\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

being heard before:

SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)

MR DAVID LANE

MS GERALDINE DOHERTY

held at
Banbridge Court House
Banbridge

on Tuesday, 24th March 2015 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 104)

MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 1 Tuesday, 24th March 2015 2 (10.00 am)3 (Proceedings delayed) (10.40 am)4 5 Summary of evidence of WITNESS HIA10 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Can I just 6 7 before we start remind everyone yet again that mobile phones are to be switched off or at the very least put 8 on "Silent"/"Vibrate", and that no photography is 9 10 permitted anywhere within the Inquiry chamber or indeed on the Inquiry premises, nor is any recording permitted. 11 Today we will have at least one statement which will 12 be read out and, as on previous occasions, I want to 13 14 underline that where a statement is read out the Inquiry 15 proceeds upon the presumption that that individual wishes to maintain the anonymity that has been granted 16 17 to them. 18 Mr Aiken. MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good morning. 19 The first witness I am going to deal with today is 20 21 HIA10, originally HIA10, who is "HIA10". She is unable to attend to give oral evidence to the Inquiry for 22 23 medical reasons and an explanation that the Inquiry has accepted. As you have just said, Chairman, the Inquiry 24 25 makes the assumption that she wishes to preserve the

- anonymity that the Inquiry has afforded to her.
- 2 She makes a series of allegations against various
- 3 individuals. One of those individuals, NL114, who is
- 4 "NL114", is represented before the Inquiry this morning
- 5 and she will be giving evidence later today. I will ask
- 6 Mr Shields of counsel to give his appearance on behalf
- 7 of NL114.
- 8 MR SHIELDS: Chairman, Members of the Panel, I appear on
- 9 behalf of that witness. My name is Shields and I am
- instructed by Mrs Pauline McKenna of McKenna, Laverty &
- 11 Co. Solicitors.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Shields.
- 13 MR AIKEN: For the record if I can just say again publicly,
- Members of the Panel, that all the names that we use
- either this morning while a read-out is taking place or
- during evidence should not be reported or mentioned
- 17 beyond the chamber in the context of the evidence the
- 18 Inquiry is hearing.
- 19 The Inquiry statement that HIA10 has made, if we can
- bring up, please, 747, and the final page can be found
- at 753. Obviously the page that appears on the screen
- is in redacted form, but the Panel members will have
- a signed copy of her statement, and I can confirm that
- I also have a signed copy of the statement that she has
- 25 made to the Inquiry.

Page 4

In addition to her Inquiry statement there are a number of core documents that I am going to draw to the Panel's attention and I will make reference to at various points this morning.

The first is a police statement that she made on 23rd August of 1995. That police statement can be found at 60785 and 6.

Then there is a statement that she made to the Compensation Agency when beginning an criminal injury claim on 5th September 2007, and that statement can be found at 30006.

Then on 21st May of 2010 HIA10 made a further statement to the police and that statement can be found at 62066 through to 62070.

I will open some of the content of those documents at relevant points this morning.

In addition, there are six statements from the Sisters of Nazareth congregation that are relevant to this witness.

There is a general response statement, which can be found at 2089 through to 2091.

There then is a witness statement from SR29, who was formerly SR29, who is mentioned by HIA10, and her statement can be found at 1881.

There is a statement from SR46, which can found at

Page 5

SNB-2131 through to 2133, and the Panel may recall having heard from SR46 on Day 93 of the Inquiry's public hearings on 10th February of this year.

Then there is a statement from SR148, who is another nun mentioned by HIA10, and her statement can be found at SNB-2153.

Then there is a statement on behalf of SR2, who is not fit to make a replying statement, and that can be found at SNB-2177.

Then, finally, there is a statement from SR205, which can be found at SNB-2191 through to 2193. She explains her recollections of looking after HIA10, and it may be that her statement will be of interest to the Panel for wider reasons.

Then beyond the Sisters of Nazareth statements that are relevant to HIA10 the Health & Social Care Board have filed a statement, which is at 6003 to 6004. Now that statement recognised that was likely that the Eastern Board for reasons that I will show to the Panel shortly would have had involvement in the care of HIA10, but they could not find the file that would have existed in respect of her, and therefore the statement is short and is not in a position to explain the involvement that there may have been on behalf of the Eastern Board.

That position has changed overnight in that there is

Page 6

what appears to be a copy, although not necessarily a complete copy, of HIA10's social work file in a police investigation file, and that has been drawn to the attention of the Health & Social Care Board, who are, in addition to looking at that material, going to continue to see if there are any means of finding the file that did exist at some point, and also an additional statement dealing with the content of that material, some of which I will briefly open to the Panel this morning, which does give a thorough chronology of social work involvement in HIA10's care, will be formalised into a further replying statement from the Health & Social Care Board now that they are aware of that material.

In addition to the Health & Social Care Board statement then there are two replying statements from two individuals against whom allegations have been made by HIA10 and whom the Inquiry has been able to trace.

The first is NL114. You are aware she is represented this morning. Her statement can be found at 80095 through 80098. When I come to deal with the allegations in respect of her in terms of HIA10's evidence I will indicate to you that, as NL114 will be giving evidence later today, I will deal with most of that material through her.

Page 7

There is then a replying statement from NL14, who also faces an allegation from HIA10, and his replying statement is at 80099 through 80100.

Now, having said all of that, Members of the Panel, by way of background HIA10 was born on .

She is now aged 46. It appears, if we can bring up, please, 62297, that on 14th July 1977, when she would have been aged 9, a Place of Safety Order was obtained by the Eastern Health & Social Services Board and HIA10 was then placed in it is recorded as St. Joseph's Babies' Home, but it is likely to have been Nazareth House.

That accords with the register entry that the congregation provided to the Inquiry yesterday. If we can look at 4563, we did have a copy at an earlier point, but this version gives more detail as to the admission, and you can see from the bottom entry that on 1st September 1977 HIA10 was admitted to Nazareth Lodge. That was at the request of the Eastern Health & Social Services Board. You can see that the named social worker involved in that placement is a , which is a name familiar to the Panel in respect of other matters.

You can see that it appears from this record that she stayed in Nazareth Lodge until 5th January 1980,

Page 8 1 which would have been before her 12th birthday. At that 2 point it appears she was discharged to the care of the Eastern Health Board's social worker. 3 If we can look, please, at 4565, this is the 4 5 discharge book. You can see in the top right the date of 5th January 1980, and a social worker, NL205 6 7 NL205 , is signing for receiving "her charges", as she describes them, and that's NL87, sister of HIA10, and 8 9 HIA10. This was for the purposes of a fostering 10 arrangement that had been organised for HIA10. We can see that at 62319, please. 11 CHAIRMAN: Just before we leave that --12 MR AIKEN: Just stay where we are at, please. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: -- on the screen I see in the bottom left-hand 15 corner a reference to items which presumably were taken into her care by NL205 16 "Medical cards, bank books", 17 18 which would suggest that some money was in a bank account for the child --19 MR AIKEN: Yes. 20 21 CHAIRMAN: -- as well as: "2 sets of clothing." 22 MR AIKEN: Yes. 62319, please. This is a social work entry 23 of 5th January 1980 explaining that the discharge from 24 25 Nazareth Lodge was to prospective foster parents, and

Page 9

again their names won't be used beyond the chamber, and there's reference to the three sisters being involved in this potential arrangement.

The congregation has provided an extract from what I call the narrative register that explains the background as to how this coming into the lodge came about. If we can look, please, at 4564, this explains that the -- unfortunately HIA10's mother had deserted them, as the word was used at the time, the parents having been separated, and she moved to England, and the children were left in the care of HIA10's grandmother, who -- after she passed away a friend took on responsibility for the girls, and when that was no longer capable of being sustained, then you can see on the second page:

"It was decided by the Health & Social Services that the need for consistent handling and a structured environment necessitated their admission to care."

You will be aware from having read HIA10's statement and the material surrounding it that she does not recall social work visits specific to her, but the material -- and I am just going to show you these briefly as an example.

If we look, please, at 62306, these are Eastern
Health & Social Service Board progress reports. You

Page 10

will have seen the type of this material before. If we just scroll down to the bottom of the document, you can see SR46 is the person at that stage responsible for HIA10, and then you can see the series of dates that the social worker is saying not only that she attended or he attended, but you will see from the narrative just above the date box that an underlining indicates a child being seen.

Then accompanying this first sheet when one moves through -- and I am just going to use this as an example -- if we move through to the next page, please, 62307, you then have a narrative as to the progress of HIA10 during her time that's covered by this report.

Then if we move through to the next page, please, and you will see it said:

"HIA10 is keen to do well and doing well."

What is reflected, if I can put it this way, through the social work reports, not all of which I am going to open, but which I have looked at, it shows that there was a continuing difficulty for HIA10 in that her mother would make contact and then that contact would not be sustained, and that caused a significant degree of distress on an ongoing basis.

In view of the allegations that are made about SR46 if we can look, please, at 62300, SR46 has explained to

Page 11

the Inquiry that she would have been responsible for HIA10 during her first period in Nazareth Lodge, so the period up to HIA10 leaving on the foster placement in January 1980. You can see this is a case review that's taking place in January 1979 and the various personnel who are present at the meeting along with SR46 and then SR63 . They discuss future options for HIA10.

If we can look, please, at 623... -- if we just move on to the next page, please, for a moment, you can then see a narrative being recorded, and these documents -- in view of the difficulty there has been seeing material kept by the congregation on children, this is an example it appears of that taking place.

If we just scroll up just slightly for me, you can see that the author of this is said to be Nazareth Lodge, Ravenhill Road, Belfast. Then it is described as an "Internal Case Review". So it appears to be a document that was being prepared by those working in the home as opposed to a Social Services document being provided to the congregation. If we just scroll down it, contains basic information about the family and then some summary information about what contact there has been with family members.

Then if we scroll down to the next page, please, the narrative continues. It may be there are unfortunately

Page 12

some pages missing in some of these documents, but it records the contact that there has been with the family that originally took HIA10 and her sisters on after the death of her grandmother.

If we can look, please, at 62309, this is a similar document in terms of the progress report. Again if we just scroll down, please, to the bottom, we can see that this really relates to mid-1979 and it records the occasions whenever social workers were said to have seen HIA10, and then if we scroll on to the next page, you get narrative as to how she is getting on:

"... very well with all the children in the group.

Very motherly and kind to the younger children."

Records a particular friend she has; how she's getting on at a particular school that she's at.

"Enjoys Guides and is the sporty one of the sisters, usually winning them in races that they have in the park."

If we just scroll down, please, there is reference then to the situation with her mother and then the consideration of the approved foster parents. So you can see that this was not a scenario of a voluntary foster parent arrangement that the congregation might have been responsible for. It appears from this record as an example to have been something that was

Board-approved, and we can see that:

"HIA10 is keen to be fostered and looking forward to that taking place."

Then if we move on to the next page, please, we can see that that's a trainee social worker that has completed a report. In fact, the Christian name of that social worker does appear in HIA10's own statement.

These type of case reviews happen every six months. So those reports are available. In fact, the last one just showing just before the foster placement begins, if we look at 62313, this is another case review and it shows who is present. So again SR46 is present when it is taking place and discussion is had about family members.

If we move on to the next page, please, and there then follows -- if we look at 62315, with the same -- we have a progress report on HIA10 herself, and reference is made to family contact or outside contact beyond the home, the other children, how she's getting on, her health, her education. Scroll down, please. She is described by -- you can see it is signed by SR46:

"All three girls enjoyed their day at school in and they would like to move there immediately. Their only worry is about visiting their uncle and brothers ..."

1 So the general report is that:

"She is a very pleasant, affectionate girl, inclined to be light-fingered at times, but she eventually owns up. She is maturing well."

There similarly then is a social work report from December '79 at 62316, please, from which again you can see, if we just scroll down, the level of contact that there has been said to be in the months leading up to '79.

Now, as I indicated, she remained in Nazareth Lodge until January 1980. As the Chairman was noting, the reference to the discharge, it appears if we look at 62259, that when being released or discharged, the Board organise a medical examination. So this is of 2nd January 1980. It is three days before the discharge, and you can see the two sisters who were initially moving out on the foster placement:

"These children have been examined by me today and are physically fit at the time of the inspection."

I am not going to bring them up, but the Panel is aware that there is other records of medical inspections taking place during the period of HIA10's time both in Nazareth House -- Nazareth Lodge and then when she leaves, and in particular I'm going to draw attention to the Panel, but I am not going to bring up on the screen,

Page 15

pages 62260, which record medical inspections and give the dates of them, and also at 62261, which is a more typical clinical note that appears to have been maintained both before the foster placement and then after her return to Nazareth Lodge in 1982, which we are going come to when the foster placement broke down.

The foster placement broke down and she returned at the request of the Eastern Health & Social Services

Board on 9th March 1982, aged 13.

If we can look, please, at 4010, we can see a re-admission register entry, which again is at the behest of the Eastern Health & Social Services Board. You can see the date she is received back is 9th March 1982 and she remains there until 30th April 1985.

