_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

HISTORICAL INSTITUTIONAL ABUSE INQUIRY

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

being heard before:

SIR ANTHONY HART (Chairman)

MR DAVID LANE

MS GERALDINE DOHERTY

held at
Banbridge Court House
Banbridge

on Wednesday, 24th June 2015 commencing at 10.00 am (Day 132)

MS CHRISTINE SMITH, QC and MR JOSEPH AIKEN appeared as Counsel to the Inquiry.

```
Page 2
                                         Wednesday, 24th June 2015
 1
     (10.00 am)
 2
 3
                        (Proceedings delayed)
     (12.35 pm)
 4
     CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I am sorry
 5
         we are so late starting today, but unfortunately
 6
         a number of documents became available at short notice
         and it has taken some time to assimilate them.
 8
 9
             May I remind everyone, as always, that mobile phones
         must be switched off or placed on "Silent"/"Vibrate",
10
         and also that no photography is permitted either in the
11
12
         chamber or anywhere on the Inquiry premises; nor is any
13
         recording permitted.
             There may be occasions, of course, when names have
14
15
         to be mentioned which are covered by a designation.
16
         These also must not be used or repeated anywhere outside
17
         the chamber.
18
             Yes, Mr Aiken?
         Opening remarks from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY (cont.)
19
     MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good afternoon.
20
         Again I'm sorry, Chairman, that we are late getting
21
22
         started. I had thought that yesterday I had finished
         taking you through relevant material in relation to this
23
         story, but despite the number of decades ago that it
24
25
         relates to, I am afraid more material does become
```

available and has become available.

2.

I mentioned to you during yesterday that we had been trying to obtain the documents from St. Patrick's

Hospital in Dublin and that is where Brendan Smyth was treated during 1973 and 1974. The Norbertine Order had been making efforts on behalf of the Inquiry to assist in the production of those documents and they have now become available, and because of their content, it is important that I open these documents to the Inquiry Panel before Father Fitzgerald gives evidence. As the Chairman -- as you have reminded everyone, Chairman, there will be names appear in the documents and those names shouldn't be used beyond the chamber.

The plan of action, Chairman, is that once I finish these documents, it probably will be time to take a short break for lunch before Father Fitzgerald then gives his evidence. The documents are of such import that it is necessary for them to be opened rather than to do that with Father Fitzgerald in the witness box.

The first document that I want to look at, which appears on the screen now at 10639, is dated you can see in the top right corner 25th May 1973. This appears to be a form of clinical note. It is typed and the handwritten version of it is not available in the papers that the Inquiry has received. This typed note is and

Page 4 1 what it says you can see: "Father Brendan Smyth. 2. His superior came to talk about him." 3 Now it is not clear who that is. At the time the 4 Abbot in Holy Trinity Abbey was Abbot Kevin Smith. 5 may be him or the Prior or someone else. Whoever it is, 6 they're being referred to as the superior. 7 "... came to talk to him." 8 9 That is 10 "Said he was advised to come by Father Brendan Smyth has apparently had a homosexual 11 problem for some years and had treatment in Belfast and 12 13 also in America. A clever man, always regarded as a 'bit crazy' with a 'screw loose'." 14 15 Those are obviously not medical terms. This is the document recording what was being said to him: 16 17 "Recently apparently he has seduced a girl of 12 and 18 had intercourse with her." Now I just pause there to say you will recall that 19 FBS 49 yesterday we were looking at 20 21 bringing a story to his bishop which he dated as 1973, 22 and you saw that that was a reference in his statement he was told by the mother about sexual intercourse. 23 24 There was no then documents thereafter to explain what 25 was done, but that we could see that in 1973 something

Page 5 had prompted Smyth to be sent for treatment again. 1 However, you will see in the next sentence that the 2. document -- if this document is accurate, then linking 3 this description that's being provided to what Father 4 FBS 49 brought forward may not be accurate, 5 because the next sentence indicates: 6 "Her father discovered the facts and complained." 7 FBS 49 We know from 's recollection 8 9 that the lady who came to see him described herself as 10 having recently been deceased. "The Order are now very concerned and anxious to 11 know if anything can be done to help this man and avoid 12 13 such incidents in the future. The patient does not know his superior is coming first." 14 15 So this is a meeting taking place with the superior 16 of the Order and Brendan Smyth is not aware of it. 17 "Advised it would be better to see the patient 18 without any foreknowledge. Send appointment to Father Brendan Smyth on behalf of 19 20 Then we have this entry of 25th May 1973. So we can 21 say that the entry prior to that is on some date earlier 22 than 25th May 1973. This is now a record of that meeting that was being set up with Brendan Smyth: 23 24 "A man of pyknic build who gives a free and frank account of himself. Has been aware of homosexual

25

tendencies with small boys for some years. Had some inversion treatment in Belfast in 1968 and things were better after this.

Over the past year he has become attracted to a little girl of 11 with whom he was thrown in contact. Some fairly elaborate sexual interference short of intercourse took place repeatedly until this girl apparently told her mother. On the whole there is no evidence of remorse, or shame, or any deep-seated appreciation of the gravity of this relationship from the point of view of the girl. Strikes one as an intelligent but rather egocentric and narcissistic man, who is interested in his sexual experience in a somewhat detached and objective way. As he says himself, he has no real concern with the other person, merely in his own reactions."

Then:

"Some clear evidence of mood swings. Says he is more likely to look for something to arouse him sexually when depressed."

He was given:

"... a prescription for Insidon and Valium in the hope that it will have a dampening effect on libido.

Advice, explanation, worth seeing a few times. See letter to superior."

When being interviewed by the doctor, and for the same reasons we discussed about other medical evidence, there is no reason to believe that Brendan Smyth would have known that these documents would ever see the light of day. That's the account he gives.

Then on 28th May, some few days later, a letter is sent. If we move on to the next page, please, 28th May 1973, this letter is sent from the hospital to Abbot Kevin Smith:

"Dear Abbot Smith,

2.

I am writing to you about Father Brendan Smyth, who came to see me on Friday afternoon. Since you are familiar with his history and background and present problem, there is no need to repeat details. Suffice it is to say I found him calm, cooperative and able to give an intelligent account of himself and his sexual difficulties over the years.

From my talk with him I formed the opinion that the sexual anomalies in his personality are manifestations of a deep-seated disturbance and I do not think there is any specific treatment likely to enable him to achieve a more adult heterosexual orientation. However, I do think that with more insight and understanding and perhaps some chemotherapy to help him to control the mood swings to which he appears to be subject I think it

2.

Page 8

should be possible for him to continue with his work and to give valuable and reliable service to the community. I think it is important that he learns by the unfortunate experience of the past year, and to this end I think it would be prudent that wherever he is stationed, his superior should be aware of his difficulties. The fact that his tendencies are known and that any deviations from reasonable behaviour could be called into question at once should have a salutary effect on his relationships with boys and girls.

I gave him some explanation and advice to Father

Smyth along with a prescription for some tablets

and arranged to have another talk with him in three

weeks' time. I hope it will be possible for him to

achieve a much better level of emotional control with

this combination of chemotherapy and simple explanatory

psychotherapy."

Now we can pause there, Members of the Panel, to identify that the doctor is identifying to the superior in the Norbertine Abbey that there is unlikely to be a resolution to the difficulties that leads Brendan Smyth to interfere with children, but at the same time with work he thinks that he could -- it could be possible for him to continue with his work and to give valuable and reliable service to the community, but that

- 1 comes with a health warning, that wherever he goes,
- whoever is receiving him should be told of his
- 3 propensity. The fact that that eye, as it were, could
- 4 be kept on him wherever he is might assist in not to
- 5 offend further.
- Now there is nothing in the document to suggest that
- 7 it occurred to the doctor writing it that he was under
- 8 some obligation to report to the civil authorities and
- 9 there are no documents to suggest that he did.
- Now it appears then that the doctor did see Smyth
- again in the few weeks that he referred to, because if
- we move on to the next page, please, at 10641, and this
- is a clinical note that's in handwriting. You see in
- the top left corner it is dated 15th June of '73. So it
- is about three weeks later. You will see about six
- 16 lines down:
- "Has had homosexual relations with a boy of 16 years
- on two occasions since."
- 19 So whatever form that took, since seeing the doctor
- and the doctor writing to Abbot Kevin Smith, there has
- 21 been further sexual activity of some kind with
- a 16-year-old boy.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Just while we are looking at this, this is a note
- made by a doctor, presumably , but a doctor.?
- 25 MR AIKEN: In St. Patrick's Hospital in Dublin in June of

1 1973.

Then I want to draw attention for purposes that will become clear as I go to the document on the next page at 10642. It is a letter written by Brendan Smyth on 4th July 1973. It may appear as to its contents innocuous, but I will explain.

"I am sorry to have to trouble you with this note but I have mislaid the prescription for the pink pills which you gave me initially and I need to renew the supply if I am to continue taking them until I see you again at the end of August. Would you please send a copy of the prescription to me at the above address, where I'm directing a retreat at the moment?

Thanking you in anticipating of your kindness and once again offering my apologies for putting you to so much trouble."

Now you can see in the top right that this letter is being penned or typed from Our Lady's Retreat House, Finglas, Dublin on 4th July 1973. I would ask the Panel to note that location as I go on.

The next document in chronological order is a draft note that it appears a doctor was going to send to the Garda in Finglas. If we just scroll down, please, to the next page, you can see it is being written to the Garda Station in Finglas:

Page 11 1 "Dear", whoever, "Father Brendan is see me by appointment Wednesday 2. 31st October 1973 and I am aware of his problem. 3 I have arranged for his admittance to the", unidentified, 4 "hospital for treatment. He has been a patient of mine 5 for some months and will be under my care for at least 6 another twelve months. I want or I trust that this arrangement is 8 9 satisfactory to you and your superiors." Then we see the actual letter that was written, if 10 we move on to the next page, please, on 1st 11 November 1973, entitled "Strictly private and 12 13 confidential". It is written to an officer in the Finglas Garda Station, and we see: 14 15

"I have been asked to write to you by Father Brendan Smyth, Holy Trinity Abbey, Kilnacrott, who came to see me yesterday afternoon by appointment.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He has been a patient under my care for some months and I am familiar with the nature of his problems. I am writing to his superior suggesting that he should have a period of in-patient care in St. Patrick's Hospital or in St. Edmondsbury Convalescent Home as soon as I have a suitable vacancy. I hope this arrangement will be satisfactory to you and your superiors."

If I can just ask you to note, Members of the Panel,

1 before we move on the phrase:

2.

"I am writing to his superior suggesting that he should have a period of in-patient care ..."

Then if we move on to the next page, please, 1st

November 1973 he did write to Kevin Smith, the Abbot in

Kilnacrott:

"Dear Abbot Smith,

I am writing to you again about Father Brendan Smyth, who came to see me yesterday afternoon. He is having some further difficulties and in the circumstances, if you are agreeable, I think it would be advisable for him to have a period in hospital for further evaluation and treatment. I have told him that I think he should take matters — talk matters over with you and explain some of his recent difficulties.

Perhaps some time later I might have the opportunity of talking to you about the whole problem he presents."

Now at this point in time all we know is that for some reason Brendan Smyth has asked the doctor looking after him to write a letter to Finglas Garda Station to say that he is going to be taken in for some in-patient treatment, and now we know that a signal is being given to Abbot Kevin Smith that there has been some further difficulties and that he should talk to Brendan Smyth about them, and that the doctor has made that point to

Page 13 Brendan Smyth, that he should talk to his Abbot about 1 2. them. Then if we look at the next letter, please, of 5th 3 4 November, Abbot Kevin Smith writes back to 5 and says: "I am in receipt of your good letter of 1st re 6 Father Brendan Smyth. 7 So far he did not mention any of his recent 8 9 difficulties to me, although he did mention that on your 10 advice he was going into hospital. I am quite agreeable to this suggestion. 11 Whenever you deem it opportune, I shall be quite 12 13 happy to meet you. Please let me know." So Kevin Smith is indicating a willingness to meet 14 15 with to talk further about Brendan Smyth and 16 his engagement with Then we have a letter of 30th November 1973 that --17 18 as you can see, it is addressed from in the top right corner St. Patrick's Hospital. It is from Brendan 19 20 Smyth, as we will come to see. It is three pages in 21 length. I am going to read it because of its 22 importance. 23 "Dear 24 Realising how pressed you are for time here at the 25 hospital and that it would be Wednesday before I would

be speaking with you again, I thought it better to write 1 this letter so that you will be in a position to speak definitively about these matters then.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, before I entered hospital you said that you would prefer if I would explain the full situation to my superior, Abbot Smith ..."

So this is the entering hospital as an in-patient in relation to the letter that was written to Finglas Garda to indicate that that step was being taken, and he is signalling that he was told to talk to Abbot Smith about it.

"... if I would explain the full situation to my superior, Abbot Smith, if necessary under the seal", ie in confession.

"This I was unwilling to do. So then and even after considering the matter for some days I felt I had to decide definitely not to do so. What perhaps may be misleading you at the moment is your concept of my position as a religious priest in a monastery or abbey. The changes in the Catholic Church over the past years have affected different religious orders in different ways and produced widely differing effects. With us in the Norbertine Order the position is this. My superior can ask me to undertake various kinds of spiritual activities but he cannot require or compel me to

Page 15

undertake any one of them. On the other hand, should I request to be given a certain type of work to do, he can either permit or refuse as he thinks fit. You might be inclined to think that this situation lays itself wide open to the cultivation of parasites and to some extent the point is valid. As long as I am prepared to offer mass each day for the intentions of my superior and to hear confessions and preach in my turn in our abbey church, when not impeded from doing so, then I 'earn my daily bread' or, if you prefer, am entitled to my basic living wage -- basic living income.

So discussion of what type of work I should be doing is not something which necessarily requires discussion between my superior and yourself but rather between me and you. When I first came to you, I did so because my superior asked me if I was prepared to have treatment and I said I was. Then I asked him to arrange it through our doctor, , to save me having to do this myself. So I am your patient, as you emphasised to me more than once, and quite naturally your secretary sent your first account to me and I paid it, not my superior, ie it was paid with monies we own jointly. Now when the Garda complication arose, only I myself knew about it and the authorities were very insistent that they would not in any way be responsible for anyone even where

I lived learning about the problem. They simply made the request you know of and I agreed without any hesitation whatsoever."

saw, which was, "You have to go into hospital", and
, if it is him, or a doctor arranged for that
and wrote the letter to the Garda Station in Finglas,
but something has come to the attention of the Garda and
we will work on how we find and communicate what that
is, but their response to whatever it was has been to
arrange -- have Smyth -- he is going to have treatment
as an in-patient, but whatever it was is not going to be
communicated to his superiors.

Now the request it appears from the documents we

"I am going to ask you to arrange for me to spend some time in a suitable hospital or nursing home."

Sorry. Let me just ...

"... without any hesitation. I was able in the circumstances quite truthfully to approach my superiors, say that I was unhappy with the way I was getting along with my problem and that I was going to ask you to arrange for me to spend some time in a suitable hospital or nursing home, so that I might be able to gain a bit of confidence in dealing with the situation in the future. My superiors were quite happy with my decision and assured me that I had a completely free hand as far

as they were concerned. This being so, I fail to see how any disclosure of the particular Finglas facts would make it possible for you to deal with the matter more effectively."

So you can see at this point that Brendan Smyth is making it clear to the doctor that he is not telling his superiors what happened in Finglas that brought him to the attention of the Garda and that resulted in him requesting to be an in-patient, and he is telling the doctor not to tell either and raising effectively the issue of patient confidentiality.

"Now when I was arranging with you to enter hospital, I mentioned that I had entered into a personal commitment to supply for a Birmingham crippled priest immediately after Christmas and that that and our annual canonry chapter would tie me up effectively until January 14th. Apart from that, I said I hoped it would be possible to arrange to have the treatment in such a way that I could be away ..." a particular day.

Then he says:

"These reasons I said, however, were not vital ones and it was not essential that things should be so arranged. I would like, professor, if you could see your way to allowing me to be absent ..."

He goes on to talk about wanting -- he says:

2.

Page 18

"Friends of mine have arranged to insert a stereo into the car for me as a Christmas present, but require to have the car that weekend in order to do so."

So he's explaining why he needs to be away and could he have the appointment arranged to suit him?

Now the next document that's relevant in the chain is of 4th December 1973, if we move through to the next page, and this document again marked "Confidential", again written to Abbot Kevin Smith, but if we just scroll down so it can be seen from the outset, that it appears according to the handwritten note on this document that it was not sent.

"I am writing to you about Father Brendan Smyth, who is a patient here. I should like to have a chat with you about the whole picture presented by his illness and also about his future.

Perhaps you could arrange to come to see me some time in the near future. If it's suitable to you, I~could see you here at the hospital on Monday next at 12.15."

So there clearly is an indication the doctor wants to talk to the Abbot about Smyth's condition. Whether that document, although dated 4th December, and marked "not sent" was prepared before the doctor had the opportunity to assimilate the rather lengthy letter we

have just looked at, which was dated 30th November, it is not possible to know, but the implication of the first copy of this document with the handwritten

4 annotation is that the document was not actually sent to

5 Abbot Kevin Smith.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You are aware that Abbot Kevin Smith describes and it is recorded in the statement from Father Fitzgerald about trying -- going to see and basically telling him he wasn't going to be telling him anything and asking him to leave. That may be a course that flows out of the documents we have just seen.

