- at
- paragraph 19 there, in fact, you are talking about the
- selection of children and you say:
- 5 "The selection of children put forward would be
- 6 those children who were orphaned, abandoned or who
- 7 expressed a desire to go to Australia and would have
- 8 complied with the criterias set out by the government at
- 9 the time."
- 10 You say at paragraph 20:
- "The fact that most parents of the children did not
- 12 contribute financially in part or in whole bore no
- bearing on the selection process of children. They were
- very much selected on their physical health, as evidence
- shows some children were rejected by the authorities due
- to poor health or any health defects."
- I just want to pause there and say -- ask, Sister,
- 18 that -- you say that the fact there was no contribution
- 19 from parents wasn't a factor in selection, but I wonder
- 20 was there any financial incentive for the Sisters of
- Nazareth in sending children out?
- 22 A. None whatsoever, no.
- 23 Q. There may have been an incentive, however, for those
- 24 homes in Australia to receive such children, as they
- 25 received payments for them?

- 1 A. I don't think the finance came into in the equation at
- all, Christine. I think it was just for the child's
- 3 betterment. The money didn't come into it, but we --
- 4 the British government or the Australian government paid
- for the child's passage.
- 6 Q. We heard yesterday from the applicant who spoke to us
- 7 yesterday that she remembers seeing documents in
- 8 Australia which suggested --
- 9 A. 10 pounds.
- 10 Q. -- that there was 10 pounds being paid for every child.
- 11 A. Yes, but that was for their passage or to get them
- clothes for going over for whatever, but it wasn't -- it
- wasn't for any other reason.
- 14 Q. Coming back to the issue of parental consent, which you
- deal here with your statement, you say that despite
- a detailed search there is no documentation or evidence
- that attempts were made by the congregation to explain
- the implications of the scheme to parents of the
- 19 selected children. It is believed that the Sisters
- 20 would have spoken with the parents if the parents were
- known, could be located and alive, and explained the
- 22 scheme when they sought their permission for a child to
- participate, but that is an assumption. You base that
- 24 assumption on the fact that there is reference in
- a letter, and I think that should be SND -- it might be

HIA121 -- and discussed in his oral evidence to being selected, but then not going because his parents went ballistic, and you say that is supportive of the assumption that the parents of children selected were consulted and their opinion sought before any child was sent. Again SND1 described how his grandmother refused to allow him to go. We have seen documentation, which I am not going to call up again, but there is clearly reference to SND's grandmother refusing consent and to other children whose parents refused consent to allow them to go.

One of the concerns that you will have heard raised, though, is that a number of applicants believe that their parents did not actually consent. They could have been located and did not actually give consent, and they have been told that their parents were lied to when they came back to the homes looking for their children.

I wonder what you want to say about that, Sister?

Well, my understanding is that the Sisters would have sought parents' or guardians' consent for the child

sought parents' or guardians' consent for the child going out to Australia where possible. It wasn't always possible, because the Sisters didn't know where they were, and there is evidence that they have looked and the parent is no longer there at a known address. So they did try to look for parents, and obviously if they

did send children out without the parents' consent that were around, well, that was wrong and it shouldn't have 3 happened. You recall, Sister, Margaret Humphreys gave evidence and 5 I read a witness statement in respect of one of the applicants to the Inquiry. She was talking about trying to trace this child's mother, and there was a document which was in the possession of the Sisters of Nazareth and it had -- on the back of it it had a comment --10 sorry -- the mother's signature saying that she 11 relinquished all -- sorry -- it was HIA333 -- I just 12 wanted to check the reference number -- that she 13 relinquished all rights to her child. Now on that document there was also an address for the mother. 15 just wondering did the Sisters instead of trying to 16 contact her take the fact she had relinquished her 17 rights to her child as sufficient authority to allow 18 them to send that child for migration? 19 I think in that particular case the Sister -- the mother 20 relinquished all contact with the child. Another factor 21 is I think when he came back, the Sister concerned thought it was in the child's best interest -- well, the 22 man's best interest that he didn't have another 23 24 rejection and that his mother rejected him and gave him