What is also relevant to the Inquiry is at the time of the re-admission, if we look, please, at 62269, HIA10 had the opportunity to see an educational psychologist and the educational psychologist then prepares a report. There is particular reference to the school she would like to go to, but the psychologist felt that there was scope for her actually going to St. Monica's and was keen for that to be attempted. In fact, in the material which I am not going to open there is a record from St. Monica's showing the scoring that was obtained.

Page 16

In December 1982, if we can just look at 62279, please, this is further case review that's taking place. If we scroll down to the bottom, you can again see the extent of the engagement that the social worker is said to have. You can see that at this point it is no longer SR46's group that HIA10 is in. It is SR205, and SR205 has made a statement to the Inquiry, which will be of interest to the Panel, but it also — the social work material records, as does HIA10 in her statement, that she had a very good relationship with SR205, who was herself qualified — qualified in social care, but the document does show that the Social Services continued to visit.

If we scroll down to the next page -- just move down to the bottom, please -- you can see that that is something that was continuing through 1982.

In November 1983 the case review report, if we can just turn up 62292, it's a lengthy document which I'm not going to go through in detail, but what I want to draw to the Panel's attention is that while there were minor difficulties in school, on the whole HIA10 is coping quite well. You can see the reference I made to the difficulty with communication from HIA10's mother in paragraph 3 and in paragraph 4 then the types of interests that are engaged in.

Page 17

If we move through, please, on to the next page, this is a record that I will return to, because you will see particular reference here:

"HIA10 is now settled with SR2 after her disappointment over SR29", who is SR29, "being transferred so quickly. She only was with her for a period of two months. She was upset over the sudden communication from her mother."

Then the social worker, , and SR2 working with her, allowed that to be managed.

What I want to show to the Panel, because I think it is the first time the Panel will have seen material of this type, if we look, please, at 62324, I am not going to dwell on any of the entries, but this appears to be the first example that I have seen of a handwritten record being kept which appears to be like a daily log. If we just can scroll through the next seven pages, please, so that the form of this can be -- we have a problem with the -- the page is upside down, unfortunately, but it begins in January '84. Just keep going, please. You can see that it is a daily log of what HIA10 in her case has been doing and contact that has taken place that relates to her. So it demonstrates that at some point at a date that the Inquiry can't yet establish a practice had begun in Nazareth Lodge of

keeping a daily record of important events for a particular child.

If we can look, please, at a report of May 1984 at 62286, you can see again, if we scroll down, please -this is from May 1984 -- you can see the visits. Now
SR2 is the person who is in charge of the group that
HIA10 is in, but you can see the extent of social work
contact that is said to be taking place.

If we scroll on to the next page, please, again you can see what is said about the progression that HIA10 is making, and her relationship with staff is said to be very good.

"The younger staff she accepts as equals, yet recognises their authority. She can be rebellious when taken to task over her friendships, but is very quick to recognise when she is wrong and equally quick to apologise. She is very popular with her peers."

She has now we can see left school and working part-time in Nazareth House as a care attendant. That's with the elderly in Nazareth House. You can see the difficulty in relation to her mother then towards the bottom of the page.

You can see it is said in this report, which, of course, is prepared by the Eastern Board, not by the congregation, that:

"HIA10 is happy in Nazareth Lodge and as yet does not wish to move on to a hostel. SR2 reports that Nazareth Lodge are in the process of establishing an independent flatlet and HIA10 would be considered for this. HIA10 relates well to all the staff and is a favourite with the younger children. She has no contact with her sisters."

If we just scroll down, please, on to the next page, which sets out that this is authored by NL 206 , the social worker. Now obviously this type of report the Panel then have to set alongside the Inquiry statement from HIA10, who is describing her time in Nazareth Lodge in very different terms.

In November 1984 there is a report from -- if we can look, please, at 62273 -- from SR2 in respect of HIA10. So you can see the type of recording that was now taking place by this point in time at least in respect of this individual. References at the moment about how she has developed. She has a keen interest in boys and the number available are described and reference is made to the fact that:

"... having made a friend with a 16-year-old, which saw the end of a connection to a 23-year-old, about whom we were all a bit concerned."

You can see:

"HIA10 is very domesticated and can do most things
for herself.

Quite happy with her job in Nazareth House, but would prefer to work with children."

You can see the -- she is described as being:

6 "Always helpful and assumes she will be well 7 received ...

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

... well liked and accepted by other children."

If we just scroll further down, please, you can see this is a record maintained by , who was an assistant houseparent, and SR2, senior houseparent. By this point in November 1984 HIA10 is now 16. She remained then in the home until April 1985 when, still aged 16, she left.

I have taken the Panel to those references and opened at least in short form some of the social work material that's available and obviously the Panel have the opportunity to consider that in detail.

One then comes to look at the Inquiry statement from HIA10. If we can go back, please, to what she says about SR46. You will recall that HIA10 has two periods in Nazareth Lodge. The first one is between 1977 and January 1980 and SR46 was looking after her at that time. If we can go, please, to 748, and scroll down, please, paragraph 7 HIA10 says to the Inquiry SR46 was

Page 21

the worst nun. She remembers when she was in mass and fainted. She didn't like the smell of incense. SR46 shouted at her and nipped her arm for fainting in mass.

"She also had a ruler strap, a brown leather ruler with white stitching, which she", HIA10 says, "hit us with. If she wanted to hit you, she would grab anything that was nearby. We were also hit with bamboo canes.

The nuns favoured the children of families who had money and the families who appreciate how their children were looked after."

Then if we move down to paragraph 13, please, at 750, she recalls one occasion whenever she ran away with her sisters. She was found. The police brought her back. SR46 held her by the neck with her arm and beat her with a metal spatula. She describes how her sister NL87 tried to stop that happening.

Now obviously from the material that's presently available it doesn't appear these events were discussed with the social worker who was in contact with HIA10, but they did form a police statement that HIA10 made in August of 1995. If we can bring up, please, 60785. This was a statement that was taken as part of Operation

the Panel are now familiar with, which began as an investigation into Rubane and then extended out to include an investigation into Nazareth Lodge. It

appears potentially around 600 children were spoken to

about their time in Nazareth Lodge. HIA10 was one of

3 those. At the time she is making this statement in 1995

4 she is 27 years old.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She sets out the background of her time in Nazareth Lodge. She complains about SR46:

"While I was in Nazareth Lodge, SR46 physically abused me. She used to beat me with a soup ladle."

That will be the entry that we referred to in paragraph 13 of the Inquiry statement:

"I ran away one time and was brought back. She beat me severely with kitchen utensils."

Then she goes on to talk about NL150 and NL114, which I will come back to. If you just scroll down, please, you can see in passing the last line of the statement, to which I will return.

So that was the statement made by HIA10 in August of 1995.

Now SR46 was interviewed in June of 1996 as part of what was file 28 of 41 of Overview. That file consisted of I believe seven individuals who made allegations against SR46. The interview begins at 60218 and it runs across several pages, but in the interview, if we just look, please, at 60218, she is being asked at this point -- the interview obviously covers a lot more

Page 23 1 individuals -- but in respect of HIA10, if we just 2 scroll down, please, the grounding -- just keep going, please -- who she was and her sisters, is engaged in. 3 Then the allegation is put to her about using a soup 4 5 ladle and kitchen utensils, having run away, and having locked her in a room for punishment. Just scroll down, 6 7 please. SR46 says: "No way." 8 9 She said there weren't keys to lock the bedrooms: "She wouldn't have been locked in her room. 10 11 She wouldn't have been beaten." 12 Clarification sought: 13 "Q. Is that beaten per se or is that beaten with -using an instrument? 14 15 Either way", SR46 says. "If someone had 16 misbehaved", she explains, "there would have been 17 a withdrawal of privileges, maybe miss your night out 18 with the youth club, maybe go to your room and read for 19 a while, basically a withdrawal of privileges or maybe 20 earlier to bed one night. 21 Q. No physical punishment at all?" 22 SR46 says: 23 "No, there wasn't." She is asked: 24 25 Q. [Was there] no occasion that you would -- you

would either beat this child or any other child with an instrument?

## A. No.

Q. When I say an instrument, I mean a kitchen utensil is what we are talking about.

## A. No."

Then it moves on to discuss others. Now you will be aware from SR46's evidence that there was one occasion whenever there was a reference to the [name redacted] family and a slipper being used and discussion about that, but SR46 is saying in respect of HIA10 at no stage did she hit her in the way that is described, which at that point is the use of the kitchen utensil for running away.

Now those allegations were then repeated and augmented in May of 2010. If we can look, please, at 62066, 21st May 2010 is the police statement from HIA10, and if we just scroll down, please, she talks about:

"... SR46 and other staff clapping their hands, shouting for us all to get up, pulling our duvets off our beds. If I didn't get up that moment, SR46 and her staff would grab or pinch hard on to either my ear lobe, maybe a bit of my hair or my legs or arms and pull me out of my bed. It would be very painful, especially on my ears. SR46 knew that I suffered with my ears.

Page 25

I would fall on to the floor ... body part that was pulled on was either bruised or bleeding at times ... went to the other -- went to the bathroom with other girls and got cleaned up."

There is then reference to NL114, which we will come back to.

If you scroll down, please, reference is then made to life generally and to SR46 patrolling at canteen time and making people eat. Scroll down, please. Reference to bathing and saying that SR46 would have scrubbed her whole body with a wooden scrubbing brush while in the bath and how the skin would be red raw, sometimes bleeding after that. She says:

"One time SR46 scrubbed me in the bath with a yard brush with hard bristles, which left my skin red and bleeding."

Scroll down, please. She says:

"I remember SR46 brought me into the kitchen on our floor and starting hitting me with a metal cooking spatula which she grabbed off the kitchen wall ... hit me more than ten times hard with the spatula on my back and the back of my legs."

Her sister intervened and she said that left her back sore.

On another occasion during this time she was taken

Page 26

by SR46 in the lift to the ground floor. Scroll down, please. Taken to the parlour and reference then to Father Brendan Smyth, to which we'll come back. The suggestion that SR46 took her to Father Brendan Smyth.

I should have said it was part of file 28 of

Operation . The DPP directed no prosecution in respect of SR46, and you saw that whenever she was giving her evidence, but she was interviewed again in the aftermath of this police statement and that interview took place on 23rd May 2012.

If we just look, please, at 61639, you can see that -- unfortunately the transcript of the interview is not available, but what appears here is that various additional allegations are put to SR46 and she denied that those things happened.

In December 2012, if we look, please, at 70221, the DPP directed -- you will see this was addressed to SR46, SR46 -- that there was going to be no prosecution in respect of the additional matters that resulted in her being interviewed in May of 2012.

HIA10 then makes allegations against NL114 in paragraphs 8 and 9 of her statement at 749. Just bring those up momentarily, please. There are some in addition to these two paragraphs in the previous police statements which we have looked at for other reasons.

Page 27

There are other allegations that are made and I will deal with those with NL114 in the -- who has provided a replying statement, as I said, which is at 80095 through to 80098.

Just in summary form she was also interviewed in 1995 as a result of the -- 1996 as a result of the statement that was made by HIA10 in 1995 and she denied the allegations. So we will look at that with HIA10 later today.

She also makes allegations in the same paragraph about NL150. That's NL150. You will recall in the 1995 police statement, if we just look at it so that what I am saying is clear, if we look at 6085, please, and at the bottom of the page you will see if we scroll down, please, having talked about the utensils used by SR46, she then says:

"NL150, who was a member of staff, used to slap me about the face and NL114, another member of staff, used to do the same."

Now that allegation resulted in what became file 40 of 41 of Operation into NL150 or NL150. She married NL14, who also faces an allegation by HIA10, and file 40 of Operation Overview runs from 60782 through to 60799.

I am not going to go through the detail of the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 28

interview, but NL150 was interviewed on 18th April 1996. The interview runs from 60788 through to 60800, and she explains that she was, in fact, brought up in Nazareth Lodge and after a few years in England returned to work in the home between 1977 and 1980. She said to the police that she had a good relationship with the children and denied ever hitting NL114 (sic). As I said to the Inquiry, and I will show this when dealing with NL114, there were 600 children spoken to as part of the investigation into Nazareth Lodge in 1995/1996, and this is the only complaint that appears to have been made against NL150 or NL150. What I will say to the Panel is because the allegation is there, the Inquiry has endeavoured to trace NL150, who is no longer in a relationship with NL14, and that has not yet proved successful.

I should say that the police provided the file to the DPP, and if we look at 60800, the DPP directed that there should be no prosecution in respect of NL150 or NL150.

NL14 then faces an allegation from HIA10 at paragraph 10, if we can look, please, at 749 in the Inquiry statement, and I am subject to correction as we piece this together, Members of the Panel, but I don't believe this allegation was made in any of the police

Page 29

statements, but if we scroll down to paragraph 10, HIA10 explains how she came to be in contact with NL14 and what she says that he did in terms of touching her.

NL14 faces a number of allegations, including that made by HIA10. He has provided a replying statement. If we could look, please, at 80099 and just to the bottom of the page, please -- and again no names should be disclosed beyond the chamber -- he says:

"In relation to the specific allegations made against me I wish to assert the following. In relation to the statement of HIA10, I know there were three [name redacted] sisters in Nazareth Lodge", which is correct, "but I had no contact whatsoever with any of them. I did not at any time go up to Nazareth Lodge with sweets. I never at any time got any of the children to rub my shoulders or feet. I never played with anyone's hair or rubbed my hands down their back or round their front. I never tickled or pretended to tickle any of the children or felt their chest accidentally or intentionally. I deny each of the allegations made against me by HIA10. They are false and I have no idea why she has made them."