The next document is another copy of that same letter that doesn't have the handwritten annotation, but if we move on then to the next document, which is dated 18th December 1973, this is a psychological testing report that's written by a senior clinical psychologist, and it says:

"Reverend Smyth seemed relaxed and cooperative and talked very freely about his problems.

Reverend Smyth's response to projective tests

(Rorschach and TAT) suggests a diagnosis of schizoid

personality disorder with an affective overlay. His

Rorschach was quite disturbed and suggested marked

difficulties in human relationships. In particular, his

response to the mother card suggests he sees women as

2.

Page 20

cold, distant and unyielding. He has a very marked, undifferentiated affectional need and this is so strong that it periodically overwhelms him such that it seeks satisfaction in inappropriate ways. His TAT scores suggest that the reason he seeks consolation through young people is that he still sees other adults as frightening and probably unconsciously identifies himself more with children than with other adults.

There was also evidence of a manic/depressive element in that he either tends to overreact to any external support or encouragement in a rather manic way or else he retreats into himself.

The major problem in planning long-term treatment is that this overriding and unsatisfactory affectional need. If this could be partially met, perhaps through some type of long-term very supportive psychotherapy, then this may enable Reverend Smyth to control it sufficiently to prevent him seeking satisfaction in inappropriate ways. There were some quite hopeful signs on the Rorschach in that there is some capacity for insight and an obviously willingness to discuss problems."

So again, although this is not being communicated to Abbot Kevin Smith, the last communication he does have is May '73, which is recognising there is going to be

an ongoing issue that needs to be managed.

2 Then you have this document of 22nd February 1974.

If we move through, please, you can see it is headed

4 "Confidential Case Summary". You can see then that as

5 early as February 1974 there was a diagnosis being given

of paedophilia. You can see that it had an ICD

7 classification, 302.2, which means that it was

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a recognised condition even as early as February 1974.

Then it records his family history and then this reference to primary and secondary education.

"Above average at school. Then joined the

Norbertine Order. Studied theology in Rome. Ordained

1951. Taught theology in a seminary for four years in

Scotland. Did not get on well. Did parish work in

Wales, also in Ireland. Since 1968 has been in Ireland
running a charity office, he says, and conducting
retreats."

Then his medical history is recounted and then his personality. Then this under "Psychiatric History":

"Psychosexual difficulties for many years. First developed in the novitiate. A recurring problem no matter where he has been stationed. His paedophilia brought him into contact with the police. This led him to seek medical attention."

Then "Condition on Admission":

"Tense, depressed. Physical examination revealed no
gross evidence of organic disease.

Psychological testing suggested a diagnosis of schizoid personality disorder with an affective overlay."

Noting again difficulties from the testing in forming relationships.

"He was treated with Largactil and was given supportive psychotherapy with the aim of helping him to achieve a much better level of emotional control.

During his stay in hospital he tended to be somewhat over-demanding and had not a good relationship with the nursing staff.

Diagnosis: This must remain guarded."

Just for the record the Norbertine Order were trying, if we just move through to the next page, please, as early as 1994 to get their hands on these medical notes and records, and their legal representative, Mr Gleason, made a number of attempts to do so over the last two decades as well. They have now come to light through the Inquiry and Mr Gleason trying to get them for the Inquiry.

I am going to pause there, Chairman, Members of the Panel. Perhaps if we take a short break for lunch before Father Fitzgerald gives evidence.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We will try and start at 1.55, certainly no
- 2 later than 2 o'clock.
- 3 (1.10 pm)
- 4 (Short break)
- 5 (1.55 pm)
- 6 FATHER WILLIAM FITZGERALD (called)
- 7 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, good afternoon.
- 8 The first witness today is Father William Fitzgerald.
- 9 He is going to take the oath, Chairman. Because of his
- 10 medical condition I would ask him just to stay seated.
- 11 FATHER WILLIAM FITZGERALD (sworn)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 13 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 14 MR AIKEN: Father, I am going to bring up on the screen the
- first page of your witness statement, which is at 819 in
- the bundle. You will see it is entitled "Witness
- 17 statement of Father William Fitzgerald, Prelate
- Administrator in Holy Trinity Abbey", a position you
- 19 took up in 2013.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If we just scroll down so you can see the first section:
- "I, Father William Fitzgerald ..."
- Then you will recognise the content thereafter,
- Father.
- 25 A. I do.

- 1 Q. If we move through to 835, please, to the last page of
- 2 your witness statement, you will see this is the end of
- 3 the statement. Can you confirm that you have signed the
- 4 witness statement?
- 5 A. Yes, I can.
- 6 Q. You want to adopt it as your evidence to the Inquiry?
- 7 A. I do.
- 8 Q. With the statement came a very substantial amount of
- 9 documentation that you have provided for the assistance
- of the Inquiry.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That material runs from page 836 through to 1195. Now,
- as I was saying to you earlier, Father, I don't intend
- 14 -- there is a huge volume of material. I don't intend
- to spend time taking you through all of the
- paragraphs in your statement and all of the material
- that I have opened to the Inquiry over the last two
- days. I am going to focus on some major issues and ask
- 19 you to explain what you can in addition to what you said
- in your statement. I don't want this to last any longer
- 21 than is absolutely necessary, because the Inquiry is
- 22 aware that -- of your medical condition, that you are
- 23 here on crutches.
- 24 You were born on 9th June 1954 --
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. -- which makes you presently 61 years of age.
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- 3 O. You are an Australian.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. You explain in your witness statement that you entered
- 6 the Norbertine Order in May 1972 just before your 18th
- 7 birthday -- you were 17 at the time --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- into the St. Norbert's Priory in Queen's Park, Perth,
- 10 Western Australia.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That canonry was a dependent house of the Kilnacrott
- Abbey that we have been talking about, Holy Trinity in
- 14 Ireland.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. To help us with something I was talking about over the
- first day of the opening, you being a dependent house of
- 18 the Kilnacrott Abbey was like Kilnacrott being
- 19 a dependent house of Tongerlo --
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. -- in Belgium.
- 22 A. Indeed.
- 23 Q. Did I get the pronunciation right this time?
- 24 A. Tongerlo. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Tongerlo. Until 1954, when Kilnacrott was given its

- 1 autonomy.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So you were like -- when you began, your house in
- 4 Australia was like an extension of the abbey in Ireland
- 5 --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- and had a lot of members from Kilnacrott being in
- 8 your abbey.
- 9 A. Yes. Everybody there was Irish. I was the only
- 10 Australian.
- 11 Q. I was saying to you just I was being asked on Monday,
- 12 "Tongerlo, where is that in Belgium?" Near Antwerp.
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.
- 14 O. It is that abbey then and that abbot who was involved in
- deciding to ignore the suggestion from the Abbot General
- that perhaps Brendan Smyth shouldn't be ordained as
- 17 a priest.
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. I want to just ask you a little about that to assist the
- 20 Panel, because the Panel has looked at different
- 21 religious orders as part of looking at the children's
- 22 homes that they ran. In this context it is slightly
- 23 different.
- This Order, while it had an Abbot General, from your
- 25 statement it appears that the Abbot General did not

- 1 necessarily have authority over the likes of Brendan
- 2 Smyth, who was in Kilnacrott Abbey.
- 3 A. That's correct. The Abbot General is a sort of
- a figurehead, a symbol of unity, but he doesn't have any
- authority over individual members of the Order. He is
- 6 the superior of the superiors within the very definite
- 7 confines of canon law, and that means only when there's
- 8 an appeal, when the superior dies. In extreme
- 9 circumstances he has authority over superiors, but
- 10 that's it.
- 11 Q. So the structure that existed was that the abbot of
- 12 a particular canonry wasn't under the control of the
- 13 Abbot General. So that if the Abbot General said,
- "Don't do this", the Abbot who was in charge of the
- 15 canonry had the ability to ignore him?
- 16 A. He did. He could say, "Thank you for your advice" and
- 17 leave it at that.
- 18 Q. So in trying to give an analogy, a modern day type
- analogy, of this type of arrangement, it was like
- 20 a federation of houses. You come under the Norbertine
- Order and you have the same rules that apply in each
- 22 house in principle --
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- but there wasn't someone at the top, as it were, who
- 25 had the ability to do something about Brendan Smyth.

- 1 The doing had to be done by the abbot who was in the
- 2 house that Brendan Smyth was part of.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Is that -- have I -- is that too simple an explanation
- for it or does that accurately --
- 6 A. No, it actually reflects the general practice of the
- 7 entire church. The Benedictines and the Cistercians,
- 8 the Norbertines, all the ancient orders were actually
- 9 originally just monasteries. They kind of federated for
- 10 practical reasons and fellowship, but that's the level
- it stayed at. It was only with the Franciscans and
- 12 Dominicans that a Father General was the superior of
- everybody in the Order. The Jesuits made it an art
- form, where the Jesuit General was so powerful he was
- called the Black Pope. He was a complete and utter head
- of every member of the Order who lives directly at the
- command of the Father General. That's impossible in our
- 18 set-up.
- 19 Q. In your set-up it is almost the exact opposite.
- 20 A. It is.
- 21 Q. Each abbot is in charge of the Brothers or the priests
- 22 --
- 23 A. Of his group.
- 24 Q. -- in his abbey.
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. That applies to each of the houses.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And while you should respect and listen to and take into
- 4 account what the Abbot General has to say, you didn't
- 5 have to obey him --
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. -- if you were the abbot of another abbey.
- 8 You talk in paragraph 13 of your statement -- we'll
- 9 just put it on the screen. 821, please. I will just
- 10 summarise it. You talk about the General Chapter having
- power to legislate for all members of the Order, and how
- in paragraph 11 you mention that the Abbot General was
- effectively the executive of the Chapter.
- Now when you say the Chapter had the power to
- legislate for everyone in the Order, does that mean that
- the Chapter, if something had been referred to them,
- 17 could they have issued an edict to put somebody out or
- 18 place restrictions on a particular priest in
- 19 a particular abbey?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did they have some more clout, if I can use that word?
- 22 A. Yes, yes. The General Chapter is the highest authority
- in the Order and it's surpassed by no-one except the
- 24 Pope himself. So the General Chapter meets every six
- years and it has complete power in the Order. It can

- change the constitutions. Only it can change the
- constitutions, and the Abbot General is the organ of the
- 3 General Chapter between General Chapters, and if he
- 4 hasn't done what the General Chapter wanted, he will be
- 5 reprimanded or even dismissed by the General Chapter.
- 6 Q. What I am thinking about, Father, is if at any stage
- 7 either Abbot Colwell, as we will talk about shortly, or
- 8 Abbot Kevin Smith had brought this issue of Brendan
- 9 Smyth and his molesting of children to the General
- 10 Chapter, could the General Chapter have acted against
- 11 Brendan Smyth?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 Q. Do you know if that ever happened?
- 14 A. It never happened, no. I think they were a bit gun shy
- of the General Chapter --
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. -- the Irish abbots, because it was one of the smallest
- abbeys in the Order. They were not going to try and
- make a big impression at a General Chapter, even on
- an important issue.
- 21 Q. So while we will come to look at a particular reference
- 22 where Abbot General Calmels, who was in position between
- 23 1962 and 1982, it appears knew something in the late
- '70s about Smyth and his activities, you are not aware
- of the General Chapter ever deliberating about what to

- 1 do with Smyth?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. I ask that because you describe in paragraph 20 of your
- 4 statement at 822 some events, and I want to just ask you
- 5 to elaborate a bit more arising from a conversation you
- 6 and I were having this morning.
- 7 You were only in the abbey about a year whenever --
- 8 A. The priory. The priory in Australia.
- 9 Q. The priory. Sorry. At that stage a priory. My
- 10 apologies. You will have to correct me quite a number
- of times, I imagine, Father, but hopefully I am on the
- 12 right track to some extent.
- The priory that you were in in 1973 in Australia,
- 14 you are there a year whenever this conversation takes
- 15 place at the dining table --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- involving the headmaster of the school.
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. Do you want to just explain in your own words to the
- 20 Panel what you remember taking place?
- 21 A. Yes. At that time government aid for independent
- schools was only beginning in Australia and had not
- worked itself out fully. So you really needed to have
- teachers that you didn't have to pay very big wages to.
- 25 So members of religious orders just taught for

- a stipend, which was considerably less than what you had
- 2 to pay a lay teacher, regardless of qualifications.
- 3 So one of the Fathers said, "Well, you know, why
- 4 don't we get someone from Kilnacrott?" The headmaster
- said, "Great. Who?" He said, "Brendan Smyth", and he
- said, "Absolutely not", and he said, "You know, we have
- 7 had to dismiss a teacher here for interference with one
- of the boys". He said, "I'm not having that fellow in
- 9 this place". So he knew about Brendan's proclivities in
- 10 **1973.**
- 11 Q. That's what I am coming to, because what that anecdotal
- 12 -- your memory of this conversation reveals is that,
- while this priory was a house connected to Kilnacrott,
- 14 Smyth's activity was well-known within the Order --
- 15 A. Oh, yes.
- 16 Q. -- by this time.
- 17 A. As you see elsewhere, he was even commented about by the
- parish priest of Corpus Christi in Manchester in
- 19 England, FBS 54 , who said he should never have
- 20 been ordained.
- 21 Q. Are you able to date when the -- that particular --
- 22 A. There is a reference to FBS 54 in here.
- 23 Q. Maybe it is something we can come back to. I am not
- sure I have been able to find a date for when
- 25 FBS 54 __

- 1 A. He was parish priest in Manchester in the '50s, the
- 2 **1950s.**
- 3 Q. So it's --
- 4 A. So it would have been when Brendan was a student or
- a new priest, and he knew even then. He must have heard
- 6 about Brendan's interference with the child near the
- 7 general house in Rome.
- 8 Q. That's what I am going to come to then, because you
- 9 explain in paragraph 21 of your statement a second --
- 10 CHAIRMAN: If, Father, you look at paragraph 36 of your
- 11 statement -- it has 826 at the top, top right-hand
- 12 corner -- you will find the reference to FBS 54
- 13 .
- 14 A. Very good. FBS 54 . It was in the '50s
- 15 that he was ...
- 16 MR AIKEN: Yes. There's a council minute in 1994 that
- 17 refers to the fact that this priest said this at
- 18 a particular time.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. But what I -- you are not entirely sure of precisely
- when he said it, but your recollection is that it was in
- 22 the '50s?
- 23 A. It was.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. He was a very famous parish priest and very highly

- 1 regarded in the Order. For him to have made that
- 2 comment and even be remembered by someone in Kilnacrott
- 3 I mean says volumes.
- 4 Q. If we go back to 823, Father, you describe in
- 5 paragraph 21 another conversation, but you were
- 6 explaining to me this morning this is the same person
- 7 who took you aside after the meal and explained to you
- 8 why the headmaster had reacted in the way that he did at
- 9 the mention of Brendan Smyth coming to work in
- 10 Australia.
- 11 A. It was probably the same conversation.
- 12 Q. You recount in paragraph 21 how this confrere -- have
- I got that right, confrere --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- explains to you what he had been told --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- Brendan Smyth had done in Rome --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- before he became a priest.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So while he is a student.
- 22 A. This man was himself at the time a novice, and he was
- 23 walking down the cloister in the abbey and he wanted to
- go to confession. Father Brendan was coming the other
- way and he said, "Oh, Father Brendan, would you have

- 1 time to hear my confession?" Brendan walked straight
- 2 past him and ignored him.
- 3 Then within the month -- the old abbot used to call
- 4 the Brothers in and ask, "How are things going?" He
- said, "Well, good, Father Abbot, except", he said, "one
- 6 day I asked Father Brendan to go to confession and he
- 7 just ignored me like I was a piece of dirt". He said,
- 8 "It's because he can't. He can't hear confession. He
- 9 can't say mass. He can't preach. He can't leave the
- abbey grounds except in the company of another priest
- and that's because he fiddled about with children in
- 12 Rome".
- 13 Q. And this is -- the old Abbot you're talking about is
- 14 Abbot Colwell?
- 15 A. Colwell, yes.
- 16 Q. So one of the difficulties -- and you've explained this
- in the statement -- is that there are not records from
- 18 Abbot Colwell's time as abbot in Kilnacrott.
- 19 A. No, he didn't keep, or when he died, maybe his
- 20 council minutes were burnt or something. That was
- 21 fairly common.
- 22 Q. But what you are clear about is that you were being told
- 23 that during Abbot Colwell's lifetime he had -- having
- 24 become aware of Brendan Smyth molesting children in
- Rome, he had placed sanctions on his movements and his

- 1 activities in the abbey.
- 2 A. He had. He had him sent for treatment and he imposed
- 3 sanctions.
- 4 Q. And the treatment -- the first treatment we can date is
- 5 to the Graham Clinic in Purdysburn in 1968. So --
- 6 A. Which is the year he died.
- 7 Q. Yes. So the -- your recollection is that Abbot Colwell
- 8 had taken some steps to curtail Smyth's movements.
- 9 A. House arrest.
- 10 Q. "House arrest" was the word you used for it earlier.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. The difficulty that we've got then is that was
- considered the appropriate thing to do with someone of
- 14 his proclivities. That abbot dies. Eventually the
- following year a new abbot comes in and those sanctions
- aren't imposed in the same way, and thereafter, as we
- 17 know, unfortunately a raft of children are --
- 18 A. Abused.
- 19 O. -- abused.
- 20 A. The problem was that Father -- when Abbot Colwell died,
- 21 Father Phillip was appointed -- Phillip Nash was
- appointed administrator. He was not in the know, you
- know, about anything. He was not -- he was not
- an official prior to that.
- 25 So Brendan went to him and said, "These draconian

- 1 regulations that the abbot or restrictions the abbot has
- 2 put on me, do they need to stay in place?" He said,
- "Oh, I think you had a personality clash, didn't you?"
- 4 So he just thought it was a personality clash and
- 5 Brendan was returned to the ministry.
- Now Father Kevin was elected abbot and he knew about
- 7 Brendan's problem, and he had actually gone on Abbot
- 8 Colwell's behalf to speak to the doctor about him.
- 9 Q. In the Graham Clinic that would have been in
- 10 Purdysburn in Belfast --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- or Lisburn.
- 13 A. Yes, but he -- there had been no complaint about Brendan
- in the six months of the administration of Father
- Phillip. So he thought, "Oh, well, you know, this --
- the problem must have gone", you know.
- 17 Q. So there's this knowledge, and one of the issues -- and
- 18 we will come to the systems failures at the end -- but
- 19 this is one example of the breakdown that information --
- it was important to curtail someone with this man's
- 21 problem. If you weren't putting him out, you've got
- 22 the -- you were describing it as house arrest. Those
- sanctions are removed, as it were, because information
- is not conveyed properly from one individual to the
- 25 next.