up totally. So she did it with the best of intentions

25

- but maybe wrong -- wrongly so.
- 2 Q. But I am wondering -- I mean, I can appreciate that's
- 3 the reason that you say that he was not given the
- 4 information when he came back looking for it.
- 5 A. They didn't actually have it the first time he came.
- 6 They only found it later on I believe.
- 7 Q. Although what we have been told, Sister, is that he was
- given the one side of that document, not what was on the
- 9 back of it, but he was given a photocopy of the front
- page and not the back page, and on the back page his
- 11 mother's signature relinquishing him was there with
- an address that would have allowed him to locate her
- during her lifetime. However, that is a separate issue
- from what I am trying to ask, which is that that kind of
- handing over to the Sisters by the Mother, essentially
- saying, "Here's my child. He's yours. That's it. I'm
- finished with him", would that have given the Sisters
- the permission without actually going back to the
- mother?
- 20 A. I would think so, and I also believe that it was done
- in -- that was used -- that evidence was used if a child
- 22 was to be adopted is my understanding of it.
- 23 Q. Without actually -- even if you had the address, because
- in that case it was clear there was an address for the
- 25 mother and she -- it would have been possible to locate

- her. We know that now, but certainly it would have been
- possible to take steps to locate that particular lady.
- So can I take it from what you are saying that it is the
- 4 position that if a mother had signed such a document,
- 5 the Sisters wouldn't have made -- taken any steps to try
- 6 to locate her?
- 7 A. If they had need to, I suppose they would have, but
- 8 evidently in that case they didn't.
- 9 Q. Can we -- I appreciate this is speculation, but can we
- 10 assume that a similar course might have been taken in
- 11 other cases?
- 12 A. It's speculation.
- 13 Q. But in any event in the situation where parents came
- 14 back and may have been told that their child was
- 15 adopted, in a good home in the Republic or in a home in
- 16 England or whatever, and where that child had gone to
- 17 Australia, you accept on behalf of the congregation that
- they ought not to have been told that?
- 19 A. Absolutely. It was wrong.
- 20 Q. If we can move on to what steps were taken to check up
- on children once they had gone through the whole
- 22 process, were accepted and were migrated, are you aware
- 23 did the congregation ever get any written reports from
- the homes in Australia, for example, about how the child
- was getting on?

- 1 A. Certainly the Superior of each home -- well, in this
- case Geraldton and Camberwell, Melbourne -- they would
- have been in constant touch with the Superior General.
- 4 So there would have been lots of communication that way.
- I haven't seen any written evidence except I believe
- 6 there's a letter -- I just can't put my hand on it --
- 7 from one of the boys in Tardun writing to the Superior
- 8 in Termonbacca, but if I find it, I'll certainly pass it
- 9 on to the Inquiry.
- 10 Q. Yes, and certainly the history of foundation that you
- 11 brought back yourself from Australia --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- in Geraldton does record about the migrant children
- settling well and things of that nature.
- 15 A. Yes, that would have been in the Council book.
- 16 Q. They are recorded. So those -- if I have got my process
- 17 right, there is also -- every so often the homes are
- 18 visited --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- by someone --
- 21 A. Visitator.
- 22 Q. By the visitator. In fact, that's what you were doing
- 23 yourself this summer in Australia, but those -- the
- visitator would have access to those --
- 25 A. Oh, absolutely.

- 1 O. -- foundation books?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And would then report back on the visitation to the
- 4 mother house?
- 5 A. Yes, yes.
- 6 Q. We have heard -- HIA306 said that he saw a nun from
- 7 Nazareth Lodge who he thought was in Australia on
- 8 holiday. I just wonder what you think she was actually
- 9 doing there.
- 10 A. Well, undoubtedly she wasn't on holiday unless she was
- an Australian and it was her visit home, but if that was
- 12 the case, I don't think she would have gone round the
- homes. She would have been at home. So probably
- I would presume it would have been a visitator had gone
- 15 around.
- 16 Q. And you -- I mean, it's clear that she went to one of
- the boys' homes as part of her visitation.
- 18 A. I don't think it would have been part of the visitation,
- 19 certainly not, but she may have visited them.
- 20 Q. And would that have been with the purpose of checking
- 21 how --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- the migrant children --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- were faring?

