\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

being heard before:

SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)

MR DAVID LANE

MS GERALDINE DOHERTY

held at
Banbridge Court House
Banbridge

on Monday, 22nd June 2015 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 130)

MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

Page 2 Monday, 22nd June 2015 1 (10.00 am)2 Opening remarks by CHAIRMAN 3 4 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. MR PURVIS: Good morning, Mr Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN: This is the 130th day of the Inquiry's public 6 hearings and the start of Module 6. During this module the Inquiry will focus on issues arising from the sexual 8 9 abuse of children by Father Brendan Smyth in a number of 10 the children's homes in Northern Ireland. The abuse has already been described by a number of witnesses who have 11 previously given evidence to the Inquiry, and as the 12 13 Inquiry's policy is to avoid recalling witnesses to repeat evidence they have already given of their 14 15 experiences, we will not be calling them again in this 16 module, although reference will be made to what they have said in previous modules. This module will, 17 18 therefore, concentrate on an examination of what opportunities there were to prevent Smyth carrying out 19 20 the abuse of children, and the Inquiry Panel will 21 consider whether any steps taken or not taken to deal 22 with Smyth amount to systemic failings within our terms of reference. 23 24 Mr Aiken.

25

- Opening remarks by COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 2 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good morning.
- Before I begin the opening that's going to take place
- 4 over the next two days there are appearances from all
- 5 bar one of the core participants in this module. I will
- 6 ask Mr Rooney, who has been here before, on behalf of
- 7 his client to begin giving those appearances now,
- 8 Mr Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Rooney?
- 10 MR ROONEY: Mr Chairman, Ms Doherty, Mr Lane, I appear on
- 11 behalf of the De La Salle Order with my learned friend
- 12 Miss McCluskey.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr Montague?
- 14 MR MONTAGUE: Morning, Chairman, Members of the Panel.
- I appear on behalf of the Sisters of Nazareth with my
- learned friend Miss Walkenshaw instructed by BLM
- 17 Solicitors.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 19 MR McKENNA: Chairman, Members of the Panel, I appear on
- 20 behalf of the Diocese of Down & Connor led by my learned
- 21 friend Mr Lockhart. We are instructed by BLM Solicitors
- and I am attended today by Miss Liddy of that company.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr McKenna.
- 24 MS RAMSEY: Mr Chairman, Members of the Panel, I am
- 25 Miss~Ramsey. I appear this morning, instructing the

- 1 Diocese of Kilmore and Cardinal Sean Brady, and I am led
- 2 by Mr Lockhart.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Ms Ramsey.
- 4 MR PURVIS: Mr Chairman, Members of the Panel, I appear on
- 5 behalf of the Archdiocese of Armagh. I am led by
- 6 Mr Lockhart. I am instructed by BLM Solicitors.
- 7 Mr Canavan appears with me this morning.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr Purvis.
- 9 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, the Norbertine
- 10 Order is also a core participant before the Inquiry in
- 11 this module. They are performing that role on
- 12 a voluntary basis, not being within the jurisdiction of
- 13 Northern Ireland. I will say a little more about that
- in due course. They are represented by Mr Egan of
- counsel, who is not available this morning, but he will
- 16 be present whenever the representative on behalf of the
- 17 Norbertine Order gives evidence later in the week. He
- is instructed by a firm of solicitors in Dublin, who
- 19 will also appear on that occasion.
- Chairman, Members of the Panel, this is Module 6 of
- 21 the HIA Inquiry's public hearings. This module will
- look specifically but in a limited and focused way at
- 23 the sexual abuse of children perpetrated by John Gerard
- Smyth, otherwise known as Father Brendan Smyth, a Roman
- 25 Catholic priest of the Norbertine Order, who perpetrated

Page 5

the sexual abuse of children in Northern Ireland, the Republic of Ireland and much further afield from the late 1940s through to the early 1990s.

A self-confessed paedophile, as we will come to see, he was convicted of multiple counts of the sexual abuse of children in Northern Ireland in 1994 and again in 1995, offences that spanned several decades. Many of the convictions related to the sexual abuse of children resident in the children's homes the Inquiry has already examined during Modules 3 and 4 of the Inquiry's public hearings, the De La Salle Boys' Home in Kircubbin and Nazareth House and Nazareth Lodge in Belfast. We will look at those matters in more detail during the opening.

Smyth was also convicted in 1997 of multiple counts of the sexual abuse of children in the Republic of Ireland. In addition, there have been further allegations and indeed in some cases accepted instances of similar abuse being perpetrated by Smyth during periods he spent in Scotland, Wales and the United States of America.

Smyth perpetrated the sexual abuse of children over a prolonged period likely to span over some forty years from the late 1940s through to 1993, the year before he finally went to prison in June 1994 in Northern Ireland.

In his own words, which will not have been heard

Page 6

publicly before, but as said by him to a treating doctor in February 1994:

"Over the years of religious life it could be that
I have sexually abused between 50 and 100 children.
That number could even be doubled, or perhaps even
more."

Smyth died in a prison in the Irish Republic on 22nd August 1997. The reality is that it will probably never be known just how many lives his compulsive offending blighted. His name and what is publicly known to date about the horrendous story of child abuse associated with him is notorious in this jurisdiction and beyond, as are some of the stories of secrecy and silence surrounding opportunities to stop him, such as the 1975 church investigation involving the now Cardinal Brady.

It is a piece of Irish history you may think truly desiring of the title "a public scandal". First and foremost, you have the litany of victims and their families in this jurisdiction and internationally living with the consequences of his abuse. For some of them that sentence is likely to be life-long. Then you may consider a different sentence has latterly been brought to bear on those individuals and institutions involved in what Cardinal Brady has told the Inquiry was

a culture of secrecy and silence that failed the children they were supposed to protect.

In the words of the Archdiocese of Armagh to this Inquiry:

"The Roman Catholic Church in Ireland can only look back on all this with shame and in disgrace."

The ruin of reputations has not been confined to the Roman Catholic Church. How the office of the then Attorney-General of the Republic of Ireland handled the RUC's 1993 request for Smyth's extradition from the Republic of Ireland and how the fall-out from it was dealt with led to what may be the shortest appointment to the High Court bench in the common law world. It also led to a more serious charge, made good, of misleading Parliament, which resulted in the resignation of the then Taoiseach of the Irish Republic in November 1994 and the collapse of his coalition government.

In reply to the Taoiseach's resignation speech the then leader of the Fianna Gael opposition, John Bruton, said words which sadly you may consider continue to haunt the Smyth story, as will become apparent as this opening unfolds. He said:

"The lesson is the truth should not come out in installments. The truth, the whole truth, should be given on the first day and let the cards fall as they

will after that."

2.

That's a good lesson for all of us who aspire to high office. It does not matter what happens at the end of the day so long as at the earliest opportunity one has told the truth. Let others manage the news once the truth is already on the table.

However, the main focus of this module will be on how and why it was possible in total and absolute betrayal of the trust placed in him for Smyth to perpetrate the prolific and sustained sexual abuse of children over such a prolonged period, in particular of children resident in children's homes in Northern Ireland.

In that context the Inquiry will want to consider what systemic failings of the Roman Catholic religious order responsible for him and the wider Roman Catholic Church in Ireland or beyond that may have caused, facilitated or failed to prevent his abuse.

The story that's about to unfold over the coming days from the material gathered by the Inquiry and the oral evidence you will hear is steeped in the deep and prolonged human suffering of the abused. However, you will also have the opportunity to consider whether it is also the story of a litany of missed opportunities to properly deal with Smyth by a significant number of

2.

2.1

Page 9

individuals who were themselves in positions of considerable trust, power and influence, not only over him but also over his victims and their families. You will have to consider whether missed opportunities by individuals in prominent and important positions and who you may consider should clearly have known better unfortunately reflected a systemic practice of protecting their institutions rather than the children they were meant to serve.

While it would be a gross disservice to the victims for this story to be told merely through bare statistical facts, nonetheless you will have the opportunity to reflect on the following as this opening progresses.

Firstly, Smyth was convicted of a total of 117 counts of indecent assault against 41 children across Ireland, north and south. In the Republic of Ireland Smyth was convicted of 74 counts of indecent assault against 20 individuals. In Northern Ireland Smyth was convicted of 43 counts of indecent assault against 21 individuals. Of those 21 individuals in Northern Ireland, 7 of them were children who resided in either the Nazareth homes in Belfast or De La Salle in Kircubbin.

His earliest offence for which he was convicted in

2.

Page 10

Northern Ireland was 1964. His latest was 1984. In the Republic of Ireland his earliest offence for which he was convicted was 1967 and his latest was 1993.

In addition to the offending he was convicted of there are many more allegations, including from further children who resided in the children's homes under investigation by this Inquiry. Some of those allegations Smyth himself accepted. In addition, he identified children that he abused in the children's homes who had not themselves made allegations against him.

Regrettably one of the most difficult issues that will have to be faced by those involved with the Inquiry during this module is the reality of whether any lack of action or any lack of adequate action they could reasonably have been expected to take, even allowing for the historical context, failed to prevent Smyth sexually abusing all of the children in the homes this Inquiry has been examining and indeed many more children who did not reside in the homes.

When delivering the inaugural address to the University of St. Andrew's on 1st February 1867, almost 150 years ago now, John Stuart Mill, MP and then the Lord Rector of the university, declared in a quote that has often been attributed in modified form to Edmund

Burke that:

"Bad men need nothing more to compass their ends than that good men should look on and do nothing."

Whether that historical quotation accurately describes the events surrounding the activities of Smyth and those with authority over him over a period of over forty years will be something this Inquiry will want to consider.

Before I begin to look in detail at the activities of Smyth and of those who had the knowledge and means to stop him I want to say something about the structure of this module and the form of the Inquiry's evidence bundle.

The Inquiry's investigation in respect of this module has produced an evidence bundle containing at present some 6361 pages. Unlike some of the contents of other evidence bundles, those over 6,000 pages are of dense material, often comprising statements and correspondence. It has been a time-consuming and complex exercise to fit the various pieces of this complicated jigsaw together. The bundle has the following sections.

Section 1, beginning at 001 level, contains relevant statements and their exhibits amounting to some 1242 pages.

Section 2, at 10,000 level, contains relevant contemporaneous documentation, some 613 pages.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 Section 3, at the 20,000 level, contains material 4 relevant to Inquiry applicants, 156 pages.

Section 4, at the 30,000 level, contains police material that amounts to some 2897 pages.

Section 5, at the 40,000 level is documents from civil claims that have been brought against those connected to Smyth and amounting to some 803 pages.

Section 6, which would be for social work material, at present has no documentation contained in it.

Section 7, at the 60,000 level, contains relevant Inquiry transcripts from witnesses who have given evidence relevant to Smyth. That amounts to some 218 pages of material.

Section 8, at the 70,000 level, contains the extensive media material, including extracts from relevant books and television programmes that there have been on the Smyth story. That amounts to 432 pages.

Chairman, as you have already pointed out, the Inquiry has already received the evidence of those who applied to the Inquiry who said Father Brendan Smyth sexually abused them in either of the Nazareth homes in Belfast or the De La Salle home in Kircubbin. The Inquiry will not be recalling those individuals to give

Page 13

evidence of those matters again. Their evidence has already been considered in detail by the Panel and is contained in the evidence bundle. I will be referring to it during this opening.

The Inquiry will, however, hear oral evidence on behalf of five of the seven institutions or individuals it has designated core participants in this module, as it is they who face difficult and searching questions about their conduct in the matters under consideration.

I want to begin now to say something about each of the core participants in turn.

Firstly, the Norbertine Order. The Canons Regular of Premontre, otherwise known as the Premonstratensians, or perhaps more commonly the Norbertine Order, this is the Roman Catholic religious order of priests, Brothers and Sisters of which Father Brendan Smyth was a member.

The Inquiry has been provided with a very detailed witness statement from Father William Fitzgerald, the Prelate Administrator of Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott, County Cavan in the Republic of Ireland. This was the canonry to which Smyth belonged. That statement from Father Fitzgerald and the extensive exhibits which accompany it, which run from pages 819 to 1195 in the bundle, addresses matters you may consider in a refreshingly frank and full way.

Page 14

However, what the statement discloses in that frank and full way you may consider to be a sustained and repeated catalogue of failings by Smyth's superiors over many, many years. Much of the information the statement contains will be new.

It should be noted that Father Fitzgerald and the Norbertine Order have engaged voluntarily with the Inquiry, as the Inquiry's powers of compulsion do not extend to the Republic of Ireland. You may consider that the content of this statement demonstrates a very welcome and responsible commitment to cooperate in stark contrast to the litany of failings it recognises and apologises for.

If we can bring up, please, page 820, because in paragraph 2 of the statement, Members of the Panel, Father Fitzgerald sets out the position of the Order. He says:

"Before I begin, for myself and behalf -- and on behalf of my confreres, I unreservedly apologise to each person who was sexually abused by Brendan Smyth and to your families and friends. I apologise for the criminal actions of Brendan Smyth and for the failures of the Kilnacrott Canonry, of which I will speak further. I recognise that words of apology sound hollow when compared to the wreckage caused in the lives of so many.

2.

Page 15

The grave and inexcusable actions of Brendan Smyth have caused incalculable damage. A most painful reality is the fact that while Brendan Smyth's proclivities and the crimes they led to were known over the years by his superiors, attempts to bring his behaviour to an end were totally inadequate."

In a very frank way Father Fitzgerald addressed a specific question the Inquiry posed to the Norbertine Order about what systemic failings they accept the Order were responsible for. If we can look, please, at page 832, that was question 9 of a series of questions that the Inquiry posed, and beginning at paragraph 77 -- if we scroll down, please -- Father Fitzgerald gives the answer. The question was:

"What, if any, systemic failures does the Norbertine Order acknowledge in relation to their dealings with Father Brendan Smyth and his ability to perpetrate sexual abuse of children in residential institutions in Northern Ireland?"

Father Fitzgerald says:

"I have reflected deeply upon the failings which enabled Brendan Smyth to use his priesthood over a 40-year period to perpetrate child sexual abuse. It is clear that warning signs had surfaced prior to his ordination."

1 We will look at that in some detail.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I am unable to say how clear those warning signs were, but in the light of what we now know the pattern of behaviour by which Brendan Smyth maintained his freedom to do as he chose was already apparent whilst he was in formation. I must, therefore, begin by stating that he ought never to have been ordained to the priesthood. From my knowledge of Brendan Smyth I can say he was a very intelligent man and, in fact, the first confrere who studied at the Gregorian University in Rome. On reflection, it is clear he used his intelligence and naked cunning not only to engineer circumstances where he could conduct abuse of children, but also to intimidate and effectively oppose the efforts of those who sought to confront him or restrict his activities, however inadequate these measures were. I am of the view that, whilst he never held any significant position within the Kilnacrott Canonry, and was never a member of council, he was, in fact, a significant presence and influence and was able to silence or ridicule those who would oppose him. On each occasion throughout his time in the

On each occasion throughout his time in the

Kilnacrott Canonry that Brendan Smyth became the subject

of suspicion or complaint a clear written record of the

information coming to light should have been created and

2.

Page 17

preserved. Insofar as the suspicions or complaints involved actual criminal activity these should have been reported immediately to the competent police authorities of the relevant jurisdictions. Upon completion of any criminal investigations there ought to have been full and careful investigations in accordance with the laws of the Catholic Church. It is probable that", says Father Fitzgerald, "that had such investigations been conducted, the clear necessity for a penal process to impose the penalty of dismissal from the clerical state on Brendan Smyth would have become apparent. Had Brendan Smyth not died in September 1997, a penal process for his dismissal would have proceeded. This, however", says Father Fitzgerald, "would have been far too little too late.

Brendan Smyth", he says in paragraph 79, "should not have been permitted to exercise ministry after it had become known that he was perpetrating acts of child sexual abuse."

That will become a very significant statement for the Panel as this opening unfolds.

"Dioceses and other places at which Brendan Smyth was permitted to minister should have been alerted in advance of his receiving any appointment -- they should have been alerted of his history. Even allowing for the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 18

increased awareness brought about through the exposure of child sexual abuse over the past two decades, it is most unlikely that Brendan Smyth would have been permitted to minister anywhere if those who entrusted him with ministry had been forewarned of his history.

This Inquiry has received complaints", says Father Fitzgerald, "from adults who were sexually abused by Brendan Smyth while they were being cared for in residential institutions in Northern Ireland. It was Brendan Smyth's practice, whilst based in Kilnacrott, to travel north on his day off each week. understood that on these occasions he was visiting family and friends. The Abbey does not appear to have known where he actually went or what he did or that he was visiting children's homes. It is clear that he visited Nazareth Lodge and Rubane House and that he abused children who were in residential care in these institutions. It is clear also from complaints that we have received", says Father Fitzgerald, "that Brendan Smyth gained access to children in school settings and also in their family homes in Northern Ireland during those years. I accept", says Father Fitzgerald on behalf of the Norbertine Order, "that Brendan Smyth was not a fit person to have access to children at any time or under any circumstance."

Father Fitzgerald says in paragraph 81:

2.

"Prior to 1994 the Kilnacrott Canonry does not appear to have had any appreciation of the harm which Brendan Smyth was causing. Upon complaints being received, efforts should have been made to ensure that victims received just acknowledgment of the wrong done and the therapeutic and spiritual supports they required. I am conscious that the needs of each person affected are different. We should have set out to identify and meet those needs. For many victims who came forward to the Kilnacrott Canonry prior to 1994" -- and we will look at some of those -- "the sole concern was to safeguard others. I am ashamed", says Father Fitzgerald, "by our failure as a community to hear those warnings and to act accordingly."

He says in paragraph 82:

"I'm aware that many of the systemic failings for which we are culpable were addressed in 'Child Sexual Abuse: Framework for a Church Response', the guidelines adopted in the Catholic Church in Ireland in 1996. I am also aware that the Catholic Church in Ireland and universally has continued to develop and refine its safeguarding systems in the years since 1996."

He points out:

"In Ireland today there is a National Board for

Safeguarding Children in the Catholic Church, which is an independent body charged with responsibility for oversight and the provision of guidance to bishops and religious superiors. A cornerstone of the church's response is recognition of the primacy of state law in the investigation and prosecution of criminal offences and in the safeguarding of children."

He says:

"During 1994 and 1995 and throughout the years since then it has been the policy of the Kilnacrott Canonry to report all complaints to the Gardai, the PSNI and the relevant statutory child protection agencies."

I will pause there to pose the question to the Panel that the Panel will want to consider: why it took until then for that to be the approach.

In paragraph 84 Father Fitzgerald says:

"The life of the Kilnacrott Canonry changed forever in 1994 when we were confronted with the reality of our failings and their consequences. For each of my confreres the shame of our failings is immense. We have tried to atone for our failings. Father Gerald Cusack provided leadership to the Canonry between 1994 and 2013. He endeavoured to demonstrate the deep remorse felt within our community in his efforts to respond to so many people who came forward with their accounts of

2.

Page 21

the abuse they had suffered and to parents, family members and friends who felt so betrayed by Brendan Smyth and by us. Our Canonry will continue to listen and pray and to acknowledge the crimes of Brendan Smyth and what he has done to the detriment of hundreds of people. Although our Abbey is now closed, we are thankful that the people for whom the Abbey had been their place of prayer and spiritual comfort over decades found it in their hearts to be forgiving and to continue to support us in countless and unseen ways during the past 21 years."