So that is what he has had to say to the Inquiry and he will in due course be asked to give evidence, because there are a number of other matters that the Inquiry

1 wishes to ask him about.

In paragraph 12 of HIA10's statement, if we can look, please, at 750, you can see that she explains to the Inquiry that she remembers SR46 once bringing her to meet Father Brendan Smyth. She doesn't know what age, but she was younger than 11. So that will be her first period in Nazareth Lodge.

"... took me to one of the visitors' rooms and then into another room where Father Brendan Smyth was, told him my name and then left."

She remembers humbug sweets.

"... sat me on his knee."

She goes on to describe then the touching that she says he engaged in. She said:

"I know it was Father Brendan Smyth, because
I remember him. I don't know how long I was there in
the room. I don't remember being brought to see him
again."

So she is saying it is one occasion and it's inappropriate sexual assault that he is engaged in by touching her.

Now the Inquiry obviously has gathered material from various sources and in the police statement of 23rd August 1995, if we just look, please, at 60786 -- and, as I said to you, HIA10 at this point would have

been 27 years old -- she says to the Inquiry -- said to the police at that time:

"I do not recall a priest by the name of Father Brendan Smyth."

Now in addition in 2007, in September of 2007, HIA10 began a criminal injuries compensation claim. If we can look, please, at 30018, I am not going to open what is for those of us who have dealt with these things significantly sized application forms, but you will see at the bottom, if we just scroll down, please, that it is signed and dated by HIA10 in September 2007.

As part of that form one has to set out what claims are said to ground the criminal injury. If we look, please, at 30006, you can see that the claim that is made is that:

"I was systematically abused sexually and groomed for sex ... forced into intimately touching and giving oral sex to Father Smyth. I was raped on numerous occasions. I can remember trivial details like humbugs being given to me by the priest, but can't remember how many times I was raped. I would estimate (conservatively) 10-20 times with other sexual acts happening about the same number of times. This occurred over a period of one year."

Now obviously that's significantly different from

Page 32

what is said in the Inquiry statement, but on page 4 of the police statement of 21st May 2010 -- if we can look, please, at 62066, so you can see that is the first page of the police statement of 21st May 2010. If we can move through to the fourth page. Just scroll down, please, to page 4 of 5. So HIA10 sets out she can remember being taken to the parlour, where she could see Father Brendan Smyth sitting to the left-hand side of the table. Humbug sweets. SR46 left the parlour and closed the door. Father Brendan Smyth called her over. Then she describes what he is said to have done to her, which was rubbing her, and that is the extent of the allegation that is made in the 2010 police statement.

Now an allegation then -- if we look at paragraph 15, please, at 751, you can see that HIA10 refers to having been readmitted then post the fostering placement breaking down at the age of 13, readmitted to Nazareth Lodge and placed in a different group. The reference is -- she says she was placed in the group SR205 was in charge of and SR46 wasn't any longer involved. She says SR205 was brilliant, but then she left, and then she said she ended up in SR29's group and then SR2's group.

"SR29 was always shouting and hitting whoever was in her sight. SR2 was okay to start with, but very strict. She would slap you with her hand on your head or your

face depending on what way you were standing and what way she caught you.

"When I was 15, she smacked my boyfriend at the time on the backside. I met my boyfriend at a disco in Nazareth Lodge. SR148 was also rough and would slap you."

Now the Inquiry has the statements from SR29, SR148 and SR205. I am not going to open those now, but what I am going to do is draw the Panel's attention to some of the social work material which is relevant to the Panel's assessment of this issue.

If we look, please, at 62278, this is an entry in the social work material of August 1983, and it is recorded -- if we just scroll down a little, please, so you can see that the author is the social worker  $$\rm NL$$  206 -- and you can see that it is said:

"HIA10 was very unhappy when SR205 was transferred. It was a very sudden transfer and HIA10 had no preparation for the feeling of loss she experienced, nor for the depth of her emotions, as she normally functions at a very superficial level. She appears to have settled very well with SR29, but for a fortnight she was very unhappy and unsettled."

Then in November 1983 a similar report, please, 62293. You can see that:

Page 34

"HIA10 has now settled with SR2 after her disappointment over SR29 being transferred so quickly."

So there may be a different issue in there about continuity of staff, but in terms of the allegation that is made the social worker at the time is recording that it is now SR2 and, in fact, HIA10 was disappointed that SR29 was being transferred.

These allegations that are made against this group of post-fostering nuns, if I can put it that way, don't appear to have been made to the police in 1995 or indeed in the 2010 police statement. In fact, if we can just look, please, at 61278 -- just scroll down, please -- we can see that -- just scroll down a little further -- she describes going back to Nazareth Lodge at 13.

"... placed in another building controlled by SR205.

Never had any real problems at this time. I did not get abused by SR205 or her staff. I eventually left

Nazareth Lodge. My complaint is directed towards SR46,

NL150 and NL114, who all mistreated me physically and emotionally between '77 and '79."

Just scroll down, please. So there is no complaint made to the police in 19... -- in 2010 in respect of the group of nuns that I have just mentioned. In fact, you are aware from paragraph 23 of HIA10's statement that she credits SR2 with having got her a job in the care

home, which is consistent with the Social Services' record that recorded that development.

Just one other matter that I need to bring to the Panel's attention in respect of the criminal injury claim, because it contained the serious allegations against Father Brendan Smyth. As I said, that claim was initiated in September '07. The reference for that is 30018. It alleged very serious sexual abuse, which is at 30006.

Now if we look, please, at 30027, for those involved in this type of work the customary approach from the Criminal Injuries Compensation Scheme or the Compensation Agency, or there might be someone pursued other than the State, as it were, by way of civil remedy, then the Agency will encourage that to be done. You can see in this letter in February 2008 that the Agency were encouraging HIA10 to pursue a civil claim, because:

24 Then that seems to be what happens. A firm is 25 retained. I am not going to bring up the reference, but

- 1 the Panel have access to the material at 30036. In
- October 2011 then her solicitors wrote indicating that
- 3 the matter was not proceeding, and in August 2012, if we
- 4 look, please, at 30043, she contacted the Compensation
- 5 Agency and asked them to destroy her file. In
- October 2012, if we look at 30046, please, the Agency
- 7 reply that the matter was treated as withdrawn, but it
- 8 was not possible for the file to be destroyed.
- 9 As I said, the allegations that HIA10 makes against
- 10 NL114 I will deal with through NL114's evidence. Unless
- I can assist the Inquiry any further, that's the
- material I propose to draw to the Panel's attention
- 13 about HIA10.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 15 MR AIKEN: I think Ms. Smith is probably in a position to
- take the next witness shortly, to allow me time to speak
- to NL114, but if we took a short break perhaps to allow
- 18 that to be arranged.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We will rise for a few minutes.
- 20 (11.45 am)
- 21 (Short break)
- 22 (11.55 am)
- WITNESS NL180 (called)
- 24 MS SMITH: Morning, Chairman, Panel Members. Our next
- witness today is NL180. He is "NL180". NL180 wishes to

- affirm and he also wishes to take the benefit of the
- anonymity afforded to him by the Inquiry, Chairman.
- 3 WITNESS NL180 (affirmed)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Please sit down, NL180.
- 5 A. Thank you.
- 6 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 7 MS SMITH: NL180, just before I come to your evidence I just
- 8 want to outline to the Panel where some documents are --
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. -- in respect of your evidence.
- 11 There is -- there are two statements which NL180 has
- 12 provided to the Inquiry. They can be found at SNB-6083
- 13 to 6085 and 6227 to 6228.
- 14 NL180's involvement was as a social worker for
- a child whose evidence I summarised to the Inquiry and
- that is "HIA210". I will just refer to him as HIA210.
- 17 HIA210's statement can be found at SNB-598 to 604.
- Now, NL180, first of all, can I just confirm that
- 19 you are now in the
- 20 Health & Social Care Trust?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. But you qualified as a social worker back in 1981?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. I was asking you, when I was speaking to you earlier,
- 25 just what was involved in obtaining your qualification?

- 1 A. Yes. It's an Honour's degree in Social Work, a Bachelor
- of Arts, and it also -- attached to it was the
- 3 Certificate of Qualification in Social Work and it was
- 4 a four-year course.
- 5 Q. You were telling that was based at Jordanstown.
- 6 A. Yes, at the Polytechnic I think it was then.
- 7 Q. During that four-year degree course did you engage in
- 8 placements?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Were --
- 11 A. Sorry. Go ahead.
- 12 Q. Were any of those placements children's homes?
- 13 A. None in children's homes, but I was attached to the
- 14 NSPCC.
- 15 Q. You didn't have -- you did talk about a residential
- 16 placement.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. That was for people with learning difficulties. Is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- 21 Q. Now paragraph 16 of your statement you say that during
- 22 your time in childcare your contact with Nazareth Lodge
- was very limited, and you gave a second statement, which
- is at SNB-6227 -- sorry. Can we call up, first of all,
- the two statements? 6083, please. Can I just ask you,

- 1 NL180, if you would have a look through this statement,
- and if we go to the last page, which is 6085 --
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. -- can you confirm this is the first statement that you
- 5 provided to the Inquiry and that you signed the
- 6 statement on the last page there on 23rd January --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. 2015?
- 9 A. -- yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Then your second statement is at 6227. If we just
- scroll down through that and on to the second page, you
- signed that on 5th February 2015?
- 13 A. Yes, I did. That's correct.
- 14 Q. In the second statement here, NL180, you are relating
- the fact that there was a family with whom you were
- 16 engaged as their social worker and you did place them in
- 17 Nazareth Lodge following the breakdown of a foster
- 18 placement.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You describe it here as being for a short term and the
- 21 family were not Catholic. So it was not the best option
- for them in any event.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. But that was your only involvement with Nazareth Lodge.
- 25 Is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes, with a direct placement.
- 2 Q. Can I just ask you what your impressions were of the
- 3 home when you placed this family there, albeit for
- 4 a short period?
- 5 A. The care that the children received seemed to be very
- 6 good. Certainly there was no issues raised by either
- 7 the family of the children or the children themselves,
- 8 though quite young.
- 9 Q. Can I just ask what age those children were?
- 10 A. I think they were around 2 and 4.
- 11 Q. So they were really quite young --
- 12 A. They were quite young.
- 13 Q. -- and probably now don't even remember the fact that
- they were there?
- 15 A. Probably not.
- 16 Q. Do you remember were there lay staff or just religious
- 17 staff in the home?
- 18 A. I think there were lay staff as well as religious.
- 19 Q. And can you remember who you would have had contact
- 20 with?
- 21 A. No. I'm sorry. I can't remember the names.
- 22 Q. I was asking you could you recall how long that family
- were there and you think it was just a matter of weeks,
- if not up to about a month. Is that right?
- 25 A. Yes, I think that was right.

- 1 Q. That was the only contact you yourself ever had with
- either of the two homes run by the Sisters of Nazareth
- 3 in Belfast?
- 4 A. Yes. They were actually outside of the North and West
- 5 area in which I was employed.
- 6 Q. You did, however, have some contact with other
- 7 residential homes. Isn't that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that's right.
- 9 O. I wonder if could you tell us a little bit about those.
- 10 You said there was a girls' borstal that you recall.
- 11 A. Yes. The young female child who was placed in it
- following I think some criminal activity, and also St.
- Patrick's Training School, I would have had contact with
- it, and then the statutory homes, some of them.
- 15 Q. I think you mentioned Sharonmore, which was run by
- 16 Barnardo's.
- 17 A. That's right. It was slightly different. It was
- a fairly new home at the time and their attitude in
- 19 there was very child-centred. It seemed to have
- a different approach, more working with the child to try
- and achieve better outcomes.
- 22 Q. Am I correct in my understanding that it was for older
- children, for young teenagers, Sharonmore?
- 24 A. It was, yes.
- 25 O. You have described the criminal justice homes, the

- girls' borstal and St. Pat's. You also had some contact
- with De La Salle home in Kircubbin in Rubane. Isn't
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. That's correct. That was through HIA210.
- 5 Q. I'm going to come on to HIA210.
- 6 A. Okay. Sorry.
- 7 Q. You were assigned to be his social worker.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That came about really in 1984, early 1984. Would that
- 10 be about right?
- 11 A. Yes, that's about ...
- 12 Q. If my reading of the social work material in relation to
- HIA210 is correct, he had been placed in a foster home
- and that foster placement broke down.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You were involved with him when he was with that foster
- 17 parent?
- 18 A. I was, yes.
- 19 Q. And after that broke down you then took him to
- 20 Kircubbin?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I think he might have been in Adelaide Children's Home
- at one point.
- 24 A. I think that came after Rubane. I think that was a move
- 25 **afterwards.**

- 1 Q. Do you remember -- he said in his statement that was
- 2 provided to the Inquiry that he was bullied in Rubane.
- 3 Did he ever complain to you about that?
- 4 A. I don't remember him complaining. I certainly remember
- 5 a change in his attitude and, you know, sort of a sort
- of like a hardening of him. So that could be explained
- by bullying, but I don't remember him telling me that.
- 8 Q. You mention I think somewhere in the social work papers
- 9 that, in fact, you felt he was trying to fit in with
- 10 these boys --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- in Rubane and he had had his hair shaved, for example
- 13 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- in an attempt to do that.
- 16 A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. Now you were, as I say, his social worker from 1984. In
- paragraphs 2 and 6 of your original statement, which is
- 19 at 6083, you weren't just HIA210's social worker; you
- 20 were also his brother NL 204 social worker. Isn't
- 21 that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct, yes.
- 23 Q. NL 204 had been placed in a very settled foster
- 24 environment --
- 25 A. Yes, he had.