- 1 A. Yes, I would say so.
- $^{2}$  Q. She would then have prepared a report, which should have
- 3 been --
- 4 A. Not necessarily, because it was an ad hoc visit. So it
- 5 wouldn't have been part of her official visitation.
- 6 Q. But might she have made some sort of comment about,
- 7 "I also went to see Clontarf or Castledare" --
- 8 A. Verbally.
- 9 Q. -- or wherever?
- 10 A. Verbally, but not written.
- 11 Q. So it wouldn't have formed part of the written report?
- 12 A. Definitely not.
- 13 Q. But there might have been some information coming back
- 14 about conditions there?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I take it also that there's nothing -- just about -- was
- there ever any specific visit to check up on the boys'
- homes that you are aware of, because obviously there is
- 19 this visitation situation with the girls' homes, the
- Nazareth House out there, but the boys' homes, would
- there ever have been anybody go out from the Sisters to
- look specifically at the boys' homes?
- 23 A. Well, when the boys went out and they went with the
- 24 Christian Brothers, that's an organisation or
- a congregation in its own right, and they would have

- looked after the boys and they would have taken control
- and charge of the boys. So really the Sisters had no --
- no control or say in the -- in that -- those homes, but
- I know the boys from Tardun came to visit Nazareth
- House, Geraldton at least three times a year, and
- I think yesterday you showed a slide and you actually
- 7 saw the boys sitting on the fountain there. So that's
- 8 proof that they did go and it is also written in the
- 9 council books that the boys from Tardun came to visit.
- 10 That's all. We would have no control over the Christian
- 11 Brothers.
- 12 Q. But would you have had some -- any concern to see that
- those boys were doing well? They had come from
- a Nazareth home initially.
- 15 A. Yes, but they were handed over to another congregation.
- 16 So -- that we had nothing to do with. So we would have
- 17 presumed that they were getting well cared for, and
- certainly when the boys came from Tardun, there was
- 19 never any record of any of them saying they were not
- 20 treated properly.
- 21 Q. If I can move on to paragraph 27, this is where we
- 22 discuss what you are aware of with regard to the
- Northern Irish government and their knowledge. You say:
- "It has no evidence -- the congregation has no
- 25 evidence to suggest it informed the Northern Ireland

government of its plans to send children from Northern

Ireland to Australia or consulted directly with them."

You say you can't -- this is about the doctors, that you believe they were state-nominated doctors, but nominated by the State of Australia it would appear rather than the Northern Irish government or the UK government.

You say that:

"The Stormont government was written to by the Australia Catholic Immigration Committee and this committee undertook to advise the Sisters immediately in 1949 if there was anything in the proposed Act", which would have been the Children and Young Persons Act 1950, "which may stop any of the children going to Australia. There is no evidence of the congregation receiving any guidance or other communication from the Northern Ireland or Westminster government about the operation of the scheme in Northern Ireland."

If we could just look at that document that you're talking about there, it's AUS333. Can we try 337? Just I might have written it down wrongly. I think we have already called it up. So ... AUS5333. Sorry. 5327 actually. Sorry. That was the medical examination. It is 5327.

This is a letter from the Australian Catholic

Immigration Committee in August 1949 to the Reverend Mother thanking her for her letter of 27th July:

"I am very glad indeed to have a list of the children and we are delighted that you have so many to go. I will start trying to get their birth certificates right way. I expect you will have all their baptismal ones? I am also enclosing all the necessary forms -- three for each child. There is no hurry for these as I shall have to wait to get the birth certificates before sending them in to Australia House and this may take some time. Another delay is that Father Nicol is not coming back until October and will not let me send any children until he gets back -- goodness knows how many children I shall lose by this manoeuvre, as what with the parents getting tired of waiting and your Irish Children Bill coming in next month. However, it will probably come all right in the end."

I pause there to make the comment, Sister, that this clearly shows that the Australian Catholic Immigration Committee were involved in the administrative process, as it were, for migrating the children.

22 A. Yes.

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 Q. But the last paragraph reads:
- 24 "I shall be writing to Stormont this week so that if 25 there is anything in the proposed Act which may stop any