The Panel will notice in paragraph 85 of the statement that Father Fitzgerald refers to the fact that they have already dealt with 43 civil claims and they have a number still outstanding.

Father Fitzgerald will give oral evidence to the Inquiry on Wednesday. I anticipate there will be further systemic failings that he will be prepared to acknowledge arising from the material now available to the Inquiry.

I would like to look at paragraph 92 of his statement, please -- if we scroll down to 835 -- where he finishes his statement in the following way:

"I have endeavoured to provide to the Inquiry all the information that is known to me in relation to

Page 22

Brendan Smyth. I hope that in providing answers to the questions raised by the Inquiry that those who have suffered abuse will consider that their questions have also been answered. As a priest, I am profoundly distressed at the betrayal of religious vocation in seeking to live the same totally God-centred life shown to us in the life of Jesus Christ. To profess this life and then turn around to commit child abuse is a shocking hypocrisy and I apologise once again to all of those who have been harmed and who continue to suffer."

The statement that Father Fitzgerald has provided to the Inquiry you will see as we progress through the opening allowed the Inquiry to look at a series of occasions whenever opportunities were presented to Smyth's superiors to take steps to deal with him.

Without the statement from Father Fitzgerald that would have been a much more difficult exercise.

The second core participant in this module is the Diocese of Kilmore. Kilmore was the Roman Catholic diocese where Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott was located. Father Donal Kilduff, Chancellor and Diocesan Secretary of the Diocese of Kilmore, has provided the Inquiry with a witness statement on its behalf. It runs from pages 742 to 749 in the bundle, again with extensive exhibits from 750 to 803.

Page 23

If we can bring up page 743, please, in paragraph 5 of his statement Father Kilduff wanted to begin saying the following on behalf of his bishop and the diocese:

"Before dealing with the specific areas which the Inquiry is looking at I would wish, on behalf of the diocese, to express the deep sorry felt by the bishop of Kilmore, Bishop Leo O'Reilly, and the diocese that any child was abused by any member of the clergy. We apologise unreservedly to any child abused by Brendan Smyth for that abuse and for the hurt and harm caused. The actions of Brendan Smyth must be condemned unreservedly. The abuse of his position of power is a cause of profound pain and suffering to all his victims and a source of shame and deep sorrow to all in the church he purported to represent."

As will become apparent during this opening, the now deceased former Bishop of Kilmore, Bishop Francis

MacKiernan, instigated the now much publicised 1975
church investigation involving the then Father John

Brady, later Cardinal Brady. In paragraphs 18 and 19 of the statement, if we can look, please, at 747, Father

Kilduff said this of that 1975 investigation. If we scroll down, please, to paragraph 18:

"When we consider the steps taken in 1975 against the knowledge that we have now, it is clear that the

Page 24

necessary and appropriate steps were not taken to stop
Brendan Smyth from reoffending.

The diocese would accept that the failure of Bishop MacKiernan to report the matter to the civil authorities or to ensure that the matter was reported by Abbot Kevin Smith to the civil authorities was a failing on his part. In the light of what is now known about Brendan Smyth and about the compulsive nature of paedophilia, it is clear that the diocese should also have informed the civil authorities in the jurisdictions where those children lived. These children, as we will come to see, "were named in the reports as having also taken part in excursions with Brendan Smyth. There is nothing in the files which throws any further light on the question of why the names of the other children were included in the reports of the investigations."

It will become apparent during the opening that there are, you may consider, a number of further historical systemic issues involving Bishop MacKiernan and the Diocese of Kilmore which the diocese will want to reflect on before Father Kilduff gives evidence later this week.

If we can move, please, to page 749, Father Kilduff finished the statement on behalf of the Diocese of Kilmore in paragraph 21 saying this:

Page 25

"Again, on behalf of the diocese, Bishop O'Reilly would wish to repeat its unequivocal apology to any of those who suffered as a result of the abuse carried out by Brendan Smyth. This abuse of his position of trust has affected many, not just the children whom he abused but also their families, and also those who placed their trust in him. The diocese apologises to the children abused and to those who feel the pain of having been deceived by Brendan Smyth."

The third core participant before the Inquiry in this module is the Archdiocese of Armagh. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Armagh is one of the four metropolitan archdioceses of the Roman Catholic Church in Ireland. The Archdiocese has eight suffragan dioceses including Kilmore and Down & Connor, and Armagh's Archbishop is also the Primate of All Ireland.

Father Toner has provided the Inquiry with a witness statement on the archdiocese's behalf, which is at pages 1210 through to 1216 in the bundle, with exhibits from 1217 through to 1237.

The statement deals in paragraphs 12 to 17 with the 1975 investigation, which I will look at in some detail later in this opening, and explains that although a priest of the Archdiocese of Armagh participated in one of the two interviews with children in 1975, it was

1 nonetheless a Kilmore investigation.

2.

If we can look, please, at page 1214, Father Toner summarises the position for the archdiocese in paragraph 17 of the statement:

"In summary, from the copy of the material available it appears that although FBS 50 , a priest of the Archdiocese of Armagh, was involved in the interview with FBS38 in March 1975, no steps were taken by the Archdiocese of Armagh to report the abuse to the civil authorities. There is no knowledge or record of anyone in the Archdiocese of Armagh reporting Brendan Smyth to the police in Northern Ireland or in the Republic of Ireland. It appears that the abuse of FBS38 was reported to the civil authorities by FBS38 himself after Brendan Smyth had been prosecuted in Northern Ireland."

That, Members of the Panel, was twenty years later.

In paragraph 18 Father Toner says:

"The greatest single failure in this appalling saga was not reporting these matters to the civil authorities in both Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland so that they could investigate the allegations and monitor the movements of Brendan Smyth in order to minimise risk to children. Clearly there was also a failure to exercise vigilance over Brendan Smyth and ensure that he

Page 27 was not free to continue his abusive behaviour inside or 1 outside the confines of Kilnacrott Abbey. In the 1970s 2. 3 there was an absence of safeguarding and risk-management protocols, guidance and procedures at a systemic level 4 across dioceses and religious congregations in the 5 Catholic Church. With the development of guidelines in 6 1996 leading to 'Our Children, Our Church' and then leading to the establishment of the National Board for 8 9 Safeguarding Children in the Catholic Church in Ireland and the development of a one-church approach to 10 safeguarding, a much more proactive approach would be 11 taken today. The allegations, which had first been 12 13 received by a priest in " -- this is in 1975 -- "would be reported to the designated officer for 14 15 the , who would then", says Father Toner: "Report the allegations to the Garda Siochana and 16 Health Service Executive 17 the 18 Would advise the designated officer for the Diocese of Kilmore", where the child was resident. 19 "Would advise the designated officer for Kilnacrott 20 21 Abbey", who are responsible for the priest. 22 "Would advise the designated officer for the Archdiocese of Armagh", where the investigation was 23 24 conducted. 25 Then Father Toner says this on behalf of the

1 Archdiocese of Armagh:

2 "The church today can only look back on all this
3 with shame and in disgrace."

We will hear from a witness from Armagh on Wednesday.

The next core participant before the Inquiry is an individual, in this case Cardinal Sean Brady. The Archbishop Emeritus of Armagh, Cardinal Sean Brady has provided three statements to the Inquiry about his role in the 1975 Kilmore investigation when he was a teaching priest in St. Patrick's College and part-time administrative assistant to the then Bishop of Kilmore, Bishop Francis MacKiernan. Two statements of 11th June 2015 can be found at 807 to 812 and then at 813 and a third statement of the 19th June 2015 can be found at 1238 to 1242.

The cardinal will give oral evidence to the Inquiry, but I wish to draw attention to paragraph 11 of his third statement. If we can please look at 1240, he says in paragraph 11:

"When I interviewed FBS39" -- this was the second interview that was conducted by the then Father John Brady -- "he was not accompanied by any parent. This was wrong. His family were not told of the alleged abuse. This was also wrong. This is not how matters

Page 29

would be handled today. I deeply regret that FBS39 was not accompanied by a family member or that his parents were not told. I also deeply regret that the parents of the other children of whom FBS38 spoke were not told of those concerns."

Obviously, Members of the Panel, I repeat again while we use names in the chamber, those names should not be repeated beyond the chamber.

He goes on to say this in paragraph 14 of his statement:

"I would like to say that I deeply regret and apologise for the fact that the information provided by FBS38 and FBS39" -- they were the two children that were part of the 1975 investigation -- "was not reported to the civil authorities both in Southern Ireland and Northern Ireland. While I believed at the time that I had done the right thing in reporting to my bishop, as requested, I accept that these matters should have been reported and that the names of the other children identified were passed on to the proper authorities. For that failing and the fact that other children were abused as a result of these omissions I am truly sorry."

He explains then in paragraph 16 that:

"I have made a number of statements on this matter over the last years in different contexts. On occasions

2.

Page 30

the allegations made against me have referred to my role as Archbishop of Armagh and my response has been reflective of the fact that the role I played in 1975 was as a priest of Kilmore and my later position as", and one can read in, "as Cardinal or as Archbishop of Armagh was different. This may lead or may have led to a perception that I was avoiding my responsibilities, which was not my intention. I repeat now that I was asked by Bishop MacKiernan to assist in a process to secure evidence against Brendan Smyth and that the procedure followed was in hindsight flawed and did not protect those we wanted to protect. Again I express my personal sorrow for that failing."

Then in paragraph 17 the cardinal says this:

"I have been asked to consider the way information of a safeguarding nature and concerns about priests or the removal of faculties is communicated between bishops or not communicated."

That is an issue, Members of the Panel, that I will return to on a number of occasions.

"Sadly, at that time", says the cardinal, "there was a culture within the church of secrecy and silence and it was felt that these matters could be dealt with within the church structures themselves. Further, there was not a proper understanding of the devastating

a celbret ..."

Page 31

consequences of child abuse. Many bishops believed that psychiatric treatment of the individual perpetrator was an adequate response. The full horror and long-lasting impact of such criminal behaviour has now been grasped. The church now obtains its guidance from the civil authorities and reports all incidents of child abuse immediately. All priests now carry a card called

The Members of the Panel will probably be aware that that's not a new concept.

"... now carry a card called a celbret with them issued by their diocese which gives them faculties.

This celbret can be requested by any priest before he would allow another priest to conduct any ministry. The bishop would require a priest from whom faculties had been removed to hand over his celbret, which would mean he could not then minister in another diocese. The celbret would also allow any priest or bishop to call the home diocese of a visiting priest to check his credentials."

As I said, Members of the Panel, we will hear from Cardinal Brady on Thursday of this week.

The next core participant that has been assisting the Inquiry -- again this is done by someone who has assisted on behalf of the Diocese of Down & Connor in

2.

Page 32

previous modules -- and providing a further detailed statement for the assistance of the Inquiry is Father Timothy Bartlett. His statement can be found at page 712 of the bundle, with exhibits from 722 to 727. I should have said to you it begins at 712 through to 721.

Father Bartlett sets out the position of the Diocese of Down & Connor in paragraph 4 of his statement. If we can look, please, at 712 -- the statement begins at 711, Members of the Panel -- paragraph 4, Father Bartlett on behalf of Down & Connor says this:

"From the outset the diocese acknowledges that
Brendan Smyth perpetrated the most heinous and
deplorable crimes against children on a prolific scale,
including children who were in the care of the
institutions that are the subject of this Inquiry. That
Brendan Smyth actively sought out opportunities to abuse
children already facing the challenges and trauma of
institutional care makes his evil behaviour all the more
shocking and unconscionable. These actions wrought
untold damage in the lives of many children and their
families and the diocese takes this opportunity to
express its profound regret that those with both the
knowledge of Brendan Smyth's risk to children and the
ecclesiastical authority to take action against him did

not do so decisively and effectively from the outset.

The consequences for the lives of many children has

been, quite simply, catastrophic and the legacy of

4 Brendan Smyth as a manipulative and prolific abuser of

5 children now features among the most notorious and

6 devastating in Irish history."

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In paragraph 23, if we can look, please, at 719, Father Bartlett identifies the manifest problems with how Brendan Smyth was dealt with and says this:

"Notwithstanding the alacrity with which those involved on behalf of the Diocese", of Down & Connor that he is referring to, "responded to the allegations received in 1990, the diocese acknowledges that key principles, practices and procedures that are now known to represent best practice in child safeguarding and that are currently operative and regularly professionally reviewed and updated in the diocese were generally not in place before that time. Key elements of this best practice that were notably absent in relation to the Brendan Smyth case include:

(a) Reporting to the police and statutory authorities. The critical importance of prompt and effective reporting of allegations, concerns and suspicions of sexual abuse to civil authorities is highlighted by the profound inadequacy of the response

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 34

made by various church personnel, most notably the Abbot of Kilnacrott, who had primary responsibility for preventing Brendan Smyth from having access to children as a known abuser, and others who were aware of concerns about Brendan Smyth's risk to children in the decades before his eventual arrest and conviction in the 1990s. Current safequarding procedures in the Diocese of Down & Connor and across the Catholic Church in Ireland now have as a fundamental commitment the prompt reporting of allegations, suspicions and concerns to the relevant statutory authorities. This, the diocese accepts, is the most effective safeguard in reducing to the absolute minimum any repetition of the travesty of abuse by a Catholic priest or religious on this island and the mishandling of such situations by those holding positions of responsibility in the Catholic Church.

(b)", he says, "Appropriate communication and sharing of information. Once promptly reported to the civil authorities as a first principle of safeguarding, the question of communicating to others that a person is a potential risk to children is a vital consideration. It is clear that the failure of those with relevant information to do so was fundamental in allowing Brendan Smyth to continue to abuse children on an international scale for as long as he did. The Diocese of Down &

Page 35

Connor operates a policy of complete cooperation with the relevant statutory safeguarding bodies in regard to the sharing of information about potential risk to children and how it should be managed once an allegation is received. The diocese accepts that once the statutory authorities have been informed of an allegation, they have the responsibility for making decisions in this area and that it is for the legislature on both parts of the island to ensure that all policies and law about the appropriate sharing of information about risk are kept under review to ensure that the safety and best interests of children are always the paramount concern."

The third element which you may consider relevant as this opening unfolds is:

"Effective training of clergy and church personnel.

That some clergy in the church who received allegations against Brendan Smyth claim they did not know how to respond to such information in terms of basic principles of reporting and safeguarding highlights the critical need for effective and ongoing training of clergy and other church personnel in this area. The Down & Connor Safeguarding Office, staffed by fully trained professionals, who work closely with the statutory safeguarding agencies, provide mandatory training for

Page 36

all priests and other key personnel in the diocese on best practice in safeguarding children. This includes regular training for lay parish safeguarding personnel, who play a key role in developing a culture of safeguarding at diocesan and parish level, in which the safeguarding and welfare of every child is the paramount concern. The culture and ethos of safeguarding in place in the Diocese of Down & Connor today seeks to reinforce not only a moral sense of shared responsibility to recognise and respond promptly to allegations, suspicions and concerns brought to an individual's attention but also a greater awareness of the legal requirement to take prompt action."

If we scroll down, please, in paragraph 24 Father
Bartlett then sums up the position of the Diocese of
Down & Connor:

"The Diocese of Down & Connor fully accepts that the fact comprehensive safeguarding policies and procedures are now in place and that both the societal and ecclesial understanding of the critical importance of such procedures has only developed over more recent times, this is of no comfort to those who suffered sexual abuse by Brendan Smyth and others who held positions of trust and responsibility within the Catholic Church. No apology can ever make up for the

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

appalling abuse that the victims of Brendan Smyth and their families have endured through the repeated failure to deal effectively with his criminal behaviour over a long period of time. On behalf of the diocese, therefore, I conclude by expressing deep sorrow and the most profound regret that so many people, many of them in positions of trust and responsibility in the church, failed so many times to respond to the cries of the 'little ones', those whom our fundamental human and Christian instincts alone should have compelled us to protect and reach out to as a first priority. diocese remains committed to offering whatever pastoral support it can to those who were so grievously and repeatedly hurt by the actions of Brendan Smyth and to ensuring that the safety and welfare of children continues to be the paramount concern in all aspects of diocesan life and ministry."

The penultimate core participant in this module is the Congregation of the Sisters of Nazareth. The Inquiry has recently completed the examination of their Belfast homes during Module 4. It looked at their Derry homes during Module 1. As the Panel is aware, during Module 4 the Congregation repeatedly apologised to each individual who came forward to the Inquiry who said they were abused by Father Brendan Smyth whilst in their

1 care.

If we can turn, please, to 1207, we will see in paragraph 7 of a statement from Sister Brenda McCall, who wasn't someone who worked in any of these homes but has been assisting the Inquiry on behalf of the Sisters, she said this in paragraph 7:

"The Sisters are now aware of the activities of Brendan Smyth and are appalled to learn about these in later years when this became public knowledge. The Sisters openly apologise to any child who suffered sexual abuse at the hands of Brendan Smyth or any other abuser. They", as in the Sisters, "were not aware of his activities at the time when he was a visitor to Nazareth House or Nazareth Lodge."

I will say more about the Congregation in due course, as some excellent detective work from the Inquiry legal team allows us to be clearer as to Smyth's activities in the Nazareth homes, including the week-long retreat that you heard about, which we are in a position to say took place in Nazareth Lodge in 1976. The significance of that date, given that it is after 1975, will be obvious.

The final core participant for the Inquiry in this module is the Institute of the Brothers of the Christian Schools, more commonly known to the Inquiry as the De La

Page 39

Salle Order. The Order ran the De La Salle Boys' Home in Kircubbin that the Inquiry examined during Module 3.

Brother Francis Manning, again a Brother who did not work in the home but who has been assisting the Inquiry on behalf of the Order, has provided a detailed further statement for the assistance of the Inquiry of 5th June 2015. That can be found in the bundle at page 643 through to 647 with exhibits that run from 648 through to 694.

As we will in due course see, Smyth accepted that he had abused four boys who were resident in Rubane. Three of the boys had, or after Smyth's own admissions did, come forward to the police. The fourth Smyth volunteered himself. The Inquiry is in a position to say that the abuse probably took place in Rubane between 1977, the dates during which each of the boys were in Rubane at the same time, because the boys refer to being aware of each other seeing Smyth, and 1979, when Smyth left for another period in America. The significance of the dates between 1977 and 1979, given they relate to a period after 1975, will be obvious.

The means of access to Rubane appears to have been Smyth using his position as a priest as justification to call and visit boys with whom he had already become acquainted and indeed abused in Nazareth Lodge. He used

the access of his position -- the position provided him to then abuse the boys further in Rubane.

Brother Manning examines in considerable detail the factual position as far as it can be determined for the assistance of the Inquiry in terms of Smyth's movements in connection with Rubane. He also refers in paragraph 12 on page 645 to the Order's earlier acceptance that BR1, the then head of the home, amongst other failings by him, did not deal appropriately with the disclosure to him by one boy, DL40, of the abuse he suffered at the hands of Smyth.

That is a repeat, Members of the Panel, of an admission made by Brother Manning in paragraphs 4 and 22 of his statement of 5th December 2014, which was filed in Module 3. That statement for your ease can also be found in the evidence bundle at 695 through to 710.