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. You record that later in your statement -- we will come
- 3 to that -- about how records were kept and passed.
- 4 You described this incident in Rome where before he
- 5 was ordained it had taken place. You have also
- 6 explained in your statement in considerable detail --
- 7 I am not going to open that up again now -- but you
- 8 explain your suspicion or your understanding from
- 9 conversations you have had that Brother Valeer, who was
- 10 not a priest but was a lay Brother involved -- was he
- involved in training?
- 12 A. Yes, and sort of like an assistant to the Abbot General
- in terms of practicalities, like a valet or --
- 14 O. A PA.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. A personal assistant.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. He seemed from the records to be keeping a very close
- 19 eye on Brendan Smyth.
- 20 A. Very close, yes.
- 21 Q. His -- from the material the reason for the close eye
- isn't explicit in the documents, but your understanding
- is that that's because his proclivity was known about.
- 24 A. Right. I would suspect that Brother was in the room
- when the parent came to the Abbot General and said what

- 1 had happened to their child, because his Italian was
- 2 very good, even better than the Abbot General, and he
- 3 would have had him around to make sure that he was
- 4 getting all the nuances in Italian, and he would have
- 5 heard the parent making the accusation.
- 6 Q. So that accusation came to the Abbot General in Rome?
- 7 A. It did.
- 8 O. That wasn't Calmels. Calmels is '62 to '82.
- 9 A. Abbot Noots --
- 10 Q. Abbot Noots, an earlier Abbot General.
- 11 A. -- who had been a priest of the Abbey of Tongerlo.
- 12 Q. Ah!
- 13 A. The Abbot of Tongerlo didn't much care for him. So
- 14 that's why the Abbot of Tongerlo ignored his former
- subject.
- 16 O. And went ahead --
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Can you tell us how to spell the Abbot General's
- 18 name, please?
- 19 A. N-O-O-T-S, Noots.
- 20 Q. Noots. Was he Belgian by nationality?
- 21 A. Belgian, yes.
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 MR AIKEN: You are giving some examples and you have set out
- 24 a number in your statement. Is it fair -- and I am
- 25 trying to condense and summarise a lot of material. So

- if this is not a fair characterisation, please don't
- 2 accept it.
- Was he, Smyth, effectively notorious within the
- 4 Order?
- 5 A. He would have been notorious in Rome. So anyone
- 6 probably who was a student in the general house at the
- 7 time -- the Abbot General may or may not have told his
- 8 definitors -- probably not -- about what students were
- 9 doing, because they were a lower classification of
- 10 humanity.
- 11 So the Romans would have known. The Belgians would
- 12 have -- the Belgians of Tongerlo would have known.
- 13 There is another five abbeys in Belgium as well as
- 14 Tongerlo, but the Belgians of Tongerlo would have known.
- 15 That's why FBS 54 knew in England, because he is
- part of Tongerlo. So that section, you know, Rome, one
- part of Belgium, Ireland, England would have known
- Brendan's reputation, and some of the Americans in
- Wisconsin knew, because some of them had been students
- in Rome at that time.
- 21 Q. Then by the '70s, whenever you are entering the Order,
- 22 he is still notorious --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- because he is the subject of conversation, and you
- 25 have a colleague who is putting you in the picture as to

- why the headmaster doesn't want him around.
- 2 A. Exactly.
- 3 Q. We were discussing -- I was using the teacher analogy
- 4 with you earlier to try and explain why a priest was
- 5 treated differently. We were talking about well, if the
- 6 headmaster had found that a lay teacher in the school
- 7 had done something like this, they would be shown the
- 8 door.
- 9 A. Indeed --
- 10 Q. They would have been sacked.
- 11 A. -- and if a seminarian had been guilty of it or someone
- in training right up to a deacon, they would be given
- the door, but the magic of the priestly ordination, as
- it were, you were in a class of the untouchable.
- 15 Q. Are you saying then to the Inquiry, Father, that
- unfortunately, however it came about, by the '50s
- onwards, and certainly by the '70s when you are there,
- how a priest was treated who was molesting children was
- not the same as how an abbot would have treated somebody
- 20 other than a priest?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. I want to get you to look with me at paragraph 24 and
- here you explain to the Inquiry that you get what must
- 24 now seem -- I know you are back trying to handle the
- difficulties that have befallen the Order in Ireland

- since the Smyth affair has become public knowledge in
- 2 2013, but you were there in Kilnacrott between 1987 and
- 3 1989.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. At that stage you were in charge of the church.
- 6 A. Yes, and the novices.
- 7 Q. You explain two examples of Smyth being around the altar
- 8 servers -- presumably that's young boys -- and also the
- 9 children's choir. Is that --
- 10 A. Which was girls.
- 11 Q. Girls. So you explain the -- you weren't having it, to
- 12 be frank.
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 O. Can you just explain to the Panel in your own words how
- 15 that went in terms of --
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. -- what your concern was and what you did?
- 18 A. Well, when I came there as rector of the church, the
- altar server population was like one or two people, and
- you needed six or eight for the high mass on Sunday and
- 21 for the full ceremonial. So I made an appeal preaching
- and then I asked some people, some parents would their
- 23 sons serve.
- One particular lady, who had two boys, I said, "You
- know, would your sons serve?" She said, "Only if Father

- Brendan has nothing to do with them", and I said, "Oh!"
- I said, "So the rumours about him are true?" and she
- said, "I know they're true". So she said, "The boys can
- 4 serve, but only if you guarantee that he is nowhere near
- 5 them". So that was -- that was for the altar servers.
- 6 Q. You explain then how you found him coming to visit the
- 7 church.
- 8 A. Yes. The first time we had an altar servers' practice
- 9 there were nine boys attended and there was Brother
- 10 , who was a novice, who was really the person in
- charge, and I was there simply to be -- give extra
- advice on, you know, any formal points that he wasn't
- aware of.
- 14 The next thing Brendan turned up and I said,
- "Brendan", I said, "you know, there's only nine boys and
- there's two adults. We don't need three", and he said,
- "It's a free country. I'll be where I like". I said,
- "Can we just come out of earshot of the kids round the
- 19 corner?" I said, "Listen, Brendan, in view of the
- rumours about you and your sexual activities towards
- children I will not have you anywhere near these kids
- under my watch" and he said, "I'll knock your head off".
- I said, "Oh, make my day, you bastard", I said. I said,
- "I'll knock your head clean off", and he stepped back
- and he never gave me any trouble again.

- 1 Q. Would it be fair to say, Father, at that period we are
- 2 talking about you would have been in your 30s --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- and you were a fit and formidable --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. -- individual --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- if you were going to be sharp with someone?
- 9 A. Probably about -- probably about 18 inches taller than
- 10 **him.**
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So -- but would it -- just in that context, because we
- were discussing earlier, others don't seem to have been
- able to stand up to him the way you did. What it was
- about him that others seemed to be intimidated by?
- 17 A. Well, they used to joke down there saying he was the --
- he was the Ian Paisley of Catholic Ireland. You know,
- 19 he had a huge, loud, roaring voice, you know, and all he
- 20 had to do was yell at someone and they would nearly jump
- 21 out of their skin.
- I remember going to a House Chapter, which was meant
- to be fraternal dialogue, and every time the abbot
- suggested anything Brendan jumped down his throat and
- roared and yelled and -- "I want to be heard". I said

- 1 -- I said, "Brendan, you are the only person who can be
- 2 heard. You know, you've just dominated this entire
- meeting. Why don't you just shut up and give somebody
- 4 else a chance to speak?" He went quiet again, and at
- 5 the end of the Chapter the abbot said to me, "Oh, that
- 6 was wonderful". He said, "You know, you should have
- been here for years to pull Brendan into place".
- 8 I said, "Why didn't you do it?"
- 9 Q. This is Kevin Smith you are talking about?
- 10 A. Yes. He said, "I couldn't do it", he said. "He'd roar
- me out the door".
- 12 Q. So it is accurate to say that the man -- he had presence
- that other adults found difficult to handle.
- 14 A. Yes. It was like almost a kind of -- the way the
- 15 newspaper keeps presenting that photograph, like a kind
- of diabolical power, you know. He was a scary
- individual.
- 18 Q. You explain then in paragraph 25 the incident with the
- 19 choir. You were going to shut the choir down.
- 20 A. Yes. They were hopeless. I mean, they were not good
- 21 singers. There were adults who did sing in the choir
- who were being put off tune by these kids, and he was
- 23 bringing a car load of little girls and they could be
- from 6 to -- 6 to 11 maybe, and they sang like cats, you
- know. There's no musicality about them at all, and

- I just said, "This is appalling". You know, I said,
- This is distracting. So there'll be no more children's
- choir". "You're making a big mistake", and I said,
- 4 "Well, leave the mistake to me".
- 5 Q. So it would be fair to say you and him didn't have
- 6 a warm relationship between you.
- 7 A. Not a warm relationship, though when he used to go to
- 8 Belfast frequently, he'd say, "Can I get you anything?"
- 9 and I liked -- I liked beef sausages, which I couldn't
- get in -- round Cavan. So he'd always bring me back
- 11 beef sausages from Belfast, but that's the extent of the
- good relationship.
- 13 Q. You were explaining to me on the subject of food that it
- 14 -- and, of course, this is difficult, and we are going
- to come to how it ended up being that this group of men
- thought as they did, and we talked about that earlier,
- but you were saying to me there was a joke about -- by
- some that if you ever wanted a sweet, you only had to go
- 19 to his car.
- 20 A. Yes, yes. He -- the boot of his car was -- literally
- the whole area was full of sweets, candy, you know.
- I mean, you couldn't have put a suitcase in there if you
- had to take someone to the airport. It was full of
- candy and that was his tool in dealing with children,
- and the seminarians of the abbey, the juniors in

- formation, used to joke and just say, "Hey, if you --
- 2 you don't have to go to town to buy sweets. Just go out
- and pop the boot of Brendan's car. It is full of them".
- 4 Q. Was it known amongst you why he had the sweets in the
- 5 car?
- 6 A. They knew that he -- they knew that he had this thing
- 7 for kids. Now how much -- I don't think the young men
- 8 in formation were brought into the full realisation of
- 9 what was going on, but they just said, you know, "Like
- 10 he is so nice to kids and so terrible to everybody
- else", you know.
- 12 Q. Father, we were talking earlier, and I want to try and
- help you explain this for the Panel, because we were
- agreeing that from biblical times molesting children was
- 15 known to be heinously wrong.
- 16 A. Yes, absolutely.
- 17 Q. You were talking about how in the canon law it's
- 18 heinously wrong.
- 19 A. Yes, yes. I mean, the 6th Commandment, you know,
- forbids serious sins of the flesh as mortal sins that
- 21 are damning to hell, you know, and unrepented --
- 22 unrepented carnal sins like that -- you know, what
- I found puzzling was that something that could send
- a person's soul to hell for eternity, they could be left
- in a position where they are allowed to perpetrate that

- sin with any child they came across. I'm bewildered,
- just bewildered.
- 3 Q. That's what I want to ask you. I appreciate for you it
- 4 is the '70s and '80s, because you are sadly part of this
- 5 period where the --
- 6 A. The sexual revolution, yes.
- 7 Q. In the '50s and '60s and '70s and '80s can you help the
- 8 Panel to understand how it is that a group of men who
- 9 know that molesting children is a heinous -- never mind
- 10 a crime -- but in their own thinking a mortal sin -- how
- is it that the moral compass of those who knew of his
- activity had got so skewed, or whatever way you want to
- put it, that he wasn't stopped?
- 14 A. Well, I think it's where you want to say that you
- believe in absolutes, that there are some things always
- right and some things always wrong, but that doesn't
- govern how you act. It didn't govern the way Brendan
- 18 Smyth was allowed to continue to serially rape children
- 19 and molest children.
- 20 Q. Can you -- can you explain how in this -- for instance,
- 21 you go in in the '70s and this is known and it is not
- being acted on. Now you are having to come in and deal
- with the aftermath of the fact it wasn't dealt with.
- Can you give any insight into how it was that by the
- 25 '70s and '80s the thinking of otherwise people trying to

- lead a religious life was to -- for it not to occur to
- them that, "We must put a stop to this. We must get him
- 3 arrested. We must put him out. We must ... " whatever?
- 4 A. Yes. Arresting wasn't something that you ever did with
- 5 a priest. Like maybe if a priest murdered somebody and
- there were witnesses present, well, yes, you know, you
- 7 would involve the police, but anything short of murder
- 8 or fiddling too much with the finances -- like, it would
- have to be like £1 million or something. A couple of
- 10 hundred thousand he might get away with without going to
- 11 the police over it. I know of cases, you know, where it
- was handled on the quiet and somebody eventually put the
- money forward or whatever, but it would have to be --
- 14 like the only thing would really sort of be murder or
- serial killing or something that would ...
- 16 Q. So are you saying that such was the -- I want to make
- sure I am not putting words in your mouth, but I am
- 18 trying to -- such was the protectionism of priests that
- 19 --
- 20 A. Yes. It's a protectionism called clericalism. You
- 21 know, clericalism -- you know, there's a difference
- between sacramentality, where we recognise a man as
- having a sacramental role for salvation of souls, and
- 24 because at the baptismal font he breathes the life of
- God, as it were, into a soul, you could call him Father,

- because he has fathered the child at the font. That's
- 2 sacramentality, but clericalism is where, "Just because
- I am ordained, I am untouchable. I am better than
- anyone else, you know. I get preferential treatment",
- 5 and that was a very serious fault.
- 6 Q. Do you think that as a result -- however that thinking
- 7 style came about, and I take from what you are saying
- 8 that it should never have been the case that that was
- 9 the thinking style, has that thinking style been changed
- now so that that's not the position?
- 11 A. Well, all of the -- all the protocols we have now,
- I mean, a priest is the same as anybody. I mean, you
- are afraid -- I am afraid to be in the sacristy with
- an altar server without another adult present for fear
- that someone's going to says, you know, you touched the
- 16 child up or something.
- 17 Q. So the --
- 18 A. If a kid serves -- a child can come and serve mass on
- a winter's morning and the rain can be pouring down and
- the child needs to get home. You can't say, "I'll give
- you a lift home".
- 22 Q. So there has --
- 23 A. You have to make sure there's a second adult. You know,
- "Granny Smith, will you come and get in the car with me
- and make sure I don't touch this child?"