- of the children going, I will let you know at once. I
- $^2$  am not sure if I shall be over in September now -- as it
- all depends on Father Nicol's reactions when he returns,
- but I am hoping that he will agree to sending as many
- 5 children as possible on the first ship available."
- 6 It is signed Norah Montaldo.
- 7 Then it goes on:
- 8 "PS. With the forms if you would just fill in the
- 9 bits marked X on the top on each one. This isn't very
- 10 clear. I mean one form of each three, and I will fill
- in the other two of each set.
- 12 Stamped addressed envelopes in case you have to
- write for consents, etc."
- 14 So it would appear that the Australian Catholic
- 15 Immigration Committee are effectively carrying out a lot
- of the administration and are essentially saying to the
- 17 Mother Superior in whichever home this was, but it is
- obviously Northern Ireland, and presumably around 1949
- it might have been Termonbacca, but we are not clear --
- it's saying, you know, "You just fill in the top bit and
- we'll do the rest".
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So a lot of the work was done by others on behalf of the
- 24 Sisters?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. But the point about this letter is that it says they'll
- $^2$  be writing to Stormont "this week", which was in
- 3 August 1949. We do know there is a progress report. We
- 4 haven't actually got the letter that was written to
- 5 Stormont, but we have seen the quarterly progress report
- $^{6}$  that was enclosed -- I pulled that up yesterday --
- 7 talking about the Scottish and Irish situations, and
- 8 there was a thank you letter on the government file, on
- 9 the Ministry of Home Affairs file, thanking Miss
- 10 Montaldo for her letter in which that document would
- 11 have been enclosed.
- To the best of your knowledge, Sister, any of the
- children who were sent by the Sisters of Nazareth -- and
- when I say "sent", selected for migration -- did they --
- was the consent of the Minister of Home Affairs ever
- 16 sought in respect of any of the children who were sent
- 17 --
- 18 A. I don't think so, no.
- 19 Q. -- of those 111? It would be a fair assumption that
- 20 those were then all voluntary placements --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and were not the subject of Fit Person Orders?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Sister, you will be glad to know that I have
- covered the matters that I wish to address with you and

I'm sure the Panel will have some questions for you and
I'll hand you over to them very shortly, but at this

point I'm just wondering if you are -- if you wish to

add anything to what is in your statement. I haven't

gone through it paragraph by paragraph, but I have

touched on a number of issues. If there's anything you

want to add to what's in that or is there anything else

you wish to say on behalf of the congregation?

I think hindsight's a great thing and I think -- looking back now, I think the congregation regrets the grave injustice done to these children in sending them out, not just to the children but to their families as well, and I think no matter -- the most eloquent apology, or the most beautiful monument, or no matter how much money they receive will never make up for what we took from them in sending them there.

I know some made good lives for themselves, and having been out in Australia and spoken to some migrant children, they still have this, "What if ...? What if I had stayed in Ireland?", even though they had made good lives for themselves out there, and I think we have to acknowledge -- that's the government, the British government, the Australian government, the churches, the congregations, the institutes -- we all have to put our hands up and acknowledge that maybe it wasn't the right

- thing, even though it was done in the best interests of
- 2 the child at the time.
- 3 I just thank the Panel for listening to me.
- 4 Q. Thank you very much, Sister.
- 5 A. Thank you.
- 6 Questions from THE PANEL
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Sister, I wonder if I could just try and
- 8 summarise in a few sentences what seems to be the
- 9 overall position in general terms about the role of your
- 10 Order in what happened.
- There are a number of different strands to this it
- seems clear. I list them in no particular order of
- significance, but, first of all, British governments
- over many years were prepared to support and encourage
- organisations to send children to various parts of what
- 16 was then the British Empire and later the British
- 17 Commonwealth, such as Canada and particularly Australia.
- 18 However, they left the process to individual
- organisations to manage and so on, and we have heard
- that other organisations, secular organisations, such as
- the Fairbridge scheme and Dr Barnardo's took part.
- There were quite a number of organisations, some of
- 23 which were other Christian denominations, such as the
- 24 Church of England, Presbyterian Church, the Methodist
- 25 Church, but there was definitely a second element, which

- was the desire of the Australian authorities to have
- more people come to Australia for the reasons that have
- 3 been described.
- 4 Another strand was the desire on the part of the
- 5 Australian Roman Catholic authorities, particularly in
- 6 Western Australia, to encourage significant numbers of
- 7 Catholic children, and preferably young Catholic
- 8 children, to come out to increase the proportion of the
- Roman Catholic population in Australia, and also to
- 10 provide what they regarded as a better life for children
- from the United Kingdom, although, of course, there were
- indications, which I am sure you are aware of, that
- there was a desire to fill the institutions that were
- created in Australia. Many of these institutions were
- 15 to varying degrees physically built by the children who
- 16 went out --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- particularly Bindoon. We have seen pictures of --
- 19 A. I have been there, Chairman.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Although the building was designed by one
- of the Brothers, the children did an awful lot of the
- 22 physical work of constructing the building --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: -- developing the farmland, uprooting trees,
- digging, fencing, all those sorts of things.

So far as the Sisters of Nazareth were concerned,
they received appeals from the Catholic Church in

Australia to be sympathetic to this type of request, to
support it, and, as you pointed out, the Order did have
its own homes in Australia and I think opened a number
of homes during the period that we're talking about.