However, it is also the case that, despite BR1 not dealing with the complaint appropriately, however it happened, according to DL40, Smyth does not appear to have returned to Rubane and, as it turns out, that may be because he then was transferred back to America. For your ease DL40 -- and his name shouldn't be used beyond the chamber -- is DL40, who you heard from on two occasions.

Page 41

The Order also points out that there is no evidence to justify the suggested linkage of BR1 and his activities and Father Brendan Smyth and his that had taken place in the media previously. In paragraphs 13 to 15 of his statement at 646 Brother Francis Manning identifies for the Inquiry the positive evidence available to the Inquiry against such a link.

If we can look, please, at 647, Brother Manning repeats the Order's position in paragraph 20 of the statement. He says:

"It is a matter of deep regret and sorrow that some boys resident in Rubane were abused there by Father Smyth."

Chairman, Members of the Panel, the detailed statements from the core participants in combination contain much new information that will not have become public until now. In addition, where specific instances of historical knowledge of Smyth's abuse of children have become apparent to the Inquiry, the Inquiry has sought and received, where available, witness statements from specific priests who the Inquiry considered could assist its work. We will also look at those statements during the opening.

It is the case that a number of instances of historical knowledge of Smyth's abuses have previously

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 42

been revealed through media investigation, reporting and response. The involvement of Cardinal Brady, the then Father John Brady, in the 1975 interviews with the two boys is one example of that. However, this will be the first time that an Inquiry has examined the issues and gathered evidence about them.

Before I move to begin the detailed examination of the activities of Smyth, I want to acknowledge the cooperation that the Inquiry has received from the various Roman Catholic dioceses, institutions and individuals involved in this module, including the frankness, you may think, of the clergy whom you have already heard from through statement and will hear oral evidence from. It will be evident to the Panel that the approach adopted before the Inquiry in this module endeavours to explain the wider church's acceptance of immense shortcomings and failings, which, together with catastrophic consequences, created a legacy of shame and dishonour with which the church is still coming to terms, but also through explanation of the present safeguarding arrangements an attempt to communicate that the past is truly a different country as far as the church is concerned, with a determination that it will not be a place ever visited again.

I also want to acknowledge the assistance given to

Page 43

the Inquiry and to me by the legal representatives of the core participants, who have been engaging with the Inquiry on their behalf in this module. As the Panel is all too aware, the volume of work the Inquiry has to deal with in the context of its time limit is an enormous undertaking. It would simply become impossible for the Inquiry to achieve its aims without the collaborative approach adopted by those with whom it has had to engage.

I also want to acknowledge that the Norbertine

Order, and indeed to a more limited extent the Diocese

of Kilmore, are not within the jurisdiction of Northern

Ireland and consequently the Inquiry does not have the

power to compel them to participate, cooperate, produce

material or attend. Their agreement to voluntarily

participate and cooperate in the Inquiry process and the

manner in which they have done so has, therefore, been

essential for the Inquiry to be able to examine in

a holistic way the potential systemic failings that

facilitated or failed to prevent Smyth abusing children

in children's homes in Northern Ireland.

I also wish to acknowledge, Members of the Panel, the assistance given to the Inquiry on an ongoing basis by the Police Service of Northern Ireland. The vast majority of the material amassed for this module, as was

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 44

the case in Module 3, has come from the production of historical police material. Chief Inspector Brenda Cairns, Inspector Gary Atkinson and their team in producing material and dealing with queries and questions from the Inquiry have expended much time and effort, for which the Inquiry is grateful. In this case that has included liaising with their counterparts in the Garda Siochana. The Inquiry is grateful for that assistance.

The Panel is also aware of the very considerable pressure that is placed on the Inquiry staff. extent of their tireless commitment and efficiency in very demanding circumstances is unfortunately less widely known and not necessarily appreciated as it might or perhaps ought to be. I want to acknowledge it publicly again this morning. In particular, Members of the Panel, I want to pay tribute to the members of the legal team, who have assisted me with the preparation of this module. As the Panel is aware, without the assistance of Maria Dougan, Jennifer Kirkwood and previously Anne Donnelly it would not have been possible for me to stand here today. Nor would it have been possible for the Inquiry to take the proactive steps that have been undertaken in order to gather and assimilate as much information in advance so that this

1 module can be completed in the aimed for four days.

I also want to acknowledge that the coming days of this module are going to be difficult, difficult for all the victims of Smyth's sexual abuse that will either be present here in the Inquiry chamber or will hear or read the reports of what is occurring, no doubt stirring very painful memories from their childhood.

I also acknowledge that it will be difficult for the individuals and institutions whose conduct is being examined by the Inquiry. They, who may otherwise have done much good in our society through the most difficult of times, which is something the Panel will not overlook, will nonetheless be confronted with the devastating consequences of the choices they made to protect their own rather than our children.

Members of the Panel, before I begin looking in detail at the activities of Smyth and his modus operandi and who knew what about him, Chairman, you may consider this an appropriate point to take a short break.

20 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We will rise for a few minutes and resume later this morning.

22 (11.25 am)

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23 (Short break)

24 (11.40 am)

25 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, I'm going to move

Page 46

on to look at Father Brendan Smyth and his modus operandi. Some of what I am going to outline about that modus operandi is obviously going to be difficult to hear, but in the context of where a large volume of material is available to the Inquiry and therefore it can assist with the type of assessment exercise that the Panel have to do it is a necessary evil.

John Gerard Smyth was born in West Belfast on 8th June 1927. The reference for that is at 30294. He lived and went to school in Belfast. He left school at 18 and joined the Norbertine Order in 1945. The references to that are at 31539 and 32216; also in paragraph 19 of Father Fitzgerald's statement at paragraph -- at page 822. I will explain a little more about the Norbertine Order shortly.

He was ordained as a priest of the Norbertine Order on 31st July 1951, aged 24. The reference for that is at 31501, and again at paragraph 19 of Father Fitzgerald's statement. He took on the religious name "Brendan" when he joined the Norbertines and he became known as "Father Brendan Smyth" after his ordination. He was based at the Norbertine Order's abbey in Ireland at Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott, County Cavan in the Republic of Ireland.

He regularly travelled to Belfast, where he had

Page 47

grown up, and it was his integration into the lives of families he had grown up with that provided him with access to their children. In addition, as we will come to see, he integrated himself into Nazareth Lodge and less so House in Belfast -- primarily the Lodge -- which gave him access to children there. He then followed some of those children to De La Salle in Kircubbin and indeed to the Good Shepherd in Middletown.

His modus operandi generally concentrated on befriending children, including providing them with sweets and money, taking them on trips and holidays and, having groomed them in that way, then engaging in indecent assaults, often initially under the guise of affectionate tickling, leading almost always to genital touching, though masturbation with some boys was also a feature of his offending. There have been also allegations of more serious sexual offending both in this jurisdiction and in the Republic of Ireland.

Smyth himself was interviewed by the then RUC on ten occasions during the police investigation into his activities that began in 1991 and essentially finished in 1996. Transcripts of all of the interviews are available to the Inquiry, and I am going to briefly summarise their content in order for the Panel to be aware in general terms of Smyth's approach. It will be

difficult to hear, but it will also assist the Panel in considering the evidence, particularly that given directly to the Inquiry in respect of Smyth.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The interviews are as follows. The first was on 8th March 1991. It runs from 31493 to 31503. The second was some three years later and a lot, as you know, took place in between. It of 11th March 1994 runs from 31420 to 31459. There then are a series of interviews that were conducted by very senior police officers, Superintendent Sheehy and Detective Chief Inspector Brannigan. They begin -- the fourth -- third interview began on 23rd November 1994. It was at 30126 to 30129. Then extensive interviews. The fourth interview was on 7th December 1994. It runs from 30129 to 30232. fifth interview was on 24th February 1995. It is at 30232 to 30293. The sixth was on 5th May 1995. from 30293 to 30308. Then the seventh interview was on 11th August 1995. It runs from 30478 to 30500. eighth interview was on 15th September 1995. from 30724 to 30744. The ninth interview was on 21st March 1996 at 30594 through to 30610. The tenth and final interview in this jurisdiction was on 6th August 1996. It runs from 30656 to 30660.

It has not been possible for the Inquiry to obtain whatever interviews there were in the Republic of

2 material and consequently the data protection 3 legislation that binds the Garda Siochana does not allow

Ireland. The Inquiry does not have power to compel that

it to provide that material, although the legislation is

5 being relooked at, as I understand.

During his first interview of 8th March 1991, which related to the first victims who came forward -- and again I am going to use the names, because otherwise the story becomes extremely complicated to explain, but the names shouldn't be used beyond the chamber. The first victims who came forward to the police in early 1991 were FBS9 and FBS10, two boys, and , a boy and girl, all who lived in a particular part of Belfast, and which Smyth would have said certainly as far as the FBS9 and FBS10 were concerned involved his most serious abuse that he accepted he undertook.

He explains -- and I accept some of this is difficult, but it is necessary in order to understand the context -- that he never had oral sex, because that was something that in his words "turned him". The reference for that is at 31499. We will see, however, by 1994, when he was talking to his doctor in Stroud, that he had -- he accepted that while he couldn't remember it, he may well have performed oral sex on one particular victim, who said that he did, and that was

one of the individuals that are part of this first interview. The reference for that is at 915 in paragraph 4.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second point generally that comes out of this interview is that he claimed that he never tried to have sex -- sexual intercourse in his life. That's a reference at 31499. He explained to his doctor in Stroud in 1994 -- and the reference for that is at 911, and I think it is probably necessary that we look at that particular extract, as difficult as it is. If we look, please, if we can bring up, please, page 911, and we will see what he claimed to the doctor -- of course, this is, as you will come to understand, a doctor in the Paraclete Fathers' Our Lady of Victory Clinic in Stroud in Gloucestershire in England and not somewhere -- it was a place that treated addictions that priests had, including eventually sexual difficulties, and you may consider it is not a report that one might consider likely to see or considered by the person who was describing things to the doctor considered likely to see the light of day. It is available because the Norbertine Order obtained it and then produced it to the Inquiry.

If we can bring up, please, page 911 and if we just scroll down to the bottom. It is a full report that the

2.

Page 51

Panel will consider in its totality. Just at this stage if I can refer to the penultimate paragraph, where he says:

"I actually couldn't have intercourse, because
I can't have a full erection. I can get excited, but
I have premature ejaculation and then I don't have the
power to penetrate. This has always been the case."

Now that is a position repeatedly made by Smyth during the police interviews as well, although it is the case, as you know, that there have been allegations, much more limited in number compared to the allegations of indecent assault, that he did engage in sexual intercourse and indeed rape.

So he -- if we take that down, please -- he -- the third point that he makes in this first interview is that he never had inclination to abuse before he became a priest. As we know, he became a priest in 1951, but he did disclose to the doctor in Stroud in 1994 his interactions with other boys, some younger than him, as a teenager. The reference for that -- I am not going to bring it up now, but the Panel will have the report and can consider the detail of it -- as a teenager at 914 in the bundle that can be found, and he then also describes the type of activity that he engaged in as a young religious. So that would be during the period before he

- is ordained as a priest and again his interaction with
- 2 boys during that period of his life. He describes
- 3 wrestling and the sexual effect that that had on him,
- 4 although the people he was engaged with would not
- 5 necessarily have known of that effect. Then some other
- 6 instances that are contained therein that you will have
- 7 the opportunity to read and reflect on.
- 8 He did confirm to the police in his first interview
- 9 that he was not sexually abused himself. If we just
- look, please, if we can bring up 31502, please, you can
- 11 see he says:
- "A. I know. I never had ..."
- 13 He's being asked:
- 14 "O. We are asking these questions to establish
- a cause for all that has occurred.
- 16 A. I know. I never had an inclination before being
- a priest. FBS 9 asked me when he confronted me if I'd
- been sexually abused. I wasn't.
- 19 Q. Why did you pick on children?
- A. Because I liked them, was able to work with them
- and really because they were there."
- He explains in the same section that he had
- relationships in terms of intimate relationships with
- women, but again touching and masturbation, never
- 25 intercourse.

Page 53

There are police statements that are available to the Inquiry that disclose sexual fondling with adult females, and one statement suggests on one occasion involving a short attempt at oral sex with a female.

That can be found at 30960. I don't need to bring it up, but it indicates that certainly in one instance, although he didn't characterise it in that way, kissing the female genitalia.

He explained to police -- if we just take that down, please -- that, as you saw, he got involved because the children were young. It didn't matter whether they were a boy or a girl, although he explains in later interviews that initially he thought he was homosexual, because his interest was really towards boys and it wasn't until the '70s that he developed an interest more in girls of a similar age, generally between 10 and 14, but not always.

He told the police, and it is the same context as we have looked at, that he discovered over the incidents that he could never have a full erection -- that's at 31502 -- and would often have premature ejaculation -- that's at 31503 -- but often with the rubbing that he got children to do of him either outside his trousers or sometimes touching him no ejaculation would take place at all.

His second interview, as I have said, was some three years later. It was on 11th March 1994 and after the tumultuous events in the Republic of Ireland arising from the failure to extradite him.

He was asked, if we can just look, please, at 31420:

- "Q. Would you class yourself as a paedophile?
- 7 A. To a certain extent, yes.

- Q. Could you explain what you mean by that?
- A. Well, I'm attracted to fondle young people, young people, not very small children. I'm talking about from I suppose about 9 or 10.
- Q. So you have a sexual attraction to pre-pubertal children?
- 14 A. Well, 9, 10 to 14."

He accepted that what he had was a perversion, and by this stage there had been the suggestion that he had put his finger into the back passage of a child. He was asked about that and said that he did that once to an adult, but -- to see what it was like. It did nothing for him and that he was not conscious of ever having done that type of activity to a child. The reference for that is at 31434. As is a feature of his interviews, he considered that the fondling, as he called it, was minor, and the reference for that is at 31440.

Page 55

He accepted at 31446 during this interview that he used his garb, as in his priestly attire, for easy access to children. When he was asked about the means of access and child protection, he said himself that changes twenty years on, as it were, when he is being interviewed, meant he could not walk into a school in 1994 the way he could have in the past and, as we know, did. That's at 31454.

That in relation to taking kids on trips he would ask the kids first about going on the trips, and if they wanted to go, then he would ask the parents. That's at 31447. He accepted he knew what he did was wrong. If we just look at 31459, please, he says:

"But I know what I did was wrong. I know that in some cases it will have -- because I have to take that from the medical men or the professional men or you men in your profession -- that it will have hurt or damaged them somewhat psychologically. I know that in order cases -- I'm convinced in other cases it hasn't done any harm, but I know I did wrong, certainly did wrong legally, and therefore I'm quite prepared to pay for having done wrong."

During a short and very general third interview on 23rd November he was asked where he worked in Northern Ireland. I am just going to bring that up, please.

30809. You will see that to police he claimed, just at the bottom, the last question -- scroll down, please:

"Q. Can you tell us where you worked in Northern Ireland?"

Then he lists:

6 "Nazareth House.

7 Nazareth Lodge.

1

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 De La Salle, Kircubbin.

Middletown Convent."

so the four places that individuals in material available to the Inquiry say that Smyth frequented. The pattern seems to have been he would get to know the children in Nazareth Lodge generally, some in Nazareth House, and then if they were boys and went to De La Salle, he would follow them there, and for some girls who left Nazareth and were sent to the Middletown Convent of the Good Shepherd, then he would have followed them there. We will see that much later during the opening.

In his fourth interview of 7th December 1994 he said to police that he never touched anyone the first time he met them but always established a relationship -- the reference for that is 30844 -- and that he never had a fear of disclosure, because he never would have done something that someone was not happy about. So what he

Page 57

is explaining at 30860 is winning the trust of a particular age range of children that he was satisfied wouldn't then talk about what he was then doing under the guise of being affectionate and playing with them.

He said, same section of interview, that he never touched or played with anyone in any way when it looked as if he or she was in the least bit uncomfortable.

"If I thought they were the least bit uncomfortable,
I always stopped."

You will see from some of the statements from individuals who made complaints about Smyth's behaviour that they would say that when they evidenced some form of distress, then he would stop. In some cases some of the children would have said that it took their distress to go on for a bit longer before he would stop, but essentially corroborating that type of approach. Quite a number of the statements refer to them making the point that while they were abused and consider themselves abused, they weren't forced by him, although there are some statements that characterise it in a different way.

In this interview he again talks about his age preference of children between the ages of 11 and 16, although we saw earlier he was referring to 9 and 10. That's at 30860. In explaining the age of the girls he

Page 58

chose, he explained that if you were dealing with someone older, there was a danger of moving to full sex and giving them a baby. That's at 30859.

Then he was being asked about his approach in school and he said that he would have been careful not to be taking children out of class too often or for too long, because the teachers might then start to complain that they were missing their education and as a result deny him access. That's at 30894.

He claimed in this fourth interview that the two FBS9 and FBS10 -- their name shouldn't be used beyond the chamber -- which included instances of mutual masturbation, were the worst cases that he engaged in. That's at 30860.

Again in the context of dealing with a particular allegation of multiple violent rapes that we will look at later on in the opening, he again said that he never had sexual intercourse in his life and he gave the same reason as to why.

He pointed out that while he was accepting many of the complaints that were being made, there were some that he identified -- and we will look at them -- that he did not accept and he believed they were false to get money as a result of people being aware that he had already stood accused of abusing others, which he

1 accepted he had done.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

In his fifth interview of 24th February '95 he talked about a practice of sending Christmas cards and how he says he would have sent about 300 Christmas cards each year, and a number of children have talked about receiving cards and letters from him. Indeed, in one of the statements you will see one of the children as an adult explaining that he got -- she received a letter as the story about him was about to break and him writing to her about that.

He explained in this interview that he wanted children to be coming freely with him. The reference to that is at 30908.

He said he wouldn't have involved himself with children as young as 7 or 8, because he was very conscious that they were liable to say something, presumably inadvertently. That's at 30933.

He again reiterated, when asked again about the rape claim, that he:

"... never had sexual intercourse in my life, lawful or unlawful, with any person, male or female."

22 **30950.** 

He made a particular remark in the interview which the Panel can look at about his inexperience and how he wouldn't have known what to do.

During his sixth interview -- I mentioned this to you -- it was at 5th May 1995 -- that's where he pointed out while he had not had oral sex, he had kissed an adult once, a female adult. That's at 30961.

In his seventh interview of 11th August 1995 he explained that his normal practice was fondling and hugging, and masturbation was the exception, but he also would have kissed children, he would say not with tongues, but the children would say kissed them and some children would go as far as to say with tongues. He said again he was only attracted to 10s and 11s up at 30493.

In his eighth interview of 15th September -- this was in the context of a complaint to do with Nazareth. If we just can bring up, please, 30733. I think it was the suggestion by a girl that she had just been brought to see him. If we just scroll down, she talks about being brought and you can see he says:

"A. Well, listen, it would never have happened that one of the nuns there would have brought a girl down whom I didn't know. Now she claims that, you know, she never met me before that day in the room.