- 1 Q. So there has been a sea change?
- 2 A. Oh, absolutely, yes, yes. Clericalism is largely gone.
- 3 Q. The Abbot Kevin Smith -- you obviously didn't know Abbot
- 4 Colwell, although you have been told --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- about the steps that he took, and we have looked over
- 7 the last couple of days, as you know, at a lot of
- 8 instances when it appears Abbot Kevin Smith was made
- 9 aware by families, made aware by the bishop.
- 10 Can you give the Panel any insight into why serious
- 11 repercussions were not had for Brendan Smyth? By that
- I mean you talked about how the teacher would be
- 13 literally dismissed. Why did Abbot Kevin Smith not --
- and his council not move much more against someone who
- was causing so much complaint to reach their door?
- 16 A. I don't -- I don't know always how much all of his
- council knew. Some knew; some didn't. Why he acted the
- way he did I can't judge. I -- I'm baffled.
- 19 Q. Well, let me ask you -- there appears to have been, we
- were discussing earlier, no consideration at any time
- 21 about the children.
- 22 A. No. It is always the perpetrator is the one concerned
- about. "Does he need treatment? Should we even put him
- out? You know, should there be a laicisation process?",
- whatever, but, you know, the victims were -- well, they

- 1 might have said a -- might have said a Hail Mary for
- 2 them maybe.
- 3 Q. But can you begin to explain to the Panel how that type
- 4 of approach --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- managed to be the thinking?
- 7 A. I don't know. In Australia Cardinal Gilroy in Sydney
- 8 had a report of a priest molesting a boy and there was
- another boy present who didn't get molested but the
- priest, if he'd had time, would have molested the second
- 11 boy.
- 12 The cardinal called the first boy in with his
- parents and he said, "Tell me what happened" and the boy
- told the cardinal what happened. Then he said, "I will
- be in contact within 24 hours". Then he called the
- second boy in, the witness, and he confirmed that the
- first boy had been molested and he felt he was going to
- 18 be molested, but somebody had come to the presbytery and
- the doorbell rang and he was saved by the bell, so to
- 20 speak.
- 21 So then with the two testimonies the cardinal then
- called the priest in and he said, "Is it true that on
- such and such a day this happened?" and the priest broke
- down and cried and said, "Yes, it did happen". He said,
- 25 "Here is the deal. £5000. Laicisation. You leave the

- 1 archdiocese. You leave the state, and you inform the
- police where you are going why you have relocated, and
- you write to me and tell me when you have done that and
- I will check with them that you have done it".
- 5 There was never any abuse that I know of during
- 6 Cardinal Gilroy's reign as Archbishop of Sydney.
- Now why that couldn't have happened in Ireland --
- 8 because Ireland gave us the faith. They should have
- 9 been showing us the way.
- 10 MS DOHERTY: Sorry. Can I just clarify when that was?
- 11 A. That I believe was in the '40s.
- 12 MS DOHERTY: Thank you.
- 13 MR AIKEN: On the subject of showing the way the Panel have
- seen admissions from Kevin Smith in his own hand that on
- at least two occasions -- once Abbot Colwell, once Kevin
- Smith -- Smyth was -- Brendan Smyth was sent to America
- on two occasions without the receiving bishop being told
- that, "You need to keep an eye on this man, because in
- addition to whatever good he can do he has this
- 20 propensity for molesting children".
- The Panel looked also at a note that appears in the
- council minutes, which in 1978 and '79 appears to
- 23 suggest that it's been discussed with the Abbot General
- Calmels, whose approach was, "Yes. No, you don't need
- 25 to tell the receiving bishop about "-- "Let him go with

- 1 clean slate" was Kevin Smith's words.
- Was that the -- was that the approach in the '60s
- and '70s, that you just move them around, don't tell
- Anybody about it and just hope it doesn't happen again?
- 5 A. A couple of things. One is -- one is that if there had
- 6 not been complaint for a time, they would presume that
- 7 cure had taken over. You know, they didn't understand
- it to be a permanent condition. So if there had been no
- 9 complaint about Brendan for a year or two, they'd
- probably think, "Well, it's behind him now", you know.
- 11 Secondly, one of the current members of the Order in
- 12 Ireland told me that FBS 52 s keeping of minutes
- of the Abbot's council -- FBS 52 -- was
- very hit and miss. Sometimes they could go for five
- council meetings and there would be no minutes and then
- there might be a summary of, you know, half remembered
- what was said. So this priest said to me, "I don't even
- 18 know that that is what the Abbot General said".
- 19 Q. There is no record of that effect beyond that council
- 20 one?
- 21 A. FBS 52 's kind of garbled mention of it. FBS 52 was
- very loyal to Kevin Smith and he would not have wanted
- 23 to see Kevin Smith look bad in any way. It may have
- even been an invention of his own memory or, you know --
- you know.

- 1 Q. You can't find any other material that backs up the
- 2 suggestion that there's been a discussion?
- 3 A. No. I have contacted the Abbot General, the current
- 4 Abbot General, this week. I haven't been able to get
- 5 him, but I know from him that there are no -- there are
- on records in the generalate about correspondence
- 7 regarding Brendan Smyth or any recommendations given.
- 8 So I really doubt that that is accurate.
- 9 Q. There appears to have been -- and maybe you have
- 10 answered this -- at various times some suggestion of
- 11 reprimanding him in some way, taking some sort of step
- to curtail him, thinking about taking his car off him,
- thinking about laicisation, but really -- and I think
- 14 you accept this yourself in the statement, and we will
- come to the things that you accept -- there wasn't
- really a determined effort to properly deal with this
- 17 man.
- 18 A. Not at all, no. It was pathetic really. In fact, one
- 19 time it is laughable where it says that he -- he was
- accused and accepted that he was guilty of sexually
- interfering with a boy, and his faculties, his public
- functioning as a priest, was taken off him for two
- weeks. Two weeks? It should have been the rest of his
- life, you know. Two weeks. It's just baffling.
- 25 Q. What I want to ask you, if we can look at 860, this was

- a discussion we were having earlier, and I just want to
- see if you can assist the Panel, Father, with a document
- 3 that we looked at, the council minute of 24th May 1994.
- 4 Down towards the bottom it talks Abbot Mackin.
- 5 A. What number is this?
- 6 Q. This is internal page number -- it is on the screen,
- 7 Father.
- 8 A. Oh!
- 9 Q. If you just -- at the bottom of the screen you can see
- 10 the reference to Abbot Mackin of De Pere.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. You can see the very last sentence. He seems to have in
- 13 1994 expressed some view or been spoken to by someone in
- 14 Kilnacrott about the appropriateness of Kevin Smith
- 15 continuing to be the Abbot. There has been some
- exchange of whether he should involve himself and so on,
- 17 but right down at the bottom:
- 18 "Council members took account that Abbot Mackin was
- a canon lawyer and had experience in his own community
- of this problem."
- 21 What I was then asking you when we were talking
- about that earlier was: to what extent did the Order
- have these types of problems? Was Brendan Smyth unique
- and was he dealt with in the same way that others with
- similar propensities were dealt with by your Order?

- 1 A. My understanding in De Pere, Wisconsin, with two high
- schools and a third level college, I think it was in the
- 3 high school there were two different priests at
- 4 different times where there was one incident and one
- 5 incident. Both of those were sent for treatment and
- 6 taken out of ministry for something like three to four
- years and then returned afterwards to ministry and that
- 8 was it and they never reoffended and were restored to
- 9 ministry.
- 10 Those priests, their offences were against
- teenagers, not little children. So they were not
- 12 paedophiles. They were ephebophiles I understand is the
- term they use, which seems to be a different condition
- to paedophilia, but that was the only instances there.
- 15 Q. And that happened --
- 16 A. There was no serial abuse. There was no serial -- no
- such thing as a serial, ubiquitous abuser --
- 18 Q. The way this man was.
- 19 A. -- the way Brendan was.
- 20 Q. You were talking to me about -- was it Wisconsin which
- 21 had a -- I was asking you, well, was this type of --
- I am trying to be measured about it -- this type of lax,
- ineffectual dealing with someone with these difficulties
- something that was repeated in other houses in the Order
- or did other houses deal much more decisively and

- 1 effectively when these types of sexual problems were
- presenting themselves?
- 3 A. There was one case in England of -- of a Brother, not
- 4 a priest, who was interfering with servers. He was --
- 5 he received treatment and then was laicised only
- 6 afterward. So the Order accepted the bill, as it were,
- 7 to pay for him to be treated. Then he was told he must
- 8 laicise. "You can't remain in a religious state." So
- 9 he was gone.
- 10 There was a case of one priest looking through the
- 11 keyhole at adult women in a public lavatory and he went
- away for treatment for that and never returned to that
- behaviour again. So -- but that was the only instances.
- 14 Q. What I want to do now, Father, you explain -- and
- we will just bring up paragraphs 77 and 78 and
- following, please, 832. I am going to work through --
- 17 you have said to the Inquiry on behalf of the Order
- a number of things that you regard as failings that
- 19 stand at the door of the Norbertine Order in terms of
- dealing with Smyth.
- 21 A. At the door of the Kilnacrott.
- 22 Q. At Kilnacrott.
- 23 A. The Irish Norbertines, yes.
- 24 Q. Yes. What you are saying is there was a problem with
- 25 the way priests were ordained, because you say in

- 1 paragraph 77 he should never have been ordained. In
- 2 fact, the way the Order was set up the Abbot General was
- able to be ignored and the Abbot of Tongerlo was able to
- 4 appoint Smyth whenever it was clear perhaps that he
- 5 shouldn't have been appointed.
- 6 A. Exactly, yes.
- 7 Q. Would -- is that situation capable of still taking place
- 8 today, where the Abbot of Kilnacrott or the Prelate of
- 9 Kilnacrott, if it were you, could ignore what the Abbot
- 10 General wanted done?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So it's still -- it's the structure of the Order.
- 13 A. It's the structure of the Order, yes. The Jesuit
- 14 General could stop a Jesuit being ordained but our Abbot
- General does not have that power, nor should he.
- 16 Q. In how your structure --
- 17 A. Nor should he. I mean, I will defend -- I will defend
- 18 the structure of the Order. It is a confederation of
- 19 totally autonomous monasteries --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. -- and the bill -- the bill stops with the Abbot.
- 22 Q. So the problem in this case is that you are of the view
- that the Abbot of Tongerlo, as it were, had enough
- information to know Smyth should not be ordained.
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. The second issue that you talk about is that the
- 2 record-keeping was not adequate where you were dealing
- 3 with someone who was offending in this type of way.
- 4 There should have been a proper record kept of the
- 5 instances, of the communications about it, of the steps
- 6 that were taken so that there was never going to be any
- 7 doubt about what happened when to who and what was done
- 8 about it.
- 9 A. No concept whatsoever. No concept at all of keeping
- 10 records about any of those things.
- 11 Q. Yes, and you --
- 12 A. Purely word of mouth like -- yes.
- 13 Q. Then you talk in paragraph 78, if we just scroll down,
- 14 please, that there should have been reporting of the
- 15 criminal activity to the police.
- 16 A. Yes. Of course, now there is a very strict requirement
- on that. Any -- I have actually received a couple of
- complaints about Brendan Smyth but also a complaint
- about Kevin Smith with an adult woman. Those I have
- given to the Gardai, you know, immediately. So ...
- 21 Q. I just want to put this in context again, because it is
- important that the Panel have to try and see things as
- 23 they were at the time they were happening. The position
- certainly in the Republic of Ireland was that there's
- not, like there is in Northern Ireland, a statute, a law

- that says you must report particular criminal offences.
- 2 There was an old common law law that applies in the
- Republic of Ireland in respect of very serious -- more
- 4 serious criminal offences, which would have included
- 5 rape. That would have an obligation to report, but what
- 6 you are saying, if I understand it correctly, is, "From
- 7 a moral perspective this was known to be wrong and our
- 8 Order in Kilnacrott should have reported it, whether or
- 9 not they were under a legal obligation to do that or
- 10 not".
- 11 A. Yes, but also there was rape. You know, Brendan did
- forcibly penetrate a 12-year-old girl and have
- intercourse with her. So that's rape.
- 14 O. Yes. That's the --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- complaint that was brought to Kevin Smith that
- resulted in the May '73 treatment?
- 18 A. Right. There should have also been policing as well as
- 19 treatment. It should have been reported to the Gardai.
- 20 Q. Yes, and can you assist with how it came to be that it
- 21 appears there was simply no thought amongst a series of
- people, not just Kevin Smith, in the '60s, '70s, '80s --
- 23 nobody seems to have thought about reporting. There are
- 24 no records that say, "Well, shall we report this to the
- police?" "No, let's not" or "I don't think we should".

- 1 It is as if from the material that's available it never
- 2 occurred to report.
- 3 A. Well, I think to report anything to the police you were
- 4 regarded as something of a low life, you know. Like
- 5 neighbours with issues about, you know, their fence
- falling down or something, you didn't call the police to
- make your neighbours put the fence back. You know, if
- 8 someone even ran to your car, you know, you didn't call
- 9 the police. You dealt with their insurance and you
- dealt with them and you maybe gave them a box on the
- ear, but, you know, you didn't really call the police.
- 12 Q. It just was not part of the --
- 13 A. The culture.
- 14 O. -- the culture.
- 15 A. Police were -- had better things to do with their time,
- I mean, you know, was the popular impression. So really
- serious crimes, and they just didn't -- you know, as we
- said, victims were not victims almost.
- 19 Q. Yes. This wasn't seen or understood -- but certainly
- 20 not seen as something --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- serious.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is that fair?
- 25 A. It's appalling, but it's a fact.

- 1 Q. You describe then in paragraph 78 there should have been
- 2 a rigorous disciplinary process engaged in I was going
- 3 to say each and every time, but I think your point is he
- 4 should have been long gone, but each time -- I am not
- 5 going to go through them. There is a whole --
- 6 A. I would say that if -- Abbot Colwell I understand said
- 7 to Brendan Smyth if he violated any of those conditions,
- like if he publicly preached, or heard a confession, or
- 9 left the abbey without someone, he would have dismissed
- 10 him from the Order and the priesthood. He would have
- begun the process immediately.
- 12 Q. Yes, but after his time there's a legion, as it were, of
- instances making their way to Kevin Smith's door and --
- 14 A. Nothing.
- 15 Q. -- and your point is there should have been in addition
- to reporting the internal -- the Order's disciplinary
- 17 machinery should have kicked into action and dealt with
- 18 Smyth?
- 19 A. Yes. That didn't happen. No reports to the police.
- Nothing. It was like a vacation for him.
- 21 Q. You make the point in paragraph 79 that really once --
- and I think from your perspective you are saying it was
- very quickly known -- but once it became clear that he
- was carrying out this type of behaviour, he should have
- 25 simply been -- I think you would have said he should

- 1 have been put out, but he at the very minimum should
- 2 have been curtailed in the way Abbot Colwell was doing,
- 3 house arrest, as it were. He should never be alone with
- a child, never be able to be alone with a child.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Yes, and there was sufficient knowledge that he kept --
- 7 whatever was understood about the long-term consequences
- 8 of the behaviour for the child, it was sufficiently
- 9 known and seen in religious terms as a mortal sin to
- 10 know it shouldn't be happening and he should have been
- curtailed so that it wasn't possible for him to do it
- again, because he didn't seem to be able to stop doing
- 13 it.
- 14 A. Absolutely, yes. No question.
- 15 Q. One of the practical things -- because, as you know, we
- are looking at children's homes in Northern Ireland --
- 17 he got into his car and kept driving to these places.
- 18 There is talk in the council minutes of various
- 19 considerations. "Maybe we should take the car from him.
- 20 Maybe we should make him have permission to drive", and
- so on. He simply shouldn't have been capable of driving
- up to Belfast to go into Nazareth Lodge, or Nazareth
- House, or to Rubane, or to Middletown. Is that a fair
- 24 proposition?
- 25 A. It is, but, you know, of course -- you know, normally in

- a parish or religious house a priest has one day a week
- off and nobody says, "Where are you going on your day
- 3 off?" You know, I go -- would go from Cavan to the
- 4 Trappists over in Mellifont, you know.
- 5 O. Yes.
- 6 A. I could be getting on a ferry and going to a prostitute
- 7 in Birmingham and back again the same evening.
- 8 O. Yes.
- 9 A. I mean, on your day off there's no, you know -- you are
- an adult, you know.
- 11 Q. Yes, but that's you, who is not molesting children.
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. Where it's known that someone is molesting children,
- well, they don't get a day off. Is that not fair?
- 15 A. Well, that's correct, yes, yes. Well, as Abbot Colwell
- had it, you know, he couldn't leave the grounds unless
- in the company of another adult.
- 18 That applies in one of the largest Benedictine
- abbeys in America, where there is 300 monks that belong
- to that abbey. There are six who have been accused, and
- all six live exactly under the Colwell discipline of
- 22 Brendan Smyth, and if they failed to observe any of it,
- 23 they're out.
- 24 Q. You -- I am not going to pour over the medical material,
- but he was being sent for medical treatment, such as it

- 1 was at the time. Would you -- if I paraphrase it this
- way, Father, there were enough examples of the message
- 3 that, "This isn't working" for it to be clear to the
- 4 superiors of Brendan Smyth that, "Our medical
- 5 interventions aren't working".
- 6 A. Yes, but then, you know, time and again doctors said,
- 7 "Well, you know, he's okay now". Several times, you
- know, they were told, "Oh, he's fit for ministry again".
- 9 Q. Yes, and, in fact, in the May '73 letter we were looking
- 10 at the suggestion was he could go back to working,
- although the one caveat was the person who was receiving
- him had to be told, and that then, as we know, did not
- happen. So that medical advice was not followed.
- 14 A. Well -- and there is the other case of where he was
- seeing a doctor about molesting children and then he
- told the doctor, "I am giving a children's retreat. So
- 17 can I change my time for appointment?" --
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. -- and the doctor changed the time of the appointment.
- 20 Q. That's the Finglas matter we were looking at today.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. The allegation that seems to have made its way to the
- Garda is that during that retreat in a place in Finglas
- 24 he interfered with children there. That came to the
- Garda's attention and was dealt with by way of this. He

- 1 had to be an in-patient for a period of time, but it
- 2 seems that he was taking steps to make sure that the
- doctor didn't tell your abbot about that.
- 4 A. Yes, and after he had been accused and the whole deal he
- 5 was given a bene placitum, you know, a good permission
- 6 letter to do chaplaincy at the children's hospital in
- 7 York -- in Cork.
- 8 Q. I think that's something the Chairman has noted on
- 9 a couple of occasions, that despite all of this, we are
- into the '90s and he gets to go and be in a hospital in
- 11 Cork.
- 12 A. Children's hospital.
- 13 Q. A children's hospital, and the -- I am not sure whether
- it was a children's hospital or -- I think it was
- an ordinary hospital in Cork, but obviously there was
- the potential for children to be in it.
- 17 A. Children's ward.
- 18 Q. The -- we have talked about how victims were treated.
- 19 There is two matters I want to cover with you in
- finishing, Father.
- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. The first is -- and I appreciate you have not included
- this in your statement, but it is a fact that I want to
- bring out, because it is relevant to the Inquiry.
- 25 You have explained that the Kilnacrott Abbey has