So all of these factors were part and parcel of the decisions that were made by others and to which the Sisters, if I may use the modern expression, bought in.

When it came to actually doing this in practice we have heard this morning the various procedures. I am not going to go over those again, but one aspect of it that may be of interest to the Inquiry is this.

Children were sent from many other homes run by the Sisters of Nazareth, not just the four in Northern Ireland. There were homes in Scotland and in England

and possibly even in Wales, although I can't remember.

## 18 A. Yes, yes.

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- Q. And indeed the Order as a whole sent a very high
  proportion of the Catholic children who went to
  Australia, roughly speaking about two-thirds I think --
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. -- and of that two-thirds about 10% or so came from
  Northern Ireland.
- Each home, as you told us in relation to the first

- 1 module -- I think the expression you used was
- 2 "semi-autonomous".
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. We have heard in the first module one of the applicants
- 5 saying that he remembered one of the Sisters in
- Termonbacca I think it was saying that there weren't
- going to be any more children going in 1953, and we do
- 8 know that no children went I think I am correct in
- 9 saying from Derry at all --
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 O. -- after 1953.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. But Children went from Belfast homes.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Was it ultimately left to the Mother Superior of each
- home and her council to decide whether they'd take part
- in this whole process?
- 18 A. I think they would have been given the green light by
- 19 Hammersmith to participate in the scheme. It would have
- 20 to have been sent from -- permission would have to be
- sent from the Superior General and her council, and so
- 22 if the Superiors of each house had got that permission
- 23 to join the scheme, then the Superior of the house would
- have been in touch with the migration people to say they
- 25 had so many children.

- 1 Q. Yes, but what I -- I am interested in is that's one side
- of the coin, but it also seems to be the case that for
- 3 whatever reason the two homes in Derry decided that they
- 4 wouldn't send any more children, but the Belfast homes
- 5 continued to do so until December 1956. I just wondered
- 6 was there some reason for this difference in attitude
- 7 that you are aware of?
- 8 A. Not that I'm aware of, Chairman, unless the immigration
- 9 people were looking for girls. Maybe there's more girls
- in Belfast than there was in Derry. I mean, that's just
- 11 speculation. I don't know.
- 12 **Q.**
- 13 A. Sorry.
- 14 Q. Then a completely unrelated question that I would just
- like to raise with you. At the end of your first,
- longer statement you said that the congregation had set
- aside a sum of money in Australia to assist any issues
- arising from child migrants and you then go on to say
- 19 that in 1995 the Superior General of the day I take it
- 20 paid the passage of about fifty child migrants to return
- to the United Kingdom. Is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes.
- 23 Q. Does that mean it came out of the Order's funds?
- 24 A. Yes, yes.
- 25 Q. Thank you, and you refer to the assistance of Caritas

- 1 Social Action. What is that organisation? I take it
- 2 it's a Catholic --
- 3 A. It's a Catholic organisation, a Catholic organisation
- 4 that helps in -- that helped us with the migrant
- 5 children.
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Those children actually came to Hammersmith and had
- 8 a big reception, because I was actually there at the
- 9 time.
- 10 Q. So is it a -- perhaps some sort of umbrella Catholic
- 11 social work organisation --
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 Q. -- in the United Kingdom?
- 14 A. Yes, it's in the United Kingdom.
- 15 Q. And then they helped with the practical arrangements --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- of reuniting people with their families in the United
- 18 Kingdom?
- 19 A. Yes, and Ireland.
- 20 Q. And in Ireland, if, of course, the people wanted that.
- You may be aware that it seems that other bodies
- such as the Christian Brothers, other Orders such as the
- 23 Christian Brothers, have also --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- provided financial assistance for people to come

- 1 back.
- 2 A. That's correct, yes.
- 3 Q. Yes. Thank you very much.
- 4 MS DOHERTY: Thanks, Sister. Can I just go back to the
- issue about the gentleman that was looking for
- 6 information about his mother? If I understood you
- 7 right, you indicated that the nun that was involved
- 8 wanted to save him from a second rejection. I think
- 9 that's what you said, from being rejected. Are you
- aware if she had any basis for that? Had she been in
- 11 contact with the mother? Was there any reason for her
- to have any assurance that that would be it?
- 13 A. I think because of what was written on the envelope,
- that she relinquished all contact with the child.
- I presume that was her basis.
- 16 Q. So even though that was years and years before, she
- assumed that that would still be the case?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Can I just ask when you mention you were there at
- the Hammersmith meeting, can you say something about how
- that went when the migrant children ...?
- 22 A. There was -- well, we were expecting 22 and actually 55
- 23 I think came. So I remember well, because there was
- 24 a big rush to get more teacups and saucers and things.
- 25 There was a great reunion, and there was just