Q. Yes.

A. So I wouldn't have known of her existence even, and that she brought her down to me and introduced her

- 1 to me. That never happened. Now any time I say anybody
- 2 I asked to see to them.
- 3 Q. 'Cos you knew them beforehand?", he's asked.
- 4 "A. 'Cos I -- well, like I knew them from there
- 5 maybe beforehand, you know.
- Q. You see -- excuse me, Brendan. Yeah. You see,
- 7 with a lot of the children -- this girl was obviously
- 8 orphaned from a very young age."
- 9 Scroll down, please.
- 10 "A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And how do you, first of all, get to meet them
- 12 then?
- 13 A. No. The only way I would have met -- I would
- 14 have met her --
- 15 O. Yeah.
- 16 A. -- and I presume I was in Nazareth a number of
- times during that period -- now is that Nazareth House
- or -- Lodge I think that would be, wouldn't it?
- 19 Q. The Lodge.
- 20 A. Lodge, yeah. Well, I was in Nazareth a number
- of times during that period. I gave a retreat to the
- 22 nuns. So I was there one time for a full week, you
- know, actually living in the place. Now that was the
- only time I lived in it, of course, but just that full
- week. Then I would call sometimes, because it was

- a handy place when I'd come up from the country sometimes, a handy place and I'd go in and say mass if I hadn't got mass said elsewhere, you know, because you would do it, say, in the afternoon, evening time when you'd be passing by. Now I did ask to see people there, once that I had met and knew, who I met once, and they're already mentioned in your lists.
  - Ο. That's right.
- Something like that, that were altar servers and ones like that, you see. At that time I knew a nun there too, but she left the place afterwards and she -you know, I didn't call there to see her, by the way. She just happened she was stationed there.
- Q. Again what you are saying, Brendan, is really a stranger would never have been brought to you?
- Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Ο. You'd have known them in some way prior to that?
- I would have to have known to them prior to that 18 Α. and I would have had to know what their name was to ask 19 for them."

He was asked again towards the end of the interview about his past, and he again reiterated that he had no recollection of anything in his past that triggered his own behaviour. That's at 30740.

From he was about 14 on he was always involved with

Page 63

younger boys in youth groups. 30741. That's the type of activity that I am referring to that can be seen in the Stroud report from 1994 covering that period from 14 on until he becomes a priest.

He describes in the same interview a long period when he thought he was homosexual, because girls only started to come into the picture in his abuse in the '70s. That's at 30742.

In his ninth interview he explained -- it was

21st March 1996 -- that his practice could include

putting someone over his knee and spanking them.

Indeed, he explains that practice in the Stroud report,

but again he did not accept he ever inserted his fingers

in a child's back passage. That's at 30604.

He did accept fondling, hugging, kissing and that sort of activity, indecent assault, at 30604.

In his final interview of 6th August 1996 he was dealing with a single allegation that he had dropped his trousers in a lift in Nazareth House in front of a girl he had never met before. He pointed out that he built up relationships over a period of time and never did he touch a female without having known her at 30658. He had no recollection of ever having been in a lift in Nazareth House.

Members of the Panel, while that's a brief and yet

Page 64

still difficult summary of the main general points that come out of Smyth's interviews, it should, of course, be said that that is not to say that they are accurate or entirely truthful. It is the case that the RUC felt Smyth tended to minimise his involvement and his level of responsibility, as we will come to see, but it will assist in understanding the general context of the many allegations he faced between 1991 and 1996, and in the vast swathes of allegations they were of the nature of indecent assault as opposed to a more serious allegation, and we will look at that as we go through the opening.

In addition to the extensive police interviews that are available to the Inquiry, the Inquiry has also now received through a combination of sources a series of medical reports, some of which will not have been considered previously. It is clear, as we will come to see as we look at a chronology of Smyth's activities known to his Order, that he was sent for treatment on a number of occasions beginning in the late 1960s, a number of times in the 1970s to different institutions, and again at the end of the 1980s and start of the 1990s.

We know that he was sent to the Graham Clinic in Purdysburn in Northern Ireland for treatment in 1968

Page 65

through to 1969. The Norbertine Order sought that file from that hospital as part of its handling of civil proceedings during 2003. It has produced such of that material as was provided to it to this Inquiry. It can be found at 955 through to 967 in the bundle. There are no clinical notes as part of it and therefore the material, which is really correspondence about appointments and a particular assessment questionnaire, are not likely to otherwise assist the Inquiry.

In 1973 he was sent to St. Patrick's Psychiatric
Hospital in Dublin. The reference for that is at 32216.

Again the Norbertine Order has been trying to obtain
that file. They tried to obtain it in 2003, and while
it still existed, the hospital authorities for reasons
of patient confidentiality were not prepared to produce
it. The correspondence setting that out from the legal
representatives on behalf of the hospital can be found
at 942 and 944 in the bundle. I am aware those efforts
have been renewed to assist this Inquiry and it may be
that before the end of this module there will be some
progress in relation to that. I will bring that to the
Panel's attention if that becomes the case.

In 1975 Smyth was said to have been institutionalised for treatment in Stroud in Gloucestershire. That was the clinic properly known as

Page 66

Our Lady of Victory at Brownshill in the England

Cotswolds run by the religious Servants of the

Paraclete. It, amongst other things, sought to treat

errant priests struggling with addictions, including in

later times it appears sexual problems. It closed its

doors in 2004.

As it transpires, Stroud did not actually treat
Smyth in 1975, though he did reside there for a month.

In any event the Servants of the Paraclete confirmed to
the Norbertine Order in 1994 that they had a policy at
that point in time, ie the '70s, that they destroyed
records after about five years. So they would not have
had anything to produce, even if they had treated him in
1975. The reference for that is at 926.

Smyth was sent again for treatment in 1989 to a Dublin clinical psychologist called Dr Delmonte. He saw Smyth it seems on a reasonably regular basis up to 1993. He provided a short report of 9th February 1994 to the solicitors acting for Smyth in his criminal proceedings, and the Norbertines have produced that report to the Inquiry. It can be found at 968 and 9.

It's an -- I am not going to open it at this stage.

The Panel will be able to read the report, but it is
an unfortunate fact that, despite an agreement between

Smyth and Dr Delmonte that's recorded by Dr Delmonte in

Page 67

his report that there would be no more incidents of paedophilia while Smyth was being treated, and Dr Delmonte expresses a belief on his part that that agreement was being honoured, Smyth, in fact, committed a series of further offences with a particular teenage girl in the Republic of Ireland where he had her remove her top on a number of occasions for him to stare at her body. There is no suggestion of him touching beyond that.

In 1994 while Smyth was awaiting his first trial in Northern Ireland his Order sent him back to Stroud and the care of the Paraclete Fathers. The Norbertine Order has produced to the Inquiry a ten-page report of the 1st February 1994 from Dr The Reverend David Fitzgerald, who was head of the Our Lady of Victory Clinic. He carried out an in-depth personal assessment of Smyth in January of 1994. We have looked at one particular passage from that report earlier in the opening. That report, which will be of particular assistance to the Inquiry Panel, can be found at 909 through to 917 in the bundle. I will make reference to particular passages at various points as we go along.

Reverend Fitzgerald has also -- also sent Smyth to an Oxford psychiatrist, Dr Seymour Spencer. He died in 2011. He was a member of the Catholic Medical

Page 68

Association and also a treating psychiatrist in the Oxford Hospital and appears to have seen priests on behalf of the Catholic Church. The Norbertine Order has also produced his report of 7th February 1994, which can be found in the bundle at 918 through to 923.

During the criminal process in Northern Ireland in 1994, which I will come to in due course, Smyth's defence team obtained reports from a well-known consultant psychiatrist in this jurisdiction, Dr Gerry Loughrey. I am going to open those three reports for the Panel.

The first is of 14th June 1994. If we can look, please, at 31967. So he explains his interview and records the details and then he explains under "Past psychiatric history" the occasions that I have referred to.

If we just scroll down, please, he has not given an emotional breakdown during proceedings, although he explains particular thoughts that he had of suicide. If we scroll down, please, his mental state is then examined. Then his fitness to plead. He said:

"He is fit to plead."

He is diagnosed with what's described as paedophilia.

Then Dr Loughrey says this:

Page 69

"He is charged with serious offences. There have been various treatment efforts in the past, and although he would claim that as time has gone by his drive has decreased and therefore his offences have become less frequent, he cannot attribute any long-lasting change in his behaviour or disposition to any of the treatment efforts in the past.

He is subject to sexual dysfunction in that he described partial impotence and premature ejaculation. He describes his paedophile behaviour, especially in the '60s and '70s, as having a compulsive quality.

He gives a fairly typical account of life-long paedophilia, which is a psychiatric illness characterised by the relatively isolated propensity to engage in sexual relations with children. It can co-exist with the capacity for normal adult sexual relations, although obviously Father Smyth's circumstances would tend to confuse the picture in this regard. It is often associated with a degree of sexual inadequacy and dysfunction, as is the case here.

Even with the best motivation, treatment for this condition is most difficult. The two principal forms of treatment nowadays are medication to reduce the sex drive and to induce impotence or psychotherapy. The medication approach would not be without its risks in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 70

terms of physical health problems and in any event sexual dysfunction has to some extent been one of the causative factors here. Therefore I feel that it would probably be inappropriate and in any case would still lead to risks of various other offence behaviours involving children. As for attempts at psychotherapy, these principally would involve techniques aimed at confronting the abuser with the reality of what they have done in the hope that a more mature and balanced understanding of sexuality and their victims would bring about a cessation of offence behaviour. Most people working in the field of psychotherapy for whatever condition would acknowledge that significant psychological change caused by psychotherapy is highly unlikely in persons of Father Smyth's age, and since the thinking patterns that are associated with paedophilia are in any event notoriously resistant to change, then I feel that any form of psychotherapy would also be likely to be a blind alley.

One is then left with the simple issue of denying the paedophile access to potential victims. Over and above the custodial sentence, this would naturally involve consideration of Father Smyth's age, and the residential options open to him if he should live to

25 complete a custodial sentence."

Page 71

The second report is of 28th November 1994. 31970, please. Here Dr Loughrey sets out that:

"Paedophilia is a recognised psychiatric disorder in which an adult has a sexual interest in children. It is recognised as such because of the singular nature of the behaviour and the behavioural characteristics which all paedophiles share, namely involvement in sexual activity with children. As with many other psychiatric conditions, there is no consistent physical cause, such as structural brain disease or genetic abnormality, to account for this condition. Study of the subject has been limited because of the relatively small number of people who spontaneously come forward for treatment for the condition and the bias in selection of those who are seen or treated by mental health professionals.

Two types of paedophilia are described. The first type is described as invariant or fixated and describes people whose involvement or interest in children has been consistent through the years and who have frequently little social involvement apart from paedophile behaviour. There is no real guilt or shame over this kind of behaviour and these people tend not to come forward" -- presumably he means the person does not feel real guilt or shame -- "and these people tend not to come forward for assessment or treatment unless under

Page 72

duress. Father Smyth would tend to fall into this category. The second type reflects people who are typically more obviously emotionally inadequate, often sexually inadequate, where the paedophile behaviour occurs much more sporadically, often in conditions of stress. These people may on the surface lead more characterised as normal lives with normal social relationships, even marriage.

Paedophilia always invariably involves men and is seen in all age groups and social classes. Most paedophiles are exclusively homosexual or heterosexual, although some are mixed.

There is seldom any other psychiatric illness, especially in the fixiated paedophile, and intelligence level is normal. Research would tend to indicate the majority have no criminal convictions other than for sexual offences. Most treatment methods have focused on psychotherapy, but it is generally held that the psychological problems, while manifestly present, are so deep-seated as to defy the efforts of psychotherapists insofar as one looks for the traditional goals of management and cure. Such gains which are claimed tend to be partial, but the research literature is consistent in describing a high rate of recidivism among this group of offenders.

Page 73

To summarise, the client falls within the category of the fixiated paedophile, with little or no remorse and no insight into the disorder and essentially no motivation to change. Over the course of a lifetime the number of offences involved may well be extensive, and the self-centred nature of the paedophile, who tends to project feelings on to the child and to focus on gratification of his emotional needs rather than show any sensitivity for the victims' true feelings, would mean that precise recall of individual offences would be as difficult as virtually asking the paedophile about any emotionally neutral event, such as a meal eaten many years ago."

Indeed, the type of sentiments that Dr Loughrey is expounding can be found during the interviews, where Brendan Smyth finds it difficult to recollect particular children, particular events and significant work has had to be undertaken in order to help him to allow (sic) exactly who is being talked about.

The third report is of 18th September 1995. If we can look at that, please, at 31972. This is in the context then of the further charges that become part of the second trial process that Smyth is involved in, and Dr Loughrey says:

"It was a matter of no great surprise that further

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 74

charges have been levelled against Father Smyth in that he has been engaging in paedophile behaviour for much of his adult life and this has afforded him the opportunity for carrying out a large number of offences. Since it was clear from the original evaluation that his paedophile behaviour was compulsive and repeated rather than an isolated incident, then the disclosure of further cases does not add significantly to the clinical picture. The main priority remains ensuring the safety of children in the future once Father Smyth should be released from custody, since to all intents and purposes certain that -- since I am to all intents and purposes certain that Father Smyth will not have changed his pattern of thinking over the last year. He still retains the potential for re-engaging in this type of behaviour and this must be looked on as effectively a lifelong risk."

Then he talks about the options that are available.

Before I look, Members of the Panel, at the abuses

Smyth is known to or said to have committed, which will

begin with the first disclosures to the RUC in 1991,

I want to now look at what material gathered by the

Inquiry suggests that those in his Order or in the wider

church knew about his activities. Having some

understanding of what was known when and by whom in

Page 75

advance of considering what comes to light from the post-1991 police investigations will assist you with your consideration of systemic failings.

I am going to begin with the Norbertine Order, of which Smith was a member. The Order of Canons Regular of Premontre was founded by St. Norbert in France in the early 12th century. They are a group of Roman Catholic priests, Brothers and Sisters. At present the Norbertine Order has approximately 1,300 members with a presence in many countries in Europe but also in Africa, North and South America, India and Australia. Norbertines profess poverty, chastity and obedience. As will become apparent, unfortunately Brendan Smyth did not display any of those characteristics.

The governance structure of the Norbertine Order, although there is an Abbot General of the Order based in Rome, appears to give a great deal of autonomy to individual canonries or houses, such as the Holy Trinity Abbey in Kilnacrott. Father Fitzgerald describes the form of the Order as a union of independent houses. Each canonry or abbey has its own abbot, who is responsible for the members in that house. It would appear, although this will have to be clarified with Father Fitzgerald because of its relevance to Father Brendan Smyth, that the local abbot can, in fact, ignore

the wishes and directions of the Abbot General.

The Norbertines came to Ireland at the invitation of the then Bishop of Kilmore in 1924, when they established a priory at Kilnacrott. Initially this priory was a dependent house of the Canonry of Tongerlo in Belgium. However, it became an autonomous, independent abbey in its own right in 199... -- 1954, when the then Abbot of Tongerlo granted it its autonomy.

The abbey has now been sold, and while the canonry still exists for the present, no new vocations are being accepted. You can anticipate that there will come a point in the future when there will be no Norbertine presence in Ireland, and no doubt that is due in part at least to the activities of Brendan Smyth.

It was into this order that Smyth entered at the age of 18 in 1945 and into which he was ordained in 1951.

I want to deal first, though, with the period pre-1951, because Father Fitzgerald has revealed to the Inquiry that the Norbertine Order believes that knowledge of Smyth's proclivities existed prior to his ordination, but yet he was ordained a priest in any event.

If we can look, please, if we can bring up page 823 and paragraph 21 of Father Fitzgerald's statement, he says this:

"It was around that time, in 1973 ..."

He is explaining his own knowledge of Brendan Smyth during his period of time within the Norbertine Order. He says:

"It was around that time, in 1973, that I was told by a confrere that a complaint had been made about Brendan Smyth when he was a student at the Curia Generalizia Collegio in Rome in the late 1940s."

You saw in a document earlier Smyth was the first person from Holy Trinity Abbey to be sent to Rome for that training.

"According to the confrere, Brendan Smyth had been accused of abusing a child in the vicinity of the college", in Rome, "and when the time came for Brendan Smyth to be ordained in the early 1950s, the Abbot General", then, "advised against it and suggested that Brendan Smyth's formation for religious life be terminated. The Abbot of Tongerlo", because at that stage Holy Trinity had not obtained its autonomy, so it was a house under the Abbey at Tongerlo, "Brendan Smyth's direct superior, however, ignored this advice. It is said that he did not want the Abbot General interfering in the business of the independent canonry of which he was the prelate. As a result he proceeded to permit Brendan Smyth's ordination in 1951."

Page 78

If we can look then, please, at 825, paragraphs 30 through to 36 -- just scroll up a little, please -- Father Fitzgerald says:

"As already stated, to my knowledge suspicions of child sexual abuse by Brendan Smyth first arose in the late 1940s, when Brendan Smyth was a student in Rome. In addition to the rumour I heard, however, I am told that another confrere heard a different rumour about Brendan Smyth, which alleged that he was found to have photographs of Italian boys in his room in Rome. While I had believed such rumours to be true, I had never seen or knew of any records to support these suspicions."

At the start of the statement Father Fitzgerald points out what he is relating to the Inquiry, because this is not material that's written down, is accounts that have been given to him as he has tried to put together what the Order can say that it is likely to have known.

"Documents recently received from the Canonry of Tongerlo, Belgium, however, while not specific, clearly illustrate that some form of difficulty had arisen in relation to Brendan Smyth prior to his ordination.

These documents are referenced at paragraphs 31 to 35."

He then describes -- I am going to try to explain this as best I can, because it's a complicated picture,

but it is important for the Inquiry's findings.

2.

"In a letter dated 13th October 1948 Brendan Smyth apologised to the recipient of the letter 'for any unwitting trouble' that he may have caused him while he was staying in Tongerlo. The identity of the recipient and the nature of the trouble caused by Brendan Smyth is not clear from the letter. Subsequent correspondence indicates, however, that this may have been a reference to the difficulties that had arisen between Brendan Smyth and a Brother attached to the Canonry of Tongerlo by the name of Brother Valeer."

We will come to see now what that was about. Just scroll up, please.

"In a letter to Brendan Smyth dated 5th April 1951
Father Hermans", who was Brendan Smyth's novice master,
"expressed his regret that he did not talk to Brendan
Smyth about the difficulties while he was visiting Rome.
The letter illustrates that Brendan Smyth was not
getting on with Brother Valeer and states that Brendan
Smyth had not reacted according to supernatural
principles in his handling of the matter. Brendan Smyth
was told in the letter to be intent on improving his
submission to the house discipline and to serve God by
doing much good around him, not in his own way but
rather in the way of his superiors. Brendan Smyth

responded to his novice master Father Hermans by way of letter dated 9th April 1951. In the letter Smyth noted that his clash with Brother Valeer had not arisen as the result of a moment's loss of temper, but was the fruit of two and a half years' subtle persecution. According to Brendan Smyth, Brother Valeer had spied on him and was for all intents and purposes the Abbot General's pet. Brendan Smyth also noted that his issues with Brother Valeer arose within the first fortnight of his arrival in Rome and Brendan Smyth went on to describe Brother Valeer as an exaggerated combination of circator, rector, abbot general and pure and simple dictatorial, interfering busybody. From Brendan Smyth's account of Brother Valeer one could not be criticised for forming the view", says Father Fitzgerald, "that Brother Valeer was an annoyance to the students in Rome. I am told, however", says Father Fitzgerald, "that this is not a fair reflection of Brother Valeer's personality. A fellow member of the Kilnacrott Canonry recalls Father Valeer as exacting but a good character and has stated that he never had any difficulties with him.