- 1 Q. -- but HIA210 had more difficulty in getting his
- 2 ultimate foster placement. You have described here how
- 3 you came to be involved there in paragraphs 4 -- 2, 3,
- 4 4, 5 and 6.
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. You say in January 1984 HIA210 was placed with a very
- 7 experienced foster family.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. I am going to use the name, but we have a policy that
- 10 that name is not to be used -- in fact, there is
- an order it is not to be used -- outside this room.
- 12 That was Mr and Mrs NL 188
- 13 CHAIRMAN: This statement will be redacted before it appears
- on our website.
- 15 MS SMITH: Yes, it will, Chairman.
- Mr and Mrs **NL 188** took HIA210 on initially
- a short-term fostering placement. The aim was to
- 18 prepare him for long-term fostering.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Then there was an incident involving him breaking into
- a school, which was resulted in him being sent to
- 22 St. Patrick's Training School.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. The NL 188 visited him while he was there and
- 25 ultimately he returned to them and they applied to take

- 1 him on a long-term foster basis --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- and that was ultimately approved. Now there's court
- 4 report which we can just have a quick look at at 42214
- 5 through to 42219. Can I just ask: did you prepare this
- 6 report at all?
- 7 A. I'm not sure. It's --
- 8 Q. It doesn't seem -- if we just scroll right down through
- 9 it, please, it sets out the background here of HIA210
- and his family. Then there's a placement with a lady.
- 11 A. , yes.
- 12 Q. That is the placement that broke down.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I should pause to say at various stages HIA210 was sent
- to a special school.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You say then there is the placement at St. -- sorry. If
- we can just scroll back up again, please, just the last
- 19 page there:
- 20 "The placement at De La Salle" --
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. -- "was seen to be of a temporary nature. It was hoped
- another foster placement could be found for him and he
- 24 wished to be fostered."
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. In fact, he was giving some orders as to who he wanted
- 2 to be fostered with by the looks of that, and:
- 3 "While initially it appeared that he had settled
- quite well into De La Salle, within a few weeks HIA210
- began to express concerns as to his future."
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 O. It's recorded here that:
- 8 "He appeared to be the subject of bullying by other
- 9 boys in the home. A significant deterioration in both
- 10 his appearance and behaviour was noticed by myself."
- 11 So this is suggesting to me --
- 12 A. That looks to be mine.
- 13 Q. -- that this is a report by you?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- 15 O. "Just after his admission he changed from a neat
- appearance to adopting the skinhead style in an effort
- on his part to be like the other boys in the home, and
- it appeared that this placement was not really suited to
- 19 HIA210's needs. If this deterioration was allowed to
- continue, then HIA210 may have become unfosterable and
- 21 therefore permanently placed in De La Salle or another
- 22 institution."
- Then he was placed in Adelaide Park.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. The placement is short-term. If we could just scroll on

- down, there's evidence of worrying behaviour on his
- 2 part, and during this time he is expressing distrust of
- 3 social workers generally. If we can scroll on down,
- 4 staff at Adelaide Park felt that they couldn't deal with
- 5 him.
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. So there were only two options: St. Pat's or short-term
- 8 foster parents. That is when he goes to short-term
- 9 foster care with the NL 188 in January 1984.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. He initially settled well. Then his education is
- discussed in the next section. Then if we can scroll on
- down, it talks about his behaviour in the foster home.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. Scroll on down again, please. Then there's a breakdown
- of the foster placement, which talks about the break-in
- 17 at the --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- where a lot of damage is caused and HIA210 was
- 20 removed and placed into St. Pat's Training School --
- 21 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- 22 Q. -- as it was the only option available. Scroll on down
- 23 the next page, please. Then the events since the
- 24 placement in St. Pat's are related there, and if we can
- just go down to the conclusion and the recommendations.

- 1 We don't seem to have the last page of the
- 2 recommendations, but essentially it is being recommended
- 3 that an Interim Training school Order be granted with
- 4 the prospect of him hopefully returning to Mr and
- 5 Mrs NL 188 , as they had considered applying to become
- 6 his full-time foster parents, which is ultimately what
- 7 happened.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. Now if we look at 422... -- sorry. There's -- at 42231
- this is a report that was provided by Mr and
- 11 Mrs NL 188 for Social Services and, in fact, for the
- court about HIA210 and his time in the home. We can see
- from this the very detailed report and the commitment
- 14 that the NL 188 have given to fostering HIA210. If
- we can just scroll down to just -- we can see it says:
- 16 "Recently ..."
- just about perhaps ten lines up. Yes. If we
- 18 could just highlight from there on down, please. It
- 19 says:
- 20 "Recently" --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- "HIA210 has been having nightmares. He shouts and
- talks in his sleep, wakes with a sweating brow and
- 24 appears to be unaware of his surroundings. It is
- impossible to understand what he is saying. Only once

1 or twice could we hear him calling to be allowed out. 2 He started through us talking about his own childhood experiences to tell some of the incidents that happened 3 when he was in Nazareth Lodge. He received beatings, as 4 did the other children, including his brother, NL 204 5 They told their social worker about one of these and 6 7 after she spoke to SR 62 (the housemother) they were 8 brought to the office and told that they had deserved the beating. After the social worker left both boys 9 were put into a bath of cold water. He talks about 10 11 being locked in a cleaning cupboard and on one occasion 12 he was locked in the bathroom in the dark all night. 13 When asked whether or not he was frightened by this 14 HIA210's answer was, 'No. Well, not really'. We feel 15 perhaps in the close environment of a children's home HIA210 hid his reactions to many of these because of 16 bravado. He cannot tell exactly why they were beaten. 17 18 Perhaps he was never told. He relates stories about 19 children's parties held by organisations and toys and 20 presents being given to them. One such gift was a holster and gun, which  $SR\ 62$  took off HIA210 and he 21 never saw them again. We feel that perhaps these 22 23 disturbing incidents are the cause of HIA210's nightmares. We feel along with the social worker -- we 24 25 along with the social worker are trying to build up

- a fuller picture of these events in an attempt to help
- 2 him cope with his past."
- Now Mr and Mrs NL 188 reported these nightmares to
- 4 you.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You then came to interview HIA210.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You talk about this in paragraph 7 of your statement,
- 9 6084.
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. You say that you interviewed him in the presence of his
- 12 foster parents and he made a number of allegations which
- 13 constituted abuse.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now in your statement you say "being snatched", but
- that's an error. It should be "being smacked".
- 17 A. It's "being smacked", yes.
- 18 Q. "... struck with implements such as a vacuum cleaner
- 19 pipe. I had noted at the time that this may have
- 20 provided some explanation as to the nightmares that he
- 21 was experiencing."
- I think we can see the interview at 42200 or your
- 23 note of the interview with HIA210. Can I just ask you
- to confirm, NL180, that this is actually the memo that
- 25 you wrote on your interview with HIA210? If we can just

1 scroll down to the bottom, I think you have actually --

- 2 A. I think -- yes, that is.
- 3 Q. -- not actually signed it, but you've certainly typed
- 4 the signature on it.
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. It is dated November 1984, and it says:
- 7 "During this month HIA210 was interviewed with
- 8 regard to his experiences in Nazareth Lodge. This was
- 9 necessary after HIA210 began to have nightmares and at
- 10 night woke up in a disoriented state, not knowing where
- 11 he was. His nightmares woke the other members of the
- family, who heard him shout in his sleep. He discussed
- some of these nightmares with Mrs NL 188 and began to
- describe the treatment he received whilst in Nazareth
- 15 Lodge. It would appear from HIA210's description that
- he regularly received beatings from the nun in charge of
- 17 his group, SR 62 These he claimed would be with
- 18 whatever implement would be at hand, that is, a stick
- and on one occasion a vacuum cleaner pipe."
- When we were talking earlier, you said to me this
- 21 vacuum cleaner pipe particularly stuck in your mind --
- 22 A. It did.
- 23 Q. -- as something he told you.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. "Apparently the vacuum cheaper pipe was used on one

Page 52

occasion when HIA210 was in a hurry to finish his chores and go out and play. It would seem that he was not completing the task to \$\$R\$ 62\$ satisfaction and she hit him with the vacuum cleaner pipe. He claimed that on one occasion \$\$R\$ 62\$ split his brother's head open and it required stitches. At the time of one of these incidents the social worker involved was informed of a beating, according to HIA210. The social worker spoke with \$\$R\$ 62\$. Both boys were then brought to the office and told that they had deserved the beatings. After the social worker left both boys were put in a bath of cold water as a punishment for informing the social worker. On another occasion HIA210 claimed that he was locked in a bathroom overnight without lights (both the lock and the light switch were on the outside of the bathroom).

A darkened cupboard was also used for similar punishments. Another incident HIA210 found very disturbing has been described by him in two ways. At one time a child was murdered and mutilated in Ormeau Park (the killer apparently was never captured). In his description to the foster parents he was told of the incident in a threatening manner. However, in his description to me he claimed that SR 62 was warning them to be careful. HIA210 said that other members of staff also beat the children. One in particular was forced to

- leave after a fight with another member of staff in
- which she threatened to throw hot chip fat round the
- 3 other member of staff.
- 4 HIA210's view was that on the whole he did not
- deserve most of these beatings and that they were more
- 6 severe than necessary. If these incidents are, in fact,
- 7 true, it would give us a further insight into HIA210's
- 8 behaviour."
- 9 Now in your statement you say that, having listened
- 10 to HIA210, you believed there was some substance to the
- allegations that he was making, NL180.
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- 13 Q. Can I ask why you felt that?
- 14 A. When HIA210 was telling me about the actual incidents as
- they -- that had happened, he seemed to relive part of
- them. He also was I think generally an honest child.
- 17 He wasn't one that could create elaborate deceptions,
- and I do feel that in this instance he was telling the
- truth, and sitting face-to-face with him, that was my
- 20 **belief.**
- 21 Q. You were saying -- we were talking about the type of
- child that he was earlier.
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. You mentioned the fact he ended up in a special school.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. He got in trouble clearly by breaking into this
- which led him to go to St. Pat's. There was another
- incident which you don't have a memory of, but there's
- 4 certainly a court report in respect of another incident
- 5 where he and another ex-Nazareth Lodge boy ended up
- 6 causing damage by graffiti on the in
- 7 Belfast.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The NL 188 insisted that HIA210 make good the damage
- 10 that he had caused.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. I was asking you if he was the type of child who might
- 13 be easily led.
- 14 A. HIA210 would have been very easily led.
- 15 Q. Paragraph 10 in your statement you describe that, having
- received these allegations from HIA210, your next step
- 17 was to raise that with your senior social worker --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. -- who was NL191.
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 O. We will hear from her later in the week.
- 22 A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. You and she both interviewed HIA210 on
- 24 21st February 1985. We can see her report, which is
- dated 11th April 1985, and that's at 31519, please. Can

1 I just confirm that -- she talks in this, as we will

see, first of all, about the interview with HIA210 with

3 his foster parent and yourself.

4 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. "... regarding the recent allegations that HIA210 has been making about the way he was treated while in Nazareth Lodge. HIA210 has particularly bad memories of being locked in a cupboard for 4 to 5 hours as a means of punishment by both a member of staff called NL66 and He said that he remembers that there was no SR 62 light in this cupboard. He also said that he was hit with the brush poles as a means of punishment. He described an incident concerning another child with whom he was in the unit. According to HIA210, on one occasion NL97 was pushed against a sink and banged his head. He had two black eyes as a result of this and was not brought to hospital for treatment. He said that NL97 was kept off school for about two to three weeks.

HIA210 said that he had told his social worker, NL190, about being hit on one occasion by SR 62 with a stick, but the social worker said he believed -- the social worker, he said, believed SR 62. (I contacted HIA210's previous social worker, NL190, nee NL190, about this allegation. She said that HIA210 never told her about being hit and she never saw any signs of either

1 HIA210 or NL 204 who is HIA210's brother --

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 -- "being punished inappropriately while she was Q. visiting them in Nazareth Lodge.) On another occasion 4 HIA210 remembers being hit and having a very sore arm 5 and shoulder. HIA210 claims that SR 62 would have used 6 7 anything she could get her hands on to hit the children 8 with. On one occasion he said that NL 204 got hit with a hose pipe of a vacuum cleaner. HIA210 also said that he remembers being punched on the nose because he didn't 10 11 want to go to his classes. Another form 12 of punishment which HIA210 described to me vividly was being locked in a bathroom all night long. He also 13 14 remembers being put into cold baths.