- 1 already dealt with 43 civil claims about Smyth and there
- 2 is another series still --
- 3 A. 14. I think 14. 14.
- 4 Q. -- to be dealt with in some way. You have explained how
- 5 the abbey has been sold, how a number of Brothers have
- 6 been -- a number of priests have moved elsewhere. There
- 7 are some living in Cavan --
- 8 A. Nursing homes.
- 9 Q. -- but here are no new admissions, as it were, into the
- 10 Order.
- 11 A. Actually there's a couple of young men that wanted to
- join the Order and I said, "You can't join it here,
- because there's nothing to join".
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. So they are going to England to join the Order in
- 16 England.
- 17 Q. Yes, and what I am coming to is this. The legacy of the
- 18 poison, if I can call it that, of Smyth's behaviour and
- 19 the failings of those who dealt with him has been the
- destruction of the abbey in Kilnacrott.
- 21 A. Yes, and it is also unfortunately, you know -- because
- sometimes people say the Order and sometimes the Irish
- canonry.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Last time the Abbot General was here one of the priests

- said to him, "In Ireland the word 'Norbertine' means
- 2 paedophile".
- 3 O. Yes.
- 4 A. "You know, anyone who knows what is a Norbertine is,
- 5 they just mark them, you know, with the brush of Brendan
- 6 Smyth." So -- and the Abbot General said, "Well, I am
- 7 not an Irish Norbertine. I'm not -- why should I be?"
- 8 You know, the whole -- the whole Order from the top of
- 9 Denmark to the bottom of Brazil has been slashed with
- 10 the paedophile brush.
- 11 Q. That's the legacy of how this was dealt with.
- 12 A. Yes. There's American Norbertines if they're saying
- mass in a place where -- like in Boston, where there's
- a lot of Irish, they won't wear the habit. They will go
- in black. They won't say, "I am Father William". They
- will say, "I am Father Fitzgerald", because they might
- look like a religious priest if they use their saint's
- name, you know, because someone might say, "What Order
- are you?" and then they'd go, "Em, em, em, em ..."
- 20 Q. So is the sense of shame palpable then within --
- 21 A. It is. It is, right through the Order. In fact, in
- Northern California where we have a cloister of 35
- contemplative nuns, who get up at midnight and pray the
- divine office, who never eat meat, who live a completely
- 25 penitential life, a guy came there bashing at the door

- saying, "I was sexually abused by you people". The
- 2 Prioress said, "What?" and he said, "It was in Nazareth
- 3 House in Belfast, Brendan Smyth. You are Norbertines.
- 4 He is a Norbertine". The Prioress said, "Who is Brendan
- 5 Smyth?" She is a French woman.
- 6 She rang the Abbot in California and said -- in
- Southern California and said, "Who is Brendan Smyth?"
- and he said, "Oh, did you never ...?", and then when he
- 9 told her, she nearly had a heart attack on the phone to
- 10 hear what Brendan Smyth had done. The nuns have been
- doing acts of penance every day since she heard what
- 12 Brendan had done to children.
- 13 Q. So the legacy of this still haunts the Order.
- 14 A. The entire Order, yes.
- 15 Q. This may be the last time, Father, that in this type of
- forum the Norbertine Order gets to say what it wishes to
- say about the behaviour of Smyth and how it was dealt
- with by the Kilnacrott canonry. I just want to look at,
- if we can -- if we can bring up 820, please, because
- I was talking to you about this earlier, Father. In
- 21 paragraph 2 of your statement, if we can bring up 820,
- 22 please, you say:
- "Before I begin, for myself and on behalf of my
- confreres I unreservedly apologise to each person who
- was sexually abused by Smyth and to his family -- to

your families and friends. I apologise for the criminal actions of Brendan Smyth and for the failures of the Kilnacrott canonry, of which I will speak further.

I recognise that words of apology sound hollow when compared to the wreckage caused in the lives of so many. The grave and inexcusable actions of Smyth have caused incalculable damage. A most painful reality is the fact that while Smyth's proclivities and the crimes they led to were known over the years by his superiors, attempts

to bring his behaviour to an end were totally

Then in paragraph 92, if we can move to 835, you explain that you have endeavoured to provide the Inquiry with all the information that you've been able to gather about Brendan Smith and you hope that in providing the answers to the questions raised by the Inquiry those who have suffered abuse will consider that their questions have also been answered.

You say then:

inadequate."

"As a priest I am profoundly distressed at the betrayal of religious vocation in seeking to live the same totally God-centred life shown to us in the life of Jesus Christ. To profess this life and then to turn around and commit child abuse is a shocking hypocrisy and I apologise once again to all of those who have been

- 1 harmed and who continue to suffer."
- 2 So you are making your position very clear and
- 3 saying "Sorry" for the failings of those who went before
- 4 you.
- 5 A. Indeed, you know, and it's -- I mean, it's the sort of
- 6 thing that -- it gives a lot of us sleepless nights, you
- 7 know, at times, just to think of -- it is more thinking
- 8 of the suffering of the people who are still here.
- 9 You know, the youngest victim of Brendan Smyth that
- 10 I know of is 28 years of age. She is going to be around
- 11 for another 60 years maybe or longer, and every day of
- her life the horrible spectre of that man will be in her
- mind and what he did. How can -- how can anyone return
- anything to -- I mean, like give her 100 million Euros.
- 15 It would do nothing to repair any damage that has been
- done to her. It's unspeakable, unspeakable.
- 17 Q. Father, I am not going to ask you any more questions.
- If you'll do me the courtesy of remaining where you are
- just for a short time, the Panel Members may want to ask
- 20 you something. So just bear with us --
- 21 A. Sure.
- 22 Q. -- for a short period of time. Thank you.
- 23 Questions from THE PANEL
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Father Fitzgerald, you have been very frank with
- us as to the failings of the Norbertine Order. I should

say on behalf of the Inquiry that we are very grateful

2 to you for coming and representing the Order here

3 voluntarily, because the Inquiry would not otherwise

4 have been able to compel you as a representative of the

5 Order to come, and we acknowledge that willingness on

6 your part and those who have legally advised you for the

very frank way documents have been disclosed and matters

8 disclosed to us.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Can I just pursue one or two aspects of what you have said? It is clear that there was widespread belief on the part of many members of the Order as early as the 1950s, certainly the 1960s, and by that I mean members of the Order generally as opposed to those in positions of authority, that Brendan Smyth was behaving in this fashion. There also appears to have been a degree of knowledge at the level of those who were in positions of authority, such as Abbot Colwell and very likely the Abbot General, even before he was ordained. Isn't that right?

20 A. Uh-huh.

Q. Moving on from the fact that he was ordained against the clear representations of the Abbot General, subsequently when what he was believed to be doing and then known to be doing was established, should those in authority not have brought his case before the General Chapter of the

- 1 Order to have him expelled?
- 2 A. Certainly, and even outside the General Chapter they
- 3 could take it to the Congregation for Religious, the
- 4 Congregation for Clergy, take it to the Nuncio in
- 5 Dublin, and the process would have begun.
- 6 Q. So there were a number of different ecclesiastical
- 7 avenues --
- 8 A. Recourses.
- 9 Q. -- that could have been explored?
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. Yes. You then told us that a colleague recounted to you
- this occasion when they were making their way to go
- to confession. Was that in Kilnacrott itself?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And were in the modern term blanked by Father Smyth as
- 16 he was.
- 17 A. Just, you know, walked straight past him.
- 18 Q. Then the priest concerned speaks to Abbot Colwell and
- 19 you have told us what the Abbot said to him. You then
- went on to say that the Abbot remarked, "He can't do
- these things. He can't preach" and so on.
- Was it the position that when we get to the point of
- 23 Smyth violating the conditions that Abbot Colwell had
- 24 placed upon him -- you said that if he, Smyth, had
- violated the conditions, Abbot Colwell would have

- dismissed him immediately. Is that your understanding
- of what the Abbot actually said to him or your belief,
- 3 knowing Abbot Colwell as the man he was, that that is
- 4 the course that he would have taken, if you see the
- 5 distinction?
- 6 A. Yes. He -- the priest who told me about it, the one who
- asked to go to confession and who was ignored, he told
- 8 me that Abbot Colwell expressly said to Brendan Smyth,
- 9 "If you violate these conditions, any of them, you're
- gone".
- 11 Q. Yes. Thank you. I just wanted to be absolutely clear
- we had not misinterpreted that.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. Since Abbot Colwell died in 1968 --
- 15 A. '68.
- 16 Q. -- that discussion and therefore that exchange must have
- been some time before that.
- 18 A. It would have had to have been a year or two perhaps
- 19 before, yes.
- 20 Q. Yes. It was not long before --
- 21 A. Not belong before his death.
- 22 Q. -- the Abbot died. I see.
- 23 A. No, no, because he had sent Brendan to -- he sent him to
- Wales and various things, and it was finally just when
- 25 he was totally exasperated with him.

- 1 Q. Yes, and we understood from what you said that, in fact,
- it was Abbot Colwell who had arranged for Brendan Smyth
- 3 to go to the Purdysburn Hospital --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- in Belfast to receive some form of treatment.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 O. We know that was the late 1960s.
- 8 Can I ask you now a different question? We have
- 9 heard quite a lot, and indeed you referred to it
- 10 yourself when you told us about the practice he had of
- 11 having lots and lots of sweets in the car. He was
- 12 permitted to have his own car, was he?
- 13 A. That I don't understand. He must have, because it was
- never brought up as a complaint against him having a
- car, but Kilnacrott always had a bit of a reputation in
- 16 the Order. There was one abbot who would conduct a
- 17 canonical visitation. So every six years the General
- 18 Chapter appoints priests who inspect each abbey and
- report back to the General Chapter whether they are
- 20 living the life.
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. This Abbot said to me, "Of course, you know, at
- 23 Kilnacrott when you are ordained, you get a car and
- a cheque book and I said, "What?" and he said, "Well,
- 25 they all seem to have a car and they are not all

- community cars", and he said, "They have a solemn vow of
- 2 poverty, which means that every penny they earn is
- handed in, and they would keep maybe £50 or something to
- 4 not be embarrassed if they had to take someone out for
- dinner or something, but they have no right to bank
- 6 accounts" --
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. -- and he said, "You know, I have seen them here", he
- 9 said, "when they're visited. You know, they are signing
- 10 cheques".
- 11 Q. Well, that's exactly what I was going to ask you,
- 12 because one of the vows he would be taken was one of
- 13 poverty. Isn't that right?
- 14 A. Poverty. Exactly.
- 15 Q. Other than having essentially some form of pocket money
- I take it from what you say the general rule of the
- 17 Order is he should have not have had access to private
- 18 funds of that nature.
- 19 A. Exactly. Exactly. So he violated the vows of poverty
- and chastity and obedience.
- 21 O. Yes. He does refer in one of the documents we were
- looking at just before lunch to having the car
- registered in his own name in Northern Ireland. So
- 24 whether it was, as it were, a car he had been given by
- 25 the abbey or one that he'd bought from his own

- 1 resources, he certainly had his own means of getting
- 2 about, which is surprising.
- 3 A. Yes. They believe those cars were generally brought by
- 4 his brother --
- 5 O. I see.
- 6 A. -- who is a layman who had done reasonably well,
- 7 I think.
- 8 Q. I see. It seems he paid large sums of money to people
- 9 who made claims against him in North America.
- 10 A. Yes, and where that came from I have no idea.
- 11 Q. He was able to pay it seems again from the documents we
- 12 looked at just before lunch or at least he implies that
- he was funding the treatment he was getting in Dublin
- 14 privately.
- 15 A. On one occasion, yes, yes.
- 16 Q. Yes. Thank you very much.
- 17 A. Very strange.
- 18 MS DOHERTY: Thank you very much, Father. Can I just ask
- about visitations every six years? I mean, what was the
- 20 process of them?
- 21 A. So at the General Chapter the Abbot General says, "Could
- we have nominations of priests who know the mind of the
- Order?" that could inspect the various abbeys or
- visitate to increase bonds of charity. So to -- what do
- 25 they say -- encourage and correct. So to praise the

- good things and to correct the bad things that happen
- 2 according to the constitutions.
- 3 Q. They would report back then to the --
- 4 A. Their written report is available at the General
- 5 Chapter.
- 6 Q. If they were to find an abbey that they were concerned
- about in terms of things like having cars or money, or
- 8 indeed how they were dealing with somebody who was
- 9 abusing children, would that be discussed at the
- 10 Chapter?
- 11 A. Yes, it would.
- 12 Q. You talked about the Abbot General only being able to
- intervene in extreme circumstances.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. Would that not be sufficient to be considered extreme if
- 16 there was a view --
- 17 A. If there was wholesale violation of the vows and
- 18 wholesale violation of the constitutions, certainly,
- 19 **yes.**
- 20 Q. Has that ever happened to you?
- 21 A. Oh, yes. I was at a -- I was at a General Chapter where
- an abbot was denounced at the Chapter because he had
- 23 a mistress living on a yacht in the Bay of Nice --
- 24 Q. Right.
- 25 A. -- and he was sailing around the Mediterranean with her.

- Someone asked him at the Chapter. He said, "What
- business is it of yours?", he said.
- 3 Q. But there was the potential to deal with him and he was
- 4 dealt with?
- 5 A. Oh, he was dealt with. He was removed at that Chapter.
- 6 Q. So do you think that in relation to the Chapter their
- 7 awareness of Brendan Smyth and how he was being dealt
- 8 with, that wasn't felt sufficiently --
- 9 A. Never -- it was never on the radar screen.
- 10 Q. You don't think that was ever on the --
- 11 A. At a General Chapter, no. I was at two General
- 12 Chapters, 1988 and another one since, and there was no
- mention.
- 14 Q. So it would be fair to say there was no mention, but not
- that it wasn't on the radar, because --
- 16 A. Oh, there would be people there who knew.
- 17 Q. -- there were people who would have known, but didn't
- actually feel it was necessary to bring it up to be
- 19 discussed?
- 20 A. Yes, yes.
- 21 Q. Can I just -- I mean, I understand the issue about
- 22 priests having days off and it is their own day off, but
- in relation to Brendan Smyth it was clear that he was
- 24 going off to do retreats in Finglas and for the Nazareth
- 25 Sisters in Belfast. So he was representing the Order

- and was, you know, undertaking religious duties. Was --
- I mean, what was the -- did you have to seek approval to
- 3 do that? Was there -- I mean, how --
- 4 A. Generally people tend to inform. He would have
- 5 informed, say, Kevin Smith, "I am going to do this.
- I am going to do that", because since I became Prelate,
- when someone comes to me and says, "Oh, I am going to go
- 8 and do such and such", I say, "Are you telling me or
- 9 asking me?" "Oh, I'm asking". I say, "Of course".
- 10 I just don't like being told.
- 11 Q. Yes. I mean, that is interesting, because in the letter
- that we saw that Brendan Smyth wrote to
- he suggests that is the relationship. He suggests he
- tells what he's going to do.
- 15 A. Absolutely appalling.
- 16 Q. So from your experience --
- 17 A. That is one of the worst letters I have ever read. I
- mean, it would be a classic letter to take the names out
- and to present at a canon law class for a -- a total and
- 20 utter violation of the religious life.
- 21 Q. So his description of his ability to do what he wanted
- in relation to his religious life you are saying wasn't
- 23 accurate?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. But you have experienced --

- 1 A. I mean, it was accurate in the sense of that's what
- 2 happened, yes.
- 3 Q. Yes, and you've experienced a culture even now where
- 4 there is maybe a bit of that around?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So he would have had quite a free licence to engage
- 7 with, for example, the Nazareth Sisters --
- 8 A. Oh, yes.
- 9 O. -- or to do a retreat.
- 10 A. There is one member of the community at the moment who
- 11 has to tell me if they are leaving the country --
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- and he never does, never does, you know, and then
- when I broached the topic with him, he said, "We're not
- meant to be treated like children, and I said, "You are
- not allowed to leave the country according to the
- Gardai", and I had told the Gardai that he left the
- 18 country.
- 19 Q. Yes, but "Is he free to be in Ireland?", I ask, but
- 20 anyway. In relation to -- you talked about the House
- 21 Chapter and the notion that that was a kind of fraternal
- 22 meeting.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Did that mean -- we have heard from the De La Salle
- Order there was a kind of weekly meeting where Brothers

- 1 considered their behaviour, their personal behaviour and
- 2 their behaviour to each other. Was that the same --
- 3 A. That's the Chapter of Faults they call that.
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 A. We have that in the Abbey in Southern California, but
- 6 they haven't had it in Kilnacrott for fifty years
- 7 probably.
- 8 O. Okay. So that --
- 9 A. But the House Chapter, it would be -- they would expect
- there would be a House Chapter every month, and anybody
- can put an item for discussion up on the notice board,
- unless I disapprove it, if it is something very
- unsuitable, and there's free discussion, but it's not
- 14 really -- it's not really a forum for making big
- decisions. It's an information exchange thing and so
- 16 on.
- 17 Q. But it was within the abbey's right not to have the
- 18 faults meeting?
- 19 A. Well, you would say according to the teaching of
- 20 St. Norbert and our tradition, no, but not even the
- visitators of the General Chapter would check up on it,
- because most of them didn't have it, because people had
- lost all sense of accountability.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Well, accountability at that level anyway, you know, to

- one another on a daily basis.
- 2 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 3 MR LANE: Most of the things I wanted to ask have already
- 4 been answered. In terms of overall accountability of
- 5 the Order do I understand there's a system within Rome
- that oversees all the different Orders?
- 7 A. There's a Congregation for Religious.
- 8 O. Uh-huh.
- 9 A. They don't really inspect the Orders or -- unless
- there's, you know, major complaints. Like the Jesuits
- 11 took a vow of special obedience to the Holy See, and
- there were many Jesuits who taught anything but what the
- Pope taught, and so at one point they removed -- when
- 14 Father Arrupe died, the General, the Pope appointed --
- Pope John Paul II appointed a General who was
- administrator sort of for about six years. Then they
- 17 had an election once it had calmed down.
- 18 Q. So there is a system of controls and checks in a sense?
- 19 A. Yes, indeed. The Cardinal Prefect of the Congregation
- for Religious probably is -- he probably does get lots
- of complaints about particular religious orders. I know
- 22 some of the hardest cases are where there is
- difficulties between an order and a bishop. Then that
- has to go to the Supreme Court of the Catholic Church to
- 25 make a decision about what's happening then.