- a wonderful atmosphere, and that was before they went to
- their various homes or wherever -- country to find their
- relations or whatever, but there was a great reunion
- 4 even among the migrants themselves and the Sisters.
- 5 Q. And was there any acknowledgment in the way that you
- 6 have acknowledged today that with hindsight there was
- 7 an injustice? Was there any acknowledgment of that at
- 8 the time?
- 9 A. I don't think so. I don't remember. I don't recall,
- 10 but I know the Superior General was there and she did
- speak to them, but whether she apologised or not I can't
- 12 recall.
- 13 Q. Okay. Thank you, Sister.
- 14 MR LANE: I'd just like to make sure that I've understood
- properly about the follow-up. You mentioned that there
- 16 was a lot of communication between the homes in
- 17 Australia and the Superior General and that there were
- visitations. So any information could have got back to
- 19 Hammersmith, but would that have then gone on to the
- 20 homes in Northern Ireland to keep them informed about
- 21 how their children were?
- 22 A. Yes, yes, it would, yes.
- 23 Q. And in what sort of way? Just telephone calls or would
- there have been records passed out?
- 25 A. In the early days I know it was telegrams, but then

- obviously there would have been with better
- communication telephone calls, yes, and when the
- 3 Superior General and her council would come over to
- 4 Ireland, then they would communicate, or there would be
- 5 letters written.
- 6 Q. Would that have gone on to their records, the children's
- 7 records, at all or just been something that the Sisters
- 8 were aware of?
- 9 A. Over here? No, I think it was just something the
- 10 Sisters were aware of themselves.
- 11 Q. Right. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Well, thank you very much, Sister Brenda, for
- assisting the Inquiry today. We are aware you have been
- 14 present I think every day. I am sure you will be
- relieved to hear that we haven't anything else to ask
- 16 you today, although I imagine we may well see you at
- a later stage of our work --
- 18 A. Thank you very much.
- 19 Q. -- which is next year. Thank you for coming.
- 20 (Witness withdrew)
- 21 MS SMITH: Chairman, that concludes the evidence for today.
- There are two witnesses -- we have received statements,
- one from the Health & Social Care Board and one from the
- Department of Health as the successors in title of both
- 25 the Trusts -- sorry -- the welfare committees and the

- 1 Ministry of Home Affairs.
- Now I have indicated to the representatives for each
- 3 that there is no requirement for them to come to give
- 4 evidence unless they wish them to be called, and
- 5 I certainly understand from Mr O'Reilly on behalf of the
- 6 Department that Dr Harrison, who is provided
- 7 a statement, will not be attending.
- I am awaiting confirmation from Ms Smyth, who should
- 9 be e-mailing me this morning to let me know whether they
- wish to call Miss McAndrew.
- If neither are actually coming to give evidence on
- Monday, then it may be that we are in a position to deal
- with submissions on Monday rather than on Tuesday, but
- I believe my colleagues would be better placed to answer
- whether they would be ready or not.
- 16 MR MONTAGUE: I have no difficulty with that, Chairman.
- 17 MR O'REILLY: I don't have.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Very well. Thank you, gentlemen, for that
- indication. We have seen the reports. They do not
- 20 really throw -- or the statements. They did not really
- throw any significant additional light at all. They
- 22 merely reaffirm or repeat things that have already been
- 23 addressed at some length, and therefore even if they are
- read out, it would probably be only a brief summary of
- 25 small parts of them. So I think in those circumstances

```
Page 56
1
         we might go to the closing submissions on Monday, if
         that's convenient to everyone.
3
    MR MONTAGUE: Certainly, Chairman.
4
                I would anticipate we would therefore complete
5
         them on Monday.
6
    MR O'REILLY: I would have thought so.
7
     CHAIRMAN: Yes. Very well. Thank you very much.
8
     (11.35 am)
                  (Hearing adjourned until 10 o'clock
10
                    on Monday, 15th September 2014)
11
                               --00000--
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 57
1	I N D E X	
2	SISTER BRENDA (called)2	
3		
4	Reading of statement of WITNESS2  SUA27	
5	SISTER BRENDA (cont.)	
6	Questions from COUNSEL FOR THE INQUIRY5 Questions from THE PANEL46	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		