HIA210 said he remembers when he was about 6 or 7 15 with whom he was to be 16 going out to a family, had bought him a bike for 17 fostered. 18 Christmas, and when he brought it back to Nazareth Lodge, it was taken off him and he never saw it again. 19 20 HIA210 also said that the children in the unit were 21 threatened that if they misbehaved, that a man who had abducted and murdered a young boy from the Ormeau Park 22 23 would get them also."

Now can you remember interviewing HIA210 with NL191?

25 A. Yes, yes.

24

- 1 Q. Her note of that interview, is that consistent with what
- 2 you remember about it?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Then she says:
- 5 "On 3rd April '85 I interviewed HIA210's brother
- 6 NL 204 with regard to the allegations that HIA210 had
- 7 made."
- 8 Now it is not clear from this note, but you also
- 9 were present during this interview with NL 204
- 10 A. I believe I was, yes.
- 11 O. She said:
- 12 NL 204 had difficulty remembering who was in the
- unit with him and along with his brother the other
- children he remembers were NL56 and NL57. He has
- no memories of being moved to Nazareth Lodge. He
- remembered NL66, who he described as okay. He then said
- 17 she was good and kind to him. I asked him if he had
- ever remembered being hit by either NL66 or SR 62 He
- said that sometimes he would have got smacked around the
- head, although this was never hard. SR 62 he said would
- 21 have hit the children with a wooden spoon or slipper.
- He denies ever having seen HIA210 being hit. When asked
- if he ever remembered seeing NL97 with black eyes, he
- said he did, but this occurred when his brother and NL97
- were playing Hide and Seek and bumped into each other.

- 1 When asked about the cupboard which HIA210 describes
- being locked into, NL 204 said he remembers being told
- 3 to go and stand in it. He also said that he found
- 4 HIA210 in this cupboard on one occasion. This cupboard
- 5 he said could be opened from both the inside and outside
- and was not locked when he found HIA210 in it. He does
- 7 remember on one occasion being told to eat soap along
- 8 with other children. However, just as he was about to
- 9 eat the soap, it was taken off him. NL 204 also
- 10 remembers HIA210 having a bike and there were bikes
- 11 available for the children to play on."
- 12 She has date... -- signed and dated that on 11th
- 13 April 1985.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now that effectively ended your involvement with the
- investigation that followed, NL180.
- 17 A. Yes, it did.
- 18 Q. We know -- and I am not going to go into the details --
- but we know that NL191 then elevated it to the Principal
- 20 Social Worker, and it then in turn was sent
- 21 to the Assistant Director of Social Services, who
- liaised with the Department over the matter. The
- Inquiry will look at those documents in due course, but
- coming back to -- after this happened you continued to
- 25 be HIA210's social worker.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Can I just ask were there -- was there any further
- discussion in the office about the complaint, or did
- 4 anybody ever advise you as to what was happening with
- 5 the complaint, or as far as you were concerned was that
- 6 all you knew about it?
- 7 A. That is as much as I knew about it. At that stage
- 8 HIA210 was out of Nazareth Lodge and our concern was to
- 9 try and maintain him within the community within the
- 10 best setting that we could. So what actually happened
- in Nazareth Lodge I suppose to some extent really wasn't
- my concern after it had been reported.
- 13 Q. You only recently know from your involvement with the
- 14 Inquiry the matter did go right up to the top, as it
- were.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Was there any -- you had no involvement apart from the
- one incident in Nazareth Lodge. Was there ever any
- discussion or were you aware of any other complaints
- 20 that had been made about children who had been in
- 21 Nazareth Lodge in your section of the board?
- 22 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 23 Q. Coming back to a couple of the general things that --
- you mentioned that -- in I think your second statement
- 25 that residential homes were seen as the last resort and

- 1 an interim measure -- sorry -- in your first statement
- 2 in the final paragraph of it --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. -- to allow for the exploration of alternatives. Now we
- 5 are talking here in the mid-'80s.
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. This was the attitude at that stage. As part of your
- 8 training in the four years prior to 1981 and graduating
- 9 was that the general view, that these homes were a last
- 10 resort?
- 11 A. Yes. Certainly in terms of child development it was
- 12 felt that the maintenance within a family setting would
- be better than going into a group care home setting and
- that would certainly have been in the training.
- 15 Q. I was asking that -- one of the things that the Inquiry
- has been looking at has been when people knew what about
- the types of behaviours that children engaged in, first
- of all, for example, peer abuse.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. When were you first aware this could be an issue for
- 21 children in residential settings?
- 22 A. I'm not sure that I was ever made aware there was peer
- abuse. There probably was an element of bullying, but
- then there were staff there who should have been
- 25 protecting the children.

- 1 Q. But certainly nothing -- it wasn't part of your
- 2 training--
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. -- to look out for instances of peer abuse and that kind
- 5 of thing?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. I was also asking then what training you may have had
- 8 with regard generally to child sexual abuse and when
- 9 that might have come on to the radar.
- 10 A. Yes. Child sexual abuse wouldn't really have been part
- of my initial training. It came on the radar I suppose
- or it came to prominence certainly around the mid-'80s,
- and there were a number of cases that were happening in
- 14 England -- there was also the Kincora that had happened
- here -- and there was a number -- as I say, a number of
- cases in England and I think Orkney where there were
- issues around child sexual abuse, but the actual -- what
- you were looking for wasn't terribly clear, because in
- 19 those instances children were taken into care, but
- 20 returned home when there was no evidence found to
- 21 substantiate the allegation I think.
- 22 Q. You were saying you felt there was a lot of confusion
- 23 around how you could determine whether or not --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- a child was sexually abused at that time. One of the

- things that you said is in the mid-'80s there was
- a shift in emphasis generally within the social work
- 3 field --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- and that emphasis was then more on believing when
- 6 a child --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- a child when the child complained to Social Services.
- 9 Is that right?
- 10 A. Very much so, particularly after Kincora, where children
- I think had made allegations, though again it wasn't in
- our area, so it's very difficult at that stage to get
- information, but it looked as though the children
- weren't believed when they gave information to others,
- and that changed.
- 16 Q. That changed. Every complaint then was treated more
- 17 seriously by Social Services?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. One of the things that you said, that the effect of that
- was that some staff who were complained about felt very
- 21 wounded and hurt.
- 22 A. Yes, because there was then a serious investigation into
- each incident, no matter how minor it might have
- appeared, and I think there were a number of staff who
- were very badly hurt and possibly moved jobs as

- 1 a result.
- 2 Q. There was a -- so if I have got this right -- and please
- 3 correct me -- I am not trying to put words into your
- 4 mouth -- but if I have got this right, so from
- 5 a situation where children's complaints were maybe not
- 6 treated terribly seriously the pendulum swung, as it
- 7 were, to the opposite end --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. -- where every minor complaint was treated --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- more seriously than it might have needed to be. Is
- that what you are saying?
- 13 A. That seemed to be the case.
- 14 Q. Is it somewhere in the middle that those genuine,
- serious complaints may have got lost as a result?
- 16 A. I think there was an opportunity to lose a number of
- serious cases that should have been picked up.
- 18 Q. One of the points that you made to me when we were
- 19 speaking is that because of this wounded situation that
- some social workers felt, that that really meant that
- 21 child residential care was not an attractive option for
- 22 social workers.
- 23 A. It wasn't at that time, and I think it then became, now
- I think about it, a more professionalised service. Up
- 25 to that point not all of the staff would have been

- 1 professionally qualified. There was then an effort to
- 2 get professional qualification for staff.
- 3 Q. NL180, thank you very much for that. I have no further
- 4 questions that I want to put to you, but the Panel
- 5 Members may have some questions for you.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 Questions from THE PANEL
- 8 MR LANE: Just one question. In terms of timescale --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- I think it was November when you were told by HIA210
- about the nightmares and these other things, but it was
- some months afterwards before you had the discussions
- with your senior and so on. How did that work out?
- 14 A. I honestly can't remember. I don't have an explanation
- for the delay at all, but certainly, when looking back,
- there was a delay, but I don't know why.
- 17 Q. Did you have a concern that similar things might be
- 18 going on for other children at the home at the time?
- 19 A. I certainly -- I think that, when I raised the issue,
- that would have been a concern, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Well, NL180, thank you very much indeed for
- coming to help us in relation to this matter. It has
- 24 been very useful. Thank you.
- 25 A. Thank you.

Page 65 1 (Witness withdrew) 2 MS SMITH: Chairman, the remaining witness today is to be taken by Mr Aiken. I am sure he would appreciate some 3 time, as I believe he has only just started to consult. 4 CHAIRMAN: Well, we will rise now, ladies and gentlemen. If 5 we are ready to start within a few minutes, you will be 6 told. Otherwise it will be 1.30. 7 8 (12.30 pm)9 (Lunch adjournment) 10 (1.30 pm)11 WITNESS NL114 (called) 12 CHAIRMAN: Mr Aiken. MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good afternoon. 13 The next witness today is NL114, who is "NL114", and you 14 15 have the appearance of her legal representatives, who attended this morning when I was dealing with the 16 evidence of HIA10. NL114 is aware, Chairman, you are 17 18 going to ask her to take the oath. 19 WITNESS NL114 (sworn) CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Please sit down, NL114. 20 21 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY MR AIKEN: If we can bring up on the screen, please, 80095. 22 23 NL114, this is the first page of your witness statement. If you just check and make sure it matches the hard copy 24 25 that you have.

- 1 A. Yes. Yes.
- 2 Q. If we go through to the last page at 80098, if you
- 3 just -- in fact, if we move to 80097 -- yes -- can you
- 4 just check that that's the last page of your statement
- 5 as well?
- 6 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. As I was saying to you, this will be redacted by the
- 8 Inquiry when it is published, so you won't able to see
- 9 the personal information. That's part of the Inquiry's
- anonymity policy in operation.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. You want to keep your anonymity?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You want to adopt the contents of your witness statement
- as your evidence to the Inquiry?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. As we were discussing beforehand, you and I will go
- through now a series of documents that the Inquiry has
- relevant to you, and we will bring those up on the
- screen -- parts of them up on the screen as we go.
- You were born on
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Are now aged 53. If we go to paragraph 3 of your
- statement, please, at 80095, you explain to the Inquiry
- 25 that it was a careers teacher in your school who

- 1 suggested you take a summer job in Nazareth Lodge,
- 2 because you were interested in doing a childcare course.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You took that summer job up in the summer of 1977.
- I was saying to you we know there is a reference
- 6 elsewhere to it being '78, but you remember '77 or you
- 7 remember the year as being the year died
- 8 --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- and that was the summer. That was 1977.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So you took on that summer placement. You explain to
- the Inquiry then that you stayed on. You were asked by
- the head nun. You and I were discussing that was likely
- 15 to be .
- 16 A. SR63 I think.
- 17 O. SR63.
- 18 A. SR63.
- 19 Q. She asked you to stay on and you did stay on. You were
- 20 explaining to me you did a day release course at
- 21 --
- 22 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. -- but you didn't go then and do a year-long, five days
- 24 a week course. It was just --
- 25 A. Just that one day release.

- 1 Q. -- day release. Essentially you were 16 then when you
- began working in Nazareth Lodge.
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. I was saying to you some of the children there might
- 5 have been the same age or potentially older than you.
- 6 A. They were. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. You explain to the Inquiry how -- in paragraph 5 of your
- 8 statement that the way that the home was structured at
- 9 the time you came was initially you mention four
- sections, but you think there was five sections, which
- I will come to. Four of the sections had a nun in
- 12 charge each and then under the nun each section had two
- 13 sets of two lay staff --
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. -- who did two shifts, as it were, to cover the day.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Your role was -- in the period that we will concentrate
- on today between '77 and '80, when you were between the
- ages of 16 and 19, it was SR46 was in charge of your
- 20 unit.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. You were one of the pair of you and NL150 or NL150 --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. -- and you two worked together as lay staff doing
- a shift, and then you recall I think it was

- 1 and were the other lay staff there who
- dealt with your unit.
- 3 A. That's right, yes.
- 4 Q. The units were quite self-contained in that you looked
- 5 after the children that related to your unit, and so it
- 6 was for the other sections.
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. You explained to me that there was, in fact, a fifth
- 9 section --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. -- which was seven children looked after by NL5.
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. The Inquiry has heard that name before it before now.
- I was asking you, "What was the nickname of that group?"
- and you said, "It was known as 'The Whippets'".
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Can you remember why it was known as "The Whippets"?
- 18 A. I think they were -- just in size they were all small
- children. I think it was a family of -- I think maybe
- four of them as a family, brothers and sisters. I don't
- 21 really know what the name -- I never thought of the name
- before just.
- 23 Q. That was the name that was used?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. You explain to the Inquiry in paragraph 4 you worked in

- 1 Nazareth Lodge essentially for a decade, for ten years
- 2 until you left because of your mother's ill health.
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. During your time in Nazareth Lodge there was no
- 5 disciplinary things to do with you. You never had any
- 6 difficulty --
- 7 A. Nothing.
- 8 Q. -- at work. You were explaining to me -- and I want to
- 9 summarise this without giving the names -- but when you
- 10 went home to care for your mother, as a result of
- a relation knowing professional people where you lived,
- 12 you were asked to begin looking after the children of
- 13 a professional couple.
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. You spent the next 25 years working for that couple,
- 16 caring for their three children.
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. After one of the individuals retired, you then began a
- 19 similar occupation with another family.
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. You are presently looking after their three children.
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. You were explaining to me you have done that basically
- 24 all of your life, looking after children --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. -- without a complaint. One of the points you made to
- 2 me is that you have never hit a child --
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. -- through all of that time.
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. I want to take you back, if I can -- I appreciate it is
- 7 a long time ago -- take you back to you are 16, going
- 8 into Nazareth Lodge, how you found the environment to
- 9 be. What was it like?
- 10 A. It was friendly, comfortable and happy.
- 11 Q. So your experience of the unit that you were in was of
- 12 a comfortable environment?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You were explaining to me that it had a game -- sitting
- 15 room that had games in it?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 O. Was there a snooker table?
- 18 A. There was.
- 19 Q. Do you want to just describe for the Panel what
- facilities there were for the children by the time you
- came to be there in 1977?
- 22 A. Well, the children had most -- the older children had
- all their own bedrooms, single bedrooms, and they -- the
- other -- the younger children might have shared a room,
- and they had all their own things in their rooms. They

1 had their radios and their -- anything -- toys and

everything, you know. Then there was the sitting room

where was the TV room and there was a snooker table in

there, and the study, it was a study, but it was also

a toy room, and when they weren't inside playing, they

were outside playing. They had all big grounds round

7 the back. We had swings and slides and whatever.