23 The next letter" --

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 CHAIRMAN: That leads me to ask: do you know what the 25 position in the Order either in Belgium or in Rome of

- 1 Brother Valeer was?
- 2 MR AIKEN: It is not clear and it may be that Father
- Fitzgerald will be able to assist, but it seems that
- 4 Brother Valeer was not a priest and there is reference
- 5 then to status in that, "Why should I be subject to this
- 6 lay person scrutinising my behaviour?" So it may be he
- 7 was a Brother member of the Order but not a priest and
- 8 seems to have been involved in training of some kind,
- 9 but Father Fitzgerald hopefully will be able to clarify.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr Canavan?
- 11 MR CANAVAN: I appreciate the Norbertine representative is
- not available today. I did speak to him. In their
- 13 statement there is a footnote which describes Brother
- Valeer as a lay Brother within the Order, which may
- explain why there would be a difference in status
- 16 attributed to him.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Yes. My question was directed I think
- 18 principally at trying to establish what role he
- 19 performed. Was he simply a colleague of approximately
- the same age and position or had he some form of
- 21 training or other responsibilities, but no doubt you
- 22 will explore this.
- 23 MR CANAVAN: I can raise that with the Norbertine's
- 24 representatives and see if I can bring further
- information to the Inquiry.

1 CHAIRMAN: If one pauses at paragraph 32, it may give the

2 impression simply of a personality clash, but no doubt

- 3 that will be something you will try and explore.
- 4 MR AIKEN: The letter -- in paragraph 33:
- 5 "The next letter on record is one from Father
- 6 Hermans to Honourable Lord on 27th April 1951.
- 7 I believe the recipient to be the Abbot Stalmans of
- 8 Tongerlo."
- 9 So this is the abbot who is in charge in Tongerlo,
- 10 not the Abbot General. I want us to look at that letter
- from Smyth's master novice to the Tongerlo abbot. If we
- look, please, at 1012, it has been translated, because
- it is written in a different language, but it is 27th
- 14 April 1951:
- 15 "Honourable Lord,
- It is my duty to provide you with further details in
- 17 respect of the physical condition of Brother Brendan.
- I am doing this at the request of Mr D'Hoine too.
- 19 Please find attached a photocopy of the letter which
- I sent to Brendan after I returned home and a photocopy
- of the letter with Brendan's response. The core problem
- for Brendan is the fact that Brother Valeer usurps all
- authority and according to Brendan the fact he
- constantly spied on Brendan during his stay in Rome.
- 25 Brother Brendan has difficulties with dealing with these

Page 83 circumstances. He fights against it and thinks that in 1 his case he is not being bound by the elementary 2. principles of brotherly love. Now we are faced with the 3 serious question: can he be ordained in this state?", as 4 in Brendan. "You already know that he received the 5 subdiaconate (October, Averbode). How are we going to 6 deal with him? What will be his reaction at his return in Kilnacrott and above all what will be his reaction 8 9 later when he will be a professor? Monsignor de General sticks with his standpoint." 10 So this is now a reference to the Abbot General of 11 the Order: 12 13 "He made that very clear again earlier this week. This is what the Abbot General wrote. 'You need to live 14 here in order to be able to understand the 15 circumstances. Certainly Brother Valeer did wrong 16 17 because of his eagerness to do good, and I told him that. He has a right view on Brendan, because of his 18 two years' experience. Brendan is very independent and 19 goes his own roads, which is also the case when he goes 20 '" -- and that was another Brother 21 out. He and 22 training with Brendan who had gone to Rome -- "'He and are the two elements who don't fit in the 23 community'. 24 25 It might be useful to know that in his last letter

Brendan was quite banal. It was around that time that

he wrote Monsignor. A novice showed me that letter,

3 commenting that this was not in order.

It would be a shame to see our first student failing in Rome."

If we can then go back, please, to 825. So we have looked at that letter of 27th April. If we just scroll up a little, please, then you can see the last line of paragraph 33 after that letter:

"As stated, Brendan Smyth was ordained to the priesthood on 31st July 1951."

Now then:

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"On 4th October 1951 Abbot Stalmans", who is the Tongerlo abbot, so not the Abbot General, but in charge in Belgium, which has still the authority over Holy Trinity Abbey at Kilnacrott, "wrote a letter to the Prior at Kilnacrott", so the person who was in charge but subject to the Tongerlo Abbey, "and instructed him that, 'If Brendan doesn't commit completely, he isn't allowed to return to Rome'. The Prior at that time was a confrere by the name of Father Paul D'Hoine."

We saw his name previously.

"The abbot requested that Brendan send him a written promise in this regard."

I am going to pause there and look at the scathing

Page 85 letter of 4th October 1951. It can be found at 1018. 1 If we can just maximise this as much as we can, please. So the Belgian abbot in charge of Tongerlo has written 3 back to the Prior in Kilnacrott, but provided the Prior 4 in Kilnacrott with a letter from him to give to Brendan 5 Smyth, and this is the letter that the Abbot in 6 Tongerlo, not the Abbot General, who was -- who essentially ignored the Abbot General's position in 8 9 relation to Smyth, this Belgian abbot from Tongerlo is writing this letter. You can see: 10 "Father Prior wrote me a letter about the 11 difficulties he had with you. 12 13 It seems you cannot promise him that you are prepared to accept ... " -- some of this is rather 14 15 difficult to translate -- "the life or role determined by the superiors ..." 16 17 CHAIRMAN: "In Kilnacrott". MR AIKEN: "In Kilnacrott". 18 CHAIRMAN: An abbreviation. 19 "... the life determined by the superiors and" --20 MR AIKEN: "and the circumstances." 21 CHAIRMAN: -- "the circumstances." 22 MR AIKEN: "It seems also that you will take more freedom in 23 24 . . . " CHAIRMAN: "Relations". 25

Day 130 Page 86 MR AIKEN: "... relations with the people. 1 The time is too short to enquire about ..." 2 "Your spirit". 3 CHAIRMAN: MR AIKEN: "... your spirit, but I am inclined to believe 4 that the opinion of the Abbot General about your spirit 5 is the truth." 6 Now this is after the ordination has taken place: 7 "Therefore I think it is lost money and time to send 8 you back to Rome. There is no question of it that you 9 will go your own way afterwards. If you are not too --10 if you are not prepared to obey ..." 11 Father Fitzgerald, as we're about to see, translates 12 13 this. I think he has picked up the second word wrong. I think that is a Latin phrase. Basically, "You are 14 going to completely obey". 15 "I think the best for you should be to ask ..." 16 17 and again Latin for "laicisation". CHAIRMAN: Yes. 18 MR AIKEN: "But I warn you you will ..." 19 CHAIRMAN: "Not remain". 20 MR AIKEN: "... in Kilnacrott and not go to England. You 21 22 will" -- if we move on to the next page, please -- "come

25 but any case you will send me through the hands of the

here to the Abbey", ie in Tongerlo in Belgium.

23

24

"My letter is hard. I hope my fear is exaggerated,

Page 87 1 Prior a subscribed promise that you are prepared to obey completely to every superior in the future." 3 He says: 4 "I'll pray for you. Dear Father Brendan, how is it possible that so soon after your ordination to the 5 priesthood I have to send you such a letter? I hope you 6 will see ..." 7 He refers to a particular visitor. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: It looks like "visitor liberate te". MR AIKEN: "Search the cause of the trouble in the Prior. 10 It is in yourself, my dear." 11 "Confrere." 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 MR AIKEN: "... confrere. As long as you don't see it, there is no hope for improving." 14 15 Then he gives an instruction about the matter and then talks about hoping to see him. So the letter you 16 17 might consider fairly scathing in its terms, but he 18 elicited and sought a promise. Then if we can scroll down, we can see that promise 19 being provided on 9th October 1951: 20 21 "I promise for the present and for the future to 22 live peacefully in the Kilnacrott community in complete submission to its present superiors and its successors. 23 24 I also promise to keep all the rules and regulations to 25 the Order, to the house as determined and explained by

Page 88

the superiors. Finally, I promise to accept whatever duties will be given to me to fulfil and I pledge myself to carry them out to the best of my abilities."

So in addition to the piece of information that

Father Fitzgerald himself recollects receiving in 1973

about a particular incident in Rome with Smyth and

a younger boy, it is clear that a Brother Valeer in Rome

was keeping a very close eye on Smyth over a period of

time, against which Smyth was recoiling; that the Abbot

General was of the view that he shouldn't be ordained,

but that, despite the reservations that had taken place,

whether it was to do with him being the first

representative from the Abbey that was sent or not, the

decision was taken by the Abbot of Tongerlo to ordain

him in any event, and then you have this exchange that

takes place in the months after the ordination, which

demonstrate that, in fact, there was some serious

concern and a suggestion of laicisation even then.

If we go back just to finish this at 825, please, and just finish what Father Fitzgerald had to say, if we scroll down, please, you can see he says:

"The letter was scathing and in it the abbot told
Brendan Smyth it seemed to him that he could not promise
that he was prepared to accept the Kilnacrott life
determined for him by his superiors and that he was

inclined to believe the opinion of the Abbot General about him and the warning that's included".

and then reference to the promise that we have looked at.

If we scroll down, please, on further down, please:

"The letters of early October 1951", says Father
Fitzgerald, "were written about the time that Brendan
Smyth would have been due to return to Rome for his
studies. A confrere of the Kilnacrott Canonry stated
that when he and Brendan Smyth were boarding the boat in
Dun Laoghaire in order to commence their journey to
Rome, Father D'Hoine showed up and told Brendan Smyth he
needed to speak to him. Brendan Smyth disembarked from
the boat and didn't return, leaving the other confrere
to travel to Rome alone. He subsequently turned up in
Rome a few days later. He did not offer the confrere
any explanation as to what had happened and the confrere
did not ask.

These early reservations", says Father Fitzgerald,
"regarding Brendan Smyth's suitability for the
priesthood are reflected in the minutes of an abbot's
council meeting from 12th April 1994, which contain
a sentence that as far as I can discern reads, 'Abbot
General ... had advised against ordination of Brendan
Smyth'. It is further noted in the same minute Father

Page 90 1 Cross of Manchester had voiced his opinion that Brendan Smyth was unsuitable for the priesthood." 2. We will look at those minutes in a different context 3 in due course. 4 I see it is 1 o'clock. 5 CHAIRMAN: MR AIKEN: I see the time. I will pause there. 6 CHAIRMAN: Would that be a convenient time? We will sit 7 again at 2 o'clock. 8 9 (1.05 pm)10 (Lunch break) (2.00 pm)11 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 12 13 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, before lunchtime we had been looking at events around Brendan Smyth's 14 15 ordination and the suspicions that Father Fitzgerald has 16 set out in his statement. In addition, you will have 17 the opportunity to consider the medical report from the 18 Reverend Father Fitzgerald from 1994 wherein Smyth sets out himself some of his activities during his novitiate, 19 when his predilection was beginning clearly to manifest 20 itself. 2.1 22 What we are going to look at now is some of the occasions during the 1950s and onwards when abuse that 23 Smyth was perpetrating was coming to the attention of 24 25 his superiors in the Norbertine Order. So what I am

Page 91 about to outline is not a comprehensive summary of the 1 abuse that Smyth perpetrated, but rather the events and 2 circumstances that the Order received knowledge of and 3 then what they dealt -- how they dealt with it. 4 So we are going to look at, please, first page 826 5 and paragraph 37 of Father Fitzgerald's statement, 6 because he explains -- obviously Smyth has come back to 7 Kilnacrott, Holy Trinity Abbey. Then he it is explained 8 9 in paragraph 37 goes off to work in Scotland. While 10 there is no documentation, the suggestion is that that was to Annan in Scotland between 1957 and 1958. 11 Sorry. Can you just go up a bit I think? Have 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 we seen -- have we dealt with this reference to Father Cross? It's a bit further up. 14 15 MR AIKEN: Can we just go up a little further? I think we We have covered that. There's a particular 16 17 reference in minutes of April 1994 when the Order is looking back at the opportunities to be aware of the 18 unsuitableness of Smyth, and we will be looking at 19 that minute in a different context slightly further on. 20 CHAIRMAN: Yes, but do we know what period of time is 21 22 covered by the reference to Father Cross being a parish priest in Manchester? 23 MR AIKEN: No. That's a question that Mr Egan is addressing 24 25 for me.

So in paragraph 37, Members of the Panel, Father Fitzgerald says:

"When the priory at Kilnacrott became an independent canonry in 1954, Father Felim Colwell became its first abbot."

If I can ask you to keep his name. He is the abbot in Kilnacrott, the Holy Trinity Abbey, until his death in 1968. It is in then 1969 that Abbot Kevin Smith becomes abbot of Holy Trinity Abbey. He then holds that position until 1994 when he resigns. So from 1954 we are dealing with Abbot Colwell. Father Fitzgerald explains:

"There are no records of the council", which was the governing body, as it were, of Holy Trinity Abbey,
"house chapter or canonry chapter meetings from that time. Anecdotal evidence suggests, however, that allegations of child sexual abuse by Smyth were known by Abbot Colwell when he appointed Smyth to a mission in Scotland in or about 1957."

So what Father Fitzgerald is saying is that there has been behaviour, abuse taking place before he is sent to Scotland in 1957 and that has come to the attention of Abbot Colwell, his superior in the Holy Trinity Abbey. Then he goes off to Scotland and what Father Fitzgerald then says is:

Page 93

"Smyth was recalled to Kilnacrott in 1958, apparently following a request from a bishop in Scotland, and a suggestion of interference with children arose again."

So the suggestion in this phrase is that, having been aware of the problem, having exported it to Scotland, then a bishop in Scotland becomes aware of some further offending or offence and sends Smyth back, and this is known to Abbot Colwell in each instance.

Then Father Fitzgerald as part of his -- as

I explained earlier, he has talked to as many Norbertine
priests as he could about these matters.

"[Another] confrere that worked in Scotland from

1969 to 1987 had previously recalled that he had heard

rumours of Smyth being deprived of his faculties when he

was in Scotland."

Now there are no -- as Father Fitzgerald has explained, there are no records that are available to explain knowledge prior to being sent to Scotland, what happened in Scotland, the sending back from Scotland and/or any steps that were taken at that time to deal with Smyth.

What we can say is that in the medical reports that are now available to the Panel there is no suggestion from Smyth himself that any effort was made to have him

Page 94

receive treatment before 1968. So that whatever response there may or may not have been to becoming aware of these incidents, the Order was not sending him for treatment in the late '50s, but it is the first set of opportunities that presented themselves to an abbot in Holy Trinity. It is also not clear, because there are no documents, whether and if he communicated these problems to the Abbot General and/or that the Abbot General was asked or did anything about them.

Then, having been back for -- from 1958, Brendan

Smyth is appointed then to a parish ministry in Flint in

Wales in 1959. There he stays until 1963, so

a four-year period. Father Fitzgerald deals with this

in paragraph 38. He says:

"There is no record of a complaint being made to the Kilnacrott Canonry during Smyth's time in Flint, though a person abused by Smyth during his tenure there has come forward in more recent years."

He explains why that has come about. So the Order is not aware, at least as far as Father Fitzgerald can put it forward, of matters coming to the attention of Abbot Colwell from Wales, although we will see shortly that there is a suggestion from a now deceased member of the community that there was a problem in Wales and it did come to the attention of the Order.

- 1 Now then --
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Can we stop there? The wording of paragraph 38
- 3 suggests, as you have pointed out, that it is only in
- 4 later years that it has become known to the canonry that
- 5 there may have been something that happened in Flint
- 6 during Brendan Smyth's period there.
- 7 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Have we seen any documents that came from the
- 9 Bishop of Wrexham to the canonry that might throw some
- 10 light on that?
- 11 MR AIKEN: No. It is a question again I can pose to Mr Egan
- 12 to see whether there are any documents that are capable
- of being produced in that regard. You can see that the
- 14 matter appears to have been referred to the Garda and
- the Health Service Executive in the Republic of
- 16 Ireland. There is a difficulty in us obtaining whatever
- 17 material that might be.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: No, I appreciate that, but one would have thought
- that the Order itself would be in a position to, for
- 20 example, ask the Bishop of Wrexham to send the
- information to us. That's something perhaps we can
- 22 pursue.
- 23 MR AIKEN: We will check that and pursue it. So that covers
- the period '59 to '63, although we will see shortly,
- when we look at a statement made by Father Mulvihill,

Page 96

a Norbertine priest, that perhaps there was something known about a problem in North Wales known to his abbot.

What we then have is a period of time between 1965 and 1968 when Smyth went to Providence in Rhode Island in the United States of America. Father Fitzgerald deals with this in paragraph 39. He says that:

"Smyth was assigned to the Diocese of Providence,
Rhode Island in 1965 under Bishop McVinney. He was sent
back to Ireland in 1968 when issues arose in relation to
him. According to a letter" -- and we will look at it
shortly -- "according to a letter dated 15th February
'68 from Bishop McVinney to Abbot Colwell explaining his
decision to send him home, Smyth's rapport with the
adult parishioners was not good and it is further noted
that he seemed dedicated to the young people and in some
cases too much. In the years since the extent of
Smyth's offending has come to light, the Kilnacrott
Canonry has been informed of five cases of child sexual
abuse perpetrated by Smyth while he was in Providence."

Now if we can look at Bishop McVinney's letter, we can see that at 938, please. This is a letter from Bishop McVinney, Bishop of Providence, of 15th February 1968. He says to Abbot Colwell:

"I regret to have to inform you that I have sent Father Brendan Smyth home. I was under the impression

I was doing very -- he was doing very well in the parish to which he was assigned. To my amazement it is now reported to me that he doesn't measure up on several counts. His rapport with the adult parishioners has not been happy. He seemed dedicated enough to the young people, and in some cases too much. So we feel that for the good of the parish and the souls and for his own sake he should return to his monastery."

You can see:

"He had already planned to go back to Ireland within a couple of weeks. I told him to remain at his post until he has made definite arrangements to depart and once in Ireland not to plan to return.

I am sorry to share this disappointment with you."

Now Father Bruno Mulvihill was a Norbertine priest who featured in a Northern Ireland television documentary about Smyth and indeed he has also discussed -- interviews with him were conducted in Chris Moore's book. He, in fact, died in a car crash in Germany in 2004, aged 59, but he had made a statement to the RUC in March of 1995. That runs -- it is an extensive statement, so I am not going to open it all to you, but it runs from 32123 to 32129.

If we look, please, at 32124, this is what Bruno Mulvihill said to the police. He said:

"Early one morning in 1968 after morning community office I took a phone call from Diocesan Bishop Russell McVinney of Providence, Rhode Island asking for Abbot Colwell. As the abbot was in hospital, he asked me to convey the news that Father Brendan had been dismissed from his posting in East Greenwich and was at that particular time on his way back to Ireland. He explained this was a result of Father Smyth's sexual misdemeanour. Accompanied by the then Prior, Phillip Nash (now deceased), I passed on this information in the afternoon to Abbot Colwell, who obviously disappointed but not altogether surprised told us that this had been the third time Father Brendan had been involved" -- if we scroll down, please -- "been involved in sexual deviant activities. The first time was in Annan, the Diocese of Galloway, Scotland, the second time being in North Wales in the Diocese of Menevia in the county of Gwynedd. In the summer of 1968 ..."