- 1 Q. Is there a system of visitations of the Orders as well?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. No. Just one small point.
- 4 A. We don't want the Jesuits coming telling us what to do.
- 5 Q. In terms of the money -- you were being asked about the
- 6 question of poverty and so on. If Father Brendan Smyth
- 7 had run a retreat or something like that and had been
- 8 paid a fee, would he have kept that to himself or would
- 9 that have gone to the abbey?
- 10 A. We would be bound to hand that into the abbey.
- 11 Q. Right. So he shouldn't have had other sources of money
- 12 at all?
- 13 A. No, none, none whatsoever.
- 14 Q. My last question. In terms of the decision-making
- process of the council within the abbey in the minutes
- it shows a number of times when the abbot's
- 17 recommendation was overturned. Were these majority
- decisions or a consensus? What was the sort of way that
- 19 things ran?
- 20 A. I'm trying -- I'm trying to think of an example.
- 21 Q. Well, if he was recommending restrictions on Father
- Brendan Smyth and the others disagreed and Brendan Smyth
- was allowed to go somewhere, you know.
- 24 A. The abbot is the individual superior of each man, and he
- should listen to what the others say, but he has to make

- a decision in his own conscience about what is right and
- what has to be done. So they don't -- they don't have
- 3 the authority to govern the abbot.
- 4 O. So the decisions were all the abbot's decisions
- 5 essentially?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And so he would have had his mind changed when he
- 8 recommended something but the decision was different?
- 9 A. Yes. That's -- yes. He must have thought that they
- were really much wiser than he.
- 11 Q. Right, and when there are references to people speaking
- from the floor, who would that be?
- 13 A. At a House Chapter? At a Chapter meeting?
- 14 Q. Well, I think these are at the council meetings.
- 15 A. Oh, well, it would be just any member of the council
- putting something forward that was not on the agenda.
- 17 Q. Right, and typically the council was four or five people
- 18 by the minutes?
- 19 A. Yes. Now the council is myself, one elected, one
- appointed. It was up until I came two elected, two
- 21 appointed. We are only nine people. So, you know ...
- 22 Q. Thank you very much.
- 23 A. Good.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Well, Father, we are very grateful to you for
- coming to speak to us. We will rise just for a few

Page 87 moments. Please feel free to remain where you are for 1 the present, and then after a short break we will deal with the next witness. 3 4 (Witness withdrew) (3.35 pm)5 (Short break) 6 (3.45 pm)7 FATHER DONAL KILDUFF (called) 8 9 CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, I know it has 10 been a long day already and Father Kilduff has been here 11 waiting to give evidence on behalf of the Diocese of 12 13 Kilmore. He is aware, Chairman, that you are going to ask him to take the oath. 14 15 FATHER DONAL KILDUFF (sworn) Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 16 17 MR AIKEN: Father, coming up on the screen, if we can have 742, please, is the first page of your witness 18 statement. I hope you recognise that. 19 I recognise that. 20 If we move through to 749, which should be the last 21 Ο. 22 page of your witness statement -- can we just scroll down a little -- and can I just ask you to confirm that 23

25 A. That's my signature, yes.

24

you have signed the witness statement?

- 1 Q. You want to adopt it as your evidence to the Inquiry?
- 2 A. I do indeed.
- Q. With the statement you have given over fifty pages of exhibits that run from 750 to 803.
- 5 One of the matters, if we can go back to 742, you
- 6 explain, Father Kilduff, in paragraph -- the bottom of
- 7 paragraph 4 and then it moves on to paragraph 5 on the
- 8 next page -- if we just pause there -- you explain the
- 9 context of a canonry being in a diocese and the position
- of the abbot vis-a-vis the position of the bishop, and
- that the bishop didn't or wouldn't have had authority
- over the priest that's in the canonry to regulate
- anything to do with him in the canonry. That's correct?
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
- 15 Q. But he would have had and had the ability to regulate
- his activity beyond the canonry in the sense of he could
- 17 withdraw or give the facilities or the faculties of the
- diocese to allow a priest from a canonry in his diocese
- to preach and give confession and other sacraments in
- the diocese.
- 21 A. In effect, the priest would be visiting the diocese
- where the bishop had the authority, the territorial
- authority within the diocese, outside of the area in
- which the abbey was.
- 25 Q. Yes. So the role here in terms of if you had a problem

- in the diocese with a priest who is in a canonry is you
- 2 can take steps to prevent him acting in your diocese
- 3 beyond the walls of his canonry, but if you want more
- done than that, you have got to get the head of the
- 5 canonry to deal with it?
- 6 A. The authority for the person is the abbot, yes.
- 7 Q. The abbot. That's the structure within which Holy
- 8 Trinity was within the Diocese of Kilmore?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. As you know, because you've brought a lot of information
- 11 to the Inquiry, as we have tried to piece together this
- rather unpleasant jigsaw, that there were at least two
- significant occasions whenever information made its way
- to the then Bishop Francis MacKiernan of the Diocese of
- 15 Kilmore.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The first you collected and then set out in your
- 18 statement and then you had at our request FBS 49
- 19 set out in a statement for the Inquiry the
- information that came to his ears in 1973, as he placed
- it, of a rape allegation against a 12-year-old girl or
- 22 14-year-old girl, which he brought then he says to
- 23 Bishop MacKiernan.
- Doing the best that we can, piecing together
- 25 material from other places, it may be that that did get

- 1 communicated to Abbot Smith, and it may be that was part
- of how Brendan Smyth ended up getting the beginning of
- 3 treatment in Dublin in 1973, but because there are no
- 4 records of what Bishop MacKiernan recorded and recording
- of what he did, it is not possible to be able to answer
- 6 that completely.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The first issue that I wanted to raise with you is that
- 9 lack of record-keeping, that a serious allegation has
- 10 been brought to the top of the tree in the Diocese of
- 11 Kilmore and there is no record of it that can be found.
- 12 A. I can only say it's a failing. It wouldn't happen now,
- thank God, but it happened back then. I don't know why.
- 14 Whether there was no -- as you say, there is nothing
- written. Whether it was verbal or whether it was
- a phone call we don't know, but it would not happen now
- 17 --
- 18 Q. Now today --
- 19 A. -- presently.
- 20 Q. -- there is much more emphasis --
- 21 A. Much more robust --
- 22 Q. -- on keeping proper records.
- 23 A. -- guidelines and record-keeping in a procedure, yes.
- 24 Q. The other -- the second part that arises out of the 1973
- 25 matter that involves FBS 49 --

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. -- is -- and you probably heard me saying to Father
- Fitzgerald -- that is in the Republic of Ireland there
- 4 was not an obligation necessarily under law of the state
- 5 to report more minor criminal activity. So the fact you
- 6 might become aware of a piece of criminal behaviour
- 7 would not necessarily in the South have required you to
- 8 report in the way that to a greater extent you had to in
- 9 the North over the last fifty years, but for serious
- 10 allegations -- whether it is correct or not, a serious
- allegation of rape was brought to the bishop, and that
- does not seem to have been reported to the civil
- authority, to the Garda.
- 14 Can you assist -- because at the moment there is
- 15 nothing to suggest it was recorded --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- can you assist with explaining to the Inquiry as best
- 18 you can the culture and context that would help the
- 19 Panel understand why a bishop in a diocese in Cavan
- 20 wouldn't get the Garda involved in an allegation of
- 21 someone raping a child?
- 22 A. I can't explain about the bishop, because I haven't
- spoken to him about it (inaudible), but this is the only
- part of evidence that I submit that I -- is mine,
- because I took that statement from FBS 49

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. So I have spoken to him about it. I have known FBS 49 for
- a long time. From talking to FBS 49 and he thought about
- 4 -- FBS 49 -- he took a lot of thought and he had
- 5 great anguish and I think it comes across in his
- 6 statement, and whatever about a legal imperative to
- 7 report this, he was so angered and he thought there was
- 8 a moral imperative, and that this Brendan Smyth fellow
- 9 just was an assault and disgrace to priesthood, and
- 10 regardless whether he was a priest or not, this poor
- child was violated, and I think FBS 49 used the word
- "criminal". So he knew that.
- 13 He wanted I think -- and it comes across in his
- statement -- he wanted to report it and the woman said,
- 15 "No. I'm in enough trouble as I am", and he quoted her
- directly. FBS 49 just felt and he -- I don't know whether
- it is in the statement. I think it is, but in
- explaining it he said, "This poor woman was in enough
- distress as it was". I think she also said, "I thought
- 20 I could come to you in confidence" --
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. -- and it was -- and FBS 49 I know is a man who is most
- respected in the diocese as somebody who is caring,
- compassionate and approachable, and this lady was not --
- I think FBS 49 says he didn't know who she was, and I'd say

- 1 that she would have heard, "This is a compassionate man
- that you can approach and he will understand. He will
- 3 listen", and she felt this is what she wanted, and she
- 4 didn't want to bring it any further.
- 5 O. Yes.
- 6 A. But he could give her what she wanted in being heard and
- 7 be it catharsis or whatever.
- 8 Q. Yes. You've --
- 9 A. Sorry?
- 10 Q. You have identified that his instinct was to take it
- 11 forward.
- 12 A. His instinct was this thing should be reported.
- 13 Q. Nowadays, whether a person wanted or not, it would be
- 14 reported.
- 15 A. It's standard, yes.
- 16 Q. Can you explain to the Panel was there a culture in the
- 17 '70s that you just didn't take things to the Garda?
- 18 A. I think there was. I think that not only in the '70s.
- 19 I think throughout for a long time the authorities
- 20 perhaps weren't -- weren't trusted and they were --
- 21 maybe the process would take too long and some people
- took things into their own hands, but I think there was
- that culture of not going to the authorities and maybe
- it would get lost, you know.
- 25 Q. Given the -- you are quite right. You have identified

- from FBS 49 's statement the anger that he
- 2 explains towards -- that he felt towards Brendan Smyth
- 3 --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- but it looks like no action was taken --
- 6 A. By the bishop.
- 7 Q. -- by the bishop against him.
- 8 A. I am just -- yes. Well, I am just wondering -- against
- 9 Brendan Smyth?
- 10 Q. Yes, other than it may be -- there is no record -- but
- it may be he communicated it to the Abbot Kevin Smith,
- but we know, as we will come to speak about 1975, that
- 13 --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- some action was taken in this situation, and it's
- a very serious allegation that has been brought.
- 17 A. If you're asking me about why the bishop didn't report
- to the Guards, again I don't know, but --
- 19 Q. I am leaving reporting to the Guards --
- 20 A. Sorry.
- 21 Q. -- because you have explained --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- that there was a culture at the time of not
- 24 necessarily taking things to the Guards --
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. -- and that FBS 49 had a reason for not
- 2 taking it further --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- rightly or wrongly, at the time. It would now happen
- 5 differently, regardless of what the person wanted.
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. But what I'm moving on to is even internal to the church
- 8 a very serious allegation has been made about a priest
- 9 interfering with a child in a very serious way. You
- 10 have got the receiving priest of that information,
- FBS 49 , who is extremely angry and
- expressing, perhaps rightly, his view about Brendan
- 13 Smyth.
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. But the diocese, the bishop then doesn't seem to take
- any sanction. Whatever sanction he had the ability to
- take, withdrawing the faculties of the diocese, whatever
- it be, it doesn't seem that that was a course taken.
- 19 A. Oh, I accept that and I don't know why that happened or
- why it didn't happen. I'm sorry. I don't know.
- 21 Q. When it comes to the 1975 matters, Father, you again
- weren't involved in any of this, but speaking on behalf
- of the diocese the investigation that you are aware of
- that took place that Bishop Francis MacKiernan
- 25 instigated --

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. -- the Diocese of Kilmore accept that was a Kilmore
- 3 investigation?
- 4 A. That was a Kilmore investigation.
- 5 Q. Bishop MacKiernan had asked the then Father John Brady,
- 6 who was his assistant at various times, to carry out the
- 7 investigation.
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. Kilmore are of the view that there was a second priest
- 10 who was part of interview one, if I put it that way,
- 11 with the child FBS38 --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- and his name should not be used beyond the chamber --
- but that second priest, who came from the Armagh
- Diocese, it wasn't he was being sent by Armagh to do it.
- 16 He was asked to help Kilmore doing this. Is that
- 17 Kilmore's understanding of the position?
- 18 A. It is Kilmore's understanding. I think, in fact, that
- 19 the Armagh priest, if I can refer to him as such --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. -- led the ...
- 22 Q. The questioning of interview one?
- 23 A. Yes, yes.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. I think that Father Brady --

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. -- as he was then, was a notetaker, and in the
- 3 subsequent interview in --
- 4 O. Yes.
- 5 A. -- it would appear, and again this only appeared to me,
- 6 that because Father Brady was leading that --
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. -- that he took that as a model and he said, "Yes,
- 9 (inaudible)".
- 10 Q. Yes, and I will ask him about that tomorrow --
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. -- but what I mean is Kilmore are not suggesting they
- were doing this jointly with Armagh necessarily.
- 14 A. No, no, no, just like Kilmore.
- 15 Q. It was simply a Kilmore investigation.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. It happened to be there was an Armagh priest helping
- 18 Father John Brady.
- 19 A. Well, because the witness -- the witness was over there.
- 20 So rather --
- 21 Q. Yes. He was in Armagh Diocese.
- 22 A. It was, yes.
- 23 Q. The investigation -- obviously you have seen the
- documents. Again that is probably not an investigation,
- a model that would happen today. Is that fair?

- 1 A. I think it is and I think that the child wouldn't be on
- their own. I don't think a child would be told to swear
- 3 to secrecy. I think the model of it would be different.
- 4 It's not -- and I don't think that -- again I'm only
- surmising, but I think that the priests were put in
- a situation when I said like they were asked to do this
- and they thought, "My God! How can we do -- what is the
- 8 proper process?" and they used perhaps a marriage
- 9 inquiry model -- they were doing their best I think --
- which nowadays would be seen as a bad model.
- 11 Q. Arising out of it, it looks like the parents of the
- first boy, FBS38, interview one -- again no names I use
- are to be used beyond the chamber -- interview one, his
- father at least was outside the room and knew about it.
- The interview two with the boy from , his
- parents don't seem ever to be told. Do you accept that
- 17 really --
- 18 A. That was a failing.
- 19 Q. -- the parents should have been informed that their
- 20 child (a) was being interviewed about a serious thing
- and then (b) told that their son might well have been
- sexually abused?
- 23 A. I think -- I think it shows a lack of understanding of
- the effect. I mean, not to minimise the sexual abuse,
- but even if I am brought in front of my headmaster for

- 1 not doing my homework or something, it is intimidation.
- 2 So I think that the child -- that the parents might have
- 3 been told, "Well, your child has had a situation here,
- 4 like. So if he is not himself, you know ... ", and
- 5 that's the minor end of the thing, but not to say, "Your
- 6 child has been through this terrible experience", and
- God forbid it was ongoing, and that the parents weren't
- 8 aware was gross lacking and failing.
- 9 Q. Can you assist the Panel at all with trying to explain
- so they might understand why it wouldn't have been in
- the mind of someone like a bishop of a Roman Catholic
- diocese in Ireland to be thinking about the child that
- they are supposed to look after, one of their own
- 14 members? Why would that not have been at this point in
- time in the '70s part of the mindset?
- 16 A. I don't know. I just think that the -- I mean, Father
- William spoke about a clericalism or a mindset within
- a church, minding the institution. I don't know whether
- that was in the bishop's head or I think definitely they
- wouldn't have an appreciation for the effect this was
- 21 having on children and wouldn't have had
- an understanding of how damaging it was.
- 23 O. Yes.
- 24 A. So I can again only guess, but I think there was no
- understanding of the effect, especially deep down, that

- 1 this would have on anybody.
- 2 Q. Do you think that might explain why in addition to not
- 3 telling the parents about it there doesn't appear to
- 4 have been communication with, "Look, make sure you are
- 5 visiting this family. You need to be checking on the
- 6 child. You need to be perhaps not organising
- 7 counselling for them the way we would do, but that
- 8 ongoing pastoral support ..."?
- 9 A. I'm not so sure that -- again it's a nasty thing to say,
- but I think they just thought, "Well, you know, if you
- 11 take away the -- take him out of -- take the child out
- of the situation, like, they're young. They'll get over
- it". I don't know whether that was -- I mean, this
- would not be the attitude now. I'm only thinking maybe,
- trying to make sense of something that is senseless, and
- about why not to involve the parents, I am wondering
- whether they were afraid that -- "We are trying our best
- 18 to create some evidence against Brendan Smyth and we
- don't want to dilute it, like, if he heard about this".
- We heard about how manipulative and authoritative he
- was. That they say, "Well, don't give him any chance to
- know this thing is coming until we hit him with the big
- one, you know". Again I don't know. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. Again we dealt with it in the context of the '73
- allegation, but it doesn't appear that there was any

- 1 thought about, "Right. We need to tell the Guards about
- this", because the two interviews together brought out
- at least two children. In fact, in the interviews, when
- 4 you read them, there is at least three children and
- 5 other children are named, which might have given some
- 6 thought to, "I wonder were they interfered with in the
- 7 same way?" It doesn't seem again to have been in the
- 8 mindset to tell the Garda about it.
- 9 A. There wasn't and I can only say it was a failing of
- 10 them. It's a terrible thing.
- 11 Q. It is not something that would happen today?
- 12 A. It is definitely not something that would happen today.
- 13 Q. Again this time the then bishop, Bishop Francis
- 14 MacKiernan, did take some measure on foot of the results
- of the two interviews and this investigation where he
- 16 communicated a withdrawal of -- we talked earlier it is
- 17 not entirely clear about what exactly was being
- 18 withdrawn --
- 19 A. The faculties, yes.
- 20 Q. -- by means of whether it was faculties of confession or
- 21 faculties of the diocese, but that having been done
- then, you are probably aware he eventually applied again
- and they kept being renewed, and the bishop does not
- appear to have been getting other information that might
- 25 have changed the view about whether to renew and so on.