- 8 Q. You were explaining to me that the meal times, there was
  9 a -- for the main meal there was a different menu each
- 10 day.

4

5

6

- 11 A. There was a different menu lunch and evening meals.
- 12 Breakfast, the dining room -- there was the dining room.
- 13 It was a beautiful dining room. The children sat down
- 14 to cups and saucers and tablecloths. In the mornings
- they would have had their -- there was the kitchen. You
- made tea and toast in the main kitchen up in that unit
- and they had their cereal or whatever they wanted. Then
- at lunch time at the weekends, you brought your trolley
- down in the lift and you collected your lunch from the
- 20 main kitchen downstairs and you brought it up and it was
- served out, and at teatime again you went downstairs and
- 22 brought -- with your trolley and brought another -- your
- evening tea up. Then at bedtime or supper time you made
- toast or tea or biscuits or whatever they wanted. There
- was always cake or whatever.

- 1 Q. So there was a central kitchen that was cooking for the
- 2 various units, but you brought the food to the canteen
- for your unit. Is that right?
- 4 A. That's right. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. So your group of children -- and you say initially there
- 6 would have been about sixteen in your unit -- they would
- 7 have sat down for a meal together?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Then in other parts of the lodge there would have been
- 10 the other groups --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- sitting down for their meal?
- 13 A. At the same time, yes.
- 14 Q. While it was one home, it was now divided into a series
- of groups --
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. -- and were functioning as separate groups?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. You explain to the Inquiry in paragraph 7 that it was
- 20 mainly SR46 during your time, and eventually when SR46
- 21 left -- I think she may have left in 1985 -- then SR10
- 22 was in charge of your group for --
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 Q. Then you left thereafter.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You also mention that on the floor, as it were, where
- 2 your group was based SR156 also had a room.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You were explaining to me that the unit where the
- 5 children slept, the floor they were on, SR46's room
- 6 would have been there.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. SR156 room would have been there --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- but your room and where NL150 slept was not in that
- 11 part of the building.
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. So the lay staff had their own part of the building --
- 14 A. Staff quarters.
- 15 Q. -- where you went or resided as home, as it were, when
- 16 you weren't working in the unit that you came across to?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 Q. I just want -- to the -- we looked this morning --
- 19 I think you were present when we were showing some of
- 20 the type of material that was being recorded. Can you
- 21 remember during your time the record -- were you to keep
- records of any kind in respect of the children or was
- that something for SR46 to do?
- 24 A. That was for SR46.
- 25 Q. What type of records can you remember her -- I saw --

- brought up on the screen, for instance, by '84 there was
- like a daily log being kept. Do you remember having any
- 3 involvement in that?
- 4 A. I don't remember. It was more case review work that
- 5 SR46 would have done. When children's case reviews were
- 6 coming up, SR46 would have done the work for that. Us
- 7 staff didn't do any written work.
- 8 Q. So you didn't yourself. There wasn't a book for --
- 9 A. There was later in SR10's group, but I don't remember in
- 10 SR46's group you had that.
- 11 Q. So post-1985 your memory connected to SR10 is of a book
- 12 per child being kept?
- 13 A. There was a diary kept, yes.
- 14 Q. Diary, but prior to that it was more SR46 preparing the
- 15 case reviews?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. Then did you ever attend the six-monthly reviews --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- with the social workers coming in?
- 20 A. No. The staff didn't. It was just SR46.
- 21 Q. In terms of -- you are working in a children's unit with
- 22 sixteen children, some of whom had obviously difficult
- 23 backgrounds and might have brought with them all sorts
- of difficulties that you had to manage. How was -- how
- did you communicate? SR46 presumably isn't there all

- the time when you're there. How did the means of
- 2 communicating --
- 3 A. SR46 would have been there all the time.
- 4 O. So she was there --
- 5 A. She was on the ground. She was there all the time.
- 6 Q. How did information flow then between you and SR46 and
- 7 you and the other pair who were coming on shift after
- 8 you? How did you make sure that they -- the handover,
- 9 as it were, how did that happen?
- 10 A. Well, there was never a handover. There was never --
- just the two members of staff, the first two came on at
- 7.30 in the morning, and I think one might have went off
- at 3.30 and one might have stayed to 1.00 I think. I am
- 14 not exactly sure of the times, but there was never
- 15 **a handover.**
- 16 Q. So there was always a continuity of the two -- the other
- team, as it were, were crossing over with you --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- but there was an overlap?
- 20 A. But it wasn't -- it wasn't always those two that worked
- 21 together. Four girls. You worked different -- it
- wasn't always NL150 and me that worked together and the
- other two girls. You worked along with the other two
- girls. The four of us did shifts with each other.
- 25 O. So they crossed over with each other then?

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 Q. So there was continuity of persons on the ground?
- 3 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. Can you remember whenever you were -- the case reviews
- were being prepared, were you asked, "Well, what can you
- 6 tell me about X or Y?" Did you feed into in any way --
- 7 A. We had nothing to do with the case reviews. Just the
- 8 social worker -- I think they went on -- I think it
- 9 might -- I am not sure where it took place. It might
- 10 have been downstairs and us staff never went to case
- 11 reviews.
- 12 Q. How were difficulties managed? When things occurred,
- some child out of line, doing something they shouldn't
- be doing or having run away, how were problems -- what
- was the chain of command? Were you supposed to deal
- with it yourself? Were you to bring it to SR46?
- 17 A. Usually you brought it to SR46, and it just was maybe
- an early night, or if it was during the day, you were in
- the playroom, which was the study. Sometimes it is
- called the playroom. Sometimes it is called the study.
- 21 Q. So that was -- in modern times it might be described as
- 22 a time out.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. You were made stay somewhere for a period of time until
- 25 --

- 1 A. At that time -- well, maybe the playroom at study time,
- 2 homeworks would have been going on at the same time in
- 3 there during the day. So you would just -- maybe it was
- 4 in the sitting room they were misbehaving or something.
- 5 You would just say, "Well, go into the study" or "the
- 6 playroom", as it was called. There was a member --
- 7 there was another nun there, SR2, doing homeworks at the
- 8 same time.
- 9 Q. Your memory of your time working in Nazareth Lodge is
- 10 a positive memory --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- of having good relationships with the children and
- 13 enjoying working there?
- 14 A. I did. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. You made the point to the Inquiry in your statement that
- 16 you don't remember ever -- never mind you not hitting
- 17 children; you don't remember being aware of anyone else
- doing that.
- 19 A. I've never been aware, no.
- 20 Q. I was asking you about Father Brendan Smyth and him
- 21 being in Nazareth Lodge. You were explaining to me that
- you only recollect one occasion seeing that man and you
- 23 didn't realise who he was until probably the TV reports
- in the 1990s, when you remember being at home down where
- 25 you live and seeing his face on television and saying,

- 1 "That's ..." Do you just want to explain to the Panel
- the one occasion you remember him?
- 3 A. Well, how I remember Father Smyth was because I think it
- 4 was the same priest that always said mass in Nazareth
- 5 Lodge on a Sunday, and this particular Sunday I went to
- 6 mass and I fainted in the chapel that Sunday, and
- 7 I don't take milk in my tea, and this is how I remember
- 8 it so clearly. When I came downstairs, one of the
- 9 nuns -- I don't know which nun it was -- made me a cup
- of tea and she put milk and sugar in my tea. There was
- a snooker table in the sitting room and I could see this
- 12 priest. The priest after mass -- after saying mass
- would come down and do all the units, you know, just in
- and out and that was all, just passing through, and this
- particular Sunday this priest, and I could see him just
- standing at the snooker table. That's all. Even that
- day I didn't know him by name or anything. I didn't
- 18 know he was Father Smyth. I just knew he was
- a different priest, and I never seen or heard of that
- 20 man before or after until I seen his picture on the TV.
- 21 Q. So he was never a subject of discussion with you --
- 22 A. Never, no.
- 23 Q. -- during your time working in the home?
- 24 A. Never heard his name mentioned before.
- 25 Q. The only time you can remember him is that occasion that

- sticks in your mind about the snooker table?
- 2 A. That's right. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. Now what I want to do now, NL114, is to look at the
- 4 allegations that have come to the Inquiry's attention
- 5 relating to you.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. What I am going to look at first is Operation
- 8 which took place, a major police investigation into
- 9 originally Rubane House in Kircubbin and thereafter also
- Nazareth Lodge in and around 1995/1996.
- 11 File 37 of 41 related to you and I am just going to
- give the Panel the reference. It runs from 60735 to
- 13 60781. If we can bring up, please, 60739, because --
- just to set the context of this, the police were
- describing -- just maximise the top of the page for me:
- 16 "These complaints came about as a result of police
- interviewing past residents of Nazareth Lodge Children's
- 18 Home, residents over a 20-year period. Approximately
- 19 650 residents have been interviewed and no other
- complaints have been made against NL114."
- 21 So that indicates there was a significant number of
- children who had been through Nazareth Lodge who were
- interviewed or spoken to, and you are aware from our
- discussion that of the number that were spoken to, three
- of them made complaints about you.

- One of those three is HIA10, as you knew her, HIA10,
- who is the one who came forward to the Inquiry to repeat
- and augment her complaint, and I will come back to that.
- 4 As part of the investigation that was taking place
- 5 in '95 and '96 then you were then interviewed on two
- 6 occasions, on 17th April '96 and then again on 22nd July
- 7 1996. What I am going to do is just look at the first
- 8 two complaints that you were then interviewed about in
- 9 April of '96.
- 10 The first complaint was brought by NL91. It is
- 11 clear through the police interview you could remember
- two sisters [name redacted], NL92 and NL93, but you
- had no memory of NL91.
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. It seems that it was not drawn to your attention, having
- read the interview, that NL91 had changed her name. She
- was originally NL91.
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. That is a name that you recollect?
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. She was the third sister of the [name redacted] --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- three sisters and they were in your group?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 Q. NL91 made a statement to the police on 18th May 1995.

Page 82

It runs from 60740 through to 60742. She was in the home between 1978 and 1980. So during the period you were 17 to 19 she was between the ages of 5 and 7 approximately. So she was the youngest of the three children. She alleges that you slapped her across the face, put her into a cup... -- put her out into a corridor as punishment for something that happened, put her in a sewing cupboard and also routinely checked her underwear when she came in from school to see if they were wet.

So that was the first set of complaints that were made by her to the police.

Then the second set were made by HIA10 or HIA10, as she is now, who is "HIA10". She made her statement on 23rd August 1995, which is at 60746 to 60747. I will come back to what she's had to say to the Inquiry shortly, but she was in the home initially for the period that relates to you between '77 and '80. She was between the ages of 9 and 12. You are between 16 and 19. She was in the home at a later period. She doesn't seem to have had any involvement with you between '82 and '85.