So what he is saying is, "I took a call, a telephone call from Bishop McVinney and I went and had a conversation with my abbot, Abbot Colwell, who is in hospital, and this is what I was given to understand by Abbot Colwell to be the case".

24 Now --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 CHAIRMAN: Is the Diocese of Menevia the ecclesiastical term

- 1 for Wrexham?
- 2 MR AIKEN: I am not sure about that, Chairman. I will need
- 3 to check.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Some of these dioceses have rather unusual names.
- 5 MR AIKEN: I am sure Miss Kirkwood will Google for me.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: I am afraid my -- I am afraid my Welsh geography
- 7 doesn't extend to saying whether Gwynedd contains
- 8 Wrexham or not.
- 9 MR AIKEN: We will have a look at that and try to clarify
- 10 it.
- 11 What we can say is the decision to send Smyth to
- 12 Rhode Island was obviously a decision made by Abbot
- 13 Colwell, but -- and there are no records about it and
- 14 the council minutes are not available, as Father
- 15 Fitzgerald has explained, but what we can say, that
- according to his successor, that is Abbot Colwell's
- 17 successor, Abbot Kevin Smith, who wrote what you may
- 18 consider to be a very revealing letter to Chris Moore,
- the journalist, on 26th September 1994 -- we will look
- at this at various times for various reasons. If we can
- look, please, at 976, and the fourth paragraph of the
- letter, here Abbot Kevin Smith, as he then was in
- September 1994, was replying to a detailed letter from
- 24 Chris Moore asking a series of searching questions about
- 25 the movements of Smyth and who knew what about them, and

here Abbot Kevin Smith says:

2.

"On two occasions Father Smyth was sent on temporary assignment to do parish work in America. On neither occasion was the bishop of the diocese to which he was sent notified of his propensity to molest children. On both occasions Father Smyth offended against young parishioners."

Then he says:

"I acknowledge that I, as his religious superior, committed a grave error in sending Father Smyth abroad"

-- that's a single occasion, which is the second

American trip I am going to come to -- "without warning the bishop to whom I sent him."

So Abbot Kevin Smith is at that point acknowledging that Abbot Colwell sent Smyth to America, Rhode Island, without informing Bishop McVinney of his propensity to molest children.

But it is relevant that I draw to the Panel's attention that whatever one says about the Norbertine Order and its decision-making, because in none of this is there any suggestion to date of any concern or effort made to look after, check on or otherwise deal with the welfare of the children who may have been involved in Smyth's offending, nor any communication with their families, nor any attempt it seems to communicate with

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 101

the police about it, equally Bishop McVinney is writing a letter which does not convey any suggestion that he is going to be doing any of those things either.

Now going back to Bruno Mulvihill for a moment, if I may, in his RUC statement of 14th March 1995 he claimed that -- it seems, Chairman, I am being handed a map of Wales, which I will give you. That shows Menevia was a different area from Wrexham. So whether Father Mulvihill is mistaken and/or there was more than one difficulty that arose, it is unclear. Bruno Mulvihill in his police statement -- and this is the passage. I am not going to open it now. I am just going to explain it. It runs from 32124 to 32125. says that when he was cleaning a room that Smyth had used in the abbey, he found a copy of a decree from the Congregation of the Religious in Rome. He claimed that it said that Smyth was not to leave the abbey precincts without permission and never alone. It apparently also withdrew his faculties for confession for life, and he explains in his statement that he gives this decree to the Sub Prior, who was going to give it to the Prior, so that would have been Phillip Nash, as a new abbot had not yet been elected to replace Abbot Colwell, who had since died. That's why Bruno Mulvihill says he can date this incident as between January 1968 and June 1969, so

Page 102

between the death of Abbot Colwell and the appointment of Kevin Smith.

Now he also explains in the statement for presumably the uninitiated RUC officer he was talking to that the mechanism for this decree to be handed down is the Congregation of Religious handing it to the Abbot General in Rome, who in turn gives it to the relevant abbot where the priest was based. The Abbot General of the Norbertine Order between 1962 and 1982 -- and this will be relevant for other reasons further on -- was the French bishop Norbert Jules Francois Calmels. So he is the Abbot General for a 20-year period between '62 and '82.

Now if I can just pause there, not only, when one thinks through what Father Mulvihill is saying, before one got to the point of the Council of Religious issuing a decree, that decree being given to the Abbot General and being given to the abbot of Holy Trinity and then to Smyth for it to be left in the bedroom, one would have to have had a situation where the matter was reported to the Council of Religious and they conducted some form of, whether on papers or otherwise, inquiry that led them to issue such a decree, and the Norbertine Order's position is that such a decree was never obtained or issued by the Council of Religious, ie they never

Page 103

reported it to them, they never investigated it and they never issued a decree on foot of it. Therefore whatever Bruno Mulvihill is talking about, he is either wrong about what it is he picked up in the bedroom or he is simply wrong entirely about this episode, because in 1995 the Norbertine Order asked the Council of Religious -- if we can bring up, please, 979 -- to check -- having been made aware of this allegation that there was a decree issued, they asked the Council of Religious to check their archives and confirm that they did not issue such a decree.

In a letter of 23rd March 1995 then the Council so confirmed. You can see that they say:

"A thorough search has been made in the archives of this Congregation and we have to inform you that we can find no record of any such document."

Then a further letter was written to the Council of Religious by the then Prior of Holy Trinity, Gerald Cusack, on 12th June 2007. Now these letters -- if we just look at -- if you are content, if we look at his letter to the Council of Religious, it shows the context at the time. They were dealing with civil claims in 2007 and orders were being made in the Republic of Ireland for the production of relevant documents.

If we can look, please, at 987, the Prior, Gerald

Page 104

Cusack, that Father Fitzgerald refers to in his statement points out that the judge dealing with the case in the High Court has directed that he write.

"The allegation that a decree was issued was made by the late Bruno Mulvihill in a television programme and also in a book published about the abuses of Smyth. He alleged that a rescript was issued in 1967 from your congregation by which Smyth was forbidden to leave the grounds of Kilnacrott Abbey except in the company of another priest."

That year is wrong. It would have been 1968 if this happened. He is being asked -- the Council of Religious are being asked to check again and confirm what the position is.

So a reply -- if we look at 989, please, a reminder letter was sent and then a reply was received on 2nd July 2007. It is slightly more difficult to read, but:

"With your letter of 12th June you asked for information about the degree by the Holy See during the '60s would have taken measures pertaining to the Reverend Father Brendan Smyth.

Given the importance of such a document for the forthcoming judicial proceedings, this dicastery carefully searched our archives, especially for the

period of 1967, in which the rescript would have been issued.

In our archives there is no mention or trace, direct or indirect, concerning a Smyth case before 1994, when this dicastery was first asked for a copy of the degree in question.

This reply is a bit delayed owing to the time spent searching the archives."

So the position of the Council of Religious is that they at no stage issued a decree of the type that Bruno Mulvihill was saying he had found. We will later come to see that Abbot General Calmels certainly did know of Smyth's abuse and considered that it didn't need to be communicated to receiving bishops as far as the second trip to America is concerned. We will come to that in due course.

Father Mulvihill did make other claims about steps he says he tried to take, including those to draw attention to Smyth's activities. They can be seen in the police statement and are contained in the literature that I have referred to. He describes in the early '70s how he says he reported to the then Abbot Kevin Smith his concerns of likely further abuse by Smyth in the abbey to do with bingo and children being present. He said he was rebuffed by the abbot about that. Whether

Page 106

that's the case or not, it is not possible to take any further. He's -- Father Mulvihill has since died. You will note that Father Fitzgerald does not list those instances among those that the Order, the Norbertine Order, accepts came to their attention.

We do know, however, that on Smyth's coming back from Rhode Island he was sent by the Order for treatment to the Graham Clinic, the psychiatric unit in Purdysburn Hospital. The records show that he was registered as being looked after by Dr Patten there from April 1968 through to May 1969. Dr Patten was a consultant psychologist. It appears that he spent a period of time as an in-patient and thereafter was seen on out-patient appointments. According to Smyth himself in the medical reports that are available to you during this time he received electric shock therapy under the care of Dr Patten.

Abbot Colwell died on 24th September 1968. The reference for that is at 32125. Abbot Kevin Smith was elected Abbot of Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott on 12th June 1969. That's at 32125 as well. He plays a prominent role in all of the subsequent events I am going to outline.

In paragraph 41 of Father Fitzgerald's statement, if we can go to 827, please, Father Fitzgerald reveals that

Page 107

the Order accepts that it was aware that at some time around 1971/'72 a woman informed Abbot Kevin Smith that Brendan Smyth had sexually abused her son. In this account that Father Fitzgerald is setting out for the Inquiry it is said that:

"The abbot put the allegation to Smyth, who denied it, but that Abbot Kevin Smith nonetheless suspended Brendan Smyth for two weeks as a punishment, which meant that he was not permitted to function publicly as a priest during that time."

Now there are again no records to vouch what Father Fitzgerald is saying and he is simply, as I understand it -- and we can check this with him when he gives evidence -- recounting evidence that he has gathered from talking to colleagues about what was known.

Then the next incident -- so that's now 1971/'72.

Not only has Abbot Colwell had a series of occasions that he has become aware and taken the step, or the Order has taken the step, if Abbot Colwell wasn't responsible, of sending him to the Graham Clinic. Now another episode has taken place, if it's correct, in 1971/'72 under the new abbot, and he is recorded, if it is correct, with having punished Smyth for that activity. Again there is no suggestion, as I have said, which is the case throughout this unfortunately, of any

Page 108 1 reference to the children, their parents or the authorities. 2. Then in paragraph 42 we move into 1973. Father 3 Fitzgerald's statement draws attention to another report 4 of abuse that must have taken place in 1973, because he 5 refers -- Father Fitzgerald in order to pen this 6 particular paragraph is referring to relevant extracts 7 from the Abbey's council's books that he has had 8 produced for the Inquiry. 9 10 I want us to look at the entry for May 1973. That's at page 837, please. You can see item 3: 11 "The council was now asked by abbot", that's Abbot 12 13 Kevin Smith, "to enter into consultation for the personal and private case of a particular confrere 14 15 against whom a complaint had been received from outside the abbey and for the remedies that could be taken." 16 Then there's further reference in the minute of 5th 17 18 May 1975: "Provision for Father Brendan's future service in 19 20 the Order." 2.1 Reference to: 22 "Suggested doctors in Stillorgan -- seek assistance from Paraclete Fathers." 23 24 That's 1975. So I want to pause at the 1973 25 reference and draw your attention to the fact that this

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 109

entry in 1973 may relate, as we will shortly come to see when we look at the Diocese of Kilmore in some detail, to a report made to Bishop Francis MacKiernan by one of his priests of a serious sexual assault by Smyth on a girl reported to him by her mother. When we come to look at those documents, we'll see that the priest was made aware by the mother. The priest then goes to see Bishop MacKiernan. Bishop MacKiernan promises to deal with it and, in fact, writes a letter back to the mother, which the priest then delivered. The mother was assured the matter would be dealt with. Doing the best we can, it may be this reference in May 1973 is the outworking of Bishop MacKiernan approaching Abbot Smith about that particular instance. So I would ask you to keep this instance in your mind for when we come to the Bishop of Kilmore.

But what it does not do, there are no documents to take this any further. If we go back to Father Fitzgerald's statement, please, at 827, the last part of paragraph 42, Father Fitzgerald explains that there is no record of a subsequent discussion or any remedies to be imposed is recorded, and although a name is not used, it is assumed that it is referring to Smyth. There is nothing that explains what they did other than, as Father Fitzgerald points out in paragraph 43, that it

Page 110 appears that the abbey then sent Smyth for treatment 1 again, this time to St. Patrick's Hospital in Dublin. 2 Now you can see in paragraph 43 that Father 3 Fitzgerald is explaining that between May '73 and June 4 '74 Smyth was under the care of a 5 in St. Patrick's Hospital in Dublin. He appears to have 6 again been an in-patient for three weeks and then 7 treated as an out-patient thereafter. You can see that 8 9 was asked -- according to Father Fitzgerald, asked by Abbot Kevin Smith to explain how the treatment 10 of Smyth was progressing. It is recorded that: 11 was not forthcoming with any information 12 13 on the basis of doctor/patient confidentiality and asked Kevin Smith to leave." 14 15 Now -- I apologise for the disruption. The Inquiry has literally as we speak just received the material 16 17 from St. Patrick's Hospital and we are going to have to 18 work through what precisely we can do with that information, but --19 Well, would it be appropriate to rise for 20 CHAIRMAN: a few minutes to allow that to be assimilated? 21 22 MR AIKEN: Yes. It may be that there is a course we can take. Just bear with me for one moment, Chairman, if 23 you would. It may be, Chairman, if we took 24 25 a few minutes to see if there's a way of dealing with

1 this today rather than having to come back to it out of

- 2 sequence.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Very well.
- 4 (2.45 pm)
- 5 (Short break)
- 6 (3.00 pm)
- 7 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, we were looking
- 8 at Smyth's stay in St. Patrick's Hospital in Dublin in
- 9 1973 and 1974. I was explaining that the Inquiry has
- 10 become aware that the hospital records from that
- 11 admission do still exist, and in fairness to the
- 12 Norbertine Order, they were trying to get them produced.
- In 2003, when they were doing that, the hospital
- authority were not prepared to release them. The
- evidence for that is at 942.
- 16 What we are in a position to do -- in fairness to
- the Norbertine Order, they renewed that request in order
- 18 to assist the Inquiry, and the position is that I have
- 19 now -- this is happening in real time -- just received
- the records from St. Patrick's Hospital. There is going
- 21 to be some working through of how we deal with that
- 22 material, but what I am in a position to say, although
- I am not going to take it any further at this stage, is
- that the Inquiry has received a letter of 28th May 1973,
- which appears to have been written by a doctor in the

Page 112 hospital to the then abbot, Kevin Smith, and in that 1 letter, amongst other things, it is said that: 2 "I think it is important that he learns by the 3 unfortunate experience of the past year and to this 4 I think it would be prudent that wherever he is 5 stationed, his superior should be aware of his 6 difficulties. The fact that his tendencies are known and that any deviations from reasonable behaviour could 8 9 be called into question at once should have a salutary effect on his relationships with boys and girls." 10 I see, just looking at the letter, something that 11 CHAIRMAN: happens quite frequently is a misspelling, but I take we 12 have no reason to believe that Abbot Smith with an I was 13 any relation to Brendan Smyth with a Y. 14 15 No. In fact, Kevin Smith is spelt correctly and MR AIKEN: it's Brendan Smyth is spelt incorrectly. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: Exactly. MR AIKEN: So, Chairman, I think it is important, Members of 18 the Panel, that that piece of the jigsaw is in the 19 chronology at this point in time. How we deal with the 20 21 management of this material is something we will have to 22 work on, but for the purposes of today, so I can continue, the position is that in May 1973 the head of 23 the Order in Holy Trinity was told to ensure that 24 25 wherever he was being sent, that receiving entity or

individual was made aware of his propensity.

2.

Now Brendan Smyth himself explained that during this period of time in St. Patrick's Hospital he received a heavy dosage of drugs and sedatives while he was there. You can find the reference to that at 913. It is in the -- one of the medical reports that the Inquiry has received, wherein Smyth sets out his history.

He also explained to a different doctor, if we can just look, please, at 921 -- if we can bring up 921. So this is the document from Father Fitzgerald or the Reverend Fitzgerald. He says:

"He thinks he was in St. Patrick's Hospital in the early '70s under , the well-known physician superintendent, though he is unsure of the name. Again he was given drugs to knock him out and kept in bed for several days. He said recommended him a change of job, by which I think is meant laicisation, though he is unsure."

So he is recording -- this is in 1994 Smyth recording to the Dr or Reverend David Fitzgerald what he recalled being told by

in St. Patrick's in Dublin.

Now it is the case -- and we will be looking at this document for a different reason -- that if we look at 780, please, this is a memo that was maintained by

Page 114 Bishop Francis MacKiernan about another incident, which 1 we are going to come to. If we just scroll down to the 2 second part of it for now, you can see that a meeting 3 took place on 12th April between Bishop MacKiernan and 4 the abbot, Kevin Smith. You will see: 5 "He indicated knowledge of former lapses and 6 psychiatric treatment under 7 refused to discuss the case with the abbot." 8 9 So how that sits with the letter that the Panel has seen is not entirely clear, but it is clear that this 10 treatment was being sought and it appears to have been 11 obtained and there is now some suggestion that a message 12 13 was sent with it back to the abbot. Now Father Fitzgerald then reveals --14 15 Sorry. Who is this letter to? CHAIRMAN: MR AIKEN: This is a memo from Bishop Francis MacKiernan, 16 the Bishop of Kilmore, about a meeting that he had with 17 18 Abbot Kevin Smith. We are going to come back to this document in its particular context, but I wanted you to 19 know that it was being said in 1975 by Abbot Kevin Smith 20 21 had not been prepared to discuss the case that 22 with him. We now know that was treating Brendan Smyth in 1973 and at least to some extent 23 appears to have communicated certain matters to Abbot 24 25 Smith.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: I see at the top of the page about Brendan Smyth
- 2 no longer being approved to hear confessions.
- 3 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: So this presumably accompanied the letter.
- 5 MR AIKEN: Yes. I am going to come to that in sequence.
- 6 That's another event that we will look at shortly.
- 7 So we have this treatment taking place in 1973.
- 8 There is an in-patient stay of a few weeks in 1973, but
- 9 then in paragraph 44 of Father Fitzgerald's statement,
- if we can go back, please, to 827, in paragraph 44
- 11 Father Fitzgerald says:
- "In or around 1974 I am told that the Prior of the
- 13 Kilnacrott Canonry" -- so this is not Kevin Smith; this
- is his second in command -- "received" -- whose identity
- I am not yet clear about -- "received a complaint of
- sexual abuse by Brendan Smyth from a family in Belfast.
- 17 The Prior received this complaint in the absence of
- Abbot Smith, who was working overseas at the time, and
- 19 he travelled to meet with the family to discuss their
- 20 concerns. I am told that the Prior confronted Brendan
- 21 Smyth and he did not deny the allegation. The Prior
- also reported the incident to Abbot Kevin Smith upon his
- return to Holy Trinity Abbey."
- 24 So this is a -- it is not possible from other
- 25 material to establish who the family in Belfast were who

Page 116 were meeting the Prior. It is possible in other 1 incidents where matters are being referred to to be able 2 to see from the police material who it is is likely to 3 be being referred to and see their version of the 4 meeting or the discussion that takes place. It is not 5 possible to match up this particular incident that is 6 said to have involved the Prior learning of a particular incident with any that are in the police material. 8 9 That's not to say that perhaps it is not one of them, but simply there is a mix-up over dates or some other 10 confusion that doesn't allow the matching to take place, 11 but if the date is right, then it is another instance of 12 13 known abuse taking place even after the -- certainly coming to light after the medical treatment that had 14 taken place in 1973. 15 CHAIRMAN: Dr Fitzgerald made some reference to this 16 17 episode, did he not, in the report we have just seen? MR AIKEN: The --18 CHAIRMAN: Children to Belfast. However, we will come back 19 to that. 20 It is another incident that we are going to come 21 MR AIKEN: 22 back to. So we are in 1974. There is no reference in the council minutes that have been produced to this 23 matter or what was done about it, if anything. 24 25 Then that takes us to the 1975 investigation, which