- 1 The steps that were taken then don't seem to have
- 2 curtailed Smyth at all, because he goes the following
- 3 year up to Belfast to live a week with the nuns and
- 4 there meets a series of what becomes his subsequent
- 5 victims. So that step of withdrawing faculties, however
- that was done, doesn't appear to have had the desired
- 7 effect.
- 8 A. Again I don't know. I think the bishop did or attempted
- 9 to do as much as he thought he could do. Maybe he could
- 10 have done more and I think perhaps he could have done
- 11 more, but he just thought, "Well, if I feed some
- information to somebody, maybe they can do something",
- but it was ineffectual. I will admit that.
- 14 Q. What is the more? I appreciate, Father, it is difficult
- for anybody coming after, who is looking with hindsight.
- The natural instinct is not to be criticising someone
- 17 who went before.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. When you say he could have done more and you think maybe
- 20 he should have done more, what have you in mind that he
- 21 might have done at the time that might have been the
- 22 more?
- 23 A. Well, perhaps there was a diocese inquiry. Maybe he
- could have put more pressure, checked up on the abbot,
- even if the abbot was his equal in standing, just to

- check up and put a little bit more pressure on him.
- I mean, I am sure there are other things he could have
- done than depending on somebody who didn't wield all his
- 4 authority.
- 5 Q. What I want to do, Father, is just to look at
- 6 paragraph 2 of your statement. In fact, it is not. It
- is paragraph 5 of the statement. If we look at 743,
- 8 please, you say on behalf of the Diocese of Kilmore that
- 9 before dealing with the matters that you have then
- 10 assisted the Inquiry by revealing to it you wanted:
- "... on behalf of the diocese to express the deep
- 12 sorrow felt by the Bishop of Kilmore, Bishop Leo
- O'Reilly, and the diocese that any child was abused by
- any member of the clergy. We apologise unreservedly to
- any child abused by Brendan Smyth for that abuse and for
- the hurt and harm caused. The actions of Brendan Smyth
- must be condemned unreservedly. The abuse of his
- 18 position of power is a cause of profound pain
- and suffering to all his victims and a source of shame
- and deep sorrow to all in the church he purported to
- 21 represent."
- I described it earlier with Father Fitzgerald as it
- is like a poison that's still being felt, the effects of
- it. Is that your experience of --
- 25 A. It is. I was listening to Father Smyth -- sorry --

1 Father Fitzgerald there and for thirty years I have been

2 exercising ministry as a priest and children have been

in the sacristy with me and they move around, but

4 recently I was in the sacristy and some servers were

5 there, and I realised there was no adult. That was the

first time in thirty years that it impacted me and

I pulled myself in and felt very vulnerable.

8 That -- that shows the state that -- how things have

9 changed since then, that children are most important.

10 I have got great appreciation for their protection, and

just the very fact that an adult should -- should feel

that way, and also that I have had people say to friends

of mine, "You let your kids be friendly with him?" The

14 very fact that children and priests are like

15 nitroglycerine is a serious situation. Things have

16 changed a lot.

6

11

13

20

17 Q. So it is a poison that affects you?

18 A. It affects every one of us.

19 Q. Father, I am not going to ask you any more questions.

You weren't present making any of these decisions at the

21 time they were made that we've been looking at. You

have brought forward the material that you can to assist

23 the Inquiry, and it may be we will need further

assistance, and no doubt you will assist the Inquiry if

25 that's necessary. I am not going to ask you any more

- 1 questions now. If you just bear with us for a few
- 2 moments, the Panel Members may want to ask you
- 3 something.
- 4 A. Thank you.
- 5 Questions from THE PANEL
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Father, might I just take up one point with you,
- 7 something you mentioned just a few minutes ago, which
- 8 may seem to the outsider to be a very arcane point, but
- 9 you mentioned that the abbot was the bishop's equal,
- and, of course, we've noted that the abbot of each
- canonry was able to ordain a priest as opposed to
- 12 conferring certain privileges on a Brother. So that
- means that an abbot is in the eyes of the church able to
- exercise episcopal ministry in that sense. He is,
- I take it, the successor to the apostles in that respect
- as well as a bishop, is he?
- 17 A. Well, they were my words, but the -- a bishop is
- 18 an ordinary --
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. -- in canonical terms and so too is an abbot an
- ordinary. So that's why I meant that the bishop had
- authority over his diocese and priests within his
- 23 diocese --
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. -- whereas the authority over the priests in the

- 1 monastery was the responsibility of the abbot.
- 2 Q. So the monastery is an exempt jurisdiction within the
- 3 diocese --
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. -- and as the ordinary, the abbot has certain privileges
- 6 and rights and responsibilities.
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. It is just that we noted in some of the correspondence
- 9 it was as if when the bishop wrote to the abbot, he was
- almost writing as if he was writing to another bishop.
- 11 Is that --
- 12 A. It would be like that, yes.
- 13 Q. It would be like that?
- 14 A. I understand, yes.
- 15 Q. Yes. Thank you.
- 16 MS DOHERTY: I don't have any further questions. Thank you.
- 17 A. Thank you.
- 18 MR LANE: Would the significance of the withdrawal of the
- 19 faculty of confession -- I was wondering why
- 20 particularly that as against some of the other faculties
- 21 that could have been withdrawn?
- 22 A. Well, the bishop, as I understand, can give faculties of
- 23 confession and to preach --
- 24 Q. Uh-huh.
- 25 A. -- and this was why I drew up the distinction in my

- submission, that again I don't know what was in the
- bishop's mind, but I was trying to make sense of the
- distinction between confessions and faculties of the
- 4 diocese. So the faculties of the diocese I would
- 5 understand to include the faculty to preach.
- 6 Q. And say mass as well?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So would he have thought perhaps there was more chance
- 9 of having contact with children in the course of
- 10 confession than any other settings?
- 11 A. I don't know what the priest -- bishop was thinking, but
- 12 I know that confession is one-on-one in a private place.
- 13 So it is like a less public place. So I think the
- 14 withdrawal -- the -- I mean, that's the practical thing
- about confessions, but also I think that if you take the
- sacramentality of giving absolution, I mean, if you are
- 17 going to be in a position of exercising a public --
- sorry -- a sacrament or priestly ministry, if you are
- not a good priest, well, then I think that you shouldn't
- 20 have that -- again that's me -- that you shouldn't have
- 21 that, exercising that right.
- 22 Q. In terms of the bishop and the abbot, did they see a lot
- of each other? Were they in common contact or was this
- 24 an unusual occasion?
- 25 A. I don't -- I don't know, but again Cavan and

- Ballyjamesduff, or where Kilnacrott is, are only, what,
- thirty miles apart. They have got telephones. So
- 3 I would imagine they would have been in regular -- what
- 4 the regularity was -- but they would have been in more
- 5 than occasional contact.
- 6 Q. One of the pieces of evidence referred to a sort of
- 7 tension between the abbey and the diocese.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Now -- but I would have thought there was also
- a symbiotic bit, is there not, of the abbey supporting
- 11 the diocese? Is that true?
- 12 A. Well, I think that is why the -- that was part of the
- invitation to come into the diocese, that the abbey
- would be self-sufficient and would offer supply within.
- So if I was unavailable for whatever reason in my
- parish, I could call on the abbey and they would supply
- is the term we would use a locum.
- 18 Q. Was this the only abbey actually within the diocese?
- 19 A. Yes, that I'm aware of, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Thank you very much.
- 21 A. You are very welcome. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Well, Father, thank you very much for coming to
- 23 speak to us and to assist us in our work. We are
- 24 grateful to you for that.
- 25 A. Thank you.

- 1 (Witness withdrew)
- 2 MR AIKEN: Chairman, if we took a short break, we should be
- able to very quickly move to the final witness today.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 5 (4.35 pm)
- 6 (Short break)
- $7 \quad (4.55 \text{ pm})$
- FATHER JOHN MCKEEVER (called)
- 9 MR AIKEN: Chairman, Members of the Panel, the final witness
- 10 today is Father John McKeever, who is a priest in the
- 11 Archdiocese of Armagh. He is aware, Chairman, you are
- going to ask him to take the oath.
- FATHER JOHN MCKEEVER (sworn)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Please sit down.
- 15 Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY
- 16 MR AIKEN: Now, Father McKeever, if we can bring up on the
- screen, please, 1210, this is the statement from the
- 18 Archdiocese of Armagh that was penned, in fact, by
- 19 Father Michael Toner.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. He was or is the Chancellor. Is that right?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. He happens to be in Rome --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- which is why you have been given the honour of

- speaking to the statement on behalf of the Archdiocese
- of Armagh.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. I am not going to ask you what it is you have done to
- 5 deserve that, but you are -- have had the opportunity to
- 6 consider the statement?
- 7 A. I have.
- 8 O. And to look at the documents that the statement was
- 9 based on?
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. In addition, if we can move through, please, to 1216, so
- 12 although the statement is signed by Father Michael
- Toner, you want to adopt the content of the statement
- and the exhibits as your evidence to the Inquiry on
- behalf of the Archdiocese of Armagh?
- 16 A. Yes, and that's Father Toner's signature on the
- 17 document.
- 18 Q. What I want to do, first of all, Father McKeever, is
- just to confirm that you had no involvement yourself in
- any of the matters to do with Father Brendan Smyth that
- this Inquiry has been looking at.
- 22 A. None of it.
- 23 Q. Not only did you have no involvement in the matters that
- we have been looking at; you also had no involvement in
- any of the press statements that anyone connected to the

- 1 Archdiocese of Armagh or any other part of the Roman
- 2 Catholic Church in Ireland have made about Father
- 3 Brendan Smyth and the church's handling of matters
- 4 related to him.
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. So that being said, Father McKeever, I want to say, so
- 7 that it's on the record, that you are someone known to
- 8 me, having studied with me at The Queen's University in
- 9 Belfast.
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. I think whenever Professor Hadfield was trying to help
- 12 us understand administrative law, she didn't have this
- day in mind.
- 14 A. I don't think any of us did.
- 15 Q. No. So I put on record that you and I are known to each
- other, but not in relation to any of the matters that we
- are looking at today, and the fact that you managed
- a First and I missed out slightly won't affect my
- 19 handling of you in any way.
- 20 A. I am relieved.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Well, provided you don't support Liverpool, you
- 22 will be all right.
- 23 MR AIKEN: I have not canvassed that with him, Chairman.
- 24 What I want to do, Father McKeever, is just to
- 25 confirm certain matters with you.

- 1 That as far as the Archdiocese of Armagh is
- 2 concerned this was -- the 1975 investigation that has
- 3 raised such public concern and awareness was conducted
- 4 by the Diocese of Kilmore and not by Armagh?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. That the involvement of a priest in the Diocese of
- 7 Armagh was not at the Archdiocese of Armagh's request to
- 8 participate in this investigation in some way?
- 9 A. We have no records at all in Armagh's archives regarding
- 10 that Inquiry, save the witness statements that we
- obtained as part of civil disclosure only in recent
- years. So there's no absolutely no evidence to suggest
- that Armagh was involved with the origin Inquiry.
- 14 Q. To take it one step beyond that in terms of a positive
- assertion, you would have expected if Armagh had had any
- official role in the investigation, that there would
- have been some record of it in the Armagh archive?
- 18 A. If Armagh took the initiative in this Inquiry, then we
- would have expected the records to have been kept in our
- archive as opposed to Kilmore's.
- 21 Q. The fact they were in Kilmore's is an additional matter
- that allows you to say it appears that Armagh were not
- 23 involved?
- 24 A. Kilmore were the instigators, yes.
- 25 Q. The priest who accompanied the then Father John Brady

- was a priest of the Armagh Diocese.
- 2 A. That's true.
- 3 Q. Lived in area or worked in the area.
- 4 A. That's right. He was curate in that parish.
- 5 O. He had a Doctorate of Canon Law --
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. -- and would have been known as -- I think he was
- 8 involved essentially in marriage tribunals?
- 9 A. In marriage tribunal cases, yes.
- 10 Q. And therefore would have been in the locality where this
- was to take place someone that you might turn to if you
- wanted assistance about (inaudible)?
- 13 A. Yes, he would be a local canon lawyer there to avail of.
- 14 O. From the records it looks like he conducted the
- questioning in the first interview, but wasn't involved
- 16 at all in the second interview.
- 17 The decision-making around what was to be done after
- 18 the interviewing was conducted was something that was
- entirely a matter for the Bishop of Kilmore, because it
- 20 was a Kilmore investigation?
- 21 A. The results of the interviews were brought back to the
- Bishop of Kilmore, as we understand it. So it was up to
- 23 him to decide what to do next.
- 24 Q. And it doesn't appear from any material you have been
- able to ascertain that there was any decision-making

- 1 brought back for Armagh to engage in?
- 2 A. No records of that.
- 3 Q. You were explaining -- and it is set out in the
- 4 statement to some degree, but I just want you to explain
- for the benefit of the Panel. We were having
- a discussion earlier. You don't yet hold your Doctorate
- of Canon Law. Whether that changes in due course ...
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. But you were explaining to me, and I want you to assist
- 10 the Panel, that -- we were having a discussion with the
- last witness about an ordinary and the fact that the
- abbot was an ordinary in his canonry and the bishop was
- an ordinary, but there is, in fact, a subtle distinction
- between an ordinary in the canonry and a local ordinary,
- of which a bishop in a diocese would be one.
- 16 A. Yes. A local ordinary is tied to a geographical
- 17 territory. So that does give him certain different
- powers in some matters in canon law.
- 19 Q. Now in that context, and a complicated beast is canon
- law, but if I can try and simplify it down in this way,
- 21 post-1962 a local ordinary who becomes aware of child
- sexual abuse perpetrated by a priest as long as it
- doesn't take place in the confessional, which remains
- something excepted to the Holy See, the local ordinary
- bishop, as it were, can or could have instigated

- a particular type of inquiry that would have involved
- 2 having power to discipline someone like Brendan Smyth,
- 3 even though he was a priest in a canonry within the
- 4 diocese.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Actually post-1962 the situation was that there were
certain crimes reserved to what was the Holy Office in
those days. It is now the Congregation for the Doctrine
of the Faith. Those crimes were solicitation in the
confession, abuse of a pre-pubescent child, homosexual
acts by a cleric or bestiality by a cleric. Those were

cases reserved to the Holy Office.

The 1917 code then in force forbade religious superiors, which would have included the Abbot of Kilnacrott, from interfering in any cases reserved to the Holy Office. The component authority for those was a local ordinary, that is the bishop in charge of a diocese or his equivalent in law.