What she alleged is that SR46 would beat her and would lock her in her room. I wanted to ask you: SR46, do you have any recollection of her behaving in that way

- 1 towards either this child or any of the children that
- 2 were in your group?
- 3 A. I'm not aware of that at all.
- 4 Q. Did you ever see SR46 hitting out at the children or
- 5 behaving in a way that might be construed as hitting out
- 6 at them?
- 7 A. No, never.
- 8 Q. That just was not how things were done?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. She I think said in her police interview that she
- 11 couldn't lock someone in the room, because there were no
- locks on the bedroom doors.
- 13 A. The doors were all -- the doors all stayed open. Every
- morning -- all day the doors were open. Every unit the
- doors always stayed open.
- 16 Q. So you couldn't lock a child's bedroom?
- 17 A. No. I don't think there's any locks on the doors, no.
- 18 Q. Then what she says about you is just in one line. If we
- 19 look at 60747, please, you will see:
- 20 "NL150, who was a member of staff" -- and this is
- 21 the only -- HIA10's complaint is the only complaint
- about NL150 or NL150 -- "who was a member of staff, used
- to slap me about the face, and NL114, another member of
- 24 staff, used to do the same."
- 25 So the allegation is you used to hit HIA10 round the

- face. So that's the two sets of allegations. You've
- got NL91, which unfortunately was described to you as
- 3 NL91 --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- and HIA10 or HIA10. You are then interviewed about
- those two sets of allegations on 17th April 1996. That
- 7 interview goes across 23 pages at 60750 to 60773. I am
- ging to summarise the key features. The Panel
- 9 have the interview.
- 10 You said that you hadn't administered nor seen
- 11 corporal punishment administered and punishment would
- 12 have been sitting in what you described as the study --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- or perhaps the withdrawal of privileges of some kind.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. In relation to NL91 or NL91, you denied the allegations
- 17 that she made. You said you did remember what might
- have been known as a sewing cupboard in SR46's time,
- 19 which was a very small room off the fire escape --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- but no-one was ever put into that room.
- 22 A. And there was a window in that room.
- 23 Q. In addition in terms of stripping clothes, this when she
- came in, you checking each day to see whether her
- 25 underwear was wet, you said you didn't do that to any

```
1 child --
```

- 2 A. No, never.
- 3 Q. -- and never saw it done.
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. You were asked by the police if you recalled hitting
- 6 a child. We were discussing this earlier. There's
- 7 a section of the interview -- just bring it up, please
- 8 -- at 60767 where, if we just scroll up to the
- 9 page before:
- 10 "A. Oh, no. Honestly."
- 11 You are replying to a suggestion that you had
- 12 assaulted them.
- "A. Oh, no.
- Q. You wouldn't have hit any of them?
- 15 A. I don't think so, no.
- 16 Q. Do you ever recall hitting any of them?
- 17 A. I might have struck out or something, you know,
- a smack or something, never to the face, never in a ..."
- 19 I am not sure what that word should be.
- 20 "You know, all the time. No way.
- Q. When you say 'struck out', what would you be
- talking about?
- 23 A. Och, just ...
- Q. Would that have been regular?"
- I think you were saying to me that that "Och, just

```
1 ... was you gesticulating?
```

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Can you explain to the Panel what you were trying to
- 4 communicate to the police?
- 5 A. When the policeman asked me about that, I said,
- 6 "I've never hit a child in my life". Then the policeman
- 7 kept asking me, "Would you have ..." -- I think the --
- I don't know where the "struck out" came, but
- 9 I explained to the policeman, "Do you mean that?"
- 10 (gesturing), where you wouldn't be conscious of telling
- a child to go away or something. That's what I meant,
- but I've never hit a child. I know I've never hit
- a child in my life. So I wouldn't have struck them or
- 14 ...
- 15 Q. I think in fairness to you you go on -- at the end of
- the interview the policeman comes back to what you
- were -- about this point in the interview and I will
- come back to that shortly, but when HIA10's allegations
- then were put to you, you said you'd never slapped her
- about. That never happened. You said that you couldn't
- 21 -- towards the end of the interview, you couldn't give
- an explanation for why they would make the allegations,
- but you drew attention to the fact that you were looking
- 24 after three children and never hit them and never did
- what was being alleged against you.

If we look at 60770, which is I think the point you were making, that -- this is just at the end of the interview. It is suggested to you:

- "Q. Obviously a smack on the bum or something like that, that's what you're talking about?
- A. Never. No punishment or anything ... I've never given out punishment like that.
- Q. Well, why would you've hit out there like? What sort of a scenario are you talking about?
- A. I don't know. I don't know. I remember they were put to their rooms just, put to bed early and that was by SR46, but it was just the done thing. If they done something wrong, they were really put to bed early or something like that.
- Q. Yes. Whenever you say there -- what I was trying to get to, whenever you'd hit out for some --
- A. I can't even remember hitting out, but I just imagine myself hitting out maybe or something, but I've never stood and slapped a child around the face or strip -- made her strip or anything. No way.
- Q. As far as you remember you never made them strip?
- 23 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q. As I say, basically what we had to do was these allegations, that's why we had to interview you.

1 A. No way."

- 2 The point you are making is that you never --
- 3 A. Never hit a child in my life.
- 4 O. -- hit a child.
- 5 A. If I was -- if you went like that or something
- 6 (gesturing), you wouldn't have been aware of doing it,
- 7 brushing a child like that or something, but I've never
- 8 hit a child in all of my life.
- 9 Q. As I said to you then, coincidentally -- you had done
- 10 that interview on 17th. The following day then the
- sister of NL91, NL92, who was the oldest of the three,
- made a statement to the police, and that statement is at
- 13 60743, of 18th April 1996. She was in the home between
- 14 1978 and 1980, so the same as her two sisters. She was
- between the ages of 7 and 9.
- She alleged that SR46 was beating her with a sandal,
- amongst other things. I asked you, "Had you ever seen
- that?", because I was saying to you that the Panel have
- seen a social work record where SR46 accepted that one
- 20 night she used a slipper on NL92, but I was asking you,
- "Did you ever see SR46 ever using a slipper on ....?"
- 22 A. I wasn't aware of it at all.
- 23 Q. But in addition to that NL92 claimed that you used to
- drag her and her middle sister, NL93, along the floor by
- 25 the hair for minor things.

1 You were then interviewed in respect of those allegations on 22nd July 1996. That interview is five 2 It runs from 60774 to 60778. You say -- and 3 pages. I am just going to summarise it -- that you never saw 4 5 SR46 hitting the children. You never dragged NL92 or her sister, NL93, along the floor. You didn't know why 6 7 they were making up the allegations, but you never pulled or hit a child, and you make the point at 60777 8 that surely some other member of staff would have seen 9 10 you doing these things if they were true.

## 11 A. That's right, yes.

16

17

18

19

- 12 Q. The investigating officer then, if we look at 60739, he
  13 prepared his file and sent the file off to the DPP, the
  14 Director of Public Prosecutions. Just scroll down,
  15 please. He says:
  - "Due to the nature of the allegation and to the passage of time I feel there is insufficient evidence to secure a conviction and accordingly would recommend no further action."

As it turns out, this page is not in the bundle, but
I looked at it last evening. His senior officer then
looked at the papers and he said it was a view he
shared. He described the papers as relating to:

"... minor, uncorroborated allegations without admission of guilt and referring to a period

- twenty years prior."
- 2 He too recommended no prosecution.
- The file then went to the DPP, if we look at 60781,
- 4 and on 1st October 1996 the DPP directed there should be
- 5 no prosecution in respect of the allegations you faced.
- 6 You thought that was the end of it.
- 7 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. You went on looking after the children of the family
- 9 that you worked for for a lengthy period of time. As
- 10 you know, HIA10 persisted with her complaints about you.
- I am going to come back to those shortly, but what
- I want to do, first of all, is just ask you about
- an aspect of the Operation , police
- investigation of SR46 that took place in 1995 and 1996.
- 15 It was in file 28 of 41. That file, Members of the
- Panel, runs from 60174 to 60254. It dealt with seven
- 17 complaints about SR46.
- 18 One of those involved a child called NL88
- $^{
  m NL88}$  . I was asking you, "Did you remember NL88 ?"
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. You did. She made a statement to the police in July of
- 22 1995, which is 60187. If we just bring that up, please,
- 23 60187. In the statement she describes being abused by
- 24 Father Brendan Smyth. Then if we just scroll through to
- 25 the top of the next page, she says:

- 1 "I knew that this wasn't right and I told SR46 and
- 2 NL114 that this was happening to me. I think they said
- 3 something like, 'Stay away from him'."
- 4 This was something that was never -- the police
- 5 didn't draw this to your attention when they interviewed
- 6 you and you had never heard of it --
- 7 A. Never.
- 8 Q. -- until you were dealing with these papers and speaking
- 9 to me about it.
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. SR46 was interviewed by police on 19th June 1996 and
- this part of the allegations were dealt with at 60211
- through to 60217. What she said was she did recall
- 14 NL88 saying that she didn't like Father Brendan
- 15 Smyth and that he had rubbed against her breasts and she
- told her, "Well, in future stay away from him", but she
- says that she didn't think anything more of it, because
- 18 the were friendly and receiving letters
- from him, and the girl herself hadn't made a major issue
- of it with her. I think she described herself as naive.
- 21 She said she may also have told another member of staff,
- but that she herself, ie SR46, never discussed it with
- anyone, although she said in her interview that he was
- someone who gave her the creeps.
- 25 She was then asked specifically -- if we look,

- please, at 60217, she was asked whether you were there
- when NL88 told her what SR46 recollect --
- 3 recollected being told.
- 4 "Q. Can you remember -- sorry -- if NL114 was there
- 5 or was aware of it?
- A. No. I think she said to me one night when everyone was going to bed.
- 8 Q. Right."
- 9 So she is saying that her recollection of what
- 10 NL88 was telling her was personal to her.
- 11 You weren't there at the time. She says:
- "Q. So you've no recollection of anybody else being
- 13 there at all?
- A. No, I haven't."
- 15 If we just scroll down, please, then she says this:
- 16 "Q. So -- but apart from that you would agree with
- 17 **what** NL88 --
- 18 A. She may have spoken to NL114 herself, because
- 19 I think she was quite close to NL114."
- I think you said to me you had a good relationship
- 21 with all of the children --
- 22 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. -- but you don't remember NL88 ever telling
- you about being uncomfortable about this man.
- 25 A. Never. That name has never came in -- while I was at

- 1 Nazareth Lodge I never heard that man's name mentioned
- 2 at all in Nazareth Lodge.
- 3 Q. The other point you made to me is that no children ever
- 4 talked to you about that type of abuse. It wasn't
- 5 really something --
- 6 A. No. No.
- 7 Q. -- on your radar.
- 8 A. I wouldn't be -- it was never -- that was never
- 9 a discussion in Nazareth Lodge about abuse.
- 10 Q. I think SR46 herself says she didn't tell anybody. You
- don't remember her telling you or your colleagues,
- "Look, just watch out for that guy" --
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. -- or anything of that sort?
- 15 A. No, because I would never have met that man before in my
- life or never have known his name or anything about him.
- 17 Q. Then I was asking you as part of the same -- SR46 said
- to the Inquiry she could remember one occasion whenever
- the same girl, NL88 , an issue arose over SR46
- 20 becoming aware of a difficulty at home and making this
- 21 known to Social Services and that caused a lot of anger
- amongst a particular family member.
- In paragraph 3 of the statement of SR46, if we just
- look, please, at 2131, she recalls being confronted.
- 25 Just the latter part of paragraph 3. If we just scroll

- down, please. Just scroll down a little further,
- 2 please. Just scroll down a little further just so we
- 3 can see the bit that begins:
- 4 "On one occasion ..."
- 5 Thank you. Now down a line. Bring it back a little
- for me, please. Bring it back a little more and
- 7 a little more. Just stop there. So on one occasion she
- 8 remembers being grabbed by this family member on the
- 9 shoulder and a staff member, you, seeing this through
- a window, coming down the stairs to make sure SR46 was
- okay, and told her that she was needed somewhere else as
- a way of breaking this up. She says then:
- "NL114 then told me she had lied, as I was not
- needed, but she was just concerned about me."
- I was asking you today: you have no recollection of
- 16 that --
- 17 A. I have no memory of that happening at all.
- 18 Q. -- of that happening, but it appears, if SR46 is right,
- 19 you came to her rescue one day when someone was being
- 20 rough with her.
- 21 A. Well, I have no memory of it.
- 22 O. You don't remember it.
- 23 What I want to do is just turn to the allegations
- that HIA10, who came forward to the Inquiry, has made
- about you. Her Inquiry statement is of October 2014.

If we look, please, at 749, paragraphs 8 and 9, what she said to the Inquiry was that she remembered a member of staff, NL114 -- so that's you, NL114 -- you had cut her hair and burned the back of her neck with the curling tongs intentionally. When checking for lice, you'd use

a silver lice comb, but did it so hard it left her with

scars and cuts on her head and neck.

"I remember bleeding."

You were a terrible person. You were in the lift once with her and NL150. She mentioned her grandmother and said she was dead. She was then slapped on the face and told that no-one cared about her, no-one wanted her, that she was worthless and would amount to nothing, something she was told all the time.

Now that's the allegation that was made to the Inquiry, and that resulted in you being identified by the Inquiry and receiving papers in order to address them, and you have attended today to do that.

Before I go any further, you were here this morning when you heard me explain to the Inquiry that the reason I was dealing with HIA10's material in the way that I was was because she was not medically fit to attend.