Page 117 has been something that to a degree has been publicly 1 known before today. In early 1975 the Bishop of 2 Kilmore, so that's Bishop Francis MacKiernan, received 3 a further report from a priest from 4 of Smyth's sexual abuse on a boy. That boy was FBS38. 5 The Bishop of Kilmore, that is Francis MacKiernan, had 6 an investigation conducted, and that was carried out on 7 his behalf by the then Father Sean Brady, or Father John 8 Brady, as he was at the time. We will look at the 9 actual investigation in further detail in due course 10 when I look at Bishop Francis MacKiernan, but what 11 I want to look at at this point is the result of it, the 12 13 result of the investigation, which we are going to look at. So I appreciate I am having to step outside of 14 15 doing this in absolute chronological order, but if the Panel will bear with me, the result of the 16 17 investigation, following an interview that took place with two boys then on two different occasions and 18 evidence from that material that you will come to see 19 that there was more than just those two boys who were 20 21 being referred to as abused, led Bishop MacKiernan to 22 meet with Abbot Kevin Smith on 12th April 1975. Now we looked at the note from Bishop MacKiernan 23 just a moment ago about the meeting. We will look at 24 25 the memo again, please, at 780. This is what -- if we

Page 118 just scroll down to the bottom first, please, so we can 1 see, the memo is of 20th April, but it is saying: 2. "On 12th April I reported the findings on Brendan 3 Smyth to Abbot Kevin Smith", says Bishop Francis 4 MacKiernan, the Bishop of Kilmore. "He indicated", as 5 in the Abbot Kevin Smith, "indicated knowledge of former 6 lapses and psychiatric treatment under of St. Patrick's Hospital. refused to discuss the 8 9 case with the abbot. I", that is Bishop Francis MacKiernan, "suggested 10 consulting the St. John of God Brothers", about Brendan 11 12 Smyth. 13 So that's something he must have done in and around 12th April, but then the memo eight days later records 14 15 that: 16 "The abbot did this", ie he did consult with the St. John of God Brothers, "and they suggested a rest 17 18 period with the Paraclete Fathers in Gloucester" -that's the Stroud facility we touched on -- "under a 19 20 Dr McGrath." 21 It is recorded that: 22 "Father Smyth had agreed to go there." Then you have this reference to: 23 "I have withdrawn his faculties to hear 24 confessions." 25

Page 119 If we scroll up, we will see the letter 1 communicating of 18th April the withdrawal of the 2. faculties: 3 "I hereby withdraw the faculties of the diocese ..." 4 We will see the faculties of the diocese are not the 5 same as the faculties of confession: 6 "I withdraw the faculties of the diocese from 7 Brendan Smyth, a priest in your community, for the 8 9 reasons which I explained to you in the course of my visit on Saturday, 12th April. He is therefore no 10 longer approved to hear confessions. I ask you formally 11 to communicate my decision to him." 12 13 Now we will come back to this issue in the context of Bishop MacKiernan and the Diocese of Kilmore and what 14 15 they understood was happening, but --CHAIRMAN: If you just pause at this point, I hope someone 16 17 is going to explain to us what the extent of the 18 bishop's jurisdiction over the priests in this canonry and Father Brendan Smyth in particular were, because we 19 20 understand from what has been said so far that a canonry 21 such as this was exempt to some degree at least from the 22 ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the diocese within which it was positioned. It is not clear to me at least 23 24 whether what the bishop was doing was preventing Father 25 Brendan Smyth hearing confessions outside the abbey but

Page 120 in the diocese, or he was purporting in some way to 1 limit his ability to function as a priest even inside 2 the abbey, because depending on the nature and extent of 3 his authority, the next question might be, "Well, why 4 didn't he do something more than that?" 5 MR AIKEN: I think it will be said -- and we will see this 6 story develop through the material when we look at 7 Bishop MacKiernan -- that it is the latter, that he was 8 9 preventing -- he had -- the understanding, whether it is right or not, is that he had no authority to prevent 10 Smyth administering sacraments and hearing confessions 11 within the abbey, but he was preventing him from hearing 12 13 confessions, because there is a debate about what this document actually means, but he was taking some step to 14 15 prevent his activity in the diocese --CHAIRMAN: Yes. 16 17 MR AIKEN: -- beyond the abbey, because the Norbertine 18 priests had a practice of being an assistant, going out to -- if someone was off sick or on holiday or -- being 19 the cover, as it were, for various diocesan priests, and 20 21 this was that activity potentially being stopped, 22 although when we come to look at Bishop MacKiernan, we will see there's a lack of clarity over what effect this 23 was going to have and what effect it was meant to have 24 25

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 2 MR AIKEN: -- but it is an issue that I will explore with
- 3 Father Fitzgerald and then with Father Kilduff.
- 4 Father Fitzgerald then speaks of this event in
- 5 paragraph 45 of his statement, if we look at 827,
- 6 please. So he says that:
- 7 "In March or April '75 Bishop MacKiernan, then
- 8 Bishop of Diocese of Kilmore, carried out a canonical
- 9 inquiry into allegations of sexual abuse by Brendan
- 10 Smyth against two children in his diocese."
- 11 Then he refers to the note we just looked at.
- "On 18th April 1975 Bishop MacKiernan wrote to Abbot
- 13 Smith and informed him that he had withdrawn the
- faculties (ie the right to function publicly as a priest
- of the diocese) from Brendan Smyth for the reasons which
- he states he explained to the abbot", Kevin Smith,
- 17 "during their meeting on 12th April."
- Now I ask you to note a caveat there at the point,
- 19 because when we come on to look at material from
- a different angle, we will see it was understood
- 21 differently elsewhere.
- 22 "No record of this meeting between Abbot Smith and
- Bishop MacKiernan can be located, other than the memo
- we have looked at, which came from Kilmore, not from the
- Norbertines, "and according to the minutes of a council

Page 122 1 meeting ..." It is that I want us to turn to now. If we can 2. look at the council book minute of 5th May 1975. 3 That's at 837, please. Just scroll down the page, please, to 4 5th May 1975. So there's reference to him: 5 "Suggested doctors in Stillorgan. Seeking 6 assistance from Paraclete Father. Works undertaken by Father Brendan Smyth in the past." 8 9 So you can see there's a reference to: 10 "Retreat to nuns, teaching theology, parish work in Scotland, Wales and US." 11 Then there is this entry: 12 13 "It is submitted presently Father Brendan has permission to offer mass but not to dispense the 14 15 sacraments publicly. This work to be of service. This work to have reasonable safeguards." 16 17 So you can see that the Norbertines, at least those 18 sitting on this council, were interpreting the removal of the faculties as not preventing Smyth offering mass, 19 but that it was preventing him dispensing the sacraments 20 21 publicly. Then you can see the next sentence: 22 "Value of transferring Father Brendan from house to house -- one order has followed this practice." 23 I take that reference to be the practice of sending 24 25 him from canonry to canonry at sufficiently short

intervals so as to prevent the attachment, friendship developing with children in a particular place.

If we go back, please, to Father Fitzgerald's statement at 827, if we scroll down to the bottom, please, and then:

"The meeting was informed Brendan Smyth had permission to offer mass" -- move down, please -- "but was not allowed to dispense the sacraments publicly."

So it recounts what's recorded in the minute. Then in paragraph 46, as you saw in the minute, reference to the Paraclete Fathers. You saw there had been talk of St. John of God and then rest with Paraclete Fathers, having been what Father Brendan Smyth gleaned from the conversation that Bishop MacKiernan recommended he have.

That then led to Brendan Smyth travelling to Stroud in Gloucestershire to the Our Lady of Victory Clinic run by the Paraclete Fathers. He spent a month there from 13th November 1975 until 11th December 1975. The reference for that and those dates is at 950. I touched on that was the clinic that treated errant priests. It closed its doors in 2004.

But in a Stroud report, which the Panel have, from 1994 Smyth tells the then head of the Stroud facility that when he attended -- if we just look at this. 913, please, the second paragraph. In the second part of the

second paragraph you can see he said he does not remember much after that.

"Brendan then indicated he was in Our Lady of Victory, Stroud, about 20 years ago by mistake. He said that when he arrived, the priest in charge here told him that there was no programme here for people with his type of problem. He said he remembers being here about two to three weeks and that he was told to make it a retreat."

So you have had the episode of treatment in Dublin, the communication there appears to have been about how -- Smyth and about him being communicated, and then you have these episodes coming to light involving Bishop MacKiernan and the 1975 investigation. You have his faculties being curtailed in some respect by the Kilmore bishop, and you then have him being sent to Stroud, where they tell him, you know, "You can stay here as a retreat, but there is no treatment we have for you". Then he comes back to Holy Trinity Abbey.

Then Father Fitzgerald explains in paragraph 47 of his statement, if we look at 828, please, that while he was spending the month in Stroud at the end of 199... -- 1975, Father Fitzgerald explains in paragraph 47 that he is told that about the same time two further incidents came to light.

Page 125

"Further concerns regarding Smyth's behaviour around children were brought to the attention of a confrere at Kilnacrott by a priest. The exact nature of those concerns is not clear. Another allegation was also made around that time by another woman. Smyth was already in Stroud for treatment when these concerns were raised."

So you had two further matters coming to light with the community in 1975 after the 1975 Kilmore investigation and while Smyth is in Stroud not being treated. These further complaints that Father Fitzgerald is referring to don't appear to have been recorded and they don't appear in the council minutes that have been reproduced for the Inquiry.

So at the start of 1976 Brendan Smyth comes back to Holy Trinity Abbey. In due course we will see that through the auspices of a Down & Connor priest, this time a FBS 51 , an uncle of another girl -- another abused girl from , where Smyth's and where he went and would have said mass to the assistance of FBS 51 , that uncle of that girl was taken by FBS 51 to meet Abbot Kevin Smith. We will look in due course when we come on to Down & Connor about what exactly that man, who was a FBS40 -- his name shouldn't be used beyond the chamber -- said to Abbot Kevin Smith and what Abbot Kevin Smith assured him and

FBS 51

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 126

what understood Abbot Kevin Smith had assured in terms of how Brendan Smyth would be dealt That complaint, doing the best that FBS40 and FBS 51 can do, as well as the two abused girls that ultimately it turns out to relate to, because it is not just the niece of FBS40, is in 1976.

Now it is unclear, if we look, please, at 837, whether the abbot's council meeting of 10th May 1976 -so you can see just before we move down the last sentence on the page is 10th May 1976. If we scroll down, please, we can see then -- it is unclear whether this entry is a response to that further disclosure that FBS 51 resulted in that meeting between , FBS40 and Abbot Kevin Smith or whether this entry is not a record of that further disclosure, but simply has arisen for some other reason.

You can see in the penultimate:

"Father Abbot said that Father Brendan's case was different and had been going on over a number of years."

You can see there was a suggestion should the community not take a firmer stand in regard to Brendan. The same individual had identified that Brendan Smyth's personal problem had consequences for the community. Now there is nothing more to be seen of the working out of that discussion.

Then we move into 1978. If we can go back, please,
to Father Fitzgerald's statement at 828, please,

paragraphs 49 and 50, he explains that there's a record
of an extraordinary meeting of the council of the

Norbertine -- the abbot's council in Holy Trinity from
May 1978, where the first item discussed was "The
personal case of Brendan Smyth". The minutes noted

"Smyth be deprived by way of penalty of active and passive vote for community offices and services and that he be required to seek permission for the use of a car for journeys."

He says:

that:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The circumstances leading up to the imposition of these penalties is not clear",

but he is prepared to presume, and the Inquiry may be prepared to do likewise, that the council considered whatever it was he did leading up to May 1978 to be quite serious, as it was suggested that he might consider laicisation.

Then you can see in paragraph 50 Father Fitzgerald saying that in November 1978, so that's five months later, Abbot Smith is reporting back the recommendations of the Abbot General. The Abbot General at this point up to 1982 was Abbot General Calmels that we touched on

1 previously.

"According to the minutes it was recommended that after a trial period of 12 months priestly work should be sought for Father Brendan."

So one might reasonably assume, Members of the Panel, that something else has come to light in early 1978. If we look, please, at the minute, because you may find this minute revealing, at 838, please, the bottom half of the page, if we scroll down, please, you can see:

"Personal case of Brendan Smyth."

So the cause of it is not explained.

"By way of penalty Father Brendan Smyth is be deprived of active and passive vote for community offices.

Brendan should be required to seek permission for the use of a car for journeys."

So if one can reasonably assume that the sanctions being imposed are related to what it is he must have been reported as having done, then it is something that a car facilitated him doing.

"The meeting pursued then the discussion at length on ways in which Smyth could be assisted. It was suggested he might undertake a retreat of some duration for personal assessment and benefit.

Page 129

It was suggested he might consider laicisation. In this event the council agreed that it would assist Father Brendan to smooth his path into the world."

Again through all of this -- and we have now reached 1978, and I don't want this to be lost as we work through the detail in chronological order -- is that there still remains not one discussion about any child, their parents or reporting Smyth to the police.

Now if we just scroll down the page, please, on to the next page, we can see that in June 1978, which is the entry at the top of the page:

"Father Abbot reported on the way the case of Smyth was proceeding. It was agreed that the policy accepted at a previous meeting be pursued; in particular, the provision that personal availing of a car be denied Brendan Smyth.

It was suggested that the right approach was done to take the important steps of penalising, but to take these in an even, not an abrupt way. It was agreed that the Abbot General", that's Abbot General Calmels, "and the Prosecutor General" -- I will not sure that's a correct translation; it is meant to be "Procurator General" -- "be informed of this case at Kilnacrott."

So we have in June an intention of communicating whatever it is Smyth had done to the Abbot General.

- 1 Then in November --
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Well, just pause at that point. Whether it is
- 3 Prosecutor General or Procurator General, it would seem
- 4 to suggest that consideration is being given at a local
- 5 level to invoking some form of internal disciplinary
- 6 process against Father Brendan Smyth.
- 7 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: When I say "internal", not locally, but internal
- 9 to the Order.
- 10 MR AIKEN: Yes, but it won't take long to count up the
- 11 number of instances before June 1978 to see just how
- many opportunities to take a more firm approach were
- missed or not taken.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: If you just scroll up to get the date of that
- 15 meeting.
- 16 MR AIKEN: Just scroll up, please. That's 12th June 1978.
- 17 That's found on page 838 and 839.
- Now we can see that the June entry is telling us he
- 19 will be -- the Abbot General will be spoken to about
- 20 this. If we scroll down, you can get -- it is obviously
- 21 not possible at this stage to say what exactly was said
- to the Abbot General, which, of course, would be
- relevant to what exactly he was answering, but what the
- 24 record records of 13th November 1978 is that:
- 25 "Father Abbot reported the recommendations of Father

1 Abbot General."

2.

So that's Abbot Kevin Smith is reporting what Abbot General Calmels has had to say about whatever it is Abbot Kevin Smyth has told him. That is:

"Brendan Smyth should be provided work of some kind.

After a trial period of 12 months priestly work should be sought for Father Brendan."

So you can see and might reasonably infer that in May of 1978 the Abbot Kevin Smith and his colleagues are talking about discipline and removing a car and within a number of months and the involvement of the Abbot General those matters seem to have gone and in their stead is getting the man some work.

Now it is unclear, and in fairness again Mr Egan is looking into this to assist the Inquiry as to whether this communication between the Abbot Kevin Smith and the Abbot General was in writing, and if it was, whether that material can be found and produced. It may be it was not in writing and therefore there are no documents, or if there were documents, they no longer exist.

Then you have -- I am not going to dwell on them, but the Panel can consider them -- you have a series of references to Smyth and getting him work, but I want to move down to that of October 1979 at page 840, please.

25 CHAIRMAN: Just a moment.

- 1 MR AIKEN: Just pause for a moment.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: It seems in April there are some unidentified
- 3 problems --
- 4 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: -- and Father Brendan Smyth then appears to
- 6 request or invoke some form of procedure of having his
- 7 case discussed by the entire community in Kilnacrott --
- 8 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: -- or at least by the council.
- 10 MR AIKEN: There's a discussion about possible undertakings
- that could be sought from him and offers that could be
- made to him. That appears to be a reflection of the
- suggestion that he be given some form of work.
- If we scroll down to the entry of 29th October 1979,
- if we just pause there, now this is Abbot Kevin Smith
- saying that he has interviewed Brendan Smyth in October,
- 17 that:
- "It was his mind that an initiative must now be
- 19 taken to secure ministry to apostolate for this
- 20 confrere. Father Abbot reported that he had accordingly
- 21 presented Father Brendan with the option of two
- 22 apostolates, missionary work in Ghana, Africa, or
- pastoral work in North Dakota", United States of
- 24 America.
- 25 "Brendan had expressed interest in the second option

and had indicated that he was willing to take up this work if his doctor thought it was feasible for him.

He had consulted his doctor within a number of days and obtained medical approval for the undertaking."

Now I'm not -- I don't think that's reference to

. I think that's reference to a physical mental condition to do with -- I will not go into that medical condition, but it wasn't to do with his interference with children.

Then:

"Abbot Kevin Smith outlines the meeting -- to the meeting the nature of the ministry in North Dakota that was being offered to Kilnacrott priests. The offer came from the Bishop of Fargo, North Dakota in north central United States, adjoining the state of Montana, where American Norbertines had a mission. There were 100,000 Catholics there, a region for which great population expansion was likely because of the mineral resources. There was missionary opportunity in addition to the existing parishes with North American Indians. Priests were urgently needed and the Bishop of Fargo had extended a welcome in writing to Father Abbot", so Abbot Kevin Smith, "for Kilnacrott priests to undertake ministry in his diocese. One religious order presently serving in the diocese was the Benedictines."