In 1962 the law was slightly modified to say that if solicitation had not taken place, the sacrament of penance was not involved, then an ordinary, a religious superior, could investigate the case. Now whether they would have been aware of that or not I don't know, but that was the one subtle change. It would not have changed the authority of the local ordinary to have investigated anyway, even if the religious superior did

- 1 not want to investigate. His original authority
- 2 remained intact.
- 3 Q. So stepping that out, what the effect of the system in
- 4 place prior to 1962 and post-1962 is that what Brendan
- 5 Smyth was doing should have gone to the Council for the
- 6 Doctrine of the Faith.
- 7 A. They should have been consulted, but the local diocesan
- 8 tribunal could have instructed the case --
- 9 Q. As far as the --
- 10 A. -- as long as Rome had been notified about it.
- 11 Q. As far as Kilnacrott Abbey is concerned --
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. -- and the Abbot of Holy Trinity Abbey, he should have
- 14 been talking to the Council of the Doctrine of the
- 15 Faith.
- 16 A. Post-1962 he could have spoken to the Congregation for
- the Doctrine of the Faith and -- yes --
- 18 Q. Congregation.
- 19 A. -- and investigated.
- 20 Q. But as far as Bishop Francis MacKiernan is concerned, he
- 21 could have as a local ordinary conducted
- an investigation that gave him powers of discipline over
- 23 Brendan Smyth?
- 24 A. Yes, having notified the congregation in Rome.
- 25 Q. The point you made, and which seems to have come from

- what we have seen to date, he has this form of inquiry,
- which we will talk to Cardinal Brady about tomorrow,
- 3 that resulted in him giving information of some sort to
- 4 the local abbot.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What you are saying is the mechanism, properly utilised,
- 7 would have been a disciplinary procedure which was run
- 8 by the bishop himself.
- 9 A. He could have followed through on that original
- 10 complaint on the basis of those interviews.
- 11 Q. Would that ability that was available to him have
- included being able to discipline Brendan Smyth?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. To what extent could that discipline extend?
- 15 A. In the most serious cases laicisation was possible.
- 16 Q. Now the statement that has been filed that you are
- speaking to, Father McKeever, if we look at paragraph 18
- 18 -- just on -- if we move up. Yes, if we move on to
- 19 1215, please, one of the matters that's set out in
- 20 paragraph 18 -- and we were discussing it earlier -- was
- 21 that today this issue immediately moves into the hands
- of the civil authority --
- 23 A. Indeed.
- 24 Q. -- and the archdiocese in terms -- or any diocese in
- 25 terms of -- we were talking about the horizontal

- 1 communication between bishops and so on, "You need to
- 2 know that I have got X or Y in my patch and they are
- wrong in doing whatever they are doing". That now
- 4 immediately communicated to the police and the police
- 5 would be the entity who give permission for any diocese
- 6 to tell anybody else --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- about it so as not to spoil the investigation the
- 9 police were doing.
- 10 A. Yes. We would be very much aware of that, that before
- 11 we would share information even within the church that
- we would make sure we wouldn't be contaminating any
- evidence or interfering with a police inquiry.
- 14 Q. So the safeguarding structures that now exist, which are
- is it fair to say a complete world away from 1975 --
- 16 A. Totally different.
- 17 Q. -- that would involve the police giving permission and
- then dissemination of information beyond that.
- 19 A. Uh-huh.
- 20 Q. The Social Services are also automatically involved
- 21 where a child is concerned.
- 22 A. As well, yes.
- 23 Q. What the archdiocese then have said to the Inquiry is
- that, having set out what they can and what they know,
- which you are speaking to, they have said that:

- 1 "The church today can only look back on all this" --
- and by "this" I am talking about the Brendan Smyth
- 3 affair and how he -- the abuse that he perpetrated and
- 4 how he was dealt with -- "with shame and in disgrace."
- 5 A. Yes. From what the two previous witnesses have spoken
- of, how this has affected the lives of priests, this is
- our penance for this. The church did fail those
- 8 children and the painful effects of that now in the life
- 9 of the church are a small penance compared to the great
- suffering that the victims went through. So this shame
- and this disgrace and the effect of that will remain
- with us for a very long time.
- 13 Q. I think I described it earlier, Father McKeever, as
- 14 a poison.
- 15 A. Yes. It is a poison and it will take a long time for
- that poison to be drawn out of the system.
- 17 Q. I am not going to ask you any more questions. If you
- 18 stay where you are for a short while, the Panel Members
- may want to ask you something.
- 20 Questions from THE PANEL
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Father, can I just pursue in a little bit more
- detail what may to outsiders seem a very arcane
- legalistic position in relation to the powers of bishops
- and so on?
- 25 If we start with the technical position, as

- I understand it, in the Roman Catholic Church the chief
- 2 ecclesiastical person in generally speaking
- a geographical location is technically the ordinary, who
- 4 will either be a bishop or an archbishop in the normal
- 5 way. Isn't that right?
- 6 A. Yes. He is the local ordinary in any given territory.
- 7 Q. Exactly. So Bishop MacKiernan, to take in his
- 8 geographical area of jurisdiction, it was the diocese
- 9 effectively containing the counties of Cavan and Leitrim
- 10 --
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. -- and a little bit of other counties as well.
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 O. Within that local jurisdiction there is provision for
- and there was in this instance another ecclesiastical
- jurisdiction which was exempt in certain respects.
- 17 A. Exempt religious. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. In this case it was a monastery or an abbey, I should
- 19 say.
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. Interestingly I see in the Archdiocese of Armagh there
- are quite a number of different religious orders who are
- resident in the archdiocese. Is that right?
- 24 A. We would have a monastery in Cavan, but then there would
- be other religious orders as well, such as the

- 1 Dominicans.
- 2 Q. But they are not necessarily exempt in the way that
- 3 Kilnacrott Abbey was?
- 4 A. Dominicans would be exempt religious. It all depends
- 5 upon the history and the status of the Order.
- 6 Q. I see. Well, we understood from what Father Fitzgerald
- 7 said that the Norbertines are one of the more ancient of
- 8 the monastic orders.
- 9 A. And they would be exempt religious.
- 10 Q. Yes. Does that mean that the abbot as an exempt
- ordinary in that particular instance, since he could
- ordain priests, was also someone who exercised episcopal
- 13 functions?
- 14 A. No. He can't actually ordain. Only a bishop can
- sacramentally perform the act of ordination.
- 16 An ordinary in the sense of a religious superior can
- recommend that one of his students be ordained, but he
- must then find a bishop willing to do the ordination for
- 19 **him.**
- 20 Q. I see.
- 21 A. He cannot do it personally --
- 22 Q. So that explains --
- 23 A. -- unless the abbot is himself a bishop. There are
- a few territorial abbotcies in the world.
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. They're jurisdictions analogous to a diocese with some
- 2 territory attached to them, and the abbot may actually
- 3 receive episcopal orders himself.
- 4 Q. I think there may have been one in Western Australia at
- 5 the time we were looking at child migrants, but we heard
- 6 in this instance that at one time the Abbot General was
- 7 also a bishop. Was that a personal position in the
- 8 sense of someone already a bishop was brought in to be
- 9 an abbot as well or a Norbertine became a bishop?
- 10 A. It could have been that there was a Norbertine who was
- appointed a bishop in some other function of the church
- 12 and then became Abbot General --
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 A. -- or it could be that he was made Abbot General first
- and then perhaps as a sign of personal esteem to him the
- 16 Holy Father decided to appoint him as a bishop.
- 17 Q. Yes. So if we go back to what happened to Father
- Brendan Smyth in this instance, because we have been
- told earlier that the Kilnacrott Abbey as a dependent
- abbey upon an abbey in Belgium went ahead and ordained
- 21 him, but technically what would have happened is they
- 22 would have presented him for ordination --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. -- either to the Bishop of Kilmore or another bishop.
- 25 A. It could be any bishop resident in Rome. It depends who

- 1 the abbot issued the dimissorial letters to. Those are
- 2 the letters of permission for an ordination to take
- 3 place.
- 4 Q. A bishop normally ordaining clergy for his own diocese
- 5 presumably has an ultimate responsibility not to ordain
- a priest he knows or suspects not to be fit to perform
- 7 his ministry functions.
- 8 A. That's right. If they have been his student over the
- 9 years, he should know them well enough to make that
- decision whether to ordain them or not.
- 11 Q. Whereas in the time when Brendan Smyth was ordained and
- the circumstances, he would effectively have been
- presented to a willing bishop, who presumably would
- simply take on trust that he was appropriate.
- 15 A. Yes. He would have received letters of testimony --
- 16 O. Yes.
- 17 A. -- from the abbot saying that he was worthy to be
- ordained and he would have received letters of
- 19 permission from the abbot to ordain him. That's my
- 20 understanding.
- 21 Q. So he -- yes. He would then rely on those documents --
- 22 A. He would rely on them.
- 23 Q. -- which I take it are provided for in canon law, and if
- they weren't there, he would say, "I am sorry. I can't
- ordain you". So someone in the Norbertine Order had to

- 1 make a decision to present him with these
- qualifications, effectively certificates of suitability
- 3 I suppose --
- 4 A. Yes, yes, you could say that.
- 5 Q. -- if you regard it that way, and yet they chose not to
- 6 reveal what their internal concerns were.
- 7 A. Yes, that probably was the case.
- 8 Q. An even more arcane point: we see from time to time
- 9 correspondence between, for example, Archbishop Daly, as
- 10 he was at the time --
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. -- writing to Abbot Smith and the abbot replying almost
- as if it is one bishop writing to another. In terms of
- 14 protocol is that -- the standing of an abbot almost but
- not quite a bishop, if I may put it that way?
- 16 A. Yes, but an abbot would be treated with great respect by
- a bishop, yes.
- 18 Q. Because, of course, abbots have been part of the fabric
- of the church since certainly well before the 12th
- 20 century. Isn't that so?
- 21 A. And, of course, they are -- it be seen they even look
- like bishops. They get to carry a crozier. They wear
- a pectoral cross. They wear a mitre.
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. They don't have the sacramental powers of a bishop, but

- outer appearance, they look like him.
- 2 Q. They sign their correspondence with a cross as a bishop
- 3 would do. Isn't that right?
- 4 A. I wouldn't be aware of that now.
- 5 Q. Well, that's what seems to have happened here. It is
- 6 not very easy to make out, but that makes it a great
- 7 deal clearer to us at least. Whether it does to anybody
- 8 else is another matter. Thank you very much.
- 9 MS DOHERTY: Just one short question going across from his.
- 10 There was mention of when Brendan Smyth went to work in
- the hospital in Cork, he had a letter to go with him to
- 12 give his -- can you just explain that a wee bit?
- 13 A. That would be a letter of commendation basically to say
- that he is a priest in good standing and that the
- authority who was sending him to Cork -- in this case
- I presume it was his abbot -- there was no reason why he
- cannot exercise his ministry. We are all issued with
- 18 these. Nowadays they take the form of almost like
- a little driving licence card so that if you are in
- a territory outside your own diocese where you are not
- known and you seek to exercise priestly ministry, you
- can present this card, and it is a way of showing that
- you are a priest in good standing. You are not under
- any penalty or under any suspension.
- 25 Q. Okay. So they come with a lot of trust then, if you

- were in a different ...
- 2 A. Well, the church regards these as very, very serious
- documents and canon law says that they must be no more
- 4 than twelve months old. So they are frequently reviewed
- 5 so that if a priest does misbehave in any way within
- 6 those twelve months, that they can be withdrawn, and
- 7 they must carry a date on them.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. That's really helpful.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: If I can just follow up perhaps to underline
- something I hope we have understood correctly and
- 11 underlies much of what we are discussing. The basic
- 12 position is that, as I understand it, Father, a priest
- who is if one might use the expression licensed or
- approved to exercise priestly functions in the area of
- one ordinary cannot just walk into the area of another
- ordinary, another diocese, for example, and carry on
- 17 without some form of episcopal or other notification and
- then the bishop into whose area he comes gives him
- 19 permission to do whatever it is. Is that right?
- 20 A. Not really, Chairman. Once a priest receives his
- 21 faculties from his own ordinary -- so in the case of
- a diocesan priest that's his bishop; in the case of
- a religious priest, like a Norbertine priest, from his
- 24 religious superior -- once he has received those
- faculties, he can exercise his ministry pretty much

- anywhere in the church unless the bishop of the
- territory he is entering has created his own rules that
- 3 you cannot exercise ministry there without his express
- 4 permission.
- 5 Q. I see. Do I take it that's not necessarily always the
- 6 case?
- 7 A. It is not necessarily always the case. The general
- 8 practice at least in Ireland is that if you are a priest
- 9 in good standing with your own ordinary, you are free to
- 10 license elsewhere. Now if later the ordinary of the
- place that you have gone into finds a problem with you,
- they can withdraw your faculties to exercise in that new
- territory that you have entered.
- 14 Q. Yes. You see, it is of some significance potentially at
- least in this instance, because Father Brendan Smyth had
- 16 been -- had current faculties from the Bishop of
- 17 Kilmore. Does that mean he was not obliged to say to
- 18 the Bishop of Down & Connor, "I am coming into your
- 19 diocese. I have been asked to conduct a retreat", for
- 20 example, "by the Sisters of Nazareth"? He doesn't need
- 21 to either notify the Bishop of Down & Connor or even get
- 22 some form of permission to do it --
- 23 A. Once he had.
- 24 Q. -- unless, of course, there are these local rules?
- 25 A. Once he had faculties from his own abbot in Kilnacrott,

- he could have gone into Down & Connor, heard
- 2 confessions, tended to the sick, said mass in the
- 3 diocese.
- 4 Q. And does that mean that he could do the same in Kilmore
- 5 until the Bishop of Kilmore withdrew --
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. -- the faculties?
- 8 A. Yes, and then in that particular territory, the Diocese
- 9 of Kilmore, he could not operate.
- 10 Q. I suppose, strictly speaking, what he was doing was not
- 11 withdrawing but forbidding him to exercise --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- because the permission came originally from the
- abbot, not from the bishop.
- 15 A. That's right. That's where the original faculties came
- 16 from.
- 17 Q. But the bishop still had the power to say,
- 18 "Notwithstanding that, I am forbidding you to do it in
- 19 my diocese".
- 20 A. "You cannot preach. You cannot hear confessions. You
- 21 cannot minister in this diocese." That's right.
- 22 Q. So effectively he was free to travel wherever he wanted
- 23 to by invitation, perform any priestly function, such as
- 24 conducting retreats in Dublin or Belfast, wherever --
- 25 A. Yes, he could.

- 1 Q. -- and until or unless an ecclesiastical authority in
- 2 a particular locality had reason to do so, he was
- 3 entitled to act as a priest?
- 4 A. He was. That's right.
- 5 Q. The bishop in the area concerned would have had to have
- 6 some specific reason presented to him I presume before
- 7 he would have considered prohibiting him by preventing
- 8 him from exercising those functions?
- 9 A. Yes. If he received a concern, something that would
- 10 have worried him about Brendan Smyth, then he could have
- done exactly as the Bishop of Kilmore did.
- 12 Q. Yes, but until and unless something was drawn to the
- 13 bishop's attention --
- 14 A. Attention.
- 15 Q. -- there is no legal reason in canon law for anybody,
- 16 whether it is a school --
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. -- or a parish or whatever, to invite somebody like
- 19 Brendan Smyth in as a visiting priest to perform
- 20 whatever functions they wished --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- take -- hear confessions, conduct retreats, solemnise
- 23 marriages and whatever.
- 24 A. That's correct. Marriages are different in canon law.
- You always need the permission of the local parish

- 1 priest if you are a visitor.
- 2 Q. Yes, but the parish priest, not the bishop?
- 3 A. Not the bishop. The parish priest.
- 4 MS DOHERTY: Can I just ask one supplementary? If somebody
- 5 has their facilities withdrawn by one bishop --
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. -- is there any communication between the bishops to
- 8 say, "I have done this and this is why I have done it"?
- 9 A. I don't know. That will be -- that's my honest answer
- on that. In this case clearly there doesn't seem to
- 11 have been.
- 12 Q. Seem to have.
- 13 A. If a bishop did that, and if there was no police
- investigation, that he wasn't forbidden to share
- information, there is nothing to stop him saying to
- bishops of dioceses where he knows the man is likely to
- go or has been.
- 18 There have been times where we have received
- warnings from our own bishop for priests, maybe even
- from different counties, from Australia or America, who
- have lost the faculties of their own bishop, who are not
- in good standing, and yet are going around chancing
- their arm, trying to get work as supply priests in
- different area. We would be warned, "Watch out for this
- 25 man. If he presents himself, do not let him minister"

- or "Please notify", and give you a contact to "Notify
- this person if you see him".
- 3 Q. Thanks very much.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Well, we are very grateful to you, Father
- 5 McKeever, for coming to speak to us, for waiting so long
- and so patiently, and so helpfully throwing light into
- 7 the more arcane corners of the canon law, which, in
- fact, do have some bearing on some of the issues we are
- 9 considering, because it makes it clear to us what could
- and could not be done, which we might not otherwise have
- 11 fully realised. Thank you very much for that.
- 12 A. Okay, Chairman. Thank you.
- 13 Q. I hope you didn't find the experience of being
- questioned by a former student colleague too
- 15 intimidating.
- 16 A. He didn't take any revenge on me.
- 17 Q. Good.
- 18 MR AIKEN: That thankfully concludes today's evidence,
- 19 Chairman. We have two more witnesses tomorrow.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 21 (5.25 pm)
- 22 (Inquiry adjourned until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning)
- --00000--

24

25

	Page 132
1	I N D E X
2	
3	
4	Opening remarks from COUNSEL TO THE2 INQUIRY (cont.)
5	
6	FATHER WILLIAM FITZGERALD (called)
7	FATHER DONAL KILDUFF (called)87
8	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY87 Questions from THE PANEL
9	FATHER JOHN MCKEEVER (called)109
10	Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY109 Questions from THE PANEL119
11	Questions from the fraud
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	