23 A. That's right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. That had been provided -- a doctor's note had been provided to the Inquiry to that effect, but you drew to

- 1 your counsel and then to my attention when we were
- 2 speaking earlier that you believe, in fact, she was
- 3 present in the building today.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now I appreciate you said to me you were seeing someone
- 6 you haven't seen for a very long time --
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. -- but you were pretty clear that's who came in --
- 9 A. That's who came --
- 10 Q. -- and sat behind you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That happened while I was going through her material
- this morning --
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. -- and at a point during that she then left.
- 16 A. That's right, yes.
- 17 Q. You raised it, because you just thought it was -- you
- 18 wondered about how we operated. Did the person not
- 19 themselves have to speak for their material? I just
- read it and they sat at the back.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. I'm grateful for you bringing that to the Inquiry's
- 23 attention and the Panel are now aware that that's what
- appears to have taken place this morning, but in
- 25 addition to your -- the Inquiry's statement that we are

- looking at, the allegations were made also to the police
- in May of 2010. The police statement in May of 2010,
- which runs from 62066 to 62070, makes a series of
- 4 further allegations which are not in the Inquiry
- 5 statement, although this police statement is of
- an earlier vintage, and talks about her getting woken up
- 7 by SR46 hitting her and you and NL150 shouting emotional
- 8 abuse at her. That was on page 2 of the statement --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- various comments about her worthlessness and her
- 11 family and so on. Did you ever do that type of thing --
- 12 A. It never happened.
- 13 Q. -- to any child?
- 14 A. No, no.
- 15 Q. Then the curling tongs reference is made again on page 3
- of the police statement. You would burn her and then
- just laugh. The point you have made to the Inquiry is
- 18 you don't believe there ever were curling tongs --
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. -- in Nazareth Lodge.
- 21 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. You didn't use any.
- 23 A. Never, no.
- 24 Q. The issue about the lift, being in the lift, is
- repeated, and you slapping her across the face, and you

- 1 said to the Inquiry, because that was an issue in the
- 2 Inquiry statement, that there was a lift in Nazareth
- 3 Lodge at the front. You used it to bring food up --
- 4 A. Food up. That's right.
- 5 Q. -- but as far as you are concerned, you were never in it
- 6 with --
- 7 A. The children were never allowed in the lift.
- 8 Q. -- the children?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. It's also said in the police statement that you would
- 11 have belittled her in front of other children. Can you
- 12 recollect that --
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. -- as a way you would have behaved?
- 15 A. No. Definitely not. It wouldn't have happened.
- 16 Q. Can we bring up, please, 6269, because another matter
- that is in the police statement in 2012 but not in the
- 18 Inquiry statement, if we scroll down, please, she said
- 19 you made a remark about "Any wonder your parents left
- 20 you" and other horrible comments.
- 21 "Over the two years between 1977-79 she would have
- 22 hit me with her long, creamy-coloured stick that she
- used to have with her at times. She would have hit me
- with the stick hundreds of times and hit me mostly round
- 25 the back of my legs and my shins at the front of my legs

- as this was the most painful part she could hit."
- 2 Now can you -- I was asking you earlier can you tell
- 3 the Inquiry what you might have had that might have been
- 4 construed as a cream-coloured stick?
- 5 A. Nothing at all. I have never had a cream stick or used
- a cream stick or know anything about a cream stick.
- 7 Q. And this allegation, the first you were aware of it was
- 8 seeing this police statement --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- which, due to an administrative issue between the
- 11 Inquiry and your legal representatives, you saw today,
- and you were adamant that at no time did you ever hit
- any child with a stick --
- 14 A. A stick or seen --
- 15 Q. -- and certainly not --
- 16 A. -- a cream stick or know anything about a cream stick or
- 17 any stick at all.
- 18 Q. I was asking you and you said to me in 2012 you think
- the police did get in touch with you presumably on foot
- 20 of this --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- but never followed up interviewing you --
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. -- about it, and as far as you were concerned you gave
- 25 the interview in '96 and that was the end of it.

```
1 A. That's right.
```

- Q. Obviously the Inquiry statement is in October 2014 and you have dealt with the allegations in paragraphs 13 to 20. If we just bring it up, please, for completeness,
- 5 80097, and you set out for the Inquiry, much of which
- I have covered with you, your response to the
- 7 allegations that HIA10, as she now is, has made. You
- 8 conclude that by denying ever hitting her.
- 9 In fact, I wanted just to -- there is a record you
- weren't aware of that I drew to your attention today.
- 11 62262. I think when we bring it up, we are going to
- have to rotate it round, if we can do that. Then if we
- can just maximise that. Now the date of it doesn't
- appear on the page unfortunately, but if we scroll down,
- 15 I was able to -- if we look at the section under
- 16 "Medical", it says:
- 17 "HIA10 keeps in good general health. She went back
- for a check-up for her ear on 27th October 1978. The
- doctors were pleased with her progress."
- 20 So it may be for the six-monthly review this seems
- 21 to be the internal type document that was prepared
- presumably by SR46 in probably November/December '78,
- but if we just look slightly further up the page,
- 24 please, she is being described as:
- 25 "Pleasant and cooperative."

Page 101 1 Then you can see: 2 "She is very fond of NL114." Obviously we saw earlier NL88 3 seemed to be in SR46's mind someone who was also fond of you. Is 4 5 that how you remember your relationships with the girls, that you got on with them? 6 7 I got on with all the children, so I did, HIA10 and --HIA10 and NL88 , all the children the same. 8 9 How do you feel about these allegations that have been Ο. made by her against you? 10 I don't know why she's made them and all I can say is 11 12 that I've never hit a child in my life and anything that is said about me here is lies. 13 Q. She had a sister, NL114, that we have mentioned. 14 This 15 is the last thing I want to ... You mention in paragraph 11 of your statement HIA10's older sister, 16 NL130. This is not an allegation against you, but 17 18 I want you to look at 61518. It's a statement that she made to the police on 11th May of 1995. Just maximise 19 that. Scroll down towards the bottom. She is saying 20 21 she was not abused, but she does say this: "Discipline was strict and we were ..." --22 23 She was there obviously the same period of time, '78 24 to '80: 25 "... and we were dealt with quite severely if you

- done anything wrong."
- 2 Then what she does is identify who the staff were.
- 3 She's got all the correct people for being in SR46's
- group, because there's you and NL150 and then also she
- 5 mentions SR 156 , whom you also had mentioned.
- 6 Can you -- trying to put yourself as best you can in
- 7 place of a younger child, can you reflect on what she
- 8 might mean by saying:
- 9 "Discipline was strict and we were dealt with quite
- severely if we did anything wrong"?
- 11 A. Well, I don't know, because I've never seen no strict
- discipline, you know, with children.
- 13 Q. It's not something you recognise?
- 14 A. No, no.
- 15 Q. Whatever she's describing, it is not --
- 16 A. I can -- no.
- 17 Q. -- your recollection of how Nazareth Lodge was --
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. -- at your point in time?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Have you anything else you want to say to the Inquiry
- about how your -- the part of the home that you were
- involved in was run during the ten years that you were
- 24 there?
- 25 A. Well, it was a very happy place and SR46 was very good

- 1 to the children, so she was. The children had -- they
- 2 had their own bedrooms. They had their own clothes, own
- 3 wardrobes and hair brushes, combs. You name it. They
- 4 had everything really, and it was a very happy
- 5 environment. They went -- they had a youth club and
- 6 they went on holidays, and it was a very happy
- 7 environment while I was there.
- 8 O. Were some of the children who came with difficulties
- 9 that perhaps were not typical of children generally,
- 10 were those things difficult to manage?
- 11 A. Well, there was always -- you went -- there was no real
- difficulties, and if there was, SR46 and the social
- worker would have dealt with them, but the staff would
- have nothing to do with those difficulties.
- 15 O. So you didn't find it a difficult environment to work?
- 16 A. No, I found it a very happy environment the time of work
- 17 when I was there.
- 18 Q. NL114, you will be pleased to know I am not going to ask
- 19 you any more questions. If you just remain where you
- are for a few minutes, the Panel Members may want to ask
- 21 you something.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- Questions from THE PANEL
- 24 CHAIRMAN: NL114, could I ask you two things relating to
- 25 HIA10, as she was at that time, not in relation to what

- she has alleged about you, but things we have seen in
- 2 documents relating to her earlier today?
- 3 The first is that she left the home and the social
- 4 worker signed for various things, one of which was
- described as a bank book. Now you have described in
- 6 your statement that, as you put it, the children were
- 7 well resourced with pocket money. Was there any system
- 8 of them saving money in a bank account or post office
- 9 savings account or anything like that that you were
- 10 aware of?
- 11 A. I am not aware of it.
- 12 Q. That was not something you would be concerned with, was
- 13 it?
- 14 A. No. All I am aware of that they got pocket money on
- a Saturday and went to the shops with their pocket
- money.
- 17 Q. But if there was any system of saving money, you weren't
- 18 --
- 19 A. Oh, nothing, no.
- 20 Q. -- connected with it in any way?
- 21 A. No, not at all.
- 22 Q. The other thing is that there has been a reference
- 23 several times in the records relating to her to her
- 24 going at weekends to somewhere called where
- it seems that she enjoyed whatever it was she was doing.

- Does that mean anything to you? Can you tell us what
- 2 that was?
- 3 A. I have no record. That word doesn't mean anything to
- 4 me.
- 5 Q. It is not something the children talked about?
- 6 A. ? No.
- 7 Q. No. Thank you very much.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 MS DOHERTY: Thanks very much, NL114. Can I just ask: were
- 10 you involved in the daily logs, in filling those in?
- 11 A. What are the daily -- what, the daily --
- 12 Q. You know the logs where it actually would say, you know,
- "HIA10 has come in from school. She's in good form",
- just small entries that we saw today?
- 15 A. I think there was only men... -- the only log book
- 16 I remember filling in was their food menu. You filled
- that in every day, their breakfast, their dinner, their
- tea and their supper. That's all.
- 19 Q. What they actually ate for those?
- 20 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. That is all I can remember filling in.
- 23 Q. You don't remember being involved in the internal
- 24 reviews --
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. -- because we saw -- it's just --
- 2 A. We never went to the reviews. It was always the social
- 3 worker and --
- 4 O. The nun?
- 5 A. -- SR46.
- 6 Q. We saw papers relating to those reviews, but this
- 7 morning we also saw internal reviews that seemed to
- 8 be -- have been written by a care member of staff and
- 9 countersigned by the nun, but you don't remember?
- 10 A. I don't recall. The only book I remember writing on is
- 11 the menu book.
- 12 Q. Okay. Can I just ask about bedwetting? Was that
- a problem for any of the children when you were there?
- 14 A. The wee ones might have wet beds, but it was no problem.
- We just changed the -- you just took off the sheet and
- 16 put it in the laundry and that was it, but there was
- no -- there was no smacking or anything for doing it,
- 18 you know.
- 19 Q. Okay, and just bathing, HIA10 mentions Jeyes Fluid. Is
- that a memory for you?
- 21 A. Not at all, no. The bigger children were never -- the
- 22 bigger children went to showers and it was more or less
- 23 showers they used.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. There was baths there, but the bigger children all went

- 1 to the showers and there was no washing. They washed
- 2 themselves.
- 3 Q. In terms of they would have had -- in terms of washing
- 4 materials it wouldn't have been --
- 5 A. They would have their own wee bubble baths and shampoos
- 6 and that.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thanks very much.
- 8 A. Thank you.
- 9 MR LANE: In the spare time that the children had -- you
- 10 mentioned things like snooker table and so on -- were
- they allowed to go wherever they wanted to to play
- outside or play inside or did they all go together to do
- 13 something?
- 14 A. Well, there was two members of staff, and if one member
- of staff would have stayed indoors, and that was for
- maybe the snooker table or whatever, and then there was
- gardens outside; another member of staff would have
- taken them outside to the gardens and that.
- 19 Q. You mentioned that your living quarters were in another
- 20 part of the building.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you get to meet all the other staff from the other
- 23 units when you were off duty?
- 24 A. Yes. The bedrooms were there beside each other, you
- 25 **know**.

- 1 Q. So did you compare notes about what the other units were
- 2 like, other groups?
- 3 A. No, I don't think so, no.
- 4 Q. Was there much social life among everybody?
- 5 A. Well, I don't know. Well, I don't -- me, I would
- 6 have just went home on my days off and that.
- 7 Q. All right. Okay. One last question. In the ten years
- 8 you were there were there any training exercises laid on
- 9 for staff actually within the Lodge?
- 10 A. There wasn't, no.
- 11 O. Okay. Thank you.
- 12 A. Not to my knowledge, but I don't think so.
- 13 Q. So the time when you went to for the day
- 14 release was the --
- 15 A. That was just the day release.
- 16 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 17 A. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Well, NL114, those are the only questions we have
- 19 to ask you. Thank you very much indeed for coming to
- 20 speak to us today about your time at Nazareth House.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 A. Thank you.
- 23 (Witness withdrew)
- 24 MR AIKEN: Chairman, that concludes today's evidence.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. Well, we will resume at the

```
Page 109
         normal time tomorrow, ladies and gentlemen.
 1
    (2.50 pm)
 2
        (Hearing adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning)
 3
 4
                                --00000--
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 110
1	I N D E X
2	Summary of evidence of WITNESS HIA102
3 4	WITNESS NL180 (called)
5	Questions from THE PANEL64
6	WITNESS NL114 (called)
7	Questions from THE PANEL103
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	