Page 134 Then if we scroll up, please: 1 "Father Abbot", so Abbot Kevin Smith, "now asked the 2. meeting if it would give its support for this pastoral 3 mission being entrusted to Father Brendan Smyth. It was 4 accordingly asked whether Kilnacrott would be obliged to 5 send a personal dossier on the confrere being seconded 6 to the bishop in question. Father said that it 7 was his recollection from a message received from the 8 9 Abbot General", that is Abbot General Calmels, "that Kilnacrott was not so obligated." 10 Then you can see the last paragraph: 11 "Kevin Smith -- Abbot Kevin Smith submits that he 12 13 would like the confrere -- he would like Brendan Smyth to go out from the house to have nothing against him 14 15 from the past." Then there is reference to him being: 16 17 "... in a commitment of trust to face his new work as a challenge", 18 and said: 19 "Father Abbot", Abbot Kevin Smith, "could 20 21 communicate the bishop on the interests of Brendan in 22 regard to ministry." So they regarded the Abbot General Calmels as 23 telling them that they didn't need to tell the Bishop of 24

Fargo about Smyth's proclivities. That appears to be in

25

- 1 spite of the letter from the doctor who saw Smyth in
- 2 1973 saying that's exactly what they should do.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Can we just go back up a page?
- 4 MR AIKEN: Scroll up, please.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Just a little bit. That's fine. It seems the
- 6 abbot expresses the opinion he would like Father Brendan
- 7 Smyth to go out of there with a clean slate.
- 8 MR AIKEN: Clean slate.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Then if we scroll down the page -- yes -- there
- is this somewhat delphic comment about imposing the
- abbot or telling the abbot of another canonry nearby --
- when I say "nearby", in American terms -- in the next
- 13 state.
- 14 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: What does he mean I wonder by "Kilnacrott's
- 16 undertaking"? It could mean one of two things. It may
- simply mean as a matter of courtesy telling
- a neighbouring abbot that priests from Cavan are coming
- not into his area but close to it, no doubt to help them
- in whatever way they felt appropriate on a fraternal
- 21 basis. Another view, of course, might be that they were
- being told about Father Brendan Smyth but the bishop
- wasn't.
- 24 MR AIKEN: I think it is likely to be the former, Chairman,
- in that the Abbot of De Pere had clearly sent priests to

- the adjoining state of Montana. Now the Kilnacrott
- 2 Abbey was sending a priest to the neighbouring state,
- 3 but you are quite right. It is not possible to know
- 4 whether some other, deeper communication was being
- 5 shared with the Abbot of De Pere, who may have had some
- 6 reason to know about Smyth. I'm afraid there is no
- 7 documents that I have that can assist with that.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 9 MR AIKEN: What we do know -- and we have already looked at
- 10 this, so I am not going to bring it up again -- but
- 11 Abbot Kevin Smith, when he replied to Chris Moore in
- 12 September 1994, told -- and we looked at this passage
- earlier -- he indicated that he had sent Smyth to the
- Bishop of Fargo without telling him about his history
- and that he accepted that that was a very grave error on
- 16 his part.
- 17 In paragraph 52 of Father Fitzgerald's statement, if
- we go back, please, to 829, you will see that -- again
- it is not entirely clear, and again Mr Egan is kindly
- 20 trying to assist me with this -- that if you look at the
- latter part of the paragraph, it is evident that at
- a later stage the Bishop of Fargo alerted the canonry,
- 23 Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott, that there had been
- complaints, allegations about Brendan Smyth in Fargo
- and, in fact, in Chris Moore's book he pursues and

Page 137

interviews some of the -- and indeed in the television programme -- individuals that are connected with that. Indeed, there's a suggestion of a very substantial sum of money for a priest with a vow of poverty being sent by Brendan Smyth to one of the individuals in Fargo, and -- but it is not clear from this material as far as we have it at the moment that there was a message coming back at the time in 1983 -- because he spends November '79 through to April '83 in Fargo in North Dakota -- it is not clear there was a message coming back of abuse in 1983.

It is the case that he clearly at the same time was a popular priest with others, because there are documents that the Norbertines have produced to show that a group of parishioners obtained a -- began and circulated a petition wanting him to stay, because he got into conflict I think over theological grounds with others connected to the school, and clearly amongst some he was seen as popular, but it is certainly the case that it is now known -- it is unclear what was known in 1983 -- it is now known that his proclivity continued in Fargo in North Dakota.

Now that takes us -- what we are in a position to know is that -- and this will become clear tomorrow -- when Smyth comes back, there is more offending. So

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 138

I was saying to you earlier this morning the period just prior, '77 to '79, involved Smyth being in Nazareth Lodge and abusing there, being in De La Salle and abusing there. He goes off to America, and when he comes back in 1983, then there are a series of matters affecting one particular family in the mid-'80s, which is what ultimately sets off the beginning of the end in 1990.

What I want to just draw your attention to briefly, so we have the chronology set, is that in 1989 in paragraph 54 Father Fitzgerald alerts -- he describes a meeting that Abbot Kevin Smith had with -- and I am going to use their names for clarity, and they are not to be used outside the chamber -- with the parents of the FBS 14, FBS 16 children. He meets the parents in an Armagh hotel in early -- either February or the start of March 1989. They explain what has befallen their children, and that is a result of the involvement with FBS9, who spoke to his aunt and uncle about what Smyth had done to him at a much earlier stage in the late '60s/early '70s, and the -- we will look at this from a different angle tomorrow, but at this point if we look, please, at 829 -- sorry. I have got the wrong reference. If we just scroll down so we can see. Just stop there.

Page 139

"On 14th March 1989 Kevin Smith informs a council meeting that Brendan has been involved in certain improper and wrong behaviour in regard to a boy in Northern Ireland. The abbot told those in attendance that he had met with the family and they had outlined their concerns to him."

Well, if this is the [name redacted] meeting, they were not just outlining about the single boy.

"According to Kevin Smith, the family had told him that they wanted Brendan Smyth to be sent for treatment. This treatment was arranged and Brendan Smyth was sent to a psychiatrist in Dublin by the name of Dr Michael Delmonte in April 1989."

Father Fitzgerald then says:

"I am told that Brendan Smyth attended Dr Delmonte on a regular basis, initially weekly and then monthly. I am not certain when he stopped."

Then he refers to a report that the Norbertines have produced. It is correct that Smyth was sent, as it seems a reaction to this incident coming to Abbot Kevin Smith's attention, to Dr Delmonte, a clinical psychologist in Dublin. He first saw Brendan Smyth on 21st April 1989 and he continued to see him as a patient through to 1993 on a regular basis. What is now known, as I was drawing your attention to earlier this morning,

Page 140 is that his abuse, of course, continued as well as his 1 treatment, and he explained that to his treating doctor 2 in Stroud -- that's the Reverend Fitzgerald -- in 1994. 3 4 That can be found at 912 in paragraph 2 and then 915, the penultimate paragraph. So that's in the medical 5 report that you have from Stroud. 6 Chairman, Members of the Panel, I know that it's 7 approaching 4 o'clock. We have been looking at matters 8 9 in considerable detail, but I wonder perhaps if we took 10 a short break and then we can try to make some further headway possibly. 11 CHAIRMAN: How much? 12 13 MR AIKEN: Any more that I make is progress. If we maybe took another half an hour after we took a short break. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Well, we need to finish by 4.30. So we will rise at 4.30. So we will take five minutes. 16 17 (3.55 pm)18 (Short break) (4.05 pm)19 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, we have been 20 21 looking at Dr Delmonte then, who treated Brendan Smyth, and you have his report from 1994. That treatment began 22 in April 1989 in response to the FBS 14, FBS 16 family 23 meeting with Abbot Kevin Smyth, but if we can then look, 24 25 please, at 829, Father Fitzgerald in his statement,

Page 141

paragraphs 55 and 56, records that Bishop Cahal Daly, the then Bishop of Down & Connor, telephones and requests a meeting with Abbot Kevin Smith. You can see that Father Fitzgerald says:

"In March 1990 Abbot Smith reported to the council on a meeting he had had with Cahal Daly, then of the Diocese of Down & Connor, in relation to Brendan Smyth. According to the minutes of the council meeting, Bishop Daly had telephoned Kilnacrott to request the meeting, which had taken place on 12th March.

At the meeting on 12th March Bishop Daly told Abbot Smith that three of his priests had brought him word that Father Brendan Smyth had been involved in apparent unlawful behaviour with young persons. He also told the abbot of the complaint that had been made by a young girl to the Catholic Family Welfare Society, which he understood had been communicated to the police in Northern Ireland."

Now if I can just pause there to set the scene for this, the reference -- what has happened in March 1990 is that the FBS 14, FBS 16 family, who had met with Abbot Kevin Smith the year before in March 1989, have now raised the matter with the Bishop of Down & Connor, and that comes via one of their children telling a social worker who worked for the Catholic Family

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 142

Welfare Society, and we will see her name in due course FBS 47 . Again her name shouldn't be used FBS 47 beyond the chamber. then reports it to her boss in the Catholic Family Welfare Society. It comes to Bishop Daly's attention. He arranges to talk to Abbot Smith. At the same time FBS 47 reports the matter to the RUC and thus begins the investigation that the RUC conducts. That goes on until 1996. will see when we come to look at the detail of that that statements were shortly thereafter take from the children and now as adults FBS9 and FBS10.

In his public statement of 5th December 1994, by which time Smyth has been convicted for the first set of convictions in Northern Ireland and is in prison and the story — the UTV have run a programme in October 1994 about Smyth and what was known about him and who knew it, and then in a public statement of 5th December 1994, by now as Cardinal Daly and Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of All Ireland, if we can look, please, at 722, he, that is Cardinal Daly, explained in this public statement — I want to look specifically at paragraph 6 for this purpose, the middle column. He said — he talks in paragraph 5 about:

"On 7th March a formal statement of complaint was made to the police by a member of the same family."

1 This is the we are talking about.

"Within the next two or three weeks thereafter statements were made to the RUC by other members of the family."

Then he describes in paragraph 6 what he does:

"As soon as I was informed about the complaints
I telephoned Brendan Smyth's religious superior, Abbot
Kevin Smith, who alone had ecclesiastical jurisdiction
over him. Because of the gravity of the matters
reported to me, I sought a meeting with the abbot. The
meeting took place on 12th March 1990. I informed the
abbot about the complaints. I told him that a social
worker had seen the client and that the allegations of
abuse had been reported to the RUC. The abbot accepted
full responsibility for Father Smyth and undertook to
take prompt and appropriate steps to deal with the
matter."

Now what is being discussed in the preceding paragraphs is only the incidents to do with the member of the FBS 14 family who spoke to the social worker.

You will see, though, if we scroll down to the bottom of 3 and 4, that the cardinal -- then Cardinal Brady (sic) explains how he had already in May 1987 as a result of conversations he had had with the statutory

2.

Page 144

social worker -- this is paragraph 3 -- he had alerted -- the social worker had alerted the cardinal to problems of child sex abuse not in the context of abuse by priests or religious, and he asked the Director of the Down & Connor Catholic Family Welfare Society to ensure the society's social workers had specialised knowledge and skills needed to handle cases of child sex abuse and he instructed priests, should such cases to their attention -- come to their attention, to avail of the services of such trained personnel.

"I advised my priests that children who report incidents of this nature should be presumed to be telling the truth and should be treated very sympathetically."

Indeed we have in the bundle that guidance being rolled out in 1987 in the relevant newsletter to priests, but you have then the instigation of the particular matter that I have mentioned to you set out in paragraph 4 that was brought to the social worker of the Catholic Family Welfare Society by one of the FBS 14 children.

Then Cardinal Daly goes on to say what he did. He has had this meeting. You have looked at what Father Fitzgerald had to say about the meeting, but if we can look then at the abbot's council minutes of

Page 145 22nd March 1990, which is ten days following the 1 meeting, if we can look, please, at 844. So as far as Cardinal Daly is concerned, he has told them -- told 3 Abbot Smith, who has accepted full responsibility for 4 Brendan Smyth, and he is going to be dealt with. If we 5 scroll down, please. 6 "Father Abbot", Abbot Kevin Smith, "offered the council a report on an interview he had had with Bishop 8 9 Cahal Daly of Down & Connor. 10 Bishop Daly had telephoned to request the meeting. They met at Maynooth. 11 Bishop Daly told Abbot Kevin Smith that three of his 12 13 priests ..." So this information, if it is correct, is not 14 15 contained in the press statement, which focuses on the particular individual who has spoken to the social 16 17 worker, but what Abbot Kevin Smith is recording is that 18 the then Bishop Daly told him that: "Three of his priests had brought him word that 19 Father Smyth had been involved in apparent unlawful 20 21 behaviour with young persons. A social worker had also 22 given testimony." So that is the reference to the 23 disclosure. 24 25 "Bishop Daly said he understood the police had been

Page 146 given some information." 1 That's right, because the social worker reported the 2. matter to the police. Then it is said: 3 "Bishop Daly showed himself understanding in the 4 whole matter, acknowledging that other priests have 5 difficulties of this kind." 6 Then it is said that: 7 "Abbot Kevin Smith informed the bishop of 8 9 Kilnacrott's superiors' endeavours in the matter. Up to very recently Father Smyth, Brendan Smyth, had been 10 attending a course of treatment given by 11 a psychiatrist." 12 13 So that is Dr Delmonte. "On his return to the abbey Father Kevin -- Abbot 14 15 Kevin Smith had communicated to Brendan Smyth Bishop Daly's submissions. Brendan Smyth had answered that he 16 17 was not prepared to accept the truth of any of the 18 recent charges. Brendan Smyth said that he did not envisage any personal danger for himself in returning to 19 Belfast. The Abbot Kevin Smith did not pursue the 20 21 matter further." 22 So stepping back from this for a moment, what you have is the then well-known Bishop of Down & Connor 23 meeting the head of the Norbertine abbey and telling 24

him, if this note is accurate, that three different

25

Page 147 priests had brought him word about Smyth's -- it is 1 referred to "in apparent unlawful behaviour with young 2 persons". So to what extent detail was provided is not 3 clear, but he is bringing him that information that 4 three priests are communicating that message to him, the 5 bishop, and then the fourth matter is the 6 disclosure to the social worker. The police 7 have been informed, and what the abbot tells his council 8 9 is that he put these matters to Smyth. Smyth did not accept them and that's the end of it. 10 At this stage what I am doing is looking at these 11 matters through the lens of the Norbertine Order, not 12 13 through the lens of Down & Connor. So then we move to February 1991. So that was 14 15 March 1990. We are moving into February 1991, so eleven months later. If we go back, please, to the public 16 17 statement that Cardinal Brady gave -- sorry -- Cardinal 18 Daly gave -- that's at 722, please -- and we look at what Cardinal Daly says in paragraphs 8 and 9, if we 19 scroll down, please, he recounts in paragraph 7 the 20 21 steps that Down & Connor took. Then he says: 22 "In February 1991, by which time I'd gone to Armagh" -- so now he is the Bishop -- Archbishop of Armagh in 23 February 1991 -- "I was contacted by the same family." 24 25 So that's again the family

contacting Archbishop Brady (sic). He says:

"I immediately contacted the abbot again" -- this is
-- so contacting Kevin Smith again in Kilnacrott -"drawing his attention once more to the complaints of
this family and strongly emphasising the need for him to
take firm action to deal with Father Brendan Smyth."

He then talks about the pastoral step he took of contacting the parish priest at the parish in Belfast where they lived and asking for pastoral support. He then says:

"The abbot wrote to me on 21st February 1991. He told me that Father Smyth had denied that there had been any incident of that nature for a couple of years now and that Smyth only goes to Belfast to visit his doctor and otherwise only visits his own family."

If we just scroll up, please, so we can see the top of the page. Then he says at paragraph 10 at this stage he learns of the fact the police are investigating the matter.

Now it has to be said, looking at it from

Kilnacrott's perspective, the Norbertine Order, that

there are lots of instances which come to their

attention prior, and they are recorded and Father

Fitzgerald has explained them. These are reports coming

back of what is said to have happened and, as we will

2.

Page 149

come to see, some of it is more historical, because as far as the children are concerned, the events have happened in the mid '80s and FBS9 and FBS10 much earlier than that, but what they are doing in this case, the then Archbishop of Armagh is raising the matter again with Abbot Kevin Smith, who has had the long history that we have looked at today.

He writes this letter -- this is Cardinal Daly -- of 11th February 1991. If we look, please, at 970, this is Archbishop Cahal Daly:

"I have received further complaints about Brendan Smyth. I am afraid it looks as though he is using the excuse of his visits to Belfast for therapy to continue the practices about which we spoke some years ago."

Now whether that is the case or he was simply being seen by the families who now were aware that their children had been abused by him.

"It is not for me to say what action should be taken, but I hope that you will forgive me for saying that experience seems to show that therapy is not being effective and that more drastic steps seem imperative if further harm is not to be done and if the risk of very grave scandal and indeed almost certainly of court proceedings is to be averted."

So you can see he is saying:

1 "It is not for me to say what action should be taken

2 ...",

8

20

21

3 but nonetheless expressing his view.

4 "I am sorry for raising the matter with you again,

5 but I hope you'll forgive me, since the matters at stake

6 are so grave and might easily become public."

7 Now this is the Primate of All Ireland writing.

We can see at 971 the reply that Abbot Kevin Smith

9 makes. He says:

"I am in receipt of your good letter of 11th inst.

I have spoken to the man in question and he assures

me that there has been no incident of that nature for

a couple of years now. He only goes to Belfast to visit

14 his doctor, Dr Murphy (at Ballyowen Health Centre,

15 Andersonstown) and the Royal Hospital. Otherwise he

only visits his own family. For his therapy he goes

twice monthly to St. James Hospital, Dublin, and he

assures me that it is helping him. I have made him

aware of the contents of your letter and also asked him

to be prudent in the matter.

With every good and kind wish."

22 So you have -- we can see from the minutes back in

23 1978 the suggestion of taking the car from him and yet

24 this is thirteen years later. The -- he is driving and

the response that the then archbishop gets is, "Well, he

says that he's only visiting and he's not committing offences".

2.

It may be that -- and I can't give you any material that shows otherwise -- it may be that that at that point in time was an accurate description from Smyth as to his position, but the question that the Panel may want to ask is whether, even if that was accurate at that point in time, this position should ever have been arrived at where this type of discussion was taking place and the man was travelling unaccompanied to Belfast.

There is no record in the abbot's council minutes recording that the Primate of All Ireland had written in this way to the Norbertine Abbey. Instead the minutes of 8th April -- and with this I will finish this evening -- if we look at 845, please, finishes with what you might consider, Members of the Panel, is a truly bizarre entry. If we scroll down, please, to that of 8th April 1991, you will see that it is said:

"Council acknowledged with gratification the happy outcome of the difficulties between Father Brendan and parties in Northern Ireland."

So it seems, however this has come about, that in spite of the letter that was written two months previously by the Primate of All Ireland the abbot's

- 1 council are pleased to acknowledge that these
- 2 difficulties that Brendan Smyth was having with parties
- in Northern Ireland have been resolved.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Can we just scroll down to the next page? So
- 5 they were actively considering sending him back to the
- 6 United States?
- 7 MR AIKEN: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: At least one priest seems to have felt it was
- 9 appropriate that if that happened, the bishop should be
- told that Father Brendan, as it is put, "has a problem".
- 11 MR AIKEN: Yes, a personal weakness.
- Now what I want to do just, and I say this in
- 13 closing today, so that I ask the Panel a question
- perhaps, if you would reflect on it, perhaps
- a fundamental question -- because there's a danger that
- one might consider today through the eyes of today. One
- instance of what we looked at in a different context
- 18 might have been a resigning matter for someone of not
- dealing properly with this type of event. There's
- a danger because we have seen over a very long period
- a litary of such events that they become normalised.
- The question I want to ask is -- the Inquiry will
- wish to consider is, given the Inquiry must consider
- decisions at the time they were made rather than with
- 25 the benefit of hindsight, is how it is that many

Page 153 individuals that we have seen or will come to see were 1 involved in the life of Smyth and were aware of his 3 activities thought as they appear to have thought at the time. By that I mean if you are satisfied that certain 4 individuals genuinely thought they were doing the right 5 thing with Smyth and not choosing to deliberately fail 6 in their duties, how could it have been that otherwise 7 decent and influential people in our society ever came 8 to think like that? 9 10 There is one last aspect to the Norbertine story that relates to Kevin Smith. I will pick that up in the 11 12 morning. 13 CHAIRMAN: Can we just go back to page 844? Just scroll up to it. Scroll down a bit further. What happened about 14 the hospital chaplaincy? Is that when he went to Cork? 15 MR AIKEN: Yes, I think he did. I will try and get some 16 17 clarity on that. CHAIRMAN: Well, on that note we will adjourn for today and 18 resume tomorrow morning. 19 (4.30 pm)20 (Inquiry adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning) 21 22 --00000--23 